Shatanawi et al. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2011, 2011:80
http://www.fixedpointtheoryandapplications.com/content/2011/1/80
Fixed Point Theory and Applications
a SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH Open Access

Common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces

Wasfi Shatanawi[1*], Mujahid Abbas[2] and Talat Nazir[2]

* Correspondence: swasfi@hu.edu.jo
[1]Department of Mathematics, The Hashemite University, Zarqa 13115, Jordan
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this article, we prove the existence of common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed point of generalized contractive type mappings in the context of two generalized metric spaces. These results generalize several comparable results from the current literature. We also provide illustrative examples in support of our new results.

2000 MSC: 47H10.

Keywords: coupled coincidence point, common coupled fixed point, weakly compatible maps, generalized metric space

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The study of common fixed points of mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the center of rigorous research activity [1-5]. Mustafa and Sims [4] generalized the concept of a metric space and call it a generalized metric space. Based on the notion of generalized metric spaces, Mustafa et al. [5-9] obtained some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions. Abbas and Rhoades [10] initiated the study of common fixed point theory in generalized metric spaces (see also [11]). Saadati et al. [12] proved some fixed point results for contractive mappings in partially ordered G-metric spaces. Abbas et al. [13] obtained some periodic point results in generalized metric spaces. Shatanawi [14] obtained some fixed point results for contractive mappings satisfying Φ-maps in G-metric spaces (see also [15]).

Bhashkar and Lakshmikantham [16] introduced the concept of a coupled fixed point of a mapping $F : X \times X \to X$ (a nonempty set) and established some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces. Later, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [3] proved coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed point results for nonlinear mappings $F : X \times X \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ satisfying certain contractive conditions in partially ordered complete metric spaces. Recently, Abbas et al. [17] obtained some coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces. Choudhury and Maity [18] also proved the existence of coupled fixed points in generalized metric spaces. Recently, Aydi et al. [19] generalized the results of Choudhury and Maity [18]. For other works on G-metric spaces, we refer the reader to [20,21].

The aim of this article is to prove some common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed points results for mappings defined on a set equipped with two generalized metrics. It is worth mentioning that our results do not rely on continuity of mappings involved therein. Our results extend and unify various comparable results in [17,22,23].

Consistent with Mustafa and Sims [4], the following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that a mapping $G : X \times X \times X \to R^+$ satisfies:

(a) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$;
(b) $0 < G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$;
(c) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $y \neq z$;
(d) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \ldots$ (symmetry in all three variables); and
(e) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Then, G is called a G-metric on X and (X, G) is called a G-metric space.

Definition 1.2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a G-metric space X is:

(i) a *G-Cauchy* sequence if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $n_0 \in N$ (the set of natural numbers) such that for all $n, m, l \geq n_0$, $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$,
(ii) a *G-convergent* sequence if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $x \in X$ and an $n_0 \in N$, such that for all $n, m \geq n_0$, $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

A G-metric space on X is said to be G-complete if every G-Cauchy sequence in X is G-convergent in X. It is known that $\{x_n\}$ G-converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $G(x_m, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$ [4].

Proposition 1.3. [4] Let X be a G-metric space. Then, the following are equivalent:

1. $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x.
2. $G(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
3. $G(x_n, x, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
4. $G(x_n, x_m, x) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$.

Definition 1.4. [16] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called:

(C_1) a coupled fixed point of mapping $T : X \times X \to X$ if $x = T(x, y)$ and $y = T(y, x)$;

(C_2) a coupled coincidence point of mappings $T : X \times X \to X$ and $f : X \to X$ if $f(x) = T(x,y)$ and $f(y) = T(y,x)$, and in this case (fx,fy) is called coupled point of coincidence;

(C_3) a common coupled fixed point of mappings $T : X \times X \to X$ and $f : X \to X$ if $x = f(x) = T(x, y)$ and $y = f(y) = T(y, x)$.

Definition 1.5. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called:

(CC_1) a common coupled coincidence point of the mappings $T, S : X \times X \to X$ and $f : X \to X$ if $T(x, y) = S(x, y) = fx$ and $T(y, x) = S(y, x) = fy$, and in this case (fx, fy) is called a common coupled point of coincidence;

(CC_2) a common coupled fixed point of mappings $T, S : X \times X \to X$ and f :

$$X \to X \text{ if } T(x,y) = S(x,y) = f(x) = x \text{ and } T(y,x) = S(y,x) = f(y) = y.$$

Definition 1.6. [22] Mappings $T : X \times X \to X$ and $f : X \to X$ are called

(W_1) w-compatible if $f(T(x, y)) = T(fx,fy)$ whenever $f(x) = T(x,y)$ and $f(y) = T(y, x)$;

(W_2) w^*-compatible if $f(T(x,x)) = T(fx, fx)$ whenever $f(x) = T(x,x)$.

2 Common coupled fixed points

We extend some recent results of Abbas et al. [17,22] and Sabetghadam [23] to the setting of two generalized metric spaces.

