



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINGER

RECEIVED: September 28, 2015

ACCEPTED: November 3, 2015

PUBLISHED: November 24, 2015

$K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ and ε'/ε in simplified new physics models

Andrzej J. Buras, Dario Buttazzo and Robert Kneijens

*Institute for Advanced Study, Technische Universität München,
Lichtenbergstr. 2a, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

*Physik Department, Technische Universität München,
James-Frank-Straße, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

E-mail: andrzej.buras@tum.de, dario.buttazzo@tum.de,
robert.kneijens@tum.de

ABSTRACT: The decays $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$, being the theoretically cleanest rare decays of mesons, are very sensitive probes of New Physics (NP). In view of the excellent prospects of reaching the Standard Model (SM) sensitivity for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ by the NA62 experiment at CERN and for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ by the KOTO experiment at J-PARC, we study them in the simplest extensions of the SM in which stringent correlations between these two decays and other flavour observables are present. We first consider simple models with tree-level Z and Z' contributions in which either Minimal Flavour Violation (MFV) or a $U(2)^3$ symmetry is imposed on the quark flavour-violating couplings. We then compare the resulting correlations with those present in generic models in which the latter couplings are arbitrary, subject to the constraints from $\Delta F = 2$ processes, electroweak and collider data. Of particular interest are the correlations with ε'/ε and $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ which limit the size of NP contributions to $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$, depending on the Dirac structure of couplings and the relevant operators. But in MFV models also the constraint from $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ turns out to be important. We take into account the recent results from lattice QCD and large N approach that indicate ε'/ε in the SM to be significantly below the data. While in many models the enhancement of ε'/ε implies the suppression of $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$, we present two models in which ε'/ε and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ can be simultaneously enhanced relative to SM predictions. A correlation between $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $B \rightarrow K(K^*) \mu^+ \mu^-$, found by us in the simple models considered here, should be of interest for NA62 and LHCb experimentalists at CERN in the coming years. The one with $B \rightarrow K(K^*) \nu \bar{\nu}$ will be tested at Belle II.

KEYWORDS: Rare Decays, Beyond Standard Model, Kaon Physics, B-Physics

ARXIV EPRINT: [1507.08672](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.08672)

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	General formulae and properties	4
2.1	General expressions	4
2.2	Basic properties	5
3	Simplified models	6
3.1	Z models with flavour symmetries	7
3.1.1	$U(3)^3$ case	7
3.1.2	$U(2)^3$ case	9
3.2	Z' models with flavour symmetries	10
3.3	Z and Z' with arbitrary FCNC quark couplings	11
4	ε'/ε	11
4.1	General structure	11
4.2	SM contribution	13
4.3	CMFV and $U(2)^3$	14
4.4	Z with general flavour-violating couplings	14
4.4.1	LH scenario	15
4.4.2	RH scenario	15
4.4.3	General case	16
4.5	Z' with flavour-violating couplings	16
4.6	Can ε'/ε and $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ be simultaneously enhanced?	16
4.6.1	Simplified Z model	17
4.6.2	Simplified Z' model	18
5	Relations to other $\Delta F = 1$ processes	19
5.1	$b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu$	19
5.2	$B \rightarrow K(K^*)\nu\bar{\nu}$	20
5.3	$K_L \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	22
6	Results and comparison of bounds	23
6.1	Preliminaries	23
6.2	CMFV and $U(2)^3$ for Z and Z' models	24
6.3	Generic Z models	26
6.4	Generic Z' models	26
7	Summary and outlook	27

1 Introduction

After more than twenty years of waiting [1], the prospects of measuring the branching ratios for two *golden* modes $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ within this decade are very good. Indeed, the NA62 experiment at CERN is expected to measure the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ branching ratio with the precision of $\pm 10\%$ [2, 3], and the KOTO experiment at J-PARC should make a significant progress in measuring the branching ratio for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ [4, 5].

