DOI 10.1186/s12866-016-0719-2

BMC Microbiology

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Kao et al. BMC Microbiology (2016) 16:107





Overproduction of active efflux pump and variations of OprD dominate in imipenemresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from patients with bloodstream infections in Taiwan

Cheng-Yen Kao¹⁺, Shu-Sheng Chen²⁺, Kuei-Hsiang Hung¹, Hsiu-Mei Wu¹, Po-Ren Hsueh³, Jing-Jou Yan⁴ and Jiunn-Jong Wu^{1,5*}

Abstract

Background: The emergence of imipenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (IRPA) has become a great concern worldwide. The aim of this study was to investigate resistance mechanisms associated with bloodstream isolated IRPA strains in Taiwan.

Results: A total of 78 non-duplicated IRPA isolates were isolated from patients with bloodstream infection. The average prevalence of imipenem-resistance in those isolates was 5.9 % during a 10-year longitudinal surveillance in Taiwan. PFGE results showed high clonal diversity among the 78 isolates. VIM-2, VIM-3, OXA-10, and OXA-17 β -lactamases were identified in 2 (2.6 %), 3 (3.8 %), 2 (2.6 %), and 1 (1.3 %) isolates, respectively. Active efflux pumps, AmpC β -lactamase overproduction, and extended-spectrum AmpC cephalosporinases (ESACs) were found in 58 (74.4 %), 25 (32.1 %) and 15 (19.2 %) of IRPA isolates, respectively. *oprD* mutations with amino acid substitution, shortened putative loop L7, premature stop codon caused by point mutation, frameshift by nucleotide insertion or deletion, and interruption by insertion sequence were found in 19 (24.4 %), 18 (23.1 %), 15 (19.2 %), 14 (17.9 %), and 10 (12.8 %) of isolates, respectively.

Conclusions: This study suggests that alterations in the OprD protein and having an active efflux pump are the main mechanisms associated with bloodstream isolated IRPA. Overproduction of AmpC, ESACs, and the presence of VIM- and OXA-type β-lactamases play additional roles in reduced susceptibility to imipenem in *P. aeruginosa* isolates in Taiwan.

Keywords: Imipenem, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, β-lactamase, Efflux pump, OprD

Background

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is one of the most important nosocomial pathogens, which colonizes patients with trauma or a breach by tracheostomy, catheters, surgery, or severe burns. It is also the major cause of chronic lung infections in individuals with cystic fibrosis [1]. Treatment of infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* has become more challenging because of its resistance to many antimicrobial agents [2, 3]. Carbapenems (including imipenem, meropenem, and doripenem) remain the principal antimicrobial agents for treatment of serious infections, such as nosocomial pneumonia, serious nosocomial intra-abdominal infection and septicemia caused by *P. aeruginosa* [4].

Extensive use of carbapenems has contributed to the emergence of carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* (CRPA), and the increasing incidence of resistance to carbapenems in *P. aeruginosa* has become a global issue [5–10].



© 2016 The Author(s). **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: jjwu@mail.ncku.edu.tw

[†]Equal contributors

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Science and Biotechnology, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

⁵Department of Biotechnology and Laboratory Science in Medicine, School of Biomedical Science and Engineering, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control reported that the average rate of resistance of *P. aeruginosa* against carbapenems was 17.1 % [11].

The acquisition of carbapenem-hydrolyzing β -lactamases (such as KPC, AIM, DIM, GIM, IMP, NDM, SPM, VIM, and OXA), overexpression of chromosome-encoded AmpC β-lactamase, extended-spectrum AmpC cephalosporinases (ESACs), reduction of permeability of the outer membrane protein OprD, and overexpression of the major resistancenodulation-division (RND) efflux pump systems (MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, MexEF-OprN, and MexXY-OprM), are all involved in carbapenem resistance in P. aeruginosa [2, 12, 13]. Most AmpC-type β -lactamases naturally produced by Gram-negative organisms hydrolyse amino- and ureido-penicillins, cephamycins (cefoxitin and cefotetan) and, to a lesser extent, oxyimino-cephalosporins (such as ceftazidime) and monobactams [14]. In contrast, ESACs confer reduced susceptibility to cephalosporins and carbapenems [15, 16].

