

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Journal of Inequalities and Applications
Volume 2009, Article ID 191649, 12 pages
doi:10.1155/2009/191649

Research Article

Multiple Solutions for a Class of $p(x)$ -Laplacian Systems

Yongqiang Fu and Xia Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xia Zhang, piecesummer1984@163.com

Received 19 November 2008; Accepted 11 February 2009

Recommended by Ondrej Dosly

We study the multiplicity of solutions for a class of Hamiltonian systems with the $p(x)$ -Laplacian. Under suitable assumptions, we obtain a sequence of solutions associated with a sequence of positive energies going toward infinity.

Copyright © 2009 Y. Fu and X. Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Since the space $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$ were thoroughly studied by Kováčik and Rákosník [1], variable exponent Sobolev spaces have been used in the last decades to model various phenomena. In [2], Růžička presented the mathematical theory for the application of variable exponent spaces in electro-rheological fluids.

In recent years, the differential equations and variational problems with $p(x)$ -growth conditions have been studied extensively; see for example [3–6]. In [7], De Figueiredo and Ding discussed the multiple solutions for a kind of elliptic systems on a smooth bounded domain. Motivated by their work, we will consider the following sort of $p(x)$ -Laplacian systems with “concave and convex nonlinearity”:

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\right)+|u|^{p(x)-2}u &= H_u(x,u,v), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ -\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v\right)+|v|^{p(x)-2}v &= -H_v(x,u,v), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = v(x) &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, p is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and satisfies $1 < p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+ < N$, and $H : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 function. In this paper, we are mainly interested in the class

of Hamiltonians H such that

$$H(x, u, v) = \frac{|u|^{\alpha(x)}}{\alpha(x)} + \frac{|v|^{\beta(x)}}{\beta(x)} + F(x, u, v), \quad (1.2)$$

where $1 < \alpha_- \leq \alpha(x) \leq p(x)$, $p(x) \ll \beta(x) \ll p^*(x)$. Here we denote

$$p_+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x), \quad p_- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x), \quad (1.3)$$

and denote by $p(x) \ll \beta(x)$ the fact that $\inf_{x \in \Omega} (\beta(x) - p(x)) > 0$. Throughout this paper, $F(x, u, v)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(H1) $F \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. Writing $z = (u, v)$, $F(x, 0) \equiv 0$, $F_z(x, 0) \equiv 0$;

(H2) there exist $p(x) < q_1(x) \ll p^*(x)$, $1 < q_{2-} \leq q_2(x) < p(x)$ such that

$$|F_u(x, u, v)|, |F_v(x, u, v)| \leq a_0(1 + |u|^{q_1(x)-1} + |v|^{q_2(x)-1}), \quad (1.4)$$

where a_0 is positive constant;

(H3) there exist $\mu(x), \nu(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ with $p(x) \ll \mu(x) \ll p^*(x)$, $1 < \nu_- \leq \nu(x) \leq p(x)$, and $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(x)} F_u(x, u, v)u + \frac{1}{\nu(x)} F_v(x, u, v)v \geq F(x, u, v) > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

when $|(u, v)| \geq R_0$.

As [8, Lemma 1.1], from assumption (H3), there exist $b_0, b_1 > 0$ such that

$$F(x, u, v) \geq b_0(|u|^{\mu(x)} + |v|^{\nu(x)}) - b_1, \quad (1.6)$$

for any $(x, u, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. We can also get that there exists $b_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(x)} F_u(x, u, v)u + \frac{1}{\nu(x)} F_v(x, u, v)v + b_2 \geq F(x, u, v), \quad (1.7)$$

for any $(x, u, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. In this paper, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that hypotheses (H1)–(H3) are fulfilled. If $F(x, z)$ is even in z , then problem (1.1) has a sequence of solutions $\{z_n\}$ such that*

$$I(z_n) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + |u_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} - \frac{|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + |v_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} - H(x, z_n) \right) dx \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.8)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Preliminaries

First we recall some basic properties of variable exponent spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and variable exponent Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain. For a deeper treatment on these spaces, we refer to [1, 9–11].

Let $\mathbf{P}(\Omega)$ be the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

The variable exponent space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the class of all functions u such that $\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty$. Under the assumption that $p_+ < \infty$, $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm (2.1).

