RESEARCH



Open Access

Comparison between rapid and slow palatal expansion: evaluation of selected periodontal indices

Stefano Mummolo¹, Enrico Marchetti¹, Francesca Albani¹, Vincenzo Campanella², Filippo Pugliese¹, Salvatore Di Martino¹, Simona Tecco^{3*} and Giuseppe Marzo¹

Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this pilot study was to evaluate the periodontal effects during rapid palatal expansion (RPE) or slow palatal expansion (SPE) and to compare them by means of some clinical indices, in order to establish the possible differences and advantages of one of these treatments in periodontal terms.

Methods: 10 patients (aged 6 to 7 years; average age 6.3 years) were submitted to RPE treatment and other 10 patients (aged 6 to 8 years, average age 6.3 years) to SPE treatment. They were treated with the Haas expander. The selected clinical indices (plaque index, PI; papillary bleeding index, PBI; probing pocket depth, PPD) were collected three times during the treatment (t₀, detected 7 days after the periodontal prophylaxis, at the beginning of the active orthodontic therapy; t₁, detected during the active therapy; t₂, detected after retention). All measurements were performed by the same examiner. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee.

Results: The effects of the prophylaxis were excellent to control inflammation and dental plaque before the beginning of the orthodontic-orthopaedic treatment, as in both the two groups, the PI and the PBI values were equal to 0.

In the group receiving slow expansion, the PPD remained unchanged from t_0 to t_1 , while it significantly increased from t_0 to t_1 in the group of rapid expansion. At t_2 the values of the two groups returned to be overlapping.

Conclusions: Both rapid and slow expansion treatments present potential irritation effect (increase of PI index and PBI index) on the periodontium, suggested by the significant increase of PI and PBI from t_0 to t_1 in both the two groups; therefore prophylaxis and periodic controls are very important. There are no long-term benefits that might be referred unequivocally to one of the two treatments in terms of periodontal consequences, as demonstrated by the lack of significant differences between the two groups at t_2 .

Keywords: Palatal expansion, Periodontal indices, Plaque index, Papillary bleeding index, Probing pocket depth

Introduction

Over the past years there has been an appreciable increase of the orthodontic treatments, but the clinicians must not forget that orthodontic therapies can cause side-effects affecting the periodontal tissues.

The oral cavity is a rich ecosystem with a plethora of microorganisms. Plaque bacteria are the major factor in the onset and progression of periodontal disease and caries, but these are really multifactorial diseases, and

* Correspondence: tecco.simona@hsr.it

there are situations which comprise what has been termed 'ecological stress', causing the shift of the microbiological balance, so creating conditions conducive to the growth, and appearance of cariogenic and/or periodontopathic bacteria [1]. The different components of a fixed orthodontic system may contribute to the shift in the balance of the oral ecology. Correlations have been observed between orthodontic treatment and its effects on periodontal tissues [2-4]. A periodontal interaction subsequent to rapid palatal expansion [5] has also been described in terms of fluctuation of the inflammatory mediators, such us interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) e β -glucoronidase (β G) levels in the



© 2014 Mummolo et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

³University Vita-Salute San Raffaele, Via Olgettina 60, 20132, Milano, Italy Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) of the first maxillary molars during rapid palatal expansion [6].

The effect of an orthodontic force also depends from the type of appliance [7].

Except for the type of the tested expansion therapy, none of the other examined orthodontic variables showed a statistically significant influence on the periodontal tissues [7].

Although the phenomenon of orthodontic movement is similar to inflammation, but relatively aseptic [8], additional inflammation, such as the one induced by plaque accumulation, should be avoided during orthodontic and orthopaedic treatment [6].

As the orthodontic appliances certainly facilitate the establishment of significant bacterial colonies that can alter the environment of oral cavity [9], the clinician must ensure that there are no active inflammatory processes in the periodontium before starting all orthodontic treatments. It is recommended to educate and motivate the patient to oral hygiene; to perform eventual periodontal prophylaxis; to carefully choose the typology of appliance; and to carry out periodic medical controls at shorts intervals [10].

The periodontal prophylaxis program depends on: patients age; clinical oral and systemic conditions; patients collaboration; and family and social environment.