Theorem 2.1. Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x,y,z) \leq G_1(x,y,z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, $S,T : X \times X \to X$, and $f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(S(x,y), T(u,v), T(s,t)\right) \\ \leq\ & a_1 G_2\left(fx, fu, fs\right) + a_2 G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right), fx, fx\right) + a_3 G_2\left(T\left(x,v\right), fu, fs\right) \\ & + a_4 G_2\left(fy, fv, ft\right) + a_5 G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right), fu, fs\right) + a_6 G_2\left(T\left(u,v\right), T\left(s,t\right), fx\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, s, t \in X$, where $a_i \geq 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$ and $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 + 2(a_2 + a_3 + a_6) < 1$. If $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then S, T, and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if S or T is w^*-compatible with f, then f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Proof. As S, T, and f satisfy condition (2.1), so for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(S(x,y), T(u,v), T(s,v)\right) \\ \leq\ & a_1 G_2\left(fx, fu, fs\right) + a_2 G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right), fx, fx\right) + a_3 G_2\left(T\left(x,v\right), fu, fu\right) \\ & + a_4 G_2\left(fy, fv, fv\right) + a_5 G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right), fu, fu\right) + a_6 G_2\left(T\left(u,v\right), T\left(u,v\right), fx\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$. We choose $x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that $fx_1 = S(x_0, y_0)$ and $fy_1 = S(y_0, x_0)$, this can be done in view of $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$. Similarly, we can choose $x_2, y_2 \in X$ such that $fx_2 = T(x_1, y_1)$ and $fy_2 = T(y_1, x_1)$ since $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$. Continuing this process, we construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$fx_{2n+1} = S\left(x_{2n}, y_{2n}\right), \quad fx_{2n+2} = T\left(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}\right) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$fy_{2n+1} = S\left(y_{2n}, x_{2n}\right), \quad fy_{2n+2} = T\left(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}\right) \\ =\ & G_1\left(S\left(x_{2n}, y_{2n}\right), T\left(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}\right), T\left(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}\right)\right) \\ \leq\ & a_1 G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + a_2 G_2\left(S\left(x_{2n}, y_{2n}\right), fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}\right) \\ & + a_3 G_2\left(T\left(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}\right), fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + a_4 G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right) \\ & + a_5 G_2\left(S\left(x_{2n}, y_{2n}\right), fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + a_6 G_2\left(T\left(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}\right), T\left(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}\right), fx_{2n}\right) \\ =\ & a_1 G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + a_2 G_2\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}\right) \\ & + a_3 G_2\left(fx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + a_4 G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right) \\ & + a_5 G_2\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + a_6 G_2\left(fx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n}\right) \\ \leq\ & \left(a_1 + 2a_2 + a_6\right) G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + \left(2a_3 + a_6\right) G_2\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}\right) \\ & + a_4 G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}) \\ \leq\ & \frac{1}{1 - 2a_3 - a_6}\left[(a_1 + 2a_2 + a_6)G_2(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}) + a_4 G_2(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1})\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1(fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+2}, fy_{2n+2}) \\ &\le \frac{1}{1-2a_3-a_6}[(a_1+2a_2+a_6)G_2(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}) + a_4G_2(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1})]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Now, from (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}) + G_1(fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+2}, fy_{2n+2}) \\ &\le \lambda[G_2(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}) + G_2(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1})], \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \dfrac{a_1 + a_4 + 2a_2 + a_6}{1 - 2a_3 - a_6}$. Obviously, $0 \le \lambda < 1$.

In a similar way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}) + G_1(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}) \\ &\le \lambda[G_2(fx_{2n-1}, fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) + G_2(fy_{2n-1}, fy_{2n}, fy_{2n})]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $n \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) + G_1(fy_n, fy_{n+1}, fy_{n+1}) \\ &\le \lambda[G_2(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n) + G_2(fy_{n-1}, fy_n, fy_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Repetition of above process n times gives

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) + G_1(fy_n, fy_{n+1}, fy_{n+1}) \\ \le\ & \lambda[G_2(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n) + G_2(fy_{n-1}, fy_n)] \\ \le\ & \lambda^2[G_2(fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + G_2(fy_{n-2}, fy_{n-1}, fy_{n-1})] \\ \le\ & \cdots \le \lambda^n[G_2(fx_0, fx_1, fx_1) + G_2(fy_0, fy_1, fy_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $m > n \ge 1$, repeated use of property (e) of G-metric gives

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1(fx_n, fx_m, fx_m) + G_1(fy_n, fy_m, fy_m) \\ \le\ & G_2(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) + G_2(fx_{n+1}, x_{x+2}, x_{n+2}) + G_2(fy_n, fy_{n+1}, fy_{n+1}) \\ &+G_2(fx_{y+1}, x_{y+2}, x_{y+2}) + \cdots + G_2(fx_{m-1}, fx_m, fx_m) + G_2(fy_{m-1}, fy_m, fy_m) \\ \le\ & (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \cdots + \lambda^{m-1})[G_2(fx_0, fx_1, fx_1) + G_2(fy_0, fy_1, fy_1)] \\ \le\ & \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda}[G_2(fx_0, fx_1, fx_1) + G_2(fy_0, fy_1, fy_1)], \end{aligned}$$

and so $G_1(fx_n fx_m, fx_m) + G_1(fy_n, fy_m, fy_m) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$. Hence, $\{fx_n\}$ and $\{fy_n\}$ are G_1-Cauchy sequences in $f(X)$. By G_1-completeness of $f(X)$, there exists $fx, fy \in f(X)$ such that $\{fx_n\}$ and $\{fy_n\}$ converge to fx and fy, respectively.