The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the class of all functions $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and it can be equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p(x)} = \|u\|_{p(x)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}. \tag{2.2}$$

For $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, if we define

$$\| \|u\| \| = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\lambda^{p(x)}} dx \leq 1 \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

then $\| \|u\| \|$ and $\|u\|_{1,p(x)}$ are equivalent norms on $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

By $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we denote the subspace of $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (2.2) and denote the dual space of $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ by $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$. We know that if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, $\|u\|_{1,p(x)}$ and $\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$ are equivalent norms on $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Under the condition $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space, then there exist $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty} \subset W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{+\infty} \subset W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(e_n) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = m, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq m, \end{cases} \\ W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) &= \overline{\text{span}}\{e_i : i = 1, \dots, n, \dots\}, \\ W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega) &= \overline{\text{span}}\{f_j : j = 1, \dots, m, \dots\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In the following, we will denote that $E = E^1 \oplus E^2$, where

$$E^1 = \{0\} \times W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \quad E^2 = W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \times \{0\}. \tag{2.5}$$

For any $z \in E$, define the norm $\|z\| = \|(u, v)\| = \|u\| + \|v\|$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $e_n^1 = (0, e_n)$, $e_n^2 = (e_n, 0)$ and

$$X_n = \text{span}\{e_1^1, \dots, e_n^1\} \oplus E^2, \quad X^n = E^1 \oplus \text{span}\{e_1^2, \dots, e_n^2\}, \quad (2.6)$$

denote the complement of X^n in E by $(X^n)^\perp = \text{span}\{e_{n+1}^2, e_{n+2}^2, \dots\}$.

3. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Definition 3.1. We say that $z_0 = (u_0, v_0) \in E$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1), that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_0|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_0 \nabla u + |u_0|^{p(x)-2} u_0 u - |\nabla v_0|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_0 \nabla v - |\nabla v_0|^{p(x)-2} v_0 v - H_u(x, u_0, v_0) u - H_v(x, u_0, v_0) v \right) dx = 0, \quad \forall z \in E. \quad (3.1)$$

In this section, we denote that $V_m = \text{span}\{e_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and c_i is positive constant, for any $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 3.2. Any (PS) sequence $\{z_n\} \subset E$, that is, $|I(z_n)| \leq c$ and $I'(z_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is bounded.

Proof. Let $s > 0$ be sufficiently small such that $l_1 = \inf_{x \in \Omega} (1/p(x) - (1+s)/\mu(x)) > 0$, $l_2 = \inf_{x \in \Omega} ((1+s)/\nu(x) - 1/p(x)) > 0$, $l_3 = \sup_{x \in \Omega} ((1/\alpha(x) - (1+s))/\mu(x)) > 0$, $l_4 = \sup_{x \in \Omega} ((1+s)/\nu(x) - 1/\beta(x)) > 0$.

Let $\{z_n\} \subset E$ be such that $|I(z_n)| \leq c$ and $I'(z_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & I(z_n) - \left\langle I'(z_n), \left(\frac{1+s}{\mu(x)} u_n, \frac{1+s}{\nu(x)} v_n \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1+s}{\mu(x)} \right) (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + |u_n|^{p(x)}) + \frac{(1+s)u_n}{\mu(x)^2} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \mu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{1+s}{\nu(x)} - \frac{1}{p(x)} \right) (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + |v_n|^{p(x)}) - \frac{(1+s)v_n}{\nu(x)^2} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \nabla \nu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1+s}{\mu(x)} F_u(x, u_n, v_n) u_n + \frac{1+s}{\nu(x)} F_v(x, u_n, v_n) v_n - F(x, u_n, v_n) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{1+s}{\mu(x)} - \frac{1}{\alpha(x)} \right) |u_n|^{\alpha(x)} + \left(\frac{1+s}{\nu(x)} - \frac{1}{\beta(x)} \right) |v_n|^{\beta(x)} \right) dx \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(l_1 |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + l_2 |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + sF(x, u_n, v_n) - l_3 |u_n|^{\alpha(x)} + l_4 |v_n|^{\beta(x)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{(1+s)u_n}{\mu(x)^2} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \mu - \frac{(1+s)v_n}{\nu(x)^2} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \nabla \nu - (1+s)b_2 \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