This pilot study was aimed to evaluate the periodontal health clinical indices in patients subjected to rapid and slow palatal expansion (using the Haas expander), and compare them establishing the possible differences and advantages of one of these treatments.

Materials and methods

Due to the small cohort of this study, this can be considered only a pilot study.

It was performed at the Department of Oral Health, University of L'Aquila, Italy.

10 patients (5 males and 5 females) (Group I), aged 6 to 7 years (average age 6.3 years), were subjected to rapid palatal expansion (RPE) using the Haas expander. A second group included 10 patients (4 males and 6 females) (Group II), aged 6 to 8 years, average age 6.3 years, that were subjected to slow palatal expansion (SPE) with the same appliance activated in different times and ways.

The subjects were enrolled in the sample from april 2011 to november 2011. The parents of the participants agreed (informed) to partecipate to this study.

The following inclusion criteria were observed: no dental diseases (caries, fractures, granulomas, etc.), a constriction of the upper jaw, that needed the palatal expansion appliance mounted on bands in order to be treated; good general health; probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss. In addition, patients showed normal gingival biotype [11,12].

The gingival biotype was objectively assessed using a metal periodontal probe in the sulcus to evaluate gingival tissue thickness: a thin biotype was recorded when the tip of the probe was visible through the gingiva; a normal biotype was assessed when the tip of the probe was not visible. See text for details [11,12].

Periodontal condition of the patients prior to starting the study was analyzed in detail and reported in Table 1.

Before the start of the expansion the patients received periodontal prophylaxis treatment, which included scaling, education and motivation to oral hygiene.

The patients were treated with the same appliance but using different clinical procedure. The Haas expander was anchored to the second deciduous molars with bands and bonded to the deciduous canines using acrylic resin [13,14].

After a week, in the group of patients receiving RPE (Group I), the jackscrew of the expander was activated once (0.25 mm) from the operator and once by the patient or his/her parent (total daily activation, 0.5 mm). Then the jackscrew was activated once in the morning and once in the evening (0.5 mm every 24 hours) for 20 days. After the active therapy, the appliance was stabilized by blocking the screw and there were 5 months of retention therapy [15].

At the same time, patients in Group II were subjected to slow palatal expansion. The jackscrew of the Haas expander was activated twice a week (total activation, 0.5 mm per week). After 3 months of active therapy, there was set a 3 months retention period with the same appliance [15].

The following periodontal clinical indices were used to assess the gingival and periodontal health:

- plaque index (PI, Silness e Löe) [16]: the measurement of the state of oral hygiene by Silness-Löe plaque index is based on recording both soft debris and mineralized deposits on the teeth. Each of the four surfaces of the teeth (buccal, lingual, mesial and distal) is given a score from 0–3. The scores from the four areas of the tooth are added and divided by four in order to give the plaque index for the tooth.
- papillary bleeding index (PBI, Saxer e Muhlemann)
 [17]: this index permits both immediate evaluation of the patient's gingival condition and his motivation, based upon the actual bleeding tendency of the gingival papillae. A periodontal probe is inserted into the gingival sulcus at the base of the papilla on the mesial aspect, and then moved coronally to the papilla tip. This is repeated on the distal aspect of the papilla. The intensity of any bleeding is recorded (0–4 scores).
- probing pocket depth (PPD).

Table 1 Periodontal condi	tions of the p	atients prior to	starting the study
---------------------------	----------------	------------------	--------------------

Group I	
(5 males and 5 females; average 6.3; range from 6 to 7 years old)	
Patient 1.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 2.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 3.	Probing depth values exceeding 3 mm only in two sites; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal/thin gingival biotype.
Patient 4.	Probing depth values exceeding 3 mm only one site in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 5	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 6.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 7.	Probing depth values exceeding 3 mm only in two sites; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal/thin gingival biotype.
Patient 8.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 9.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 10.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Group II	
(4 males and 6 females; average 6.3; range from 6 to 8 years old)	
Patient 1.	Probing depth values exceeding 3 mm only in one site; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 2.	Probing depth values exceeding 3 mm only in one siteno radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 3.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 4.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 5.	Probing depth values exceeding 3 mm only in two sites; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal/thin gingival biotype.
Patient 6.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal/thin gingival biotype.
Patient 7.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 8.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 9.	Probing depth values exceeding 3 mm only in two sites; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.
Patient 10.	Probing depth values not exceeding 3 mm in the whole dentition; no radiographic evidence of periodontal bone loss; normal gingival biotype.