Now, we prove that $S(x,y) = fx$ and $T(y,x) = fy$. Using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1(fx, T(x,y), T(x,y)) \\ \le\ & G_1(fx_{2n+1}, T(x,y), T(x,y)) + G_1(fx, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}) \\ =\ & G_1(S(s_{2n}, y_{2n}), T(x,y), T(x,y)) + G_1(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx) \\ \le\ & a_1G_2(fx_{2n}, fx, fx) + a_2G_2(S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) + a_3G_2(T(x,y), fx, fx) \\ &+a_4G_2(fy_{2n}, fy, fy) + a_5G_2(S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), fx, fx) \\ &+a_6G_2(T(x,y), T(x,y), fx_{2n}) + G_1(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx) \\ \le\ & a_1G_2(fx_{2n}, fx, fx) + a_2G_1(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) + 2a_3G_3(T(x,y), T(x,y), fx) \\ &+a_4G_2(fy_{2n}, fy, fy) + a_5G_2(fx_{2n+1}, fx, fx) \\ &+a_6G_2(T(x,y), T(x,y), fx_{2n}) + G_1(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx), \end{aligned}$$

which further implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(fx, T(x,y), T(x,y)) \\ \leq\ & \frac{1}{1-2a_3}[a_1G_2(fx_{2n}, fx, fx) + a_2G_2(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) + a_4G_2(fy_{2n}, fy, fy) \\ & +a_5G_2(fx_{2n+1}, fx, fx) + a_6G_2(T(x,y), T(x,y), fx_{2n}) + G_1(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx)]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$G_1(fx, T(x,y), T(x,y)) \leq \frac{a_6}{1-2a_3} G_1(T(x,y), T(x,y), fx).$$

As $\frac{a_6}{1-2a_3} < 1$, so we have $G_1(fx, T(x, y), T(x, y)) = 0$, and $T(x, y) = fx$.

Again from (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(S(x,y), fx, fx) \\ =\ & G_1(S(x,y), T(x,y), T(x,y)) \\ \leq\ & a_1G_2(fx, fx, fx) + a_2G_2(S(x,y), fx, fx) + a_3G_2(T(x,y), fx, fx) \\ & +a_4G_2(fy, fy, fy) + a_5G_2(S(x,y), fx, fx) \\ & +a_6G_2(T(x,y), T(x,y), fx) \\ =\ & (a_2+a_5)G_2(S(x,y), fx, fx) \\ \leq\ & (a_2+a_5)G_1(S(x,y), fx, fx). \end{aligned}$$

That is $G_1(S(x,y), fx, fx) = 0$, and $S(x,y) = fx$. Thus, $T(x,y) = S(x,y) = fx$. Similarly, it can be shown that $T(y, x) = S(y, x) = fy$. Thus, (fx, fy) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings f, S, and T.

To show that $fx = fy$, we proceed as follows: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(fx_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+2}, fy_{2n+2}) \\ =\ & G_1(S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), T(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) \\ \leq\ & a_1G_2(fx_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}) + a_2G_2(S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) \\ & +a_3G_2(T(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}) + a_4G_2(fy_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}) \\ & +a_5G_2(S(x_{2n}, y_{2n}), fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}) + a_6G_2(T(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), T(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), fx_{2n}) \\ =\ & a_1G_2(fx_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}) + a_2G_2(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) \\ & +a_3G_2(fy_{2n+2}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}) + a_4G_2(fy_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}) \\ & +a_5G_2(fx_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}) + a_6G_2(fy_{2n+2}, fy_{2n+2}, fx_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$G_1(fx, fy, fy) \leq (a_1+a_5+a_6)G_2(fx, fy, fy) + a_4G_2(fx, fx, fy).$$

This implies that

$$G_1(fx, fy, fy) \leq \frac{a_4}{1-(a_1+a_5+a_6)} G_1(fx, fx, fy). \tag{2.7}$$

In the similar way, we can show that

$$G_1(fy, fx, fx) \leq \frac{a_4}{1-(a_1+a_5+a_6)} G_1(fy, fy, fx). \tag{2.8}$$

Since $\frac{a_4}{1-(a_1+a_5+a_6)} < 1$, from (2.7) and (2.8), we must have $G_1(fx, fy, fy) = 0$. So that $fx = fy$. Thus, (fx, fx) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings f, S and T. Now, if there is another $x^* \in X$ such that (fx^*, fx^*) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings f, S, and T, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_1(fx, fx^*, fx^*) \\
= \;& G_1(S(x,x), T(x^*,x^*), T(x^*,x^*)) \\
\le \;& a_1 G_2(fx, fx^*, fx^*) + a_2 G_2(S(x,x), fx, fx) \\
& + a_3 G_2(T(x^*,x^*), fx^*, fx^*) + a_4 G_2(fx, fx^*, fx^*) \\
& + a_5 G_2(S(x,x), fx^*, fx^*) + a_6 G_2(T(x^*,x^*), T(x^*,x^*), fx) \\
= \;& a_1 G_2(fx, fx^*, fx^*) + a_2 G_2(fx, fx, fx) \\
& + a_3 G_2(fx^*, fx^*, fx^*) + a_4 G_2(fx, fx^*, fx^*) \\
& + a_5 G_2(fx, fx^*, fx^*) + a_6 G_2(fx^*, fx^*, fx) \\
\le \;& (a_1 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6) G_2(fx, fx^*, fx^*)
\end{aligned}$$