As $\mu(x), \nu(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, by the Young inequality, we can get that for any $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(1+s)u_n}{\mu(x)^2} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \mu \right| &\leq c_0 |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-1} |u_n| \\ &\leq c_0 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1(p(x)-1)}{p(x)} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + \frac{\varepsilon_1^{1-p(x)}}{p(x)} |u_n|^{p(x)} \right) \\ &\leq c_0 \left(\varepsilon_1 |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + \varepsilon_1^{1-p_+} |u_n|^{p(x)} \right), \\ \left| \frac{(1+s)v_n}{\nu(x)^2} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \nabla \nu \right| &\leq c_1 \left(\varepsilon_2 |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + \varepsilon_2^{1-p_+} |v_n|^{p(x)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ be sufficiently small such that

$$c_0 \varepsilon_1 \leq \frac{l_1}{2}, \quad c_1 \varepsilon_2 \leq \frac{l_2}{2}, \quad (3.4)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} I(z_n) - \left\langle I'(z_n), \left(\frac{1+s}{\mu(x)} u_n, \frac{1+s}{\nu(x)} v_n \right) \right\rangle \\ \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{l_1}{2} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + \frac{l_2}{2} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + s \left(b_0 |u_n|^{\mu(x)} + b_0 |v_n|^{\nu(x)} - b_1 \right) \right. \\ \left. - \left(l_3 |u_n|^{\alpha(x)} + c_0 \varepsilon_1^{1-p_+} |u_n|^{p(x)} \right) + \left(l_4 |v_n|^{\beta(x)} - c_1 \varepsilon_2^{1-p_+} |v_n|^{p(x)} \right) - (1+s) b_2 \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Note that $\alpha(x) \leq p(x) \ll \mu(x)$, $p(x) \ll \beta(x)$, by the Young inequality, for any $\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5 \in (0, 1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n|^{\alpha(x)} &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_3 \alpha(x) |u_n|^{\mu(x)}}{\mu(x)} + \frac{\mu(x) - \alpha(x)}{\mu(x)} \varepsilon_3^{\alpha(x)/(\alpha(x)-\mu(x))} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_3 |u_n|^{\mu(x)} + \varepsilon_3^{-\alpha_+ / (\mu-\alpha)_-}, \\ |u_n|^{p(x)} &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_4 p(x)}{\mu(x)} |u_n|^{\mu(x)} + \frac{\mu(x) - p(x)}{\mu(x)} \varepsilon_4^{p(x)/(p(x)-\mu(x))} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_4 |u_n|^{\mu(x)} + \varepsilon_4^{-p_+ / (\mu-p)_-}, \\ |v_n|^{p(x)} &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_5 p(x)}{\beta(x)} |v_n|^{\beta(x)} + \frac{\beta(x) - p(x)}{\beta(x)} \varepsilon_5^{p(x)/(p(x)-\beta(x))} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_5 |v_n|^{\beta(x)} + \varepsilon_5^{-p_+ / (\beta-p)_-}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Let $\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5$ be sufficiently small such that $l_3\varepsilon_3 + c_0\varepsilon_1^{1-p_+}\varepsilon_4 \leq sb_0$ and $c_1\varepsilon_2^{1-p_+}\varepsilon_5 \leq l_4$, then we get

$$I(z_n) - \left\langle I'(z_n), \left(\frac{1+s}{\mu(x)}u_n, \frac{1+s}{\nu(x)}v_n \right) \right\rangle \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{l_1}{2} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + \frac{l_2}{2} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} - c_2 \right) dx. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle I'(z_n), \left(\frac{1+s}{\mu(x)}u_n, \frac{1+s}{\nu(x)}v_n \right) \right\rangle \right| &\leq \|I'(z_n)\| \cdot \left(\left\| \frac{1+s}{\mu(x)}u_n \right\| + \left\| \frac{1+s}{\nu(x)}v_n \right\| \right) \\ &\leq c_3 \|I'(z_n)\| \cdot \left(\left| \nabla \left(\frac{1+s}{\mu(x)}u_n \right) \right|_{p(x)} + \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1+s}{\nu(x)}v_n \right) \right|_{p(x)} \right) \\ &\leq c_4 \|I'(z_n)\| \cdot \left(|\nabla u_n|_{p(x)} + |\nabla v_n|_{p(x)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ being large enough, we have

$$c_4 \|I'(z_n)\| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{l_1}{4}, \frac{l_2}{4} \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