The probing pocket depth was measured in 3 vestibular sites (mesio-buccal, buccal and disto-buccal) and 3 palatal sites (mesio-palatal, palatal and disto-palatal), at the level of the first upper molars, left and right. An standard WHO clinical periodontal probe was used. To standardize the procedure, the probe was inserted until its tip encountered the resistance of the junctional epithelium that forms the base of the sulcus. The pressure exerted with the probe tip against the junctional epithelium was between 10 and 20 grams. A sensitive scale that measures weight in grams was used to standardize the probing pressure. One author (SM) completed the experimental procedures.

The repeatability of the procedure was evaluated with the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) applied to double measurements recorded from 5 subjects two times, at a distance of 30 minutes between the first and the second evaluations. The ICC is the proportion of the total variance within the data that is explained by the variance between the two evaluations. The value of the ICC ranges from 0 to 1, where as the ICC approaches a value of one then we see a perfect agreement between the evaluations, and as the ICC approaches a value of zero then we see no agreement between the evaluations.

In the Group I (RPE), all these indices were detected in three stages:

- T₀, detected 7 days after the periodontal prophylaxis (at the beginning of the active orthodontic therapy);
- T₁, detected after 20 days of active therapy;
- $-\ T_2$, detected after 5 months of retention therapy.

In the Group II, the collected data corresponded to:

- T₀, detected 7 days after the periodontal prophylaxis;
- T₁, detected during clinical control after 3 months of active therapy;
- T₂, detected after 3 months of restraint.

All measurements were performed by the same examiner.

Data analysis

A descriptive statistical analysis was conducted. The ANOVA evaluation and Tukey's post-hoc analyses were conducted to evaluate the intra-group differences among t_0 , t_1 and t_2 . The unpaired samples Student's T test was used to evaluate between groups differences at t_0 , t_1 and t_2 . The significance level was set at 95%.

Results

Demographic data and periodontal conditions prior to starting the study are reported in Table 1.

The Interclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) is reported in Table 2.

As exposed in Table 3 at t_0 , the effects of the periodontal prophylaxis were excellent in controlling periodontal inflammation and dental plaque before the beginning of the orthodontic and orthopaedic treatment, as in both the two groups, the PI and the PBI values were equal to 0.

Regarding the PPD of the first maxillary molars, for simplicity, the data detected in the palatal areas were assumed as reference values.

 Table 2 Intra-observer method error calculated with

 Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (5 subjects)

	Т0	T1	Intraclass Correlation Coefficient
PI	0	0.3	ICC: 0.9
PBI	0	0.2	ICC: 0.8
PPD (mm) 1.6	2.5	2.6	ICC: 0.9
PPD (mm) 2.6	2.5	2.5	ICC: 0.9

PI index

In the Group I, the average PI was 0 at $t_0,\,0.9$ at t_1 and 0.5 at $t_2.$

In the Group II, the average PI was 0 at $t_0,\,0.7$ at t_1 and 0.5 at $t_2.$

At t₂ the two groups overlapped the same value.

PBI index

In the Group I, the average PBI passed from 0 at t_0 , to 0.9 at t_1 , and to 0.3 at t_2 .

In the Group II, the PBI passed from 0 at t_0 to 0.7 at $t_1,\, and \, to \, 0.3$ at $t_2.$

At t₂ the two groups overlapped the same value.

PPD index

In the Group I the average PPD in the right maxillary molar passed from 2.5 at t_0 to 2.9 (at t_1 and t_2); in the left maxillary molar it passed from 2.5 at t_0 to 2.9 at t_1 , and 3 at t_2 (Table 3).