implies that $G_1(fx, fx^*, fx^*) = 0$ and so $fx^* = fx$. Hence, (fx, fx) is a unique coupled point of coincidence of mappings f, S, and T.

Now, we show that f, S, and T have common coupled fixed point.

For this, let $f(x) = u$. Then, we have $u = fx = T(x, x)$. By w^*-compatibility of f and T, we have

$$f(u) = f(fx) = f(T(x,x)) = T(fx, fx) = T(u,u).$$

Then, (fu, fu) is a coupled point of coincidence of f, S, and T. By the uniqueness of coupled point of coincidence, we have $fu = fx$. Therefore, (u, u) is the common coupled fixed point of f, S, and T.

To prove the uniqueness, let $v \in X$ with $u \neq v$ such that (v, v) is the common coupled fixed point of f, S, and T. Then, using (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_1(u, v, v) \\
= \;& G_1(s(u,u), T(v,v), T(v,v)) \\
\le \;& a_1 G_2(fu, fv, fv) + a_2 G_2(S(u,u), fu, fu) + a_3 G_2(T(v,v), fv, fv) \\
& + a_4 G_2(fu, fv, fv) + a_5 G_2(S(u,u), fv, fv) + a_6 G_2(T(v,v), T(v,v), fu) \\
= \;& (a_1 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6) G_2(fu, fv, fv) = (a_1 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6) G_2(u, v, v) \\
\le \;& (a_1 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6) G_1(u, v, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 < 1$, so that $G_1(u, v, v) = 0$ and $u = u^*$. Thus, f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

In Theorem 2.1, take $S = T$, to obtain Theorem 2.1 of Abbas et al. [22] as the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x, y, z) \le G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, $T : X \times X \to X$, and $f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_1(T(x,y), T(u,v), T(s,t)) \\
\le \;& a_1 G_2(fx, fu, fs) + a_2 G_2(T(x,y), fx, fx) + a_3 G_2(T(u,v), fu, fs) \\
& + a_4 G_2(fy, fv, ft) + a_5 G_2(T(x,y), fu, fs) + a_6 G_2(T(u,v), T(s,t), fx)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, s, t \in X$, where $a_i \geq 0$, for $i = 1, 2,..., 6$ and $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 + 2(a_2+a_3 + a_6) < 1$. If $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then T and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if T is w^*-compatible with f, then T and f have a unique common coupled fixed point.

In Theorem 2.1, take $s = u$ and $t = v$, to obtain the following corollary which extends and generalizes the corresponding results of [17,22,23].

Corollary 2.3 Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x, y, z) \leq G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, $S, T : X \times X \to X$, and $f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(S\left(x,y\right), T\left(u,v\right), T\left(u,v\right)\right) \\ \leq \; & a_1 G_2\left(fx, fu, fu\right) + a_2 G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right), fx, fx\right) + a_3 G_2\left(T\left(u,v\right), fu, fu\right) \\ & + a_4 G_2\left(fy, fv, fv\right) + a_5 G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right), fu, fu\right) + a_6 G_2\left(T\left(u,v\right), T\left(s,t\right), fx\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $a_i \geq 0$, for $i = 1, 2,..., 6$ and $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 + 2(a_2 + a_3 + a_6) < 1$. If $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then S, T, and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if S or T is w^*-compatible with f, then f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Example 2.4. Let $X = 0,1$, G-metrics G_1 and G_2 on X be given as (in [22]):

$$G_1(a,b,c) = |a-b| + |b-c| + |c-a|$$
$$G_2(a,b,c) = \frac{1}{2}|a-b| + |b-c| + |c-a|.$$

Define $S, T : X \times X \to X$ and $f : X \to X$ as

$$S(x,y) = \frac{x^2}{8},$$
$$T\left(x,y\right) = 0 \text{ and}$$
$$f(x) = x^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

For $x, y, u, v \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1\left(S\left(x,y\right), T\left(u,v\right), T\left(u,v\right)\right) &= G_1\left(\frac{x^2}{8}, 0, 0\right) \\ &= \frac{x^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(2x^2\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} G_2\left(0,0,x^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} G_2\left(T\left(u,v\right), T\left(u,v\right), fx\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.10) is satisfied with $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ and $a_6 = \frac{1}{4}$, where $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 < 1$. It is obvious to note that S is w^*-compatible with f. Hence, all the conditions of Corollary 2.4 are satisfied. Moreover, (0, 0) is the unique common coupled fixed point of S, T, and f.