The present study was conducted to investigate the prevalence and characteristics of bloodstream isolated imipenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* (IRPA) collected between 2000 and 2010. Our findings demonstrated that the prevalence of imipenem resistance in bacteremic *P. aeruginosa* remains low (5.9 %) in Taiwan. Mutations in *oprD* and production of an active efflux pump are the major causes of imipenem resistance in *P. aeruginosa*, followed by overproduction of AmpC β -lactamase, ESACs, and the acquisition of VIM and OXA.

Methods

Sampling and isolation of P. aeruginosa

Bacteremic P. aeruginosa isolates were recovered in two Taiwanese University hospitals, January 2000 to February 2010. The Ethics Committee of National Cheng Kung University Hospital (NCKUH) approved that no formal ethical approval was needed to use these clinically obtained materials, because the strains were remnant from patient samples, and the data were analyzed anonymously. P. aeruginosa was identified in clinical laboratory by colony morphology, Gram stain, biochemical tests, and the Vitek system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Susceptibility to imipenem for P. aeruginosa isolates was determined by the disk diffusion method (imipenem, 10 µg/disc) on Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar based on the CLSI guidelines [17]. A total of 78 bacteremic imipenem-resistant P. aeruginosa isolates were selected for further analysis.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of amikacin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), aztreonam (Sigma),

cefepime (Sigma), ceftazidime (Sigma), ciprofloxacin (Sigma), doripenem (Sigma), gentamicin (Amresco Inc., Solon, OH), imipenem (Sigma), levofloxacin (Sigma), and meropenem (Sumitomo Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) were determined in duplicate by the agar dilution method according to the recommendations of the CLSI [17]. *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 was used as the quality control strain. The interpretation of resistance to these antimicrobial agents was determined according to the recommendations of the CLSI [18]. Multidrug resistant (MDR) *P. aeruginosa* was defined as isolates that were resistant to at least 3 classes of the tested antimicrobial agents [19].

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

PFGE of *Spe*I-digested genomic DNA samples of *P. aer-uginosa* isolates was carried out with a CHEF Mapper XA apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) according to the instruction manual. Electrophoresis was performed for 24 h at 14 °C with pulse time ranging from 5 to 35 s at 6 V/cm [20]. PFGE profiles were analyzed and compared using the GelCompar II software, version 2.0 (Unimed Healthcare Inc., Houston, TX).

β-lactamase characterization

β-lactamase genes (bla_{CTX-M} , bla_{GES} , bla_{GIM} , bla_{IMP} , bla_{KPC} , bla_{OXA-1} , bla_{OXA-2} , bla_{OXA-23} , bla_{OXA-40} , bla_{OXA-40} , bla_{OXA-40} , bla_{OXA-51} , bla_{OXA-51} , bla_{OXA-51} , bla_{OXA-51} , bla_{OXA-51} , bla_{SHV} , bla_{SIM} , bla_{SPM} , bla_{TEM} , and bla_{VIM}) were detected by PCR [21–30]. The purified PCR products were directly sequenced using an automated ABI PRISM 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA). The DNA sequences and deduced amino acid sequences were compared with genes in the GenBank database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) or the β -lactamase classification system (http:// www.lahey.org/studies/) to confirm the subtypes of β lactamase genes.

AmpC overproduction was investigated using cloxacillin (250 µg/mL; Sigma)-containing plates, since cloxacillin inhibits AmpC β -lactamase activity and thus restores susceptibility to ceftazidime [16]. E. cloacae ATCC 13047, which contained AmpC class C β-lactamase, and ATCC 15337 were used as positive and negative control strains, respectively [30]. ESACs β -lactamases properties were determined by using cloxacillin-containing plates with or without adding imipenem [16]. An isolate was defined as an AmpC overproducer or having ESACs phenotype when there was at least a twofold dilution difference between the MIC of ceftazidime/ceftazidime plus cloxacillin and the MIC of imipenem/imipenem plus cloxacillin, respectively. In addition, PCR amplification of *ampC*-type genes was performed as previously described [16]. The purified PCR products were directly sequenced using an automated ABI PRISM 3730 DNA

sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA). AmpC sequences were compared with that of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain (GenBank nucleotide sequence accession numbers FJ666065).

Detection of efflux pump activity

To investigate the activity of efflux pumps in IRPA isolates, MICs of meropenem in the presence of efflux pump inhibitors Phe-Arg β -naphthylamide dihydrochloride (PA β N) (Sigma) or carbonyl-cyanide-*m*-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) (Sigma) were determined [12, 31, 32]. PA β N at 50 µg/mL or 12.5 µM CCCP was incorporated in MH agar, and meropenem susceptibility testing was performed in parallel on agar plates with or without an efflux pump inhibitor. *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 was used as the control strain.

