

METHODOLOGY ARTICLE

Open Access

Natural product-likeness score revisited: an open-source, open-data implementation

Kalai Vanii Jayaseelan^{1*}, Pablo Moreno¹, Andreas Truszkowski², Peter Ertl³ and Christoph Steinbeck^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Natural product-likeness of a molecule, i.e. similarity of this molecule to the structure space covered by natural products, is a useful criterion in screening compound libraries and in designing new lead compounds. A closed source implementation of a natural product-likeness score, that finds its application in virtual screening, library design and compound selection, has been previously reported by one of us. In this note, we report an open-source and open-data re-implementation of this scoring system, illustrate its efficiency in ranking small molecules for natural product likeness and discuss its potential applications.

Results: The Natural-Product-Likeness scoring system is implemented as Taverna 2.2 workflows, and is available under Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License at http://www.myexperiment.org/packs/183. html. It is also available for download as executable standalone java package from http://sourceforge.net/projects/np-likeness/ under Academic Free License.

Conclusions: Our open-source, open-data Natural-Product-Likeness scoring system can be used as a filter for metabolites in Computer Assisted Structure Elucidation or to select natural-product-like molecules from molecular libraries for the use as leads in drug discovery.

Background

Natural products (NPs) are small molecules synthesised by living organisms. In drug discovery, the class of NPs termed secondary metabolites that are involved in defence or signalling, are of particular importance because they were optimised during evolution to have effective interactions with biological receptors. They are therefore good starting points for designing new drugs [1]. Hence, Natural Product-likeness (NP-likeness) of a chemical structure can serve as a criteria in lead compound selection and in designing novel drugs [1]. In order to estimate NP-likeness of a molecule, prior knowledge such as physicochemical and structural properties of existing natural products have to be captured. In this work, we focus only on identifying structural features typical of natural products, and based on their presence, rank molecules of interest according to their NP-likeness.

*Correspondence: kalai@ebi.ac.uk; steinbeck@ebi.ac.uk



CDK-Taverna version 2[2,3] is an open-source Java tool kit to perform cheminformatics tasks, making use of the pipelining technology offered by Taverna version 2.2[4], an open-source workflow management system. The CDK-Taverna 2 plug-in is based on the Chemistry Development Kit (CDK) [5,6] and few other open source Java libraries. The individual components required to score a small molecule for NP-likeness are implemented as CDK-Taverna workflows to be used intuitively by users without programming background. Source code for the CDK-Taverna 2 workers is freely available at https://sourceforge.net/projects/cdktaverna2/.

The scorer is also available as standalone Java ARchive (JAR) package to be used as a library component in standalone or web applications. The standalone JAR and the source code is freely available for download at http:// sourceforge.net/projects/np-likeness/.

Integration of NP-Likeness scorer components with CDK-Taverna 2.2

CDK-Taverna 2 [2,3] has drag and drop components (workers) to build cheminformatics workflows ranging



© 2012 Jayaseelan et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

¹ Chemoinformatics and Metabolism, European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI), Cambridge, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

from parsing a molecule file via fingerprinting and clustering to more advanced tasks such as reaction enumeration. The full features of the CDK-Taverna 2.0 plug-in, its installation procedure and example workflows are available at http://cdk-taverna-2.ts-concepts.de/wiki/. CDK-Taverna 2 provides a set of workers commonly used in cheminformatics workflows. To provide additional functionality, individual components such as those required to score a small molecule for NP-likeness are bundled as subpackages within the existing CDK-Taverna2 plug-in. The NP-likeness sub-packages comprise workers for molecule curation, fragment generation and fragment scoring; all of which can readily be integrated into other data analysis workflows.

Components for molecule curation

Before being evaluated for NP-likeness, molecules have to be pre-processed to remove small disconnected fragments like counter-ions and fragments containing metallic elements. In previous study [1] commercial tools such as PipelinePilot and Molinspiration [7,8] were used to standardise molecules. These curation workers are now implemented in an open manner within the CDK-Taverna 2.0 plug-in and available under the folder "Molecule curation". To start with, Molecule Connectivity Checker worker checks for the disconnected parts in the molecule. If such are found, the user has an option of configuring the minimum atom-count for a fragment to be retained. As suggested by Ertl et al.[1], the default minimum atomcount cut-off is set to 6 and so, unless modified, disconnected fragments with less than 6 atoms will be removed from the molecule. The Curate Strange Elements worker filters molecules, removing those that contain elements other than C, H, N, O, P, S, F, Cl, Br, I, As, Se or B. As another standardisation step, deglycosylation is needed to remove sugar moieties from the molecules. Remove Sugar Group worker identifies all the sugar moieties in the structure and remove the ones that are linked by glycosidic bond to the scaffold. This is done in order to retain core structural features that are more typical of natural products and to omit features like sugar moieties that are less distinctive, albeit commonly present in natural products. Removal of sugars is not expected to improve the score but to facilitate classifications based only on chemically interesting structural features.

An example workflow that makes use of all the curation workers is depicted in Figure 1. The workflow takes Structure Data Format (SDF) file of molecules from the user as input. As soon as the molecules are read, they are assigned an Universal Unique IDentifier (UUID) before entering the curation step. The UUID tagging is done in order to keep track of molecule fragments generated upon curation. For example, when a sugar ring connecting two different scaffolds of a molecule is removed the molecule is split into two fragments. These fragments will have the same UUID of the parent molecule and will be tracked as single molecule in the scoring step.

Component for atom signature generation

The molecule curation workers leave behind curated structures of molecule upon standardisation. Down the workflow, they are consumed by another worker that generates its atom signatures [9]. Atom signatures are structural descriptors - canonical, circular descriptions of an atom's environment in a molecule. The atom signature of a given atom in a molecule is a directed acyclic graph of its connected atoms, where every node in the graph is an atom and the edges are the bonds between the atoms. The levels of neighbourhood of an atom in a molecule is the signature height of that atom. A molecular signature is the summation of all atom signatures of a molecule. The successful usage of molecular signatures is reported in various studies, ranging from QSAR calculations to prediction of enzyme-metabolite and target-drug interactions [9,10]. In their original implementation, *Ertl et al* [1] used HOSE codes, an earlier circular description of atom environments suggested by Bremser [11] for the use in NMR spectrum prediction. Atom signatures and HOSE codes capture identical circular description of an atom environment but only differ in their string representation. Since we had a well-tested, efficient implementation of signatures in the CDK, provided by Torrance [12], we decided to test whether it would give the expected identical results as the HOSE code-based implementation of the original work by Ertl et al [1]. The Generate Atom Signatures worker in the "Signature Scoring" folder generates atom signatures based on a given structure as input. The worker generates atom signatures of a molecule and tags them with the molecule's UUID, to keep account of the signatures identity. The signature's height (number of spheres in the atom environment used for signature generation) is configurable and we used atom signatures of height 2 (set as default) as it was sufficient in capturing relevant structural features in small molecules. The generated atom signatures for huge training datasets are usually written out to text file and stored for re-use. This feature is shown in Figure 1.

Component for NP-likeness score calculation

The Natural product likeness calculator worker in the "Signature Scoring" folder takes signatures of natural products, synthetic molecules and query compounds as input from text files. The workflow is depicted in Figure 2. Within this worker, atom signatures of compounds from Natural Products and Synthetic Molecules datasets are indexed separately, in order to look up for the frequency of molecule fragments in question. The number of atom signatures generated for a molecule is equal



to the number of atoms that make up the molecule. Every atom signature independently represent a structural feature/fragment of the molecule, and an individual score for it is calculated using the statistic used in the original implementation.

$$Fragment_i = \log\left[\frac{NP_i}{SM_i} * \frac{SM_t}{NP_t}\right]$$
(1)

In the above calculation of single fragment contribution $Fragment_i$, NP_i is the total number of molecules in the natural products dataset in which the $Fragment_i$ occurs, SM_i is the total number of molecules in the synthetic molecules dataset in which the $Fragment_i$ occurs, SM_t is the total number of molecules in the synthetic molecules dataset and NP_t is the total number of molecules in the natural product dataset. Individual fragment contributions from a molecule finally add up to give the total score of the molecule as shown in equation (2). The summed up

score is then normalised by the number of the atoms in a molecule (N) as shown in equation (3), to give the final NP-likeness score for a molecule. Here, normalisation prevents molecules containing higher number of atoms from gaining higher score.

$$Score_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N} Fragment_i$$
⁽²⁾

$$NP - likenessScore = \frac{Score_N}{N}$$
(3)

The calculated molecule scores are written out to a text file, tagged with their respective compound UUID. It is possible that non-linear discriminant analysis would work slightly better, but clear advantage of our approach is that it is chemically interpretable, it identifies fragments or substructures that play a role in Natural Product-likeness and this information may be used then



fragments. The 2D Coordinates Generator is an optional worker to visualise the re-built fragments from the atom signature. This workflow is available for free download at http://www.myexperiment.org/workflows/2121.html.

directly in molecule design applications, for example for combinatorial library design or fragment growing. The nonlinear statistical methods provide mostly complex, not easy interpretable numerical solution. Further, natural product-likeness is a concept and there is no established standard of value range to compare against. Plot Distribution as PDF worker, also under the "Signature Scoring" folder, makes density plots of the scores and writes it out in Portable Document Format (PDF). An example workflow making use of the scoring workers described above is shown in Figure 2.

Results

The performance of the NP-likeness score depends, of course, on the choice of natural products and synthetic molecules in the training dataset. For the analysis of our engine's performance, natural products, synthetic molecules and query compound collections were all obtained from open access databases only. Our first subset of natural products (22,876 molecules) originates from the ChEMBL database [13], where we selected molecules extracted from the *Journal of Natural Products*. The second subset of natural products (39,162 molecules) comes from the Traditional Chinese Medicine Database @ Taiwan (TCM)[14]. Together, the natural product training set of synthetic molecules comprised 113,425 clean

lead-like compounds selected from the ZINC database [15]. Small molecules from DrugBank [16] and the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) [17] were treated as our test sets. Besides that, PubMed abstracts reporting isolation of new NPs were text-mined for natural product's name and the names were converted into SMILES using Chemical Identifier Resolver [18] and the resultant set of 3610 non-redundant NPs was used as our test set.

The steps shown in Figure 1 were repeated for both training and test sets to calculate their atom signatures. To score test sets for NP-likeness, steps shown in Figure 2 were followed. The overall scores obtained in our test study ranged from -3 to +3. The more positive the score, the higher is the NP-likeness and vice versa. The distribution of scores obtained for the compounds in the test set is shown in Figure 3. The distribution of the Drug-Bank compound set overlaps both the synthetic molecule and natural product structural space. This is expected because, in drug design experiments, the drug-like compounds often end up mimicking structural features of metabolites after the optimisation process [19]. Only one third of the natural products space captured by us overlaps with currently available common drugs. The text-mined natural products, as expected, almost completely overlaps the training natural products structural space occupying small additional structural space.



To validate our scoring system, 3610 text-mined NPs with additional 5000 synthetics were scored using both our system and the original implementation by *Ertl et al* [1]. Despite the much larger training set of the original system, the scores obtained showed a good correlation coefficient with r-value 0.94. Further, the scores obtained for the test set by replacing the training data in the original system with our open-data, showed very good correlation coefficient with r-value 0.97. Taking into account that two cheminformatics toolkits that have been used to calculate the values, differ slightly in handling of aromaticity, tautomerism, molecule normalisation etc and also slightly different types of substructure fragments, we consider this agreement very good and fully validating the new implementation of NP-likeness.

Conclusions

We have presented an open-source, open-data implementation of a Natural-Product-likeness scorer originally described by *Ertl et al.* Workflows for curation, training and scoring are implemented in the open-source workflow tool CDK-Taverna and published at myexperiment.org. A version of the scorer is available as an executable from command-line and as a library for inclusion in stand-alone or web applications. Training and test sets where extracted from open access databases such as ChEMBL, TCM, ZINC, DrugBank and HMDB. We replaced HOSE codes by Faulon's atom signatures as our circular fingerprint implementation which showed similar performance. With the available open-data and open-source tool-kits, we have implemented a NP-likeness scorer engine and successfully demonstrated its capability to differentiate the natural product compound collection from synthetic and drug compound collections identical to what was reported in the original paper. The engine can be used as a filter to remove improbable metabolite structures from chemical spaces generated from Computer Assisted Structure Elucidation (CASE) or to select natural-product-like molecules from molecular libraries for the use as leads in drug discovery. The open-source, open-data implementation allows other researchers to modify the workflows or to use larger collections of training molecules once they become available.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

KJ thanks PE and CS for their valuable suggestions and advice in implementing the scoring system. KJ also thanks her colleagues from Chemoinformatics and

metabolism group at EBI for their active support and critical comments. All authors are very grateful to the open-source communities of CDK, Taverna and CDK-Taverna. This work was supported by the funds from the EMBL-EBI.

Author details

¹ Chemoinformatics and Metabolism, European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI), Cambridge, UK. ²Institute for Bioinformatics and Cheminformatics, University of Applied Sciences of Gelsenkirchen, Recklinghausen, Germany. ³Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland.

Authors' contributions

PE, with his colleagues from Novartis, Basel, conceived the original idea of natural product likeness score. PE also provided the text-mined natural product dataset. CS conceived the idea of implementing the scoring engine using open source and open data. AT made new developments to the existing CDK-Taverna plug-in. KJ conducted the study, selected the data, implemented the curation, training and scoring engine and tested it. PM contributed to the development and discussion. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 26 October 2011 Accepted: 20 May 2012 Published: 20 May 2012

References

- Ertl P, Roggo S, Schuffenhauer A: Natural Product-likeness Score and Its Application for Prioritization of Compound Libraries. J Chem Inf Model 2008, 48(1):68–74.
- Steinbeck C, Hoppe C, Kuhn S, Guha R, Willighagen EL: CDK-Taverna: an open workflow environment for cheminformatics. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010, 11:159.
- Truszkowski A, Jayaseelan KV, Neumann S, Willighagen EL, Zielesny A, Steinbeck C: New developments on the cheminformatics open workflow environment CDK-Taverna. J Cheminform 2011, 3:54.
- Oinn T, Addis M, Ferris J, Marvin D, Senger M, Greenwood M, Carver T, Glover K, Pocock M, Wipat A, P L: Taverna: a tool for the composition and enactment of bioinformatics workflows. *Bioinformatics* 2004, 20(17):3045–3054.
- Steinbeck C, Han YQ, Kuhn S, Horlacher O, Luttmann E, Willighagen E: The Chemistry Development Kit (CDK): An open-source Java library for chemo- and bioinformatics. *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences* 2003, 43(2):493–500.
- Steinbeck C, Hoppe C, Kuhn S, Guha R, Willighagen EL: Recent Developments of The Chemistry Development Kit (CDK) - An Open-Source Java Library for Chemo- and Bioinformatics. Current pharmaceutical design 2006, 12(17):2111–2120.
- Pipeline Pilot, Version 6.0; Scitegic Inc.: San Diego, CA, 2007. [http:// www.scitegic.com].
- Molinspiration Cheminformatics mib package, Version 2007.03; Molinspiration Cheminformatics: Slovensky Grob, Slovak Republic, 2007. [http://www.molinspiration.com].
- Faulon JL, Visco DP, Pophale RS: The Signature Molecular Descriptor.
 1. Using Extended Valence Sequences in QSAR and QSPR Studies. J Chem Inf Model 2003, 43(3):707–720.
- Faulon JL, Misra M, Martin S, Sale K, Sapra R: Genome scale enzyme-metabolite and drug-target interaction predictions using the signature molecular descriptor. *Bioinformatics* 2008, 24(2):225–233.
- Bremser W: HOSE A Novel Substructure Code. Anal Chim Acta 1978, 103:355–365.
- 12. Torrance G: Implementation of Faulon's atom signatures in Chemistry Development Kit. Internal communication.
- Gaulton J, Bellis LJ, Bento AP, Chambers J, Davies M, Hersey A, Light Y, McGlinchey S, Michalovich D, Al-Lazikani B, Overington JP: ChEMBL: a large-scale bioactivity database for drug discovery. Nucl Acids Res 2011:1–8 10.1093/nar/gkr777.
- 14. Chen CYC: **TCM Database @ Taiwan: The World Largest Traditional Chinese Medicine Database for Drug Screening In Silico.** *PloS one* 2011, **6**(1):e15939.
- Irwin JJ, Shoichet BK: ZINC A free database of commercially available compounds for virtual screening. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* 2005, 45(1):177–182.

- Knox C, Law V, Jewison T, Liu P, Ly S, Frolkis A, Pon A, Banco K, Mak C, Neveu V, Djoumbou Y, Eisner R, Guo AC, Wishart DS: DrugBank 3.0, a comprehensive resource for omics research on drugs. Nucleic Acids Res 2011, 39(Database issue):D103.
- 17. Wishart SD, Knox C, Guo AC, Eisner R, Young N, Gautam B, Hau DD, Psychogios N, Dong E, Bouatra S, Mandal R, Sinelnikov I, Xia J, Jia L, Cruz AJ, Lim E, Sobsey CA, Shrivastava S, Huang P, Liu P, Fang L, Peng J, Fradette R, Cheng D, Tzur D, Clements M, Lewis A, De Souza A, Zuniga A, Dawe M, Xiong Y, Clive D, Greiner R, Nazyrova A, Shaykhutdinov R, Li L, Vogel HJ, Forsythe L: **HMDB- a knowledgebase for the human metabolome.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, **37**(Database issue):D603–10.
- NCI/CADD Chemical Identifier Resolver. [http://cactus.nci.nih.gov/ chemical/structure].
- Paul DD, Patel Y, Kell BD: 'Metabolite-likeness' as a criterion in the design and selection of pharmaceutical drug libraries. *Drug Discovery Today* 2009, 14(1-2):31–40.

doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-106

Cite this article as: Jayaseelan *et al.*: **Natural product-likeness score revisited:** an open-source, open-data implementation. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2012 **13**:106.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central