If we take $\alpha = a_1$, $\beta = a_4$, $\gamma = a_5$, and $a_2 = a_3 = a_6 = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, then the following corollary is obtained which extends and generalizes the comparable results of [17,22,23].

Corollary 2.5. Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x, y, z) \le G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, and $S, T : X \times X \to X, f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1\left(S\left(x,y\right),T\left(u,v\right),T\left(s,t\right)\right)\\ \le\ &\alpha G_2\left(fx,fu,fs\right)+\beta G_2\left(fy,fv,ft\right)+\gamma G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right),fu,fs\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, s, t \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0$, and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. If $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then S, T, and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if S or T is w^*-compatible with f, then f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Corollary 2.6. Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x, y, z) \le G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, $T : X \times X \to X$, and $f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1\left(T\left(x,y\right),T\left(u,v\right),T\left(s,t\right)\right)\\ \le\ &\alpha G_2\left(fx,fu,fs\right)+\beta G_2\left(fy,fv,ft\right)+\gamma G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right),fu,fs\right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, s, t \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0$, and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. If $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then T and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if T is w^*-compatible with f, then f and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Example 2.7. Let $X = [0,1]$, and two G-metrics G_1, G_2 on X be given as (in [22]):

$$\begin{aligned} G_1\left(a,b,c\right) &= |a-b|+|b-c|+|c-a| \quad \text{and}\\ G_2\left(a,b,c\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\,|a-b|+|b-c|+|c-a|\,.\end{aligned}$$

Define $T : X \times X \to X$ and $f : X \to X$ as

$$\begin{aligned} T(x,y) &= \frac{x+y}{16} \quad \text{and}\\ f(x) &= \frac{x}{2} \quad \text{for all } x,y\in X.\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1\left(T\left(x,y\right),T\left(u,v\right),T\left(s,t\right)\right)\\ =\ &\frac{1}{16}\left[\left|x+y-(u+v)\right|+\left|u+v-(s+t)\right|+\left|s+t-(x+y)\right|\right]\\ \le\ &\frac{1}{16}\left[|x-u|+|y-v|+|u-s|+|v-t|+|s-x|+|t-y|\right]\\ \le\ &\frac{1}{16}\left[|x-u|+|y-v|+|u-s|+|v-t|+|s-x|+|t-y|\right.\\ &\left.+\left|\frac{x+y}{9}-u\right|+|u-s|+\left|s-\frac{x+y}{8}\right|\right]\\ =\ &\frac{1}{16}\left[|x-u|+|u-s|+|s-x|+|y-v|+|v-t|+|t-y|\right.\\ &\left.+\left|\frac{x+y}{8}-u\right|+|u-s|+\left|s-\frac{x+y}{8}\right|\right]\\ =\ &\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}|x-u|+\frac{1}{2}|u-s|+\frac{1}{2}|s-x|\right)\right]\\ &+\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}|y-v|+\frac{1}{2}|v-t|+\frac{1}{2}|t-y|\right)\right]\\ &+\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{x+y}{8}-u\right|+\frac{1}{2}|u-s|+\frac{1}{2}\left|s-\frac{x+y}{8}\right|\right)\right]\\ =\ &\alpha G_2\left(\frac{x}{2},\frac{u}{2},\frac{s}{2}\right)+\beta G_2\left(\frac{y}{2},\frac{v}{2},\frac{t}{2}\right)+\gamma G_2\left(\frac{x+y}{16},\frac{u}{2},\frac{s}{2}\right)\\ =\ &\alpha G_2\left(fx,fu,fs\right)+\beta G_2\left(fy,fv,ft\right)+\gamma G_2\left(T\left(x,y\right),fu,fs\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.11) is satisfied with $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{4}$ where $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. It is obvious to note that T is w^*-compatible with f. Hence, all the conditions of *Corollary 2.5* are satisfied. Moreover, (0,0) is the unique common coupled fixed point of T and f.

Corollary 2.8. Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X with $G_2(x, y, z) \leq G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $S,T : X \times X \to X$, $f : X \to X$ be two mappings such that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(S\left(x,y\right),T\left(u,v\right),T\left(u,v\right)\right) \\ \leq\ & \alpha G_2\left(fx,fu,fs\right)+\beta G_2\left(fy,fv,fu\right)+\gamma G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right),fu,fu\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. If $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then S, T, and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if S or T is w^*-compatible with f, then f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Theorem 2.9. Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x, y, z) \leq G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, and $S, T : X \times X \to X$, $f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(S\left(x,y\right),T\left(u,v\right),T\left(s,t\right)\right) \\ \leq\ & k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx,fu,fs\right)+G_2\left(fy,fv,ft\right)+G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right),fu,fs\right)\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, s, t \in X$, where $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{2}$. If $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then S, T, and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if S or T is w^*-compatible with f, then f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$. We choose $x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that $fx_1 = S(x_0, y_0)$ and $fy_1 = S(y_0, x_0)$, this can be done in view of $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$. Similarly, we can choose $x_2, y_2 \in X$ such that $fx_2 = T(x_1, y_1)$ and $fy_2 = T(y_1,x_1)$ since $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$. Continuing this process, we construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$fx_{2n+1}=S\left(x_{2n},y_{2n}\right),\ fx_{2n+2}=T\left(x_{2n+1},y_{2n+1}\right)$$