Analysis of efflux pump genes expression

Overnight cultured bacteria were diluted 1:100 in MH broth and grown to the late log phase of growth (OD_{600}) ~ 0.7). Total RNA was extracted using the acid phenol/ chloroform method and treated with RNase-free DNase I (Promega, Madison, WI) and RNasin (Promega), according to a previous study [33]. RT-qPCR was performed and the expression of the housekeeping proC gene was used as the internal control for relative quantification [34]. Oligonucleotide primers to examine the gene expression of mexA, mexC, mexE, mexX, and proC were described previously [13, 34]. Isolates were considered to be MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, MexEF-OprN, and MexXY-OprM hyperproducers when the levels of expression of mexA, mexC, mexE, and mexX were at least fivefold higher than that of the reference strain P. aeruginosa PAO1, respectively.

Examination of oprD mutations

The *oprD* gene of *P. aeruginosa* was amplified by PCR, and the primers used were described previously [6]. *oprD* sequences were compared with that of the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain. Insertion sequences (ISs) were further identified using the IS Finder database (http://www-is.biotoul.fr) [35].

Results

Long-term surveillance and antimicrobial susceptibility of IRPA

Based on the large scale screening of bacteremic *P. aeruginosa* isolates, 5.9 % of isolates appeared to be resistant to imipenem. The trend in the prevalence of imipenemresistant invasive isolates remained generally stable and low during this 10-year surveillance. The MICs of the 78 isolates to 10 antimicrobial agents are shown in Table 1. All isolates were resistant to imipenem but 2 (2.6 %) and 3 (3.8 %) isolates were susceptible to meropenem and

 Table 1
 In vitro activity of 10 antimicrobial agents against 78
 bloodstream infection IRPA isolates

Antibiotic ^a	MIC (µg/mL)			% Susceptibility		
	Range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	S	I	R
Cephems						
Ceftazidime	2->128	32	128	29.5	14.1	56.4
Cefepime	2->64	32	64	21.8	16.7	61.5
Monobactam						
Aztreonam	2->64	32	64	24.4	21.8	53.8
Carbapenems						
Imipenem	16-256	32	64	0	0	100
Meropenem	1–64	16	32	2.6	9.0	88.4
Doripenem	1–128	16	32	3.8	0	96.2
Aminoglycosides						
Amikacin	≤1->64	8	32	85.9	5.1	9.0
Gentamicin	≤1->256	8	256	33.3	23.1	43.6
Fluoroquinolones	5					
Ciprofloxacin	≤0.5->64	2	32	47.4	5.1	47.5
Levofloxacin	≤0.5->128	4	64	42.3	9.0	48.7

MIC_{50/90}, minimum inhibitory concentration for 50 % and 90 % of the isolates, respectively; S, susceptible; I, intermediate resistant; R, resistant ^aAll isolates were susceptible to colisin, as determined by the disc diffusion method

doripenem, respectively. Moreover, the entire collection was highly susceptible to amikacin (67/78, 85.9 %). No colistin resistant IRPA isolate was found. A total of 65 (83.3 %) IRPA isolates were defined to be MDR strains.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis

PFGE was performed on all collected IRPA isolates except isolate 926 showed low resolution (Fig. 1). Using a > 80 % similarity cut-off point [36], PFGE analysis showed high genetic heterogeneity in 77 isolates. Only 3 pairs of isolates (9530 and 6883; 3377 and 3056; 1122 and 1164) collected from the same hospital and year showed genetic relatedness (Fig. 1).

