

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Maternal and Congenital cytomegalovirus infection and zero rubella IgM prevalence in newborns in St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College

Yeshwondm Mamuye^{1*}, Balkachew Nigatu², Delayehu Bekele² and Mekonen Getahun³

Abstract

Background: Maternal cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Rubella infections result in adverse neonatal outcomes. Both CMV and Rubella are more widespread in developing countries and in communities with lower socioeconomic status. Thus, the aim of this study was to determine IgM specific to CMV and *Rubella* among newborns and Maternal CMV-seroprevalence and to identify risk factors.

Method and finding: Using cross sectional study design a total of 312 (156 newborns and 156 mothers) study participants were recruited by simple random sampling technique from gynecology outpatient department (OPD) and ward, starting from April 1, 2015 to June 30, 2015. Cord and venous blood samples were collected from all participants and structured questionnaire was introduced to gather risk factor related data. ELISA was used to detect CMV and Rubella-IgM. SPSS version 20 was used to analyze the data, and regression analysis was also performed. Out of 156 newborns, 2 [1.3 %; 95 % CI: 0.0–3.8] were positive for CMV—IgM and no single rubella was detected. Association was not computed between risk related variables and cytomegalovirus infected newborns due to the low positivity rate. Multiple independent predictors were found between maternal CMV-IgM and Obstetrical characteristics. Cytomegalovirus—IgM was significantly isolated from mothers with history of transfusion (25.0 %, OR 0.09, 95 % CI 0.0–0.3, $P = 0.006$), history of abortion (OR 0.02, 95 % CI 0.0–0.6, $P = 0.023$), HIV sero-status (OR 5.0, 95 % CI 1.5–15.8, $P = 0.034$), and multi parity (OR 0.08, 95 % CI 0.01–0.7, $P = 0.022$).

Conclusion: Although low congenital CMV and no Rubella are reported among newborns, more effort is needed to screen for congenital infectious viral disease as well as usage of advanced techniques should be taken into consideration.

Keywords: Congenital, Cytomegalovirus, Rubella, Ethiopia

Background

Human *cytomegalovirus* (CMV) is a member of the family Herpesviridae and belongs to the subfamily betaherpesviridae. CMV has worldwide distribution, infects humans of all ages and all socioeconomic groups, and with no seasonal or epidemic patterns of transmission [1]. It is the most common cause of con-genital infection

with birth prevalence of about a range of 0.2–2.5 percent, and a common cause of deafness and intellectual impairment worldwide [2–4].

In *utero* transmission of CMV can occur following primary maternal infection during pregnancy but can also occur in women with natural immunity, either because of the reactivation of latent virus or by re-infection with a different strain [5]. Postnatally, CMV is also transmitted from mother to child through breastfeeding and close contact [6]. The transmission risk is the proportion of mothers undergoing a primary infection in a given

*Correspondence: y_mamuye@yahoo.com

¹ Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Parasitology, St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, P.O.Box 1271, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

trimester and/or the preconception period who transmitted CMV to the fetus [7].

Maternal infection especially during the first trimester associated with adverse neonatal outcome which encompass heart disease, cataract and deafness collectively known as congenital rubella syndrome which had a major neonatal morbidity and burden to families [8]. Although, incidence of rubella infection is reduced worldwide, some African countries like Mozambique still have a high incidence (95.3 %) [9, 10]. Rubella vaccine is cost-effective and cost-beneficial, therefore since year 2000 WHO proposed an introduction of rubella vaccine program in each country [11].

Cytomegalovirus is one of the most common causes of congenital infections; however, in Ethiopia CMV infection rate among newborn is yet undetermined and this might worsen the outcome of the disease.

The aim of the study was to determine IgM specific to CMV and Rubella in newborns who delivered at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College. The recognition of congenital CMV and *Rubella* among newborns in the country, helps to develop effective prevention and treatment protocols. Therefore, this study adds an important input on CMV burden data to design appropriate control measures for Ethiopian mothers and children. This study also, highlights improving awareness to clinicians and creating a public concern for the communities.

Methods

A cross sectional study was conducted at St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College (SPHMMC), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. It is located in an urban setting and serves as both a tertiary hospital for Ethiopia and teaching hospital for national and international students. The hospital provides out and in patient services with a total of 372 beds. Accordingly, patients being seen at SPHMMC came from all over Ethiopia. The sample size for the study was calculated using the formula $(n = (z\alpha/2)^2 p(1-p)/d^2)$ for estimating a single population proportion at 95 % confidence interval (CI) ($Z\alpha/2 = 1.96$), 5 % margin of error, and 10 % non-respondents rate based on IgM specific prevalence of CMV from a study in Sub-Saharan region among infants 3.8 % [12]. Then a total of 56 sample size was calculated. However, by considering non respondent rate and design effect, the total number of newborn were increased to 156. Therefore, 156 mothers were recruited using simple random sampling technique.