and

$$fy_{2n+1}=S\left(y_{2n},x_{2n}\right),\ fy_{2n+2}=T\left(y_{2n+1},x_{2n+1}\right).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_{2n+1},fx_{2n+2},fx_{2n+2}\right) \\ =\ & G_1\left(S\left(x_{2n},y_{2n}\right),T\left(x_{2n+1},y_{2n+1}\right),T\left(x_{2n+1},y_{2n+1}\right)\right) \\ \leq\ & k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx_{2n},fx_{2n+1},fx_{2n+1}\right),G_2\left(fy_{2n},fy_{2n+1},fy_{2n+1}\right),\right. \\ & \left.G_2\left(S\left(x_{2n},y_{2n}\right),fx_{2n+1},fx_{2n+1}\right)\right\} \\ =\ & k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx_{2n},fx_{2n+1},fx_{2n+1}\right),G_2\left(fy_{2n},fy_{2n+1},fy_{2n+1}\right),\right. \\ & \left.G_2\left(fx_{2n+1},fx_{2n+1},fx_{2n+1}\right)\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_{2n+1},fx_{2n+2},fx_{2n+2}\right) \\ \leq\ & k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx_{2n},fx_{2n+1},fx_{2n+1}\right),G_2\left(fy_{2n},fy_{2n+1},fy_{2n+1}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+2}, fy_{2n+2}\right) \\ \leq \; & k \max\left\{G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right), G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Now, from (2.14) and (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}\right) + G_1\left(fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+2}, fy_{2n+2}\right) \\ \leq \; & k\left[\max\left\{G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right), G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right)\right\}\right. \\ & \left.+ \max\left\{G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right), G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right)\right\}\right] \\ \leq \; & 2k\left[G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) + G_1\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right) \\ \leq \; & 2k\left[G_2\left(fx_{2n-1}, fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}\right) + G_2\left(fy_{2n-1}, fy_{2n}, fy_{2n}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}\right) + G_1\left(fy_n, fy_{n+1}, fy_{n+1}\right) \\ \leq \; & 2k\left[G_2\left(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n\right) + G_2\left(fy_{n-1}, fy_n, fy_n\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq 2\kappa < 1$. Therefore, repetition of above process n times gives

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}\right) + G_1\left(fy_n, fy_{n+1}, fy_{n+1}\right) \\ \leq \; & 2k\left[G_2\left(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n\right) + G_2\left(fy_{n-1}, fy_n, fy_n\right)\right] \\ \leq \; & (2k)^2\left[G_2\left(fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}\right) + G_2\left(fy_{n-2}, fy_{n-1}, fy_{n-1}\right)\right] \\ \leq \; & \ldots \leq (2k)^n\left[G_2\left(fx_0, fx_1, fx_1\right) + G_2\left(fy_0, fy_1, fy_1\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $m > n \geq 1$, repeated use of property (e) of G-metric gives

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_n fx_m, fx_m\right) + G_1\left(fy_n, fy_m, fy_m\right) \\ \leq \; & G_2\left(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}\right) + G_2\left(fx_{n+1}, x_{x+2}, x_{n+2}\right) + G_2\left(fy_{n+1}, fy_{n+1}\right) \\ & + G_2\left(fx_{y+1}, x_{y+2}, x_{y+2}\right) + \ldots + G_2\left(fx_{m-1}, fx_m, fx_m\right) + G_2\left(fy_{m-1}, fy_m, fy_m\right) \\ \leq \; & \left((2k)^n + (2k)^{n+1} + \ldots + (2k)^{m-1}\right)\left[G_2\left(fx_0, fx_1, fx_1\right) + G_2\left(fy_0, fy_1, fy_1\right)\right] \\ \leq \; & \frac{(2k)^n}{1-2k}\left[G_2\left(fx_0, fx_1, fx_1\right) + G_2\left(fy_0, fy_1, fy_1\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

and so $G_1(fx_n, fx_m, fx_m) + G_1(fy_n, fy_m, fy_m) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$. Hence, $\{fx_n\}$ and $\{fy_n\}$ are G_1-Cauchy sequences in $f(X)$. By G_1-completeness of $f(X)$, there exists $fx, fy \in f(X)$ such that $\{fx_n\}$ and $\{fy_n\}$ converges to fx and fy, respectively.