β-lactamase characterization

Genotyping of β -lactamases revealed that VIM-2, VIM-3, OXA-10, and OXA-17 β -lactamases were identified in 2 (2.6 %), 3 (3.8 %), 2 (2.6 %), and 1 (1.3 %) isolates, respectively. All VIM- and OXA-carrying strains were classified as MDR-*P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 1). AmpC overproduction and ESACs were shown in 25 (32.1 %) and 15 (19.2 %) of the 78 IRPA isolates, respectively (Fig. 1). The AmpC amino acid sequences of 15 ESACs producers showed 9 variants from the AmpC sequence of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. The most frequent variant was PDC-3 (4/15, isolates 318, 1164, 6883, and 9530), which contained a T105A substitution, compared to the AmpC of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (PDC-1) [16]. The PDC-2 (1/15,



isolate 7890) and PDC-5 (3/15, isolates 834, 6208, and 9054) variants had the G27D, A97V, T105A, and V205L; and R79Q and T105A substitutions, respectively. Only 2 isolates (2/15, isolates 1507 and 2432) showed the

identical PAO1 sequence of AmpC. The remaining 5 isolates contained substitutions of AmpC described as follows: isolate 3377, G27D, T105A, A156T, V205L, and V356I; isolate 3427, R79Q, T105A, V205L, and V356I; isolate 4935, T21A, T105A, and V205L; isolate 6766, R79Q, T105A, and V205L; and isolate 6861, G27D, T105A, and V205.

Efflux pump activity

A greater than or equal to fourfold decrease in MIC of meropenem when tested in combination with either efflux pump inhibitor, PA β N or CCCP, was shown in 58 (74.4 %) and 3 (3.8 %) of the IRPA isolates, respectively. This indicates that an active efflux pump contributes to the imipenem resistance of P. aeruginosa isolates. Moreover, PABN was effective in changing the isolate categorization from meropenem resistant (MIC $\ge 8 \ \mu g/mL$) to susceptible (MIC $\leq 2 \mu g/mL$) in 40 isolates (40/58, 70 %). Overexpression of RND efflux pump genes mexA, mexC, mexE, and mexX were further determined among 29 selected isolates with greater than or equal to a fourfold concentration decrease in MIC (from resistant to susceptible) for meropenem in combination with PABN. Among them, no overexpression of mexA was detected, and only 6 (20.7 %) of the isolates expressed mexC and mexE at least fivefold higher than that of the control strain PAO1. Eighteen (62.1 %) isolates overexpressed mexX at least tenfold higher than that of PAO1. Nine (31 %) of the 29 isolates that did not overexpress any of the major RND efflux pumps were further tested for the susceptibility to 50 μ g/ mL of PABN, and the results showed 1 isolate (532) was susceptible to PABN. However, the remaining 8 isolates possibly contained the additional PABN-sensitive efflux pump that reduces the imipenem susceptibility.

Outer membrane protein OprD analysis

The amino acid sequences of OprD from IRPA isolates were compared with the sequence of the reference strain PAO1. The results showed that *oprD* mutations with an amino acid substitution, shortened putative loop L7, a premature stop codon by point mutation, a frameshift by nucleotide insertion or deletion, or interruption by insertion sequence (IS) were found in 19 (24.4 %), 18 (23.1 %), 15 (19.2 %), 14 (17.9 %), and 10 (12.8 %) isolates, respectively. Only 2 isolates (2.6 %, isolates 926 and 5718) had the identical sequence of the PAO1 *oprD* (Table 2). Moreover, 7 IRPA isolates (669, 809, 877, 930, 1404, 3056, and 5276) contained only an *oprD* mutation but not other mechanisms associated with imipenem resistance (Fig. 1).

A shortened putative loop L7 of OprD was found in 18 isolates (23.1 %) (MIC ranges of imipenem, meropenem, and doripenem were 16-64, 1-64, and 1-64 µg/mL, respectively) (Fig. 1). Additive amino acid substitutions of OprD were found in all these 18 IRPA. In addition, isolates 472, 662, 6883, 8006, and 9530 contained identical 15 amino acid substitutions and a shortened loop L7 of OprD. Isolate 1404, with a shortened loop L7 alone, showed lower MICs of meropenem (1 µg/mL) and doripenem (1 μ g/mL); the remaining 11 isolates had a shortened loop L7 in combination with AmpC and ESAC overproduction or active efflux overexpression and showed higher MICs of meropenem (4–64 μ g/mL) and doripenem (2–32 μ g/mL) (Fig. 1). The amino acid variation of isolate 1404 contributed to its low level resistance to imipenem (MIC, 16 μ g/mL).