Data collection

Cord and venous blood samples were collected from selected study participants, who gave birth in gynecology and obstetrics clinic, starting from April 1, 2015 to June 30, 2015. Serum from cord samples were collected

under aseptic conditions, and transported using ice-box to Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI) and examined for the presence of CMV and *Rubella* specific IgM. Maternal serum samples were screened for CMV-IgG and CMV-IgM in St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College clinical chemistry department.

Standard structured questionnaires were designed to collect information regarding socio-demographics characteristics of the mother and risk related (weight, height, head circumference of the new born and maternal HIV sero-status, HBsAg, blood transfusion history,, history of abortion frequency of pregnancy and number of under five children) data. The questionnaire was first developed in English and translated into local language, Amharic. Questions were pre-tested in non-selected health institutions to assess the content validity, appropriateness, and question comprehensibility. The questionnaire was revised accordingly. Three data collectors from the institution in the study area were selected. Training was given to the data collectors for two day on how to conduct the interview, content of the questionnaire, data quality, and ways to approach respondents. The first author checked the questionnaires for completeness every day. Incomplete questionnaires were excluded. Five percent of the interviewed participants were randomly selected and re-interviewed by the first author.

Laboratory method

Cord blood was screened for CMV-specific IgM using the ELISA test kits (Diagnostic Automation, Inc., USA) according to manufacturer's guideline. Briefly, purified CMV antigen is coated on the surface of micro wells. Participant's serum was then added to wells. If antibody is there in the serum, then it would bind to the antigen that is coated on the well. All unbound materials are washed away and an enzyme conjugate is added to the well. The conjugate, then binds to the antibody-antigen complex. Excess enzyme conjugate is washed off and TMB Chromogenic Substrate is added. Intensity of the color generated by the bound conjugate is proportional to the amount of IgM specific antibody present in the sample. Results are then read by a micro-well reader compared in a parallel manner with calibrator and controls. Quantitative analysis for CMV and *Rubella* specific IgM (Siemens, Germany) were performed, and the assay result interpreted as IU/mL. The manufacturer's instructions were followed for the cutoff points, which was <1.1 IU/mL for CMV IgG and IgM. Results <1.0 OD value was considered negative for *Rubella* IgM. Whereas, maternal venous blood was analyzed by using Elecsys (cobas e 411) Roche reagent screened for CMV specific for IgG and IgM.

Data analysis

The data were entered (with double entry) and cleaned with *Epidata version 3.1*, and analyzed using *SPSS version 20*. Statistical significance was considered when P value <0.05 . newborn CMV specific IgM and maternal CMV-IgG & IgM prevalence was determined by dividing the number of infected individuals by the total number of individuals screened for CMV infection. Frequency distribution tables were used to quantify participant's age range, gestation, occupation, parity and risk factors of CMV positivity rate. Newborns that had positive result for CMV specific IgM infection were low in number and therefore, a regression was not computed.

However, logistic regression analysis was used to quantify the effect of different clinical and obstetrical related risk factors on maternal CMV seroprevalence. 95 % confidence intervals were calculated for odds ratio. Values were considered statistically significant when P -value <0.05 .

Results

Socio demographic characteristics

A total of 312 study participants (156 infants and 156 mothers) were enrolled. Eighty-one male and 75 female newborns with a mean and standard deviation of weight in gram, height, and circumference in cm, 3.0 ± 0.6 , 41.9 ± 6.87 , and 32.7 ± 3.1 respectively. The mean age of the mothers was 26.2 ± 5.0 , with age range of 18–45. Of 156 study participants, 48.7 % were under 25. The majority of the participants (46.2 %) had at least secondary education. The majority were married (99.4 %) and 82.7 % were housewives (Table 1).