In the Group II, the PPD in the right maxillary molar was 2.4 at t_0 and t_1 and passed to 2.9 at t_2 ; the PPD of the left maxillary molar passed from 2.5 at t_0 to 2.4 at t_1 and 2.8 at t_2 (Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, the clinical indices of periodontal health were selected as they are easily assessable by an orthodontist for a regular periodic monitoring of periodontal health during the orthodontic treatment.

As exposed in Table 3, after 7 days of periodontal prophylaxis and before the beginning of the orthodonticorthopaedic therapy (t_0), the values of the periodontal indices indicate an excellent state of periodontal health for the patients in both the groups. The PI and PBI were 0 in both the groups. The PPD values nearly overlap.

In both the groups there was an evident increase of the values of periodontal indices (PI and PBI) from $t_0 e t_1$.

These increases of PI and PBI indices were statistically significant with p < 0.001 in both the two Groups, suggesting that they were not due to chance, but to a real difference in periodontal conditions during the ortho-dontic treatment.

These observations suggest potential irritation effects of the palatal expander on clinical indices of periodontal health, as also demonstrated for fixed orthodontic brackets [18].

In particular, in Group I the increase of values from t_0 to t_1 regarding PI and PBI (0.9) was more pronounced than in Group II.

In addition, in the Group I, the differences between the averages of the PPD from t_0 to t_1 were both statistically significant for the left and the right molars (PPD: 2.9; p <0.05) (Table 3).

	Group I			Group II			Difference between the 2 groups at T1	Difference between the 2 groups at T2		
	т0	T1	Differences between T0 and T1	T2	то	T1	Differences between T0 and T1	T2		
PI	0	0.9	t:9; p < 0.001	0.5	0	0.7	t:7; p < 0.001	0.5	NS	NS
PBI	0	0.9	t:9; p < 0.001	0.3	0	0.7	t:7; p < 0.001	0.3	NS	NS
PPD 1.6	2.5	2.9	t:2.6; p < 0.05	2.9	2.4	2.4	NS	2.9	NS	NS
PPD 2.6	2.5	2.9	t:2.6; p < 0.05	3	2.5	2.4	NS	2.8	NS	NS

Table 3 Main results of periodontal indices

PI: plaque index (Silness e Loe) [16] [13].

PBI: papillary bleeding index (Saxer e Muhlemann) [17] [14].

PPD: probing pocket depth (mm).

T0: 7 days after the periodontal prophylaxis (at the beginning of the active orthodontic therapy).

T1: after 20 days of active therapy.

T2: after 5 months of retention therapy.

On the contrary, in Group II, at t_1 the PPD did not increase in both the right and the left molars, and there was also a slight decrease of the relative average PPD value of the left molar, from 2.5 to 2.4.

Although these differences, the periodontal conditions remained in the periodontal health "range" at t_1 in both the Groups.

These observations seemed to suggest a potentially more dangerous effects of the rapid expansion (Group I) respect to slow expansion (Group II).

This is in accordance with studies about biological responses of these appliances, which suggested that the application of slow palatal expansion in areas of periosteal growth allows normal arch dimensions to develop at any age without undue tipping of the abutment teeth [19,20] potentially avoiding periodontal dangerous effects on the abutment teeth.

This has also been demonstrated for a tissue-borne, fixed, acrylic plate appliance used for RPE, respect to a quad-helix appliance used for SPE in another study [7], although the results of these two study are not directly comparable, because of the different employed appliances (acrylic or metal fixed plate used for RPE) and different recorded variables (clinical and radiographic variables).

In this study, the better state of periodontal health at t_1 observed in the Group II - emphasized by PPD of the left and right molars both equal to 2.4 – could be due to a greater number of controls during SPE, associated to the longer period of active therapy with SPE.

Results seem to mean that the SPE procedure provides for better monitoring of the clinical indices of periodontal health than the procedure of RPE. Therefore, during the RPE the clinician should pay more attention to the control of periodontal health through regular periodic monitoring, as also suggested in literature for other dental treatments [21].

At t_2 the data of periodontal indices (Table 3) of the two Groups nearly overlapped again, with slight differences, and were in the periodontal health "range" because in both the Groups the PI was 0.5 and the PBI was 0.3.