Now, we prove that $S(x,y) = fx$ and $T(y,x) = fy$. Using (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx, T(x,y), T(x,y)\right) \\ \leq \; & G_1\left(fx_{2n+1}, T(x,y), T(x,y)\right) + G_1\left(fx, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right) \\ = \; & G_1\left(S\left(x_{2n}, y_{2n}\right), T(x,y), T(x,y)\right) + G_1\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx\right) \\ \leq \; & k \max\left\{G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx, fx\right), G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy, fy\right), G_2\left(S\left(x_{2n}, y_{2n}\right), fx, fx\right)\right\} \\ & + G_1\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx\right) \\ = \; & k \max\left\{G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx, fx\right), G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy, fy\right), G_2\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_n, fx\right)\right\} \\ & + G_1\left(fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}, fx\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, implies that $G_1(fx, T(x, y), T(x, y)) = 0$, and $T(x, y) = fx$. Also, further from (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(S(x,y), fx, fx\right) \\ = & \ G_1\left(S(x,y), T(x,y), T(x,y)\right) \\ \leq & \ k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx,fx,fx\right), G_2\left(fy,fy,fy\right), G_2\left(S(x,y),fx,fx\right)\right\} \\ = & \ kG_2\left(S(x,y),fx,fx\right) \\ \leq & \ kG_1\left(S(x,y),fx,fx\right), \end{aligned}$$

that is $G_1(S(x, y), fx, fx) = 0$, and $S(x, y) = fx$. Thus, $T(x, y) = S(x, y) = fx$. Similarly, it can be shown that $T(y, x) = S(y, x) = fy$. Thus, (fx, fy) is coupled point of coincidence of mappings f, S, and T.

Now, we shall show that $fx = fy$. So that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(fx_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+2}, fy_{2n+2}\right) \\ = & \ G_1\left(S\left(x_{2n}, y_{2n}\right), T\left(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}\right), T\left(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}\right)\right) \\ \leq & \ k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right), G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right),\right. \\ & \left. G_2\left(S\left(x_{2n}, y_{2n}\right), fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right)\right\} \\ \leq & \ k\max\left\{G_2\left(fy_{2n}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right), G_2\left(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}\right),\right. \\ & \left. G_2\left(fx_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}, fy_{2n+1}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} G_1\left(fx,fy,fy\right) & \leq k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx,fy,fy\right), G_2\left(fx,fx,fy\right)\right\} \\ & = kG_2\left(fx,fx,fy\right) \leq kG_1\left(fx,fx,fy\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

In the similar way, we can show that

$$G_1\left(fy,fx,fx\right) \leq kG_1\left(fy,fy,fx\right). \tag{2.17}$$

From (2.16) and (2.17), we must have $G_1(fx, fy, fy) = 0$ which implies that $fx = fy$. Thus, (fx, fx) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings f, S, and T. Now, if there is another $x^* \in X$ such that (fx^*,fx^*) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings f, S, and T, then

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(fx, fx^*, fx^*) \\ = & \ G_1(S(x,x), T(x^*,x^*), T(x^*,x^*)) \\ \leq & \ k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx,fx^*,fx^*\right), G_2\left(fx,fx^*,fx^*\right), G_2\left(S(x,x),fx^*,fx^*\right)\right\} \\ = & \ kG_2\left(fx,fx^*,fx^*\right) \end{aligned}$$

implies that $G_1(fx, fx^*, fx^*) = 0$ and so $fx^* = fx$. Hence, (fx, fx) is a unique coupled point of coincidence of mappings f, S, and T.

Now, we show that f, S, and T have common coupled fixed point.

For this, let $f(x) = u$. Then, we have $u = fx = T(x, x)$. By w^*-compatibility of f and T, we have

$$f(u) = f(fx) = f\left(T(x,x)\right) = T(fx,fx) = T(u,u). \tag{2.18}$$

That is, (fu, fu) is a coupled point of coincidence of f, S, and T. By the uniqueness of coupled point of coincidence, we have $fu = fx$. Therefore, (u, u) is the common coupled fixed point of f, S, and T.

To prove the uniqueness, we proceed as follows: let $v \in X$ with $u \neq v$ such that (v, v) is the common coupled fixed point of f, S and T. Using (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(u,v,v) \\ = \ & G_1\left(S(u,u),T(v,v),T(u,v)\right) \\ \leq \ & k\max\left\{G_2(fu,fv,fv),G_2(fu,fv,fv),G_2\left(S(u,u),fv,fv\right)\right\} \\ = \ & kG_2(fu,fv,fv) = kG_2(u,v,v) \\ \leq \ & kG_1(u,v,v), \end{aligned}$$

so that $G_1(u, v, v) = 0$ and $u = u^*$. Thus, f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

In Theorem 2.9, take $S = T$, to obtain the following Theorem 2.6 of [22].

Corollary 2.10. Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x, y, z) \leq G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, $T : X \times X \to X$, and $f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(T(x,y),T(u,v),T(s,t)\right) \\ \leq \ & k\max\left\{G_2(fx,fu,fs),G_2(fy,fv,ft),G_2\left(T(x,y),fu,fs\right)\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, s, t \in X$, where $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{2}$. If $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then T and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if T is w^*-compatible with f, then T and f have a unique common coupled fixed point.

In Theorem 2.9, take $s = u$ and $t = v$, to obtain the following corollary which extends and generalizes the corresponding results of [17,22,23].

Corollary 2.11 Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x, y, z) \leq G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, $S, T : X \times X \to X$, and $f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1\left(S\left(x,y\right),T\left(u,v\right),T\left(s,v\right)\right) \\ \leq \ & k\max\left\{G_2\left(fx,fu,fu\right)+G_2\left(fy,fv,fv\right)+G_2\left(S\left(x,y\right),fu,fv\right)\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{2}$. If $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then S, T, and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if S or T is w^*-compatible with f, then f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Corollary 2.12. Let G_1 and G_2 be two G-metrics on X such that $G_2(x, y, z) \leq G_1(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, $S, T : X \times X \to X$, and $f : X \to X$ be mappings satisfying

$$G_1\left(S(x,y),T(u,v),T(s,t) \leq hG_2(fx,fu,fs)\right) \tag{2.21}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, s, t \in X$, where $0 \leq h < 1$. If $S(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, $f(X)$ is G_1-complete subset of X, then S, T, and f have a unique common coupled coincidence point. Moreover, if S or T is w^*-compatible with f, then f, S, and T have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Remark 2.13. By the equivalence of some metrics and cone metric fixed point results in [24]:

(a) Theorem 2.1 can be viewed as an extension and generalization of (i) Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.3, Theorem 2.6, Corollary 2.7 and Corollary 2.8 in [23], (ii) Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.2, Corollary 2.5 and Corollary 2.5 in [22], (iii) Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 in [17].

(b) Theorem 2.9 is a generalization and improvement of (i) Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 in [23], Theorem 2.6, Corollary 2.7 and Corollary 2.8 in [22].

Acknowledgements
The authors thank the referees for their appreciation and suggestions regarding this study.

Author details
[1]Department of Mathematics, The Hashemite University, Zarqa 13115, Jordan [2]Department of Mathematics, Lahore University of Management Sciences, 54792 Lahore, Pakistan

Authors' contributions
All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 14 June 2011 Accepted: 16 November 2011 Published: 16 November 2011

References
1. Agarwal, RP, El-Gebeily, MA, O'Regan, D: Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Appl Anal. **87**, 1–8 (2008). doi:10.1080/00036810701714164
2. Khan, AK, Domlo, AA, Hussain, N: Coincidences of Lipschitz type hybrid maps and invariant approximation. Numer Funct Anal Optim. **28**(9-10), 1165–1177 (2007). doi:10.1080/01630560701563859
3. Lakshmikantham, V, Ćirić, Lj: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric space. Nonlinear Anal. **70**, 4341–4349 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.09.020
4. Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: A new approach to generalized metric spaces. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7**(2), 289–297 (2006)
5. Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Fixed point theorems for contractive mapping in complete G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 10 (2009). Article ID 917175 2009
6. Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces. Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications. pp. 189–198.Valencia, Spain (2003)
7. Mustafa, Z, Obiedat, H, Awawdehand, F: Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 12 (2008). Article ID 189870 2008
8. Mustafa, Z, Awawdeh, F, Shatanawi, W: Fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in G-metric spaces. Int J Contemp Math Sci. **5**, 2463–2472 (2010)
9. Mustafa, Z, Khandaqji, M, Shatanawi, W: Fixed Point Results on Complete G-metric spaces, Studia Sci. Math Hungar. **48**, 304–319 (2011)
10. Abbas, M, Rhoades, BE: Common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces. Appl Math Comput. **215**, 262–269 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2009.04.085
11. Abbas, M, Khan, SH, Nazir, T: Common fixed points of R-weakly commuting maps in generalized metric space. Fixed Point Theory Appl 41 (2011). 2011
12. Saadati, R, Vaezpour, SM, Vetro, P, Rhoades, BE: Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered *G*-metric spaces. Math Comput Modell. **52**, 797–801 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2010.05.009
13. Abbas, M, Nazir, T, Radenović, S: Some periodic point results in generalized metric spaces. Appl Math Comput. **217**, 195–202 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2010.05.042
14. Shatanawi, W: Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying Φ-maps in *G*-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 9 (2010). Article ID 181650 2010
15. Shatanawi, W: Some fixed point theorems in ordered G-metric spaces and applications. Abs Appl Anal 11 (2011). Article ID 126205 2011
16. Bhashkar, TG, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. Nonlinear Anal. **65**, 1379–1393 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.na.2005.10.017
17. Abbas, M, Khan, MA, Radenović, S: Common coupled fixed point theorem in cone metric space for w-compatible mappings. Appl Math Comput. **217**, 195–202 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2010.05.042
18. Choudhury, BS, Maity, P: Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces. Math Comput Modell. **54**, 73–79 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2011.01.036
19. Aydi, H, Damjanovi, B, Samet, B, Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered *G*-metric spaces. Math Comput Modell. **54**, 2443–2450 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2011.05.059
20. Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces. Hacet J Math Stat. **40**, 441–447 (2011)
21. Aydi, H, Shatanawi, W, Vetro, C: On generalized weakly *G*-contraction mapping in *G*-metric spaces. Comput Math Appl. **62**, 4222–4229 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2011.10.007
22. Abbas, M, Khan, AR, Nazir, T: Coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces. Appl Math Comput. **217**, 6328–6336 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.01.006
23. Sabetghadam, F, Masiha, HP, Sanatpour, AH: Some coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 8 (2009). Article ID 125426 2009
24. Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S, Rakočević, V: A note on equivalence of some metric and cone metric fixed point results. Appl Math Lett. **24**, 370–374 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2010.10.030

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-80
Cite this article as: Shatanawi et al.: **Common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces.** *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2011 **2011**:80.