Congenital and maternal cytomegalovirus infection

Overall, zero *rubella* and 2/156 [1.3 %; 95 % CI: 0.0–3.8] recent *cytomegalovirus* (CMV-IgM) infection was found among newborns. Out of the total 156 mothers 149 [95.5 %; 95 % CI: 92.3–98.7] had positive result for anti-CMV-IgG antibodies and 8 (5.5 %; 95 % CI: 1.3–9.0) were positive for CMV-IgM. Seven (4.5 %) mothers negative for CMV. These were categorized into four types of responses. The first category was CMV-IgM positive newborns, most likely primary infection. The second category had previous exposure to CMV [IgG (+) plus IgM (-)]. This constituted 90.4 % of the women. The third group was those with active (primary/latent) infection [IgG (+) plus IgM (+)] and this consisted of 5.1 % mothers. The last category of women was those who had sero-negative [IgG (-) plus IgM (-)] test result (Table 2).

Risk factors

For analysis between risk factors and congenital cytomegalovirus infection, regression was not done due to less number of positive newborns.

Whereas, cytomegalovirus recent infection was significantly isolated from mothers with history of transfusion (25.0 %, OR 0.09, 95 % CI 0.0–0.3, $P = 0.006$) than have not been transfused. Mothers who had no transfusion history were 91 % odds less likely to acquire cytomegalovirus infection. History of abortion was also one of an independent risk factor (OR 0.02, 95 % CI 0.0–0.6, $P = 0.023$), in which, mothers with history of abortion were 98 % odds less likely to acquire cytomegalovirus infection. HIV positive mothers were 5.0 times having odds of Cytomegalovirus infection than sero-negative mothers (OR 5.0, 95 % CI 1.5–15.8, $P = 0.034$). Furthermore, Cytomegalovirus infection was significantly more prevalent in mothers who are in multi parity stage than null parity (OR 0.08, 95 % CI 0.01–0.7, $P = 0.022$) (Table 3).

Cytomegalovirus infection among the mothers: 48.7 % were between age groups of ≤ 25 , 46.8 % were between 26–30, and 4.5 % were above 32. The infection prevalence among age groups ≤ 30 , and ≥ 31 were 85.2 % (OR 2.3, 95 % CI 0.03–2.3), and 14.8 % respectively. However, based on the logistic regression analysis no statically significant variables were found. There was no significant association detected between CMV sero-prevalence and maternal educational, and occupation status (Table 4).

Discussion

The seroprevalence of CMV varies according to studies conducted in different parts of the world. But, it has been reported that 0.2 to 2 % of live birth have congenital CMV infection, considering CMV as the leading cause of congenital infections worldwide [13–15]. The fetus is at risk of acquiring CMV infection either through intrauterine or during delivery. Intrauterine transmission of CMV infection may occur following either primary or recurrent infection [16, 17]. Involvement of central nervous system (CNS), including late central nervous system sequelae, primarily sensory neuronal deafness is the most important clinical manifestation in 10–20 % of such CMV congenital infected infants [18]. Screening of mothers for CMV and early diagnosis play an important role to minimize CMV congenital infection and its serious consequences. However, to our knowledge this is the first data in Ethiopia concerning epidemiology of congenital CMV and *rubella* among newborns.

The present study showed that *Cytomegalovirus* specific IgM was detected in 1.3 % of the newborns in their umbilical cord. CMV-IgG antibodies evaluation in umbilical cord blood was not performed because of the absence of a discriminative test to identify congenitally infected newborns. This might be due to the high prevalence of CMV seropositivity in developing countries [19–21], and the possibility of IgG antibodies transmission from mothers to fetuses [22].

Table 1 Distributions of Cytomegalovirus infection along with maternal (n = 312) demographic characteristics, St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, 2015

Characteristics		Seroprevalence		Total n (%)
		Positive n (%)	Negative n (%)	
Sex newborn	Male	NA	NA	81 (51.9)
	Female	NA	NA	75 (48.1)
Income ethiopian birr	+1500			81 (51.9)
	1500–2500			55 (35.3)
	>2500			20 (12.8)
Maternal age	+25	73 (49.0)	3 (42.9)	76 (48.7)
	26–35	69 (46.3)	4 (57.1)	73 (46.8)
	36+	7 (4.7)	0	7 (4.5)
Marital status	Married	148 (99.3)	7 (100.0)	155 (99.4)
	Others	1 (0.7)	0	1 (0.6)
Educational	Illiterate	32 (21.5)	2 (28.6)	34 (21.8)
	Primary	41 (27.5)	0	41 (26.3)
	Secondary	67 (45.0)	5 (71.4)	72 (46.2)
	Higher education	9 (6.0)	0	9 (5.8)
Occupation	Housewife	123 (82.6)	6 (85.7)	129 (82.7)
	Other	26 (17.4)	1 (14.3)	27 (17.3)
Blood transfusion history	Yes	10 (6.7)	0	10 (6.4)
	No	139 (93.3)	7 (100.0)	146 (93.6)
HIV	Positive	2 (1.3)	0	2 (1.3)
	Negative	147 (98.7)	7 (100.0)	154 (98.7)
Under five children in the household	None	87 (58.4 %)	3(42.9)	90 (57.7)
	1–2	59 (39.6)	3 (42.9)	62 (39.7)
	3+	3 (2.0)	1 (14.3)	4 (2.6)
History of abortion	Yes	43 (28.9)	2 (28.6)	46 (29.5)
	No	106 (71.1)	5 (71.4)	110 (70.5)
Parity	+1	62 (41.6)	2 (28.6)	64 (41.0)
	2–4	80 (53.7)	5 (71.4)	85 (54.5)
	5+	7 (4.7)	0	7 (4.5)

