Clin Orthop Relat Res (2013) 471:3512–3513 DOI 10.1007/s11999-013-3246-6 and Related Research®

A Publication of The Association of Bone and Joint Surgeons®

CORR INSIGHTS®

CORR Insights[®]: Coronal Limb Alignment and Indications for High Tibial Osteotomy in Patients Undergoing Revision ACL Reconstruction

John P. Albright MD

Received: 29 July 2013 / Accepted: 12 August 2013 / Published online: 28 August 2013 © The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Where Are We Now?

The current study by Won and colleagues concluded that patients undergoing revision ACL surgery have a greater incidence of significant varus alignment compared with patients undergoing primary ACL reconstruction. The authors also observed that this varus alignment was associated with meniscal pathology and degenerative changes of the knee. The report of varus alignment provides an interesting observation that goes a step beyond the findings of the Multi-center Anterior (C)ruciate Revision Study (MARS) [1], but leads to more questions than answers. The article does not tell us about the results of performing a high tibial osteotomy at the time of the revision ACL. It

This CORR Insights[®] is a commentary on the article "Coronal Limb Alignment and Indications for High Tibial Osteotomy in Patients Undergoing Revision ACL Reconstruction" by Won and colleagues available at: DOI: 10.1007/s11999-013-3185-2.

The author certifies that he, or a member of his immediate family, has no funding or commercial associations (eg, consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangements, etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

All ICMJE Conflict of Interest Forms for authors and *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*[®] editors and board members are on file with the publication and can be viewed on request. The opinions expressed are those of the writers, and do not reflect the

The opinions expressed are those of the writers, and do not reflect the opinion or policy of $CORR^{\textcircled{\$}}$ or the Association of Bone and Joint Surgeons $^{\textcircled{\$}}$.

This *CORR* Insights[®] comment refers to the article available at DOI: 10.1007/s11999-013-3185-2.

J. P. Albright (\boxtimes)

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation, The University of Iowa, 200 Hawkins Dr., Iowa City, IA 52242, USA e-mail: john-albright@uiowa.edu



merely points out that these patients are potential candidates for such a procedure.

The strength of the manuscript is that it reminds us that varus malalignment is a potential variable to be mindful of. It also serves to warn surgeons they should be aware of possible failure of the graft, and continuing pain related to medial compartment degeneration. Won and colleagues' attention to detail in establishing an effective protocol for the long-leg films is important because standing long-leg radiographs are fickle in terms of being able to demonstrate consistently the true amount of coronal plane alignment.

Where Do We Need to Go?

Kim and colleagues [3] reported that aside from extreme cases with varus thrust (without medial compartment arthritis) the stability and functional scores were not adversely affected by primary varus alignment. Kim and colleagues [3] also reported that aside from extreme cases where varus thrust is noted, (in addition to the post meniscectomy changes) the radiographic features of unicompartmental osteoarthritis are insufficient to be associated with graft failure.

Another MARS study [2] indicated that a significant number of revision surgeries were related to technical difficulties in graft tunnel placement in the primary procedure. This raises a number of questions. Was the degenerative process and progressive varus at fault in causing the ligament failure in the other revision surgeries not related to graft tunnel placement? Which patients need proximal tibial osteotomies at the time of revision ACL surgery? Should a high tibial osteotomy be performed to avoid postsurgical medial joint line pain? Will concomitant realignment increase the chance of establishing stability or would staging the two procedures not only eliminate the

risks associated with the combined procedure in a significant number of patients, but also prolong the function of these patients by putting off a realignment procedure with a time-and-demand-limited survival curve?

How Do We Get There?

We need to perform a large prospective case-control study observing primary ACLs over a long period of time, and separately analyzing those who have initial varus with intraarticular pathology from patients who do not. We need to conduct followup analysis to determine whether the varus indeed increases the risk of ligament failure. Such a study should also observe the progression of osteoarthritis and secondary development of varus deformity so we could get a better picture of the natural history.

Another study that would help fill in our current knowledge gap would be examining the existence of medial compartment osteoarthritis in an ACL deficient knee that has already had a failure of a primary ACL surgery. It should be determined, in the setting of a large randomized controlled trial, whether those patients who undergo a high tibial osteotomy at the same time as ACL revision surgery will fare better than those who have an ACL revision without an osteotomy.

This should be a very well-defined study group with radiograph-based alignment protocol similar to the one used in the current study. How much varus is necessary to change the odds ratio for ACL revision alone? Is varus thrust indeed the only game changer? Will staging the stabilization and the realignment procedure provide the patient with a greater number of years of satisfactory function? These important questions remain unanswered.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Borchers JR, Kaeding CC, Pedroza AD, Huston LJ, Spindler KP, Wright RW, MOON Consortium and the MARS Group. Intraarticular findings in primary and revision anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery: A comparison of the MOON and MARS study groups. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39:1889–1893.
- Chen JL, Allen CR, Stephens TE, Haas AK, Huston LJ, Wright RW, Feeley BT; Multicenter ACL Revision Study (MARS) Group. Differences in mechanisms of failure, intraoperative findings, and surgical characteristics between single- and multiple-revision ACL reconstructions: A MARS cohort study. Am J Sports Med. 2013;41:1571–1578.
- Kim SJ, Moon,HK, Chun YM, Chang WH, Kim SG. Is correctional osteotomy crucial in primary varus knees undergoing anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2011;469:1421–1426.