It is easy to know that if $|\nabla u_n|_{p(x)} \geq 1$ and $|\nabla v_n|_{p(x)} \geq 1$,

$$|\nabla u_n|_{p(x)} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx, \quad |\nabla v_n|_{p(x)} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} dx, \quad (3.10)$$

thus we get

$$I(z_n) \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{l_1}{4} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + \frac{l_2}{4} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} - c_2 \right) dx, \quad (3.11)$$

then $|\nabla u_n|_{p(x)}, |\nabla v_n|_{p(x)}$ are bounded. Similarly, if $|\nabla u_n|_{p(x)} < 1$ or $|\nabla v_n|_{p(x)} < 1$, we can also get that $|\nabla u_n|_{p(x)}, |\nabla v_n|_{p(x)}$ are bounded. It is immediate to get that $\{z_n\}$ is bounded in E . \square

Lemma 3.3. Any (PS) sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Let $\{z_n\} \subset E$ be a (PS) sequence. By Lemma 3.2, we obtain that $\{z_n\}$ is bounded in E . As E is reflexive, passing to a subsequence, still denoted by $\{z_n\}$, we may assume that there

exists $z \in E$ such that $z_n \rightarrow z$ weakly in E . Then we can get $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(z_n) - I'(z), (u_n - u, 0) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \left((|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) \nabla (u_n - u) \right. \\ &\quad + (|u_n|^{p(x)-2} u_n - |u|^{p(x)-2} u) (u_n - u) \\ &\quad - (|u_n|^{\alpha(x)-2} u_n - |u|^{\alpha(x)-2} u) (u_n - u) \\ &\quad \left. - (F_u(x, u_n, v_n) - F_u(x, u, v)) (u_n - u) \right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

It is easy to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(z_n) - I'(z), (u_n - u, 0) \rangle &\rightarrow 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} F_u(x, u, v) (u_n - u) dx &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (|u_n|^{p(x)-2} u_n - |u|^{p(x)-2} u) (u_n - u) dx &\rightarrow 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} (|u_n|^{\alpha(x)-2} u_n - |u|^{\alpha(x)-2} u) (u_n - u) dx &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By condition (H2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |F_u(x, u_n, v_n) (u_n - u)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} a_0 (1 + |u_n|^{q_1(x)-1} + |v_n|^{q_2(x)-1}) |u_n - u| dx \\ &\leq a_1 (|u_n - u|_1 + ||u_n|^{q_1(x)-1}|_{q'_1(x)} \cdot |u_n - u|_{q_1(x)} + ||v_n|^{q_2(x)-1}|_{q'_2(x)} \cdot |u_n - u|_{q_2(x)}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

It is immediate to get that $|u_n - u|_1 \rightarrow 0$, $||u_n|^{q_1(x)-1}|_{q'_1(x)}$, $||v_n|^{q_2(x)-1}|_{q'_2(x)}$ are bounded and $|u_n - u|_{q_1(x)} \rightarrow 0$, $|u_n - u|_{q_2(x)} \rightarrow 0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} F_u(x, u_n, v_n) (u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0, \\ &\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) \nabla (u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similar to [3, 4, Theorem 3.1], we divide Ω into two parts:

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : p(x) < 2\}, \quad \Omega_2 = \{x \in \Omega : p(x) \geq 2\}. \tag{3.17}$$

On Ω_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_1} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \\ & \leq c_5 \int_{\Omega_1} \left((|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \right)^{p(x)/2} \\ & \quad \times \left(|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \right)^{(2-p(x))/2} dx \\ & \leq c_6 \left| \left((|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \right)^{p(x)/2} \right|_{2/p(x), \Omega_1} \\ & \quad \times \left| \left(|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \right)^{(2-p(x))/2} \right|_{2/(2-p(x)), \Omega_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

then $\int_{\Omega_1} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \rightarrow 0$. On Ω_2 , we have

$$\int_{\Omega_2} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \leq c_7 \int_{\Omega_2} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus we get $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \rightarrow 0$. Then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *There exists $R_m > 0$ such that $I(z) \leq 0$ for all $z \in X^m$ with $\|z\| \geq R_m$.*