Table 2 Seroprevalence of CMV-specific IgG and IgM antibodies among newborn and their mother (n = 312) in St. Paul's Hospital millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, 2015

Immune response	Number %	Interpretation
IgM(+) newborn	2 (1.3)	Recent infection
IgG(+) IgM(−) mother	141 (90.4)	Previous exposure
IgG(+) IgM(+) mother	8 (5.1)	Active (primary/latent) infection
IgG(−) IgM(−) mother	7 (4.5)	Susceptible for primary infection

Our finding was comparable with other studies; 1.1 % in Brazil [23], 2 % in Havana, Cuba [24], 2 % in Iran [25]. However, it was in contrast to other findings; 0.1 % in Turkey [26], 0.9 % in Thailand [27], 0.9 % in Korea [28], 17.8 % in Egypt [29], 21.6 % in India [30], 18.7 % in Delhi [31], 4.8 % in Finland [32]. A higher frequency of CMV

IgM detection (30 %) is normally found in newborns of mothers with poor obstetrical history or abnormalities during pregnancy [33]. Our findings differ from this observation, because the study participants were among healthy/asymptomatic newborns.

The relationship between maternal and fetal/neonatal infection has been mentioned that pregnant patients with active CMV infection were at risk of having congenitally infected children when compared with those in whom viral activity was not detected during pregnancy [24].

As the IgM does not cross the placental barrier, the IgM obtained in cord serum samples could originated from an active CMV infection occurring in babies during pregnancy or delivery. It is generally understood that pregnant women infected with CMV can transmit this infection during pregnancy, and risk is higher for a mother with primary infection (5–15 %) than for one with non-primary infection (<2 %) [34]. However this

Table 3 Association of maternal CMV—IgM with Obstetrical, socio-demographical and clinical characteristic of the mother (n = 156) in SPHMMC, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 2015

Characteristics	CMV-IgM status		COR (95 % CI)	P value	AOR (95 % CI)	P value
	Positive n (%)	Negative n (%)				
Age						
+25	2 (25.0)	74 (50.0)	14.8 (1.7–128)	0.014	1.8 (0.1–32.0)	0.81
26–35	4 (50.0)	69 (46.6)	6.9 (1.01–47.0)	0.049	1.7 (0.13–23.9)	0.83
36+	2 (25.0)	5 (3.4)	1		1	
Income						
+1500	2 (25.0)	79 (53.4)	2.1 (0.2–24.1)	0.56	1.36 (0.1–18.1)	0.82
1500–2500	5 (62.5)	50 (33.8)	0.5 (0.1–4.8)	0.57	0.5 (0.05–5.2)	0.57
>2500	1 (12.5)	19 (12.8)	1		1	
Educational status						
Illiterate	3 (37.5)	31 (20.9)	2.9 (0.4–21)	0.30	0.8 (0.034–21.2)	0.90
Primary	1 (12.5)	40 (27.0)	11.4 (0.9–143)	0.06	8.7 (0.3–247.5)	0.20
Secondary	3 (37.5)	69 (46.6)	10 (1.2–82.3)	0.03	15.7 (0.5–485.0)	0.12
Higher education	1 (12.5)	8 (5.4)	1		1	
Occupation						
Housewife	6 (75.0)	123 (83.1)	1		1	
Other	2 (25.0)	25 (16.9)	0.6 (0.12–3.2)	0.60	0.6 (0.12–3.2)	0.60
Blood transfusion history						
Yes	2 (25.0)	8 (5.4)	5.8 (1.02–33.6)	0.048	0.09 (0.0–0.3)	0.006
No	6 (75.0)	140 (94.6)	1		1	
HIV-sero status						
Positive	1 (12.5)	1 (0.7)	0.048 (0.003–0.8)	0.038	5.0 (1.5–15.8)	0.034
Negative	7 (87.5)	147 (99.3)	1		1	
Under five children in the household						
None	4 (50.0 %)	86 (58.1)	1			
1–2	3 (37.5)	59 (39.9)	0.92 (0.2–4.2)	0.91		
3+	1 (12.5)	3 (2.0)	0.14 (0.01–1.6)	0.12		
History of abortion						
Yes	6 (75.0)	39 (26.4)	0.12 (0.02–0.6)	0.012	0.02 (0.0–0.6)	0.023
No	2 (25.0)	109 (73.6)	1		1	
Pregnancy frequency						
+1	1 (12.5)	63 (42.6)	1		1	
2–4	5 (62.5)	80 (54.0)	0.6 (0.12–3.7)	0.63	0.6 (0.12–3.7)	0.63
5+	2 (25.0)	5 (3.4)	0.08 (0.01–0.7)	0.022	0.08 (0.01–0.7)	0.022
Hearing loss						
Yes	1 (12.5)	1 (0.7)	0.05 (0.003–0.8)	0.038	0.01 (0.0–1.9)	0.08
No	7 (87.5)	147 (99.3)	1		1	