 Table 2 oprD genotypes among 78 bacteremic IRPA isolates

Major types of mutation ^a	No. of isolate	Description			
Amino acid frameshift produced by 1- and 2-bp insertion or deletion	10	Deletion of: 1 bp (G) at nt 311; 2 bp (TG) at nt 407-408; 1 bp (C) at nt 456 (2 isolates) Insertion of: 1 bp (G) at nt 555; 1 bp (T) at nt 977; 2 bp (AC) at nt 1050-1051 (2 isolates); 1 bp (C) at nt 1205 (2 isolates)			
Amino acid frameshift produced by partial deletion	5	11-bp deletion beginning at nt 140, nt 175, nt 473 13-bp deletion beginning at nt 175, nt 208			
Premature stop codon (point mutation)	14	CAG → TAG at nt 55-57, nt 472-474, nt 883-885, nt 1270-1272 (3 isola TGG → TAG at nt 193-195 TGG → TAG at nt 829-831 (3 isolates) TGG → TGA at nt 829-831 TGG → TAG at nt 1015-1017 (2 isolates) TAT → TAG at nt 1048-1050			
Interruption by IS	10	Transposase at nt 37, 50 (2 isolates), 271, 434, 562, 571, 623, 652, 694			
Amino acid substitution	19	Polymorphisms: D43N, S57E, S59R, T103S, K115T, V127L, F170L, E185Q, P186G, V189T, E202Q, I210A, E230K, D231N, S240T, L246V, D249E, L252V, N262T, R310E, A315G, S403A, Q424E, and G425A			
Shortening of putative loop L7	18	Putative loop L7 (372 V-DSSSSYAGL-Y384)			
Identical	2	-			

^aOnly 2 isolates (926 and 5718) showed identical sequences of *oprD* to PAO1. The other 76 isolates contained amino acid variation compared to the sequence of *oprD* in PAO1

The sequencing results showed that 6 IS elements, including ISPa47 (isolates 1406 and 2432), ISPa1635 (isolates 1220 and 1333), IS6110 (isolates 1164 and 1529), ISPa52 (isolates 877 and 1307), ISPpu21 (isolate 4055), and ISAzo11 (isolate 572), were identified in 10 IRPA isolates, and thus lead to a disruption of the coding sequence of OprD (Fig. 1). Among these IS elements, ISPa47, ISPa1635, and IS6110, were classified as IS family IS6330, IS4, and IS6, respectively. ISPa52, ISPpu21, and ISAzo11 were classified as IS5 transposase family. No novel IS element was found in our IRPA isolates.

Discussion

In the present study, we showed the prevalence of imipenem resistance in bacteremic *P. aeruginosa* is 5.9 % during a 10-year longitudinal surveillance in Taiwan. Mutations in *oprD* and an active efflux pump are the major causes of imipenem resistance, followed by overproduction of AmpC β -lactamase, ESACs phenotype, and the acquisition of VIM- and OXA- β -lactamases in *P. aeruginosa*.

Surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility by the SMART 2008 reported that the susceptibility to imipenem of P. aeruginosa from intra-abdominal infections was 69 % worldwide [9]. Another global multicenter surveillance study, Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trial (TEST), showed that the imipenem resistance rate of blood isolates of P. aeruginosa collected from 2004 until August 2009 was 7.4 % [8]. Recently, carbapenem resistance of invasive P. aeruginosa has rising to 17.1 %, which was reported from the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control 2012 [11]. Lin et al. showed the overall prevalence of CRPA was 10.2 % in Taiwan, and there was a significant trend of increasing CRPA prevalence during the period 2000-2010 [10]. However, our results showed lower prevalence (5.9 %) of bacteremic CRPA isolates in Taiwan. Moreover, the entire collection was highly susceptible to amikacin (67/78, 85.9 %) and no colistin resistant IRPA isolate was found. As a result, amikacin and colistin can serve as treatment agents against bacteremic IRPA in Taiwan. However, carbapenem susceptibility of invasive P. aeruginosa infections needs to be continually monitored to control the prevalence of CRPA.

The active efflux pumps in *P. aeruginosa* belonging to the RND family, which contributes to multidrug resistance by extruding the antimicrobial agents outside the bacterial cells [37]. Overexpression of the RND efflux pump systems MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, MexEF-OprN, and MexXY-OprM confer resistance to carbapenem in *P. aeruginosa* [2, 12, 13]. In this study, the *mexA* is constitutively expressed in all of the tested isolates with active efflux, and no difference among imipenem resistant isolates was found, compared with *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. This indicates that *mexA* contributes a limited role to imipenem resistance in our isolates. The *mexC*, *mexE*, and *mexX* are expressed at a low level in late log phase in PAO1, but their expression is more than fivefold up-regulated in 6 (20.7 %), 6 (20.7 %), and 18 (62.1 %) of 29 selected resistant strains, respectively. Therefore, our data demonstrated the importance of efflux pump systems in the imipenem resistance of *P. aeruginosa*. However, 8 isolates which possibly contained unknown PA β N-sensitive efflux pumps that reduce imipenem susceptibility remained to be investigated.