Proof. For any $z = (u, v) \in X^m$, $u \in V_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(z) & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} - \frac{|\nabla v|^{p(x)} + |v|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} - F(x, u, v) \right) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p_-} - \frac{|\nabla v|^{p(x)} + |v|^{p(x)}}{p_+} - b_0 |u|^{\mu(x)} + b_1 \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

In the following, we will consider $\int_{\Omega} ((\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)})/p_- - b_0 |u|^{\mu(x)}) dx$.

(i) If $\|u\| \leq 1$. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p_-} - b_0 |u|^{\mu(x)} \right) dx \leq \frac{1}{p_-}. \quad (3.21)$$

(ii) If $\|u\| > 1$. Note that $\mu, p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $p(x) \ll \mu(x)$. For any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, there exists $Q(x)$ which is an open subset of $\overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$p_x = \sup_{y \in Q(x)} p(y) < \mu_x = \inf_{y \in Q(x)} \mu(y), \quad (3.22)$$

then $\{Q(x)\}_{x \in \bar{\Omega}}$ is an open covering of $\bar{\Omega}$. As $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact, we can pick a finite subcovering $\{Q(x)\}_{i=1}^n$ for $\bar{\Omega}$. Thus there exists a sequence of open set $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$ and

$$p_{i+} = \sup_{x \in \Omega_i} p(x) < \mu_{i-} = \inf_{x \in \Omega_i} \mu(x), \tag{3.23}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote that $r_i = \|u\|_{\Omega_i}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p_-} - b_0 |u|^{\mu(x)} \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega_i} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p_-} - b_0 |u|^{\mu(x)} \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{r_i > 1} \int_{\Omega_i} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p_-} - b_0 |u|^{\mu(x)} \right) dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{r_i \leq 1} \int_{\Omega_i} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p_-} - b_0 |u|^{\mu(x)} \right) dx \\ & \leq \sum_{r_i > 1} \left(\frac{\|u\|_{\Omega_i}^{p_{i+}}}{p_-} - b_0 k_{m_i} \|u\|_{\Omega_i}^{\mu_{i-}} \right) + \frac{n}{p_-}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where $k_{m_i} = \inf_{u \in V_m|_{\Omega_i}, \|u\|_{\Omega_i}=1} \int_{\Omega_i} |u|^{\mu(x)} dx$. As $V_m|_{\Omega_i}$ is a finite dimensional space, we have $k_{m_i} > 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We denote by s_i the maximum of polynomial $t^{p_{i+}}/p_- - b_0 k_{m_i} t^{\mu_{i-}}$ on $[0, \infty)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there exists $t_0 > 1$ such that

$$\frac{t^{p_{i+}}}{p_-} - b_0 k_{m_i} t^{\mu_{i-}} + c_8 \leq 0, \tag{3.25}$$

for $t > t_0$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $c_8 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i + n/p_- + b_1 \text{meas } \Omega$.

Let $R_m = \max\{2, 2(p_+(c_8 + 1/p_-))^{1/p_-}, 2nt_0\}$. If $\|z\| \geq R_m$, we get $\|u\| \geq R_m/2$ or $\|v\| \geq R_m/2$.

- (i) If $\|u\| \geq R_m/2$, $\|u\| \geq nt_0 > 1$. It is easy to verify that there exists at least i_0 such that $\|u\|_{\Omega_{i_0}} \geq t_0 > 1$, thus

$$I(z) \leq \frac{\|u\|_{\Omega_{i_0}}^{p_{i_0+}}}{p_-} - b_0 k_{m_{i_0}} \|u\|_{\Omega_{i_0}}^{\mu_{i_0-}} + c_8 \leq 0. \tag{3.26}$$

(ii) If $\|v\| \geq R_m/2$, $\|v\| \geq (p_+(c_8 + 1/p_-))^{1/p_-}$. We obtain

$$I(z) \leq c_8 + \frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{\|v\|^{p_-}}{p_+} \leq 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Now we get the result. \square