COR Crude Odds Ratio, AOR Adjusted Odds Ratio, CI Confidence Interval

relationship could not be assessed in our study, since we could not differentiate women by type of infection.

Although, IgM assay is still considered as a reasonable tool for congenital CMV infection diagnosis [35], it was reported that only 45–80 % of babies congenitally infected with CMV could be recognized by detection of IgM [36]. Thus, looking at polymerase chain reaction usage for accurate and effective diagnosis of this pathogen is unquestionable.

In many developing countries, the burden of CRS is under-estimated [37]. Also Ethiopia lacks information regarding the burden of CRS, thus intensive types of research is important for the decision to introduce rubella-containing vaccine in the national immunization program. In this study, *Rubella* specific IgM was not detected from cord blood samples. This is in contrast with another study where it was present in 2 % of cases in Sudan [38]. This might be because our research

Table 4 Association of Anti-CMV antibodies with Obstetrical, socio-demographical and clinical characteristic of the mother (n = 156) in SPHMMC, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 2015

Characteristics	CMV-IgG status		COR (95 %CI)	P value	AOR (95 % CI)	P value
	Positive n (%)	Negative n (%)				
Age						
+30	127 (85.2)	5 (71.4)	2.3 (0.42–12.6)	0.33	0.3 (0.03–2.3)	0.22
31+	22 (14.8)	2 (28.6)	1			
Income						
+1500	79 (53.0)	2 (28.6)	0.5 (0.04–5.6)	0.56	0.6 (0.1–7.5)	0.68
1500–2500	51 (34.2)	4 (57.1)	1.5 (0.16–14.2)	0.73	1.6 (0.16–16.5)	0.68
>2500	19 (12.8)	1 (14.3)	1		1	
Educational status						
Less than 8th grade	73 (49.0)	2 (28.6)	0.42(0.1–2.2)	0.30	0.4 (0.1–2.0)	0.25
More than 9th grade	76 (51.0)	5 (71.4)				
Occupation						
Housewife	123 (82.6)	6 (85.7)	1.3 (0.15–10.9)	0.83	1.8 (0.2–16.7)	0.61
Other	26 (17.4)	1 (14.3)	1			
Blood transfusion history						
Yes	10 (6.7)	0	NA			
No	139 (93.3)	7 (100.0)				
HIV						
Positive	2 (1.3)	0	NA			
Negative	147 (98.7)	7 (100.0)				
Under five children in the household						
None	87 (58.4 %)	3(42.9)	1			
1–2	59 (39.6)	3 (42.9)	1.5 (0.28–7.5)	0.64		
3+	3 (2.0)	1 (14.3)	9.7 (0.76–122.4)	0.08		
History of abortion						
Yes	43 (28.9)	2 (28.6)	0.9 (0.2–5.3)	0.99	0.8 (0.12–4.8)	0.78
No	106 (71.1)	5 (71.4)	1		1	
Pregnancy frequency						
+2	104 (41.6)	5 (71.4)	1		1	
3+	45 (53.7)	2 (28.6)	1.1 (0.2–5.8)	0.93	2.2 (0.24–20.3)	0.47

COR Crude Odds Ratio, AOR Adjusted Odds Ratio, CI Confidence Interval

was conducted among healthy/asymptomatic newborns, whereas the other study was on symptomatic children.