At t_2 the PPD of the right molar was the same in both groups and equal to 2.9. The PPD of the left molar in Group I was slightly higher (value 3) than in Group II (value 2.8).

Thus in conclusion, there were not important differences in the periodontal health after the retention between RPE and SPE.

The results indicate that both RPE and SPE exhibit minimal differences in periodontal condition after retention (t_2) , and the state of the periodontium is good in all groups, although a potential more dangerous effect of RPE during the active treatment. Although the average differences were clinically small, individual variations were evident among few patients subjected to a more pronounced periodontal breakdown in the central side of the first molars. Most of them in the group receiving RPE. Due to the small cohort of this study, it can be considered only a pilot study; thus certain clinical conclusions are not possible. In addition, as the attachment loss was not evaluated, it is not clear – on the base of these results - whether the appliance being in place for almost up to 3 months, would bring about gingival inflammation, potentially giving rise to a pseudo-pocket formation; this factor could have potentially affected the outcome of the study.

Finally, except for the type of the tested expansion therapy, none of the other examined orthodontic variables seemed to have an influence on the periodontal tissues.

Conclusions

According to the data discussed above, in view of the limits of the pilot study design, we can conclude that:

- periodontal prophylaxis appear successful in the control of periodontal health;
- the palatal expander seems to influence periodontal health: both rapid and slow expansion treatments present potential irritation effect (increase of plaque

and bleeding) on the periodontium, suggested by the significant increase of PI and PBI from t_0 to t_1 in both the two groups;

- the difference between the treatment of RPE and SPE during the active therapy (t₁), can be attributed with high probability to the greater number of clinical controls performed during the SPE treatment;
- there are no substantial differences in the long term
 (t₂) in the periodontal health, after the period of
 retention, between the treatment of RPE and SPE;
- there are no important advantages that can be unequivocally point to one of the two treatments in periodontal terms.
- PI, PBI and PPD can be used for measurement of periodontal status during the palatal expansion procedure;

The clinically relevant conclusion is that the palatal expansion procedure can affect periodontal health; therefore the clinician should pay more attention to the control of periodontal health through regular periodic monitoring.

Further related studies with a greater sample are recommended to better clarify these relationships.

Ethical approval

This protocol was approved by the Ethic Committee of the University of L'Aquila, in accordance with the principles of the D.Lgs. n. 200 del 6.11.2007, nel D.Lgs. n. 211 del 2003 e nel D.M. 12.5.2006 (Italian Law) with which the Legislator has regulated the establishment of ethics committees for clinical trials of medicinal products, and excluded from the scope of this legislation the so-called non-interventional drug trials (or observational studies), and the experimentation on the People that do not involve the use of drugs or involving human biological materials (tissues, cells, etc.). For protocols like this - noninterventional drug trials (or observational studies) the Ethics Committee of the University of L'Aquila has recently instituted an apposite section called "Ethics Committee of the University for the evaluation of epidemiological and non-pharmacological observational studies" who gave positive think about the protocol (DR 206/2013, sit 16-7-2013).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution

SM organized the protocol of research; EM coordinated the recording of data; FA helped in the recording of data; VC wrote and organized the manuscript; FP helped in the recording of data; SDM helped in the recording of data; ST organized the data, made the statistical analysis, the analysis of results and wrote the manuscript; and GM wrote and organized the manuscript and the interpretation of results. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge Miss. L. Rossi for the revision of the english language.

Author details

¹Department MeSVA, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy. ²Unità Operativa Semplice Dipartimentale di Pronto Soccorso Odontoiatrico, con annessa Unità di Odontoiatria Conservativa, University of Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy. ³University Vita-Salute San Raffaele, Via Olgettina 60, 20132, Milano, Italy.