The outer membrane protein OprD, a carbapenemspecific porin, contributed to imipenem resistance and reduced susceptibility to meropenem in P. aeruginosa [5, 6, 38]. Mutations of oprD prevalent in our isolates revealed that loss or reduced OprD confers resistance to carbapenem in P. aeruginosa (Fig. 1). A shortened putative loop L7 of the OprD porin was identified in carbapenem-resistant isolates, and this shortening may open the porin channel to allow optimal penetration of meropenem and increase the meropenem susceptibility profile in imipenem-resistant P. aeruginosa [6, 38]. We also found a shortened loop L7 of the OprD in 18 IRPA isolates, and strain 1404, with only a shortened loop L7, showed lower MICs of meropenem (1 μ g/mL) and doripenem (1 μ g/mL), compared with strains had shortened loop L7 and the presence of AmpC and ESAC overproduction or active efflux (4–64 μ g/mL). A lower MIC of doripenem in strains with a shortened loop L7 of OprD was also observed (Fig. 1). This raises the possibility that a shortened loop L7 of the OprD porin is responsible for the unusual meropenem and doripenem hypersusceptibility. Moreover, Riera et al. showed that while the inactivation of the porin OprD caused high-level resistance to imipenem (MIC > 32 μ g/mL), it produced only moderate resistance to meropenem [7], and these results are observed in this study (Fig. 1).

Yan et al. have reported the presence of unusual *bla*-encoding integrons of VIM-2, VIM-3, OXA-10, and OXA-17 in multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolates in Taiwan [28]. In the present study, all 8 VIM- and OXA-carrying isolates belonged to MDR *P. aeruginosa*. Although the imipenem resistance mechanisms in our isolates are diverse, isolates harboring VIM- and OXA-type β lactamases showed higher carbapenem MICs, especially imipenem (32–256 µg/mL) (Fig. 1). This reflects that carbapenem can be efficiently hydrolyzed by the VIM- and OXA-type β -lactamases [5, 12].

Increased production of the AmpC chromosomeencoded cephalosporinase and ESACs production contributed to carbapenem resistance in *P. aeruginosa* [2, 5, 7, 13, 16]. In this study, we used the AmpC inhibitor cloxacillin to demonstrate that AmpC overproduction and ESAC were shown in 25 (32.1 %) and 15 (19.2 %) of the IRPA strains, respectively. The prevalence of the AmpC and ESAC phenotypes in our isolates was lower than the reported by Rodríguez-Martínez et al. (78 % and 72 %) [16], and we revealed that overproduction of AmpC and ESAC play an additive role in reduced susceptibility to carbapenem in our resistant strains. Moreover, 88.2 % (30/34) of AmpC or ESAC overproducers were MDR strains, indicating that overexpression of AmpC and ESAC is an important issue for antibiotic resistance in P. aeruginosa. Thirteen out of 15 isolates contained an AmpC β -lactamase variant, with all variants possessing an alanine residue at position 105. This residue had been previously shown to be the key factor for an ESAC phenotype [16]. However, 2 isolates (1507 and 2432) were ESAC producers containing the identical PAO1 (PDC-1) sequence of AmpC. As a result, the mechanism leading to the EASC property in these two isolates remained to be clarified.

Conclusions

In conclusion, imipenem resistance in bacteremic *P. aer-uginosa* collected between January 2000 and February 2010 remained low (5.9 %) in Taiwan. Mutations of *oprD* and active efflux are the major causes of imipenem resistance. Overproduction of AmpC β -lactamase, ESAC, and acquisition of VIM and OXA are also involved in imipenem resistance of *P. aeruginosa*. Since 83.3 % of imipenem-resistant isolates were found to be MDR strains, usage of carbapenems should be controlled to prevent pan-drug resistant *P. aeruginosa* occurrence.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Oligonucleotide primers used in this study. (DOC 106 kb)

Abbreviations

CCCP, carbonyl-cyanide-*m*-chlorophenylhydrazone; CRPA, carbapenemresistant *P. aeruginosa*; ESACs, extended-spectrum AmpC cephalosporinases; IRPA, imipenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; MDR, multidrug resistant; MH, Mueller-Hinton; MIC, Minimal inhibitory concentration; PAβN, Phe-Arg βnaphthylamide dihydrochloride; RND, resistance-nodulation-division

Acknowledgements

We thank Robert Jonas for helpful comments on this manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by grants NSC99-3112-B-006-015, NSC101-2320-B-006-020-MY3 and NSC101-2320-B-006-029-MY3 from the National Science Council, Taiwan.