In this study the maternal prevalence of anti-CMV IgG was 95.5 %, which is comparable with other research findings in a similar setting [39] and different studies in developing countries such as; 77.3 % in Kenya [19], 97.5 % in Sudan [40], 96 % in Egypt [21], 92 % in Nigeria [41], and 87 % in Gambia [42].

Cytomegalovirus specific IgM has been detected from eight (5.1 %) mothers. This is in agreement with studies in other region; 4 % in Nigeria [41], 8.1 % in Kenya [19], 6 % in Sudan [40]. However, our finding is in contrast with other studies in similar setting [39] and 2.5 % in Iran [43], 1.7 % in Korea [44]. This might be because of high numbers of immune compromised participants and

toddlers, socio-economic and geographical distribution difference. HIV-infected women are often CMV seropositive and experience more frequent CMV recurrences with progressive immune impairment [45]. The risk for infant mortality is increased in HIV-CMV-coinfected infants, and there is accelerated progression of CNS disease in survivors, especially developmental delay and worsening motor deficits [7, 46].

Multiple risk factors were identified between CMV—IgM positivity rate and maternal characteristics. Cytomegalovirus—IgM was significantly detected from mothers with history of transfusion, history of abortion, multi parity and HIV sero-positive. Similarly, those risk factors were observed in different studies [19, 40, 45]. In the current study age and socio-economic status were not

significantly associated with CMV sero-positivity, while different studies suggest individuals with low income and elderly women were at higher risk of CMV-infection [47].

The limitations of our study include (i) the lack of long-term follow up data for infected infants; (ii) study design precluded inclusion of molecular technique and neonatal urine culture as a gold standard method; and (iii) the timing of maternal CMV seroconversion couldn't be assessed by early pregnancy serology.

Conclusion

In general, although this study showed low congenital Cytomegalovirus infection among newborns, there is high seroprevalence of CMV infection among mothers at our center; and is likely to be a reflection of the overall high prevalence among adult Ethiopians. These data might therefore; help to create awareness for clinicians in Ethiopia, and CMV associated complications should be taken into consideration during management of congenital related viral diseases. Future studies, including large scale surveillance throughout Ethiopia might be needed before national screening and universal prevention measures should be considered.

Although *Rubella* was not detected in this study, there is high number of individuals with congenital *Rubella* syndrome (CRS). Therefore, a large scale study should be conducted to recommend introduction of national *Rubella* immunization.

Additional file

Additional file 1. Questioners.

Authors' contributions

YM, Principal investigator of the study, study design, data collection, laboratory work, and data analysis; BN & DB, Co-investigator, study design and participate in clinical data collection; MG, laboratory work; and participating in study design; all authors contributed to the write up. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Parasitology, St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, P.O.Box 1271, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. ² Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, P.O.Box 1271, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. ³ Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, department of research directorate, vice provost and provost office for their unreserved co-operation in addition to providing the fund. We would like to say thank you for our colleague, who support us by providing *Rubella* kit. We are also thankful for all study participants for their willingness to be part of this study. Finally, we would not ignore the co-operation of Ethiopian public health institute for their collaboration.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The raw data is available from the corresponding author that will be accessible on reasonable request.

Ethical clearance

Ethical approval was obtained from St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College Institutional Review Board (IRB). Letter of permission was also obtained from St. Paul's hospital millennium medical college. Written and informed verbal consent was taken from study participants after clear explanation about the purpose, and aims of the project. The results were communicated to clinicians whose participants were studied.

Fund details

St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, under the Research directorate, fully funded the project. *Rubella* kit was sponsored by one of the co-author, Meknoen Getahun. The program was focused on five streams. Among them, maternal and child stream was one of the area to be funded and this paper was selected and sponsored by the organization.

Received: 27 January 2016 Accepted: 11 October 2016

Published online: 21 October 2016

References

- Casteels A, Naessens A, Gordts F, De Catte L, Bougateg A, Foulon W. Neonatal screening for congenital *cytomegalovirus* infections. *J Perinat Med*. 1999;27:116–21.
- Kenneson A, Cannon MJ. Review and meta-analysis of the epidemiology of congenital *cytomegalovirus* (CMV) infection. *Rev Med Virol*. 2007;17(4):253–76.
- Richard LH. Human *Cytomegalovirus*. In: Murray PR, editor. *Manual of clinical microbiology*. 10th ed. Washington DC: ASM Press; 2007. p. 1549–59.
- Rahav G, Gabbay R, Ornoy A, Shechtman S, Arnon J, Diav-Citrini O. Primary versus nonprimary *cytomegalovirus* infection during pregnancy, Israel. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007;13:1791–3.
- Wang C, Zhang X, Bialek S, Cannon MJ. Attribution of congenital *cytomegalovirus* infection to primary versus non-primary maternal infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52:e11–3. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq085.
- Cannon MJ, Hyde TB, Schmid DS. Review of *cytomegalovirus* shedding in bodily fluids and relevance to congenital *cytomegalovirus* infection. *Rev Med Virol*. 2011;21:240–55.
- Manicklal S, Emery VC, Lazzarotto T, Boppana SB, Gupta RK. The "Silent" global burden of congenital cytomegalovirus. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2013;26(1):86–102.
- Ojala P, Vesikari T, Elo O. Rubella during pregnancy as a cause of congenital hearing loss. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1973;98(5):395–401.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Progress toward control of rubella and prevention of congenital rubella syndrome—worldwide, 2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2010;59(40):1307–10.
- Barreto J, Sacramento I, Robertson SE, Langa J, de Gourville E, Wolfson L, et al. Antenatal rubella serosurvey in Maputo, Mozambique. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2006;11(4):559–64.
- Robertson SE, Featherstone DA, Gacic-Dobo M, Hersh BS. Rubella and congenital rubella syndrome: global update. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2003;14(5):306–15.
- Mwaanza N, Chilukutu L, Tembo J, Kabwe M, Musonda K, Kapasa M, et al. High rates of congenital cytomegalovirus infection linked with maternal HIV infection among neonatal admissions at a large referral center in Sub-Saharan Africa. *CID HIV/AIDS Microbiol*. 2013. doi:10.1093/cid/cit766.
- Demmler GJ. Summary of a workshop on surveillance for congenital cytomegalovirus disease. *Rev Infect Dis*. 1991;13:315–29.
- Brown HL, Abernathy MP. Cytomegalovirus infection. *Semin Perinatol*. 1998;22:260–6.
- Kumar ML, Nankervis GA, Gold E. Inapparent congenital cytomegalovirus infection: a follow-up study. *N Engl J Med*. 1973;198(288):1370–2.
- Ahlfors K, Ivarsson SA, Nilsson H. On the unpredictable development of congenital cytomegalovirus infection. A study in twins. *Early Hum Dev*. 1988;18(2–3):125–35.

17. Fowler KB, Stagno S, Pass RF, Britt WJ, Boll TJ, Alford CA. The outcome of congenital cytomegalovirus infection in relation to maternal antibody status. *N Engl J Med*. 1992;326:663–7.
18. Tooke PA, Anthony EA, Catherine SP. Cytomegalovirus prevalence in pregnant women: the influence of parity. *Arch Dis Child*. 1992;67:779–83.
19. Mainji Z, Nyamache AK. Seroprevalence of Cytomegalovirus (CMV) among pregnant women in Thika, Kenya. *BMC Res Notes*. 2014;7:794.
20. Khairi SI, Intisar KS, Enan KH, Ishag MY, Baraa AM, Ali YH. Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection among pregnant women at Omdurman Maternity Hospital, Sudan. *J Med. Lab Diagn*. 2013;4(4):45–9.
21. Nawawy A, Soliman AT, Azzouni O, Amer S, Karim MA, Demian S, et al. Maternal and neonatal prevalence of *toxoplasma* and *cytomegalovirus* (CMV) antibodies and hepatitis-B antigens in an Egyptian rural area. *J Trop Pediatr*. 1996;42(3):154–7.
22. Jaisson HI, Wallon M, Kurdi M, Thulliez P, Kahi S, Cozon G, et al. Congenital toxoplasmosis transitory negative serology. *Presse Med*. 2001;30:1001–4.
23. Marisa M, Aparecida Y, Rosangela M, Myriam L, Patricia F, et al. Birth prevalence and natural history of congenital cytomegalovirus infection in a highly seroimmune population. *CID*. 2009;49:522–8.
24. Festary A, Kourí V, Correa CB, Verdasquera D, Roig T, Couret MP. Cytomegalovirus and herpes simplex infections in mothers and newborns in a Havana maternity hospital. *MEDICC Rev*. 2015;17(1):29–34.
25. Monavari SH, Keyvani H, Kiasari BA, Mollaei H, Fazlalipour M, Vaziri MS, et al. Detection of cytomegalovirus (CMV) antibodies or DNA sequences from ostensibly healthy Iranian mothers and their neonates. *Int J Med Med Sci*. 2012;4(8):155–9.
26. Satılmış A, Gūra A, Ongun H, Mendilcioglu I, Çolak D, Oygür N. CMV seroconversion in pregnant and the incidence of congenital CMV infection. *Turkish J. Ped*. 2007;49(1):30–6.
27. Tantivanich S, Amarapa P, Suphadtanaphongs W, Siripanth C, Sawatmongkonkun W. Prevalence of congenital cytomegalovirus and *toxoplasma* antibodies in Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 2001;32(3):466–9.
28. Sohn YM, Park K, Lee CH, Han DG, Lee WY. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection in Korean population with very high prevalence of maternal immunity. *JKMD*. 1992;7(1):47–51.
29. Fouhil DF, Ata H, Khashab A. congenital cytomegalovirus infection among preterm and full-term newborn infants in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Egypt J Med Micro*. 2006;15(4):709–18.
30. Gandhoke I, Aggarwal R, Hussain SA, Pasha ST, Sethi P, Thakur S, et al. Congenital CMV infection; Diagnosis in symptomatic infants. *Indian J Med Microbiol*. 2009;27(3):222–5.
31. Gandhoke I, Aggarwal R, Lal S, Khare S. Congenital CMV infection in symptomatic infants in Delhi and surrounding area. *Indian J Pediatr*. 2006;73(12):1095–7.
32. Panhani S, Heinonen K. Screening for congenital cytomegalovirus infection among preterm infants born before the 34th gestational week in Finland. *Scand J Infect Dis*. 1994;26:375–8.
33. Kishore J, Misra R, Paisal A, Pradeep Y. Adverse reproductive outcome induced by Parvovirus B19 and TORCH infections in women with highrisk pregnancy. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2011;5(12):868–73.
34. Ornoy A. Fetal effects of primary and non-primary cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy: are we close to prevention? *Isr Med Assoc J*. 2007;9(5):398–401.
35. Melish ME, Hanshaw JB. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Developmental progress of infants detected by routine screen. *Am J Dis Child*. 1973;126:190–4.
36. Griffiths PD, Stagno S, Pass RF, Smith RJ, Alford CA. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection: diagnostic and prognostic significance of the detection of specific immunoglobulin M antibodies in cord serum. *Pediatrics*. 1982;69:544–9.
37. Banatvala JE, Brown DW. Rubella. *Lancet*. 2004;363(9415):1127–37.
38. Adam O, Ali AK, Hübschen JM, Muller CP. Identification of congenital rubella syndrome in Sudan. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:305.
39. Mamuye Y, Nigatu B, Bekele D, Challa F, Desale A, et al. Seroprevalence and Absence of cytomegalovirus infection risk factors among pregnant women in St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College. *Gynecology and Obstet (Sunnyvale)*. 2015;5:299.
40. Khairi SI, Intisar KS, Enan KH, Ishag MY, Baraa AM, Ali YH. Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection among pregnant women at Omdurman Maternity Hospital, Sudan. *J. Med. Lab. Diagn*. 2013;4(4):45–9.
41. Ephraim OE, Oyinlola O, Patrick VL, Joseph UO, Charles JE. Seroprevalence and risk factors for cytomegalovirus infection among pregnant women in southern Nigeria. *JMID*. 2013;3(3):123–7.
42. Bello C, Whittle H. Cytomegalovirus infection in Gambian mothers and their babies. *J Clin Pathol*. 1991;44(5):366–9.
43. Bagheri L, Mokhtarian H, Sarshar N, Ghahramani M. Seroepidemiology of cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy in Gonabad, east of Iran: a cross-sectional study. *J. Res. Health Sci*. 2012;12(1):38–44.
44. Seo S, Cho Y, Park J. Serologic screening of pregnant Korean women for primary human cytomegalovirus infection using IgG avidity test. *Korean J Lab Med*. 2009;29(6):557–62.
45. Clarke LM, Duerr A, Feldman J, Sierra MF, Daidone BJ, Landesman SH. Factors associated with cytomegalovirus infection among human immunodeficiency virus type 1-seronegative and -seropositive women from an urban minority community. *J Infect Dis*. 1996;173:77–82.
46. Kovacs A, Schluchter M, Easley K, Demmler G, Shearer W, La Russa P, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection and HIV-1 disease progression in infants born to HIV-1-infected women. pediatric pulmonary and cardiovascular complications of vertically transmitted HIV infection study group. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341:77–84.
47. Bate SL, Dollard SC, Cannon MJ. Cytomegalovirus seroprevalence in the United States: the national health and nutrition examination surveys, 1988–2004. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(11):1439–47. doi:10.1086/652438.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