Received: 4 February 2014 Accepted: 4 August 2014 Published: 15 August 2014

References

- Marsh PD: Are dental diseases examples of ecological catastrophes? Microbiology 2003, 149:279–294.
- Bishara SE, Staley RN: Maxillary expansion: clinical implications. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1987, 91:3–14.
- Grieve WG 3rd, Johnson GK, Moore RN, Reinhardt RA, DuBois LM: Prostaglandin E (PGE) and interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 beta) levels in the gingival crevicular fluid during human orthodontic tooth movement. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1994, 105:369–374.
- Ousehal L, Lazrak L, Es-Said R, Hamdoune H, Elquars F, Khadija A: Evaluation of dental plaque control in patients wearing fixed orthodontic appliances: a clinical study. Int Orthod 2011, 9(1):140–155.
- Rosa M, Cozzani M: Espansione rapida del mascellare superiore nel rispetto del parodonto. Milano, Italy: Schede di aggiornamento S.I.D.O; 1995.
- Tzannetou S, Efstratiadis S, Nicolay O, Gribic J, Lamster I: Comparison of levels of inflammatory mediators IL-1 and G in gingival crevicular fluid from molars, premolars, and incisors during rapid palatal expansion. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2008, 133(5):699–707.
- 7. Greenbaum KR, Zachrisson BU: **The effect of palatal expansion therapy on the periodontal supporting tissues.** *Am J Orthod* 1982, **81**(1):12–21.
- Garlet TP, Coelho U, Repeke CE, Silva JS, Cunha Fde Q, Garlet GP: Differential expression of osteoblast and osteoclast chemmoatractants in compression and tension sides during orthodontic movement. *Cytokine* 2008, 42(3):330–335.
- Ro R, Oliveira CA, dos Santos-Pinto A, Jordan SF, Zambon JJ, Cirelli JA, Haraszthy VI: Clinical and microbiological studies of children and adolescents receiving orthodontic treatment. *Am J Dent* 2010, 23(6):317–323.
- 10. Zachrisson BU: Clinical Implications of recent orthodontic-periodontic research findings. *Semin Orthod* 1996, **2**(1):4–12.
- Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K, Umezu K, Kois JC: Dimen- sions of peri-implant mucosa: an evaluation of maxillary anterior single implants in humans. *J Periodontol* 2003, 74(4):557–562.
- 12. Esfahrood ZR, Kadkhodazadeh M, Talebi Ardakani MR: *Gingival biotype: a review.* Gen Dent; 2013:14–17. www.agd.org.
- Rosa M: Expansão rapida da maxilla na dentizão mista sem incluir os dentes permanentes: indicazoes momento oportuno. *Rev Clin Ortod Dental Press* 2011, 10(5):106–118.
- 14. Cozzani M, Rosa M, Cozzani P, Siciliani G: Deciduous dentition-anchored rapid maxillary expansion in cross bite and non-cross bite mixed dentition patients: reaction of the permanent first molar. *Prog Orthod* 2003, 4(2):15–22.
- Huynh T, Kennedy DB, Joondeph DR, Bollen AM: Treatment response and stability of slow maxillary expansion using Haas, hyrax, and quad-helix appliances: a retrospective study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2009, 136:331–339.
- Silness J, Loe H: Periodontal disease in pregnancy. II. correlation between oral hygiene and periodontal condition. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1964, 22:121–135.
- 17. Saxer UP, Muhlemann HR: Motivation and Education. SSO Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnheilkd 1975, 85(9):905–919.
- Mummolo S, Marchetti E, Giuca MR, Gallusi G, Tecco S, Gatto R, Marzo G: In-office bacteria test for a microbial monitoring during the conventional and self-ligating orthodontic treatment. *Head Face Med* 2013, 9:7.
- McAndrew JR: *The continuous force control system*. Carlsbad, CA: Lancer Technical Report, Lancer Pacific; 1985.

- Hicks EP: Slow maxillary expansion: A clinical study of the skeletal vs. dental response to low magnitude force. Am J Orthod 1978, 73:121–141.
- Marchetti E, Monaco A, Procaccini L, Mummolo S, Gatto R, Tetè S, Baldini A, Tecco S, Marzo G: Periodontal disease: the influence of metabolic syndrome. Nutr Metab (Lond) 2012, 9(1):88.

doi:10.1186/1746-160X-10-30

Cite this article as: Mummolo *et al.*: Comparison between rapid and slow palatal expansion: evaluation of selected periodontal indices. *Head & Face Medicine* 2014 **10**:30.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

BioMed Central

(

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit