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Abstract
We study oscillatory properties of a class of second-order nonlinear neutral functional differential equations with distributed deviating arguments. On the basis of less restrictive assumptions imposed on the neutral coefficient, some new criteria are presented. Three examples are provided to illustrate these results.
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## 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with oscillation of the second-order nonlinear functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\int_{a}^{b} q(t, \xi)|x[g(t, \xi)]|^{\alpha-1} x[g(t, \xi)] \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \geq t_{0}>0, \alpha \geq 1$ is a constant, and $z:=x+p \cdot x \circ \tau$. Throughout, we assume that the following hypotheses hold:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \mathbb{I}:=\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), r, p \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}), r(t)>0$, and $p(t) \geq 0$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) q \in \mathrm{C}(\mathbb{I} \times[a, b],[0, \infty))$ and $q(t, \xi)$ is not eventually zero on any $\left[t_{\mu}, \infty\right) \times[a, b], t_{\mu} \in \mathbb{I}$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) g \in \mathrm{C}(\mathbb{I} \times[a, b],[0, \infty)), \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t, \xi)=\infty$, and $g(t, a) \leq g(t, \xi)$ for $\xi \in[a, b] ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad \tau \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}), \tau^{\prime}(t)>0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\infty$, and $g(\tau(t), \xi)=\tau[g(t, \xi)]$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \sigma \in \mathrm{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ is nondecreasing and the integral of (1.1) is taken in the sense of Riemann-Stieltijes.

By a solution of (1.1), we mean a function $x \in C\left(\left[t_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ for some $t_{x} \geq t_{0}$, which has the properties that $z \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), r\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime} \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$, and satisfies (1.1) on $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$. We restrict our attention to those solutions $x$ of (1.1) which exist on $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$ and satisfy $\sup \{|x(t)|: t \geq T\}>0$ for any $T \geq t_{x}$. A solution $x$ of (1.1) is termed oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions oscillate.

[^0]As is well known, neutral differential equations have a great number of applications in electric networks. For instance, they are frequently used in the study of distributed networks containing lossless transmission lines, which rise in high speed computers, where the lossless transmission lines are used to interconnect switching circuits; see [1]. Hence, there has been much research activity concerning oscillatory and nonoscillatory behavior of solutions to different classes of neutral differential equations, we refer the reader to [2-30] and the references cited therein.
In the following, we present some background details that motivate our research. Recently, Baculíková and Lacková [6], Džurina and Hudáková [12], Li et al. [15, 18], and Sun et al. [22] established some oscillation criteria for the second-order half-linear neutral differential equation

$$
\left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)|x(\delta(t))|^{\alpha-1} x(\delta(t))=0
$$

where $z:=x+p \cdot x \circ \tau$,

$$
0 \leq p(t)<1 \quad \text { or } \quad p(t)>1 .
$$

Baculíková and Džurina $[4,5]$ and Li et al. [17] investigated oscillatory behavior of a second-order neutral differential equation

$$
\left(r(t)(x(t)+p(t) x[\tau(t)])^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x[\sigma(t)]=0,
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq p(t) \leq p_{0}<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \tau^{\prime}(t) \geq \tau_{0}>0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ye and Xu [26] and Yu and Fu [27] considered oscillation of the second-order differential equation

$$
(x(t)+p(t) x(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\int_{a}^{b} q(t, \xi) x(g(t, \xi)) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)=0
$$

Assuming $0 \leq p(t)<1$, Thandapani and Piramanantham [23], Wang [24], Xu and Weng [25], and Zhao and Meng [30] studied oscillation of an equation

$$
\left(r(t)(x(t)+p(t) x(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\int_{a}^{b} q(t, \xi) f(x(g(t, \xi))) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)=0
$$

As yet, there are few results regarding the study of oscillatory properties of (1.1) under the conditions $p(t) \geq 1$ or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)=\infty$. Thereinto, Li and Thandapani [19] obtained several oscillation results for (1.1) in the case where (1.2) holds, $\sigma(\xi)=\xi$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{r^{1 / \alpha}(t)}=\infty . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the subsequent sections, we shall utilize the Riccati substitution technique and some inequalities to establish several new oscillation criteria for (1.1) assuming that (1.3) holds
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{r^{1 / \alpha}(t)}<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All functional inequalities are assumed to hold eventually, that is, they are satisfied for all $t$ large enough.

## 2 Main results

In what follows, we use the following notation for the convenience of the reader:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(t, \xi):=\min \{q(t, \xi), q(\tau(t), \xi)\}, \quad d_{+}(t):=\max \{0, d(t)\}, \\
& \phi(t):=\frac{\alpha p^{\prime}[h(t)] h^{\prime}(t)}{p[h(t)]}-\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}, \quad \zeta(t):=\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}+\phi(t), \\
& \varphi(t):=\left(\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}\right)^{\alpha+1}+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]\left(\zeta_{+}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}, \quad \text { and } \quad \delta(t):=\int_{\eta(t)}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h, \rho$, and $\eta$ will be specified later.

Theorem 2.1 Assume $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, (1.3), and let $g(t, a) \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}), g^{\prime}(t, a)>0, g(t, a) \leq t$, and $g(t, a) \leq \tau(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$. Suppose further that there exists a real-valued function $h \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $p[g(t, \xi)] \leq p[h(t)]$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$ and $\xi \in[a, b]$. If there exists a real-valued function $\rho \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I},(0, \infty))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \rho(s)\left[\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{r[g(s, a)] \varphi(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(g^{\prime}(s, a)\right)^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $x(t)>0, x[\tau(t)]>0$, and $x[g(t, \xi)]>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$ and $\xi \in[a, b]$. Then $z(t)>0$. Applying (1.1), one has, for all sufficiently large $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\int_{a}^{b} q(t, \xi) x^{\alpha}[g(t, \xi)] \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi) \\
& \quad+\int_{a}^{b} q(\tau(t), \xi) p^{\alpha}[h(t)] x^{\alpha}[g(\tau(t), \xi)] \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi) \\
& \quad+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}\left(r[\tau(t)]\left|z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the inequality (see [5, Lemma 1])

$$
(A+B)^{\alpha} \leq 2^{\alpha-1}\left(A^{\alpha}+B^{\alpha}\right), \quad \text { for } A \geq 0, B \geq 0, \text { and } \alpha \geq 1,
$$

the definition of $z, g(\tau(t), \xi)=\tau[g(t, \xi)]$, and $p[g(t, \xi)] \leq p[h(t)]$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}} \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) z^{\alpha}[g(t, \xi)] \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi) \\
& \quad+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}\left(r[\tau(t)]\left|z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\prime} \leq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_{1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $r\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing. Now we have two possible cases for the sign of $z^{\prime}$ : (i) $z^{\prime}<0$ eventually, or (ii) $z^{\prime}>0$ eventually.
(i) Assume that $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. Then we have by (2.3)

$$
r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t) \leq r\left(t_{2}\right)\left|z^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0, \quad t \geq t_{2}
$$

which yields

$$
z(t) \leq z\left(t_{2}\right)-r^{1 / \alpha}\left(t_{2}\right)\left|z^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \int_{t_{2}}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Then we obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=-\infty$ due to (1.3), which is a contradiction.
(ii) Assume that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. It follows from (2.2) and $g(t, \xi) \geq g(t, a)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}\left(r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}} z^{\alpha}[g(t, a)] \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi) \leq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We define a Riccati substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(t):=\rho(t) \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}}, \quad t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\omega(t)>0$. From (2.3) and $g(t, a) \leq t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}[g(t, a)] \geq(r(t) / r[g(t, a)])^{1 / \alpha} z^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(t)= & \rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}}+\rho(t) \frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}} \\
& -\alpha \rho(t) \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} z^{\alpha-1}[g(t, a)] z^{\prime}[g(t, a)] g^{\prime}(t, a)}{(z[g(t, a)])^{2 \alpha}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t)+\rho(t) \frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}}-\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we introduce another Riccati transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t):=\rho(t) \frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}}, \quad t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $v(t)>0$. From (2.3) and $g(t, a) \leq \tau(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}[g(t, a)] \geq(r[\tau(t)] / r[g(t, a)])^{1 / \alpha} z^{\prime}[\tau(t)] . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{\prime}(t)= & \rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}}+\rho(t) \frac{\left(r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}} \\
& -\alpha \rho(t) \frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha} z^{\alpha-1}[g(t, a)] z^{\prime}[g(t, a)] g^{\prime}(t, a)}{(z[g(t, a)])^{2 \alpha}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.9), (2.10), and (2.11), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} v(t)+\rho(t) \frac{\left(r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}}-\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.12), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{\prime}(t) & +\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} v^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq \rho(t) \frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}\left(r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[g(t, a)])^{\alpha}}+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t) \\
& -\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} v(t) \\
& -\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{\prime}(t)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} v^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\frac{\rho(t)}{2^{\alpha-1}} \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)+\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t) \\
& -\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} v(t) \\
& -\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the latter inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(t)-\omega\left(t_{2}\right)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} v(t)-\frac{p^{\alpha}\left[h\left(t_{2}\right)\right]}{\tau^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)} v\left(t_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq-\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{\rho(s)}{2^{\alpha-1}} \int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left[\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)} \omega(s)-\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(s, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(s) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(s, a)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(s)\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{p^{\alpha}[h(s)]}{\tau^{\prime}(s)}\left\{\left[\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}+\phi(s)\right]_{+} v(s)-\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(s, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(s) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(s, a)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(s)\right\} \mathrm{d} s . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A:=\left[\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]}\right]^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} \omega(t) \quad \text { and } \\
& B:=\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}\left[\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]}\right]^{-\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\right]^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} A B^{1 / \alpha}-A^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} B^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}, \quad \text { for } A \geq 0 \text { and } B \geq 0, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t)-\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r[g(t, a)]\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\left(\rho(t) g^{\prime}(t, a)\right)^{\alpha}} .
$$

On the other hand, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A:=\left[\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]}\right]^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} v(t) \quad \text { and } \\
& B:=\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \zeta_{+}(t)\left[\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]}\right]^{-\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\right]^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have by (2.14)

$$
\zeta_{+}(t) v(t)-\frac{\alpha g^{\prime}(t, a)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[g(t, a)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r[g(t, a)]\left(\zeta_{+}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1} \rho(t)}{\left(g^{\prime}(t, a)\right)^{\alpha}} .
$$

Thus, from (2.13), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(t) & -\omega\left(t_{2}\right)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} v(t)-\frac{p^{\alpha}\left[h\left(t_{2}\right)\right]}{\tau^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)} v\left(t_{2}\right) \\
\leq & -\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \rho(s)\left\{\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{r[g(s, a)]}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(g^{\prime}(s, a)\right)^{\alpha}}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[\left(\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}\right)^{\alpha+1}+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(s)]\left(\zeta_{+}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\tau^{\prime}(s)}\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} s,
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2.1). This completes the proof.

Assuming (1.2), where $p_{0}$ and $\tau_{0}$ are constants, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right),(1.2),(1.3)$, and let $g(t, a) \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}), g^{\prime}(t, a)>0, g(t, a) \leq$ $t$, and $g(t, a) \leq \tau(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$. If there exists a real-valued function $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I},(0, \infty))$ such
that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{\rho(s) \int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r[g(s, a)]\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\left(\rho(s) g^{\prime}(s, a)\right)^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof As above, let $x$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0$, (2.3), and (2.4) for all sufficiently large $t$. Using (1.2), (2.3), and (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}} z^{\alpha}[g(t, a)] \int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi) \leq 0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The remainder of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, and hence it is omitted.

Theorem 2.3 Suppose we have $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right),(1.3)$, and let $\tau(t) \leq t$ and $g(t, a) \geq \tau(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$. Assume also that there exists a real-valued function $h \in C^{1}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $p[g(t, \xi)] \leq$ $p[h(t)]$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$ and $\xi \in[a, b]$. If there exists a real-valued function $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I},(0, \infty))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \rho(s)\left[\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{r[\tau(s)] \varphi(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\tau^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $x(t)>0, x[\tau(t)]>0$, and $x[g(t, \xi)]>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$ and $\xi \in$ $[a, b]$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain (2.3) and (2.4). In view of (2.3), $r\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing. Now we have two possible cases for the sign of $z^{\prime}$ : (i) $z^{\prime}<0$ eventually, or (ii) $z^{\prime}>0$ eventually.
(i) Suppose that $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. Then, with a proof similar to the proof of case (i) in Theorem 2.1, we obtain a contradiction.
(ii) Suppose that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. We define a Riccati substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(t):=\rho(t) \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}}, \quad t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\omega(t)>0$. From (2.3) and $\tau(t) \leq t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}[\tau(t)] \geq(r(t) / r[\tau(t)])^{1 / \alpha} z^{\prime}(t) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(t)= & \rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}}+\rho(t) \frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}} \\
& -\alpha \rho(t) \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} z^{\alpha-1}[\tau(t)] z^{\prime}[\tau(t)] \tau^{\prime}(t)}{(z[\tau(t)])^{2 \alpha}} . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.18), (2.19), and (2.20), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t)+\rho(t) \frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}}-\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we introduce another Riccati substitution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t):=\rho(t) \frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}}, \quad t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $v(t)>0$. Differentiating (2.22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{\prime}(t)= & \rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}}+\rho(t) \frac{\left(r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}} \\
& -\alpha \rho(t) \frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha} z^{\alpha-1}[\tau(t)] z^{\prime}[\tau(t)] \tau^{\prime}(t)}{(z[\tau(t)])^{2 \alpha}} . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.22) and (2.23), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} v(t)+\rho(t) \frac{\left(r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}}-\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.21) and (2.24), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{\prime}(t)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} v^{\prime}(t) \leq & \rho(t) \frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}\left(r[\tau(t)]\left(z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{(z[\tau(t)])^{\alpha}}+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t) \\
& -\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} v(t) \\
& -\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.4) and $g(t, a) \geq \tau(t)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{\prime}(t)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} v^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\frac{\rho(t)}{2^{\alpha-1}} \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)+\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t) \\
& -\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} v(t) \\
& -\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the latter inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega(t) & -\omega\left(t_{2}\right)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} v(t)-\frac{p^{\alpha}\left[h\left(t_{2}\right)\right]}{\tau^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)} v\left(t_{2}\right) \\
\leq & -\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{\rho(s)}{2^{\alpha-1}} \int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left[\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)} \omega(s)-\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(s)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(s) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(s)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(s)\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{p^{\alpha}[h(s)]}{\tau^{\prime}(s)}\left\{\left[\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}+\phi(s)\right]_{+} v(s)-\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(s)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(s) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(s)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(s)\right\} \mathrm{d} s . \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A:=\left[\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]}\right]^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} \omega(t) \quad \text { and } \\
& B:=\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}\left[\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]}\right]^{-\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\right]^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using inequality (2.14), we have

$$
\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t)-\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]} \omega^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\left(\rho(t) \tau^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}} .
$$

On the other hand, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A:=\left[\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]}\right]^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} v(t) \quad \text { and } \\
& B:=\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \zeta_{+}(t)\left[\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]}\right]^{-\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\right]^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (2.14), we obtain

$$
\zeta_{+}(t) v(t)-\frac{\alpha \tau^{\prime}(t)}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\tau(t)]} v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(\zeta_{+}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1} \rho(t)}{\left(\tau^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}} .
$$

Thus, from (2.25), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(t) & -\omega\left(t_{2}\right)+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]}{\tau^{\prime}(t)} v(t)-\frac{p^{\alpha}\left[h\left(t_{2}\right)\right]}{\tau^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)} v\left(t_{2}\right) \\
\leq & -\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \rho(s)\left\{\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{r[\tau(s)]}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\tau^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[\left(\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}\right)^{\alpha+1}+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(s)]\left(\zeta_{+}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\tau^{\prime}(s)}\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} s,
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2.17). This completes the proof.

Assuming we have (1.2), where $p_{0}$ and $\tau_{0}$ are constants, we get the following result.

Theorem 2.4 Suppose we have $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right),(1.2)$, (1.3), and let $\tau(t) \leq t$ and $g(t, a) \geq \tau(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$. If there exists a real-valued function $\rho \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I},(0, \infty))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{\rho(s) \int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}}\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\right) \frac{r[\tau(s)]\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\left(\tau_{0} \rho(s)\right)^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof Assume again that $x$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0,(2.3)$, and (2.4) for all sufficiently large $t$. By virtue of (1.2),
(2.3), and (2.4), we have (2.16) for all sufficiently large $t$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3, and so it is omitted.

In the following, we present some oscillation criteria for (1.1) in the case where (1.4) holds.

Theorem 2.5 Suppose we have $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right),(1.2),(1.4)$, and $\operatorname{let} g(t, a) \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}), g^{\prime}(t, a)>0$, $g(t, a) \leq \tau(t) \leq t$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$, and $g(t, \xi) \leq g(t, b)$ for $\xi \in[a, b]$. Assume further that there exists a real-valued function $\rho \in C^{1}(\mathbb{I},(0, \infty))$ such that $(2.15)$ is satisfied. If there exists a realvalued function $\eta \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(t) \geq t, \eta(t) \geq g(t, b), \eta^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}} \delta^{\alpha}(s)-\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\delta(s) r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(s)]}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $x(t)>0, x[\tau(t)]>0$, and $x[g(t, \xi)]>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$ and $\xi \in[a, b]$. Then $z(t)>0$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get (2.2). By virtue of (1.1), we have (2.3). Thus, $r\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing. Now we have two possible cases for the sign of $z^{\prime}$ : (i) $z^{\prime}<0$ eventually, or (ii) $z^{\prime}>0$ eventually.
(i) Suppose that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. Then, by the proof of Theorem 2.2, we obtain a contradiction to (2.15).
(ii) Suppose that $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. It follows from (2.2), (2.3), and $g(t, \xi) \leq g(t, b)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(-r[\tau(t)]\left(-z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}} z^{\alpha}[g(t, b)] \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi) \leq 0 \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

We define the function $u$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t):=-\frac{r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{z^{\alpha}[\eta(t)]}, \quad t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u(t)<0$. Noting that $r\left(-z^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}$ is nondecreasing, we get

$$
z^{\prime}(s) \leq \frac{r^{1 / \alpha}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} z^{\prime}(t), \quad s \geq t \geq t_{2}
$$

Integrating this inequality from $\eta(t)$ to $l$, we obtain

$$
z(l) \leq z[\eta(t)]+r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \int_{\eta(t)}^{l} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)}
$$

Letting $l \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
0 \leq z[\eta(t)]+r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \delta(t) .
$$

That is,

$$
-\delta(t) \frac{r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)}{z[\eta(t)]} \leq 1
$$

Thus, we get by (2.29)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\delta^{\alpha}(t) u(t) \leq 1 . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we define another function $v$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t):=-\frac{r[\tau(t)]\left(-z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}}{z^{\alpha}[\eta(t)]}, \quad t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $v(t)<0$. Noting that $r\left(-z^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}$ is nondecreasing and $\tau(t) \leq t$, we get

$$
r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \geq r[\tau(t)]\left(-z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}
$$

Thus, $0<-v(t) \leq-u(t)$. Hence, by (2.30), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\delta^{\alpha}(t) v(t) \leq 1 \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.29), we obtain

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\left(-r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} z^{\alpha}[\eta(t)]+\alpha r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} z^{\alpha-1}[\eta(t)] z^{\prime}[\eta(t)] \eta^{\prime}(t)}{z^{2 \alpha}[\eta(t)]} .
$$

By (2.3) and $\eta(t) \geq t$, we have $z^{\prime}[\eta(t)] \leq(r(t) / r[\eta(t)])^{1 / \alpha} z^{\prime}(t)$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left(-r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\alpha}[\eta(t)]}-\alpha \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}(-u(t))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left(-r[\tau(t)]\left(-z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\alpha}[\eta(t)]}-\alpha \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}(-v(t))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.33) and (2.34), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime}(t)+\frac{p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} v^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{\left(-r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\alpha}[\eta(t)]}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} \frac{\left(-r[\tau(t)]\left(-z^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\alpha}[\eta(t)]} \\
& -\alpha \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}(-u(t))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}-\frac{\alpha p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}(-v(t))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} . \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.28), (2.35), and $g(t, b) \leq \eta(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime}(t)+\frac{p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} v^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\alpha \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}(-u(t))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \\
& -\frac{\alpha p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}(-v(t))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (2.36) by $\delta^{\alpha}(t)$ and integrating the resulting inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t) \delta^{\alpha}(t)-u\left(t_{2}\right) \delta^{\alpha}\left(t_{2}\right)+\alpha \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{\delta^{\alpha-1}(s) \eta^{\prime}(s) u(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(s)]} \mathrm{d} s+\alpha \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(s) \delta^{\alpha}(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(s)]}(-u(s))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} v(t) \delta^{\alpha}(t)-\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} v\left(t_{2}\right) \delta^{\alpha}\left(t_{2}\right)+\frac{\alpha p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{\delta^{\alpha-1}(s) \eta^{\prime}(s) v(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(s)]} \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\frac{\alpha p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(s) \delta^{\alpha}(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(s)]}(-v(s))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \mathrm{d} s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}} \delta^{\alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A:=-\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t) \delta^{\alpha}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}\right]^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} u(t) \quad \text { and } \\
& B:=\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \frac{\delta^{\alpha-1}(t) \eta^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t) \delta^{\alpha}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}\right]^{-\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\right]^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using inequality (2.14), we get

$$
\frac{\delta^{\alpha-1}(t) \eta^{\prime}(t) u(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}+\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t) \delta^{\alpha}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}(-u(t))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \geq-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\delta(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}
$$

Similarly, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A:=-\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t) \delta^{\alpha}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}\right]^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} v(t) \quad \text { and } \\
& B:=\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \frac{\delta^{\alpha-1}(t) \eta^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}\left[\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t) \delta^{\alpha}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}\right]^{-\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\right]^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have by (2.14)

$$
\frac{\delta^{\alpha-1}(t) \eta^{\prime}(t) v(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}+\frac{\eta^{\prime}(t) \delta^{\alpha}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}(-v(t))^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \geq-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(t)}{\delta(t) r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(t)]}
$$

Thus, from (2.30) and (2.32), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left[\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}} \delta^{\alpha}(s)-\left(1+\frac{p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\delta(s) r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(s)]}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad \leq u\left(t_{2}\right) \delta^{\alpha}\left(t_{2}\right)+\frac{p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}} v\left(t_{2}\right) \delta^{\alpha}\left(t_{2}\right)+1+\frac{p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2.27). This completes the proof.

With a proof similar to the proof of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 , we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.6 Suppose we have $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right),(1.2)$, (1.4), and let $\tau(t) \leq t, g(t, a) \geq \tau(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$, and $g(t, \xi) \leq g(t, b)$ for $\xi \in[a, b]$. Assume also that there exists a real-valued function $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{1}(\mathbb{I},(0, \infty))$ such that $(2.26)$ is satisfied. If there exists a real-valued function $\eta \in C^{1}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(t) \geq t, \eta(t) \geq g(t, b), \eta^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}$, and (2.27) holds, then (1.1) is oscillatory.

## 3 Applications and discussion

In this section, we provide three examples to illustrate the main results.
Example 3.1 Consider the second-order neutral functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(t)+x(t-2 \pi)]^{\prime \prime}+\int_{-\frac{5 \pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} x[t+\xi] \mathrm{d} \xi=0, \quad t \geq 10 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha=1, a=-5 \pi / 2, b=\pi / 2, r(t)=1, p(t)=1, \tau(t)=t-2 \pi, q(t, \xi)=1, g(t, \xi)=t+\xi, \sigma(\xi)=$ $\xi$, and $\rho(t)=1$. Then $Q(t, \xi)=\min \{q(t, \xi), q(\tau(t), \xi)\}=1, g^{\prime}(t, a)=1, g(t, a)=t-5 \pi / 2 \leq t+\xi$ for $\xi \in[-5 \pi / 2, \pi / 2]$, and $g(t, a) \leq \tau(t) \leq t$. Moreover, letting $\tau_{0}=1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{\rho(s) \int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}}\left(1+\frac{p_{0}{ }^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\right) \frac{r[g(s, a)]\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\left(\rho(s) g^{\prime}(s, a)\right)^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad=3 \pi \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{10}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.2, (3.1) is oscillatory. As a matter of fact, one such solution is $x(t)=$ $\sin t$.

Example 3.2 Consider the second-order neutral functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(t)+t x(t-\beta)]^{\prime \prime}+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\xi+1}{t} x[t+\xi] \mathrm{d} \xi=0, \quad t \geq 1, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta \geq 0$ is a constant. Let $\alpha=1, a=0, b=1, r(t)=1, p(t)=t, \tau(t)=t-\beta, q(t, \xi)=(\xi+$ $1) / t, g(t, \xi)=t+\xi, \sigma(\xi)=\xi$, and $\rho(t)=1$. Then $Q(t, \xi)=\min \{q(t, \xi), q(\tau(t), \xi)\}=(\xi+1) / t$, $g(t, a)=g(t, 0)=t \leq t+\xi$ for $\xi \in[0,1], \tau(t)=t-\beta \leq t$, and $g(t, a) \geq \tau(t)$ for $t \geq 1$. Further, setting $h(t)=t+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(t)=\frac{\alpha p^{\prime}[h(t)] h^{\prime}(t)}{p[h(t)]}-\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}=\frac{1}{t+1}, \\
& \zeta(t)=\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}+\phi(t)=\frac{1}{t+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\varphi(t)=\left(\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}\right)^{\alpha+1}+\frac{p^{\alpha}[h(t)]\left(\zeta_{+}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}=\frac{1}{t+1} .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \rho(s)\left[\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{r[\tau(s)] \varphi(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\tau^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\xi+1}{s} \mathrm{~d} \xi-\frac{1}{4(s+1)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1}^{t}\left[\frac{3}{2 s}-\frac{1}{4(s+1)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (3.2) is oscillatory due to Theorem 2.3.

Example 3.3 Consider the second-order neutral functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[t^{2}(x(t)+p(t) x(t-\beta))^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\int_{0}^{1}(\xi+1) x[t+\xi] \mathrm{d} \xi=0, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq p(t) \leq p_{0}, p_{0}$ and $\beta$ are positive constants. Let $\alpha=1, a=0, b=1, r(t)=t^{2}$, $\tau(t)=t-\beta, q(t, \xi)=\xi+1, g(t, \xi)=t+\xi, \sigma(\xi)=\xi, \rho(t)=1$, and $\eta(t)=t+1$. Then $Q(t, \xi)=$ $\min \{q(t, \xi), q(\tau(t), \xi)\}=\xi+1, \tau_{0}=1, g(t, a)=g(t, 0)=t \leq t+\xi$ for $\xi \in[0,1], \tau(t)=t-\beta \leq t$, $g(t, a) \geq \tau(t)$ for $t \geq 1$, and $\delta(t)=1 / t$. Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{\rho(s) \int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}}\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\right) \frac{r[\tau(s)]\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\left(\tau_{0} \rho(s)\right)^{\alpha}}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad=\frac{3}{2} \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{\int_{a}^{b} Q(s, \xi) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\xi)}{2^{\alpha-1}} \delta^{\alpha}(s)-\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\eta^{\prime}(s)}{\delta(s) r^{1 / \alpha}[\eta(s)]}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1+p_{0}}{4}\right) \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s+1}=\infty, \quad \text { if } p_{0}<5
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.6, (3.3) is oscillatory when $0 \leq p(t) \leq p_{0}<5$.

Remark 3.1 In this paper, we establish some new oscillation theorems for (1.1) in the case where $p$ is finite or infinite on $\mathbb{I}$. The criteria obtained extend the results in [22] and improve those reported in [19]. Similar results can be presented under the assumption that $0<\alpha \leq 1$. In this case, using [5, Lemma 2], one has to replace $Q(t, \xi):=$ $\min \{q(t, \xi), q(\tau(t), \xi)\}$ with $Q(t, \xi):=2^{\alpha-1} \min \{q(t, \xi), q(\tau(t), \xi)\}$ and proceed as above. It would be interesting to find another method to investigate (1.1) in the case where $g(\tau(t), \xi) \not \equiv \tau[g(t, \xi)]$.
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