Availability of data and materials

Supporting data provided as additional files include all primer sequences used in this study (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Authors' contributions

CY Kao and SS Chen designed the study. KH Hung, HM Wu, and PR Hsueh were responsible for isolation, antimicrobial susceptibility test, and genotyping of the strains and drafted the manuscript. CY Kao, JJ Yan, and JJ Wu contributed ideas and edited the manuscript. All authors read, commented on, and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

All authors declare no conflict of interests.

Consent to publish

Not applicable.

Ethics and consent to participate

The Ethics Committee of National Cheng Kung University Hospital (NCKUH) approved that no formal ethical approval was needed to use these clinically obtained materials, because the strains were remnant from patient samples, and the data were analyzed anonymously.

Author details

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Science and Biotechnology, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan. ²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan. ³Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁴Department of Pathology, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan. ⁵Department of Biotechnology and Laboratory Science in Medicine, School of Biomedical Science and Engineering, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan.

Received: 13 August 2015 Accepted: 30 May 2016 Published online: 13 June 2016

References

- Gaspar MC, Couet W, Olivier JC, Pais AA, Sousa JJ. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in cystic fibrosis lung disease and new perspectives of treatment: a review. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2013;32:1231–52.
- Lister PD, Wolter DJ, Hanson ND. Antibacterial-resistant *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa: clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2009;22:582–610.
- Strateva T, Yordanov D. Pseudomonas aeruginosa-a phenomenon of bacterial resistance. J Med Microbiol. 2009;58:1133–48.
- Masterton RG. The new treatment paradigm and the role of carbapenems. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2009;33:105–10.
- Gutierrez O, Juan C, Cercenado E, Navarro F, Bouza E, Coll P, et al. Molecular epidemiology and mechanisms of carbapenem resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from Spanish hospitals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007;51:4329–35.
- Rodriguez-Martinez JM, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Molecular epidemiology and mechanisms of carbapenem resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53:4783–8.
- Riera E, Cabot G, Mulet X, Garcia-Castillo M, del Campo R, Juan C, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* carbapenem resistance mechanisms in Spain: impact on the activity of imipenem, meropenem and doripenem. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66:2022–7.
- Andrasevic AT, Dowzicky MJ. In vitro activity of tigecycline and comparators against Gram-negative pathogens isolated from blood in Europe (2004-2009). Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2012;39:115–23.
- Hawser S, Hoban D, Bouchillon S, Badal R, Carmeli Y, Hawkey P. Antimicrobial susceptibility of intra-abdominal gram-negative bacilli from Europe: SMART Europe 2008. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011;30:173–9.
- Lin KY, Lauderdale TL, Wang JT, Chang SC. Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Taiwan: Prevalence, risk factors, and impact on outcome of infections. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2014. doi:10.1016/j.jmii. 2014.01.005.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe 2012. http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/ Publications/antimicrobial-resistance-surveillance-europe-2012.pdf.
- 12. Pournaras S, Maniati M, Spanakis N, Ikonomidis A, Tassios PT, Tsakris A, et al. Spread of efflux pump-overexpressing, non-metallo-beta-lactamase-

producing, meropenem-resistant but ceftazidime-susceptible *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa in a region with $bla_{\rm VIM}$ endemicity. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2005;56:761–4.

- 13. Quale J, Bratu S, Gupta J, Landman D. Interplay of efflux system, *ampC*, and *oprD* expression in carbapenem resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006;50:1633–41.
- Bush K, Jacoby GA, Medeiros AA. A functional classification scheme for beta-lactamases and its correlation with molecular structure. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1995;39:1211–33.
- Barnaud G, Benzerara Y, Gravisse J, Raskine L, Sanson-Le Pors MJ, Labia R, et al. Selection during cefepime treatment of a new cephalosporinase variant with extended-spectrum resistance to cefepime in an *Enterobacter* aerogenes clinical isolate. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48:1040–2.
- Rodriguez-Martinez JM, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Extended-spectrum cephalosporinases in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53:1766–71.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard, 8th ed. M07-A8. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA; 2009.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, twenty-third informational supplement, M100-S23. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA; 2013.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18:268–81.
- Chu G, Vollrath D, Davis RW. Separation of large DNA molecules by contour-clamped homogeneous electric fields. Science. 1986;234:1582–5.
- Yan JJ, Hsueh PR, Ko WC, Luh KT, Tsai SH, Wu HM, Wu JJ. Metallo-betalactamases in clinical *Pseudomonas* isolates in Taiwan and identification of VIM-3, a novel variant of the VIM-2 enzyme. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001;45:2224–8.
- Gales AC, Menezes LC, Silbert S, Sader HS. Dissemination in distinct Brazilian regions of an epidemic carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* producing SPM metallo-beta-lactamase. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2003;52: 699–702.
- Smith Moland E, Hanson ND, Herrera VL, Black JA, Lockhart TJ, Hossain A, et al. Plasmid-mediated, carbapenem-hydrolysing beta-lactamase, KPC-2, in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2003;51:711–4.
- Castanheira M, Toleman MA, Jones RN, Schmidt FJ, Walsh TR. Molecular characterization of a beta-lactamase gene, *bla*_{GIM-1}, encoding a new subclass of metallo-beta-lactamase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48:4654–61.
- 25. Poirel L, Heritier C, Tolun V, Nordmann P. Emergence of oxacillinasemediated resistance to imipenem in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48:15–22.
- Lee K, Yum JH, Yong D, Lee HM, Kim HD, Docquier JD, et al. Novel acquired metallo-beta-lactamase gene, bla(SIM-1), in a class 1 integron from *Acinetobacter baumannii* clinical isolates from Korea. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49:4485–91.
- Poirel L, Brinas L, Fortineau N, Nordmann P. Integron-encoded GES-type extended-spectrum beta-lactamase with increased activity toward aztreonam in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49:3593–7.
- Yan JJ, Hsueh PR, Lu JJ, Chang FY, Ko WC, Wu JJ. Characterization of acquired beta-lactamases and their genetic support in multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates in Taiwan: the prevalence of unusual integrons. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006;58:530–6.
- Mirsalehian A, Feizabadi M, Nakhjavani FA, Jabalameli F, Goli H, Kalantari N. Detection of VEB-1, OXA-10 and PER-1 genotypes in extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains isolated from burn patients. Burns. 2010;36:70–4.
- Yang FC, Yan JJ, Hung KH, Wu JJ. Characterization of ertapenem-resistant *Enterobacter cloacae* in a Taiwanese university hospital. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50:223–6.
- 31. Pages JM, Masi M, Barbe J. Inhibitors of efflux pumps in Gram-negative bacteria. Trends Mol Med. 2005;11:382–9.
- Davies TA, Marie Queenan A, Morrow BJ, Shang W, Amsler K, He W, et al. Longitudinal survey of carbapenem resistance and resistance mechanisms in Enterobacteriaceae and non-fermenters from the USA in 2007-09. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;66:2298–307.

- Kao CY, Sheu BS, Wu JJ. CsrA regulates *Helicobacter pylori* J99 motility and adhesion by controlling flagella formation. Helicobacter. 2014;19:443–54.
- Savli H, Karadenizli A, Kolayli F, Gundes S, Ozbek U, Vahaboglu H. Expression stability of six housekeeping genes: A proposal for resistance gene quantification studies of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by real-time quantitative RT-PCR. J Med Microbiol. 2003;52:403–8.
- Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. ISfinder: the reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006; 34(Database issue):D32–6.
- van Mansfeld R, Jongerden I, Bootsma M, Buiting A, Bonten M, Willems R. The population genetics of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from different patient populations exhibits high-level host specificity. PLoS One. 2010;5: e13482.
- Hancock RE, Brinkman FS. Function of *pseudomonas* porins in uptake and efflux. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2002;56:17–38.
- Epp SF, Kohler T, Plesiat P, Michea-Hamzehpour M, Frey J, Pechere JC. Cterminal region of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outer membrane porin OprD modulates susceptibility to meropenem. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001;45:1780–7.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