Lemma 3.5. *There exist $r_m > 0$ and $a_m \rightarrow \infty$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$) such that $I(z) \geq a_m$, for any $z \in (X^{m-1})^\perp$ with $\|z\| = r_m$.*

Proof. For $z = (u, v) \in (X^{m-1})^\perp$, $v = 0$. By condition (H2), there exists $c_9 > 0$ such that

$$|F(x, u, 0)| \leq c_9|u|^{q_1(x)} + c_9. \quad (3.28)$$

Let $\|z\| \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(z) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} - \frac{|u|^{\alpha(x)}}{\alpha(x)} - F(x, u, 0) \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p_+} - \frac{|u|^{\alpha(x)}}{\alpha_-} - c_9|u|^{q_1(x)} - c_9 \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p_+} - c_{10}|u|^{q_1(x)} \right) dx - c_{11}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Denote that

$$\theta_m = \sup_{\substack{u \in V_m^\perp \\ \|u\| \leq 1}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q_1(x)} dx, \quad (3.30)$$

thus

$$I(z) \geq \frac{\|u\|^{p_-}}{p_+} - c_{10}\theta_m\|u\|^{q_{1+}} - c_{11}. \quad (3.31)$$

Let

$$r_m = \max \left\{ 1, \left(\frac{p_-}{c_{10}p_+q_{1+}\theta_m} \right)^{1/(q_{1+}-p_-)}, \left(\frac{2c_{11}p_+q_{1+}}{q_{1+}-p_-} \right)^{1/p_-} \right\}. \quad (3.32)$$

By [5, Lemma 3.3], we get that $\theta_m \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$I(z) \geq r_m^{p_-} \frac{(q_{1+} - p_-)}{p_+ q_{1+}} - c_{11} \quad (3.33)$$

$$\triangleq a_m,$$

when m is sufficiently large and $\|z\| = r_m$. It is easy to get that $a_m \rightarrow \infty$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma 3.6. *I is bounded from above on any bounded set of X^m .*

Proof. For $z = (u, v) \in X^m$. We get

$$I(z) \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} - F(x, u, v) \right) dx. \quad (3.34)$$

By conditions (H2) and (H3), we know that if $|(u, v)| \geq R_0$, $F(x, u, v) \geq 0$ and if $|(u, v)| < R_0$, $|F(x, u, v)| \leq c_0$. Then

$$I(z) \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} + c_{12} \right) dx, \quad (3.35)$$

and it is easy to get the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemmas 3.2–3.6 above, and [7, Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.1], we know that the functional I has a sequence of critical values $c_k \rightarrow \infty$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now we complete the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Science Research Foundation in Harbin Institute of Technology (HITC200702) and The Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (A2007-04).

References

- [1] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, "On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 41(116), no. 4, pp. 592–618, 1991.
- [2] M. Růžička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, vol. 1748 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [3] J. Chabrowski and Y. Fu, "Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems on a bounded domain," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 306, no. 2, pp. 604–618, 2005.
- [4] J. Chabrowski and Y. Fu, "Corrigendum to: "Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems on a bounded domain,"" *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 323, no. 2, p. 1483, 2006.
- [5] X. Fan and X. Han, "Existence and multiplicity of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations in \mathbb{R}^N ," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 59, no. 1-2, pp. 173–188, 2004.
- [6] M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, "A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, vol. 462, no. 2073, pp. 2625–2641, 2006.

- [7] D. G. De Figueiredo and Y. H. Ding, "Strongly indefinite functionals and multiple solutions of elliptic systems," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 355, no. 7, pp. 2973–2989, 2003.
- [8] P. L. Felmer, "Periodic solutions of "superquadratic" Hamiltonian systems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 188–207, 1993.
- [9] D. E. Edmunds, J. Lang, and A. Nekvinda, "On $L^{p(x)}$ norms," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, vol. 455, no. 1981, pp. 219–225, 1999.
- [10] D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, "Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent," *Studia Mathematica*, vol. 143, no. 3, pp. 267–293, 2000.
- [11] X. Fan, Y. Zhao, and D. Zhao, "Compact imbedding theorems with symmetry of Strauss-Lions type for the space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 255, no. 1, pp. 333–348, 2001.