These decays are theoretically very clean and their branching ratios have been calculated within the SM including NNLO QCD corrections [6–8] and NLO electroweak corrections [9–11]. Moreover, extensive calculations of isospin breaking effects and non-perturbative effects have been done [12, 13]. Therefore, once the CKM parameters $|V_{cb}|$, $|V_{ub}|$ and γ will be precisely determined in tree-level decays, these two decays will offer an excellent probe of the physics beyond the SM. Reviews of these two decays can be found in [4, 14–17].

In a recent paper [18] we have reviewed the status of these decays within the SM taking into account all presently available information from other observables and lattice QCD. In calculating the branching ratios for these decays we followed two strategies:

Strategy A: in which the CKM matrix is determined using tree-level measurements of

$$|V_{us}|, \quad |V_{cb}|, \quad |V_{ub}|, \quad \gamma, \quad (1.1)$$

where γ is one of the angles of the unitarity triangle. As new physics (NP) seems to be by now well separated from the electroweak scale, this determination is likely not polluted by NP contributions allowing the determination of *true* values of all elements of the CKM matrix. Inserting these values into the known expressions for the relevant branching ratios allowed us to determine the SM values for these branching ratios independently of whether NP is present at short distance scales or not. We found

$$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = (8.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-11}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) = (3.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-11}. \quad (1.3)$$

This strategy is clearly optimal as it allows to predict *true* SM values of these branching ratios.

Strategy B: in which it is assumed that the SM is the whole story and the values of CKM parameters are extracted from $\Delta F = 2$ observables, in particular ε_K , ΔM_s , ΔM_d and mixing induced CP asymmetries $S_{\psi_{K_S}}$ and S_{ψ_ϕ} . Having more constraints, more accurate values of $|V_{cb}|$, $|V_{ub}|$ and γ than in strategy A could be found implying significantly more accurate predictions

$$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = (9.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-11}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) = (3.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-11}. \quad (1.5)$$

These latter results are useful in the sense that in the case of future measurements of these two branching ratios differing from them would signal the presence of NP but this

NP would not necessarily be contributing to these two decays as it could also pollute the determination of CKM parameters through loop decays.

Evidently, strategy A is superior to strategy B in the context of NP analyses, since it allows to determine the CKM matrix elements independently of NP effects which may depend on a large number of parameters. But in a given NP model, in which contributions to rare processes involve only a small number of new parameters in addition to the SM ones, strategy B could also be efficiently used. However, in the present paper we will exclusively use the strategy A.

The decays $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ have been studied over many years in various concrete extensions of the SM. A review of the analyses performed until August 2007 can be found in [14]. More recent reviews can be found in [15–17, 19, 20]. Most extensive analyses have been performed in supersymmetric models [21–25], the Littlest Higgs (LH) model without T-parity [26], the LH model with T-parity (LHT) [27, 28], Randall-Sundrum models [29, 30], models with partial compositeness [31] and 331 models [32–34]. All these models contain several new parameters related to couplings and masses of new fermions, gauge bosons and scalars and the analysis of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ requires the inclusion of all constraints on couplings and masses of these particles and consequently is rather involved. Moreover, the larger number of parameters present in these models does not presently allow for clear cut conclusions beyond rough bounds on the size of NP contributions to $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$.

Therefore, we think that presently in order to get a better insight into the structure of the possible impact of NP on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays, and in particular on the correlation between them and other observables, it is useful to consider models with a only small number of parameters. With this idea in mind we will consider:

- General classes of models based on a $U(3)^3$ flavour symmetry (MFV), illustrating them by means of two specific models in which quark flavour violating couplings of Z and of a heavy Z' are consistent with this symmetry.
- Models in which the flavour symmetry $U(3)^3$ is reduced to $U(2)^3$, illustrating the results again by means of two simple Z and Z' models.
- The Z and Z' models with tree-level FCNCs in which the quark couplings are arbitrary subject to available constraints from other decays. In particular in this case we will include right-handed currents which are absent in MFV and strongly suppressed in the simplest $U(2)^3$ models.

Note that in each case we consider as benchmarks Z and Z' models with tree-level FCNCs to quarks, and flavour-conserving, as well as flavour universal, couplings to leptons. Neglecting the tiny neutrino masses, one can assume NP to have only left-handed vector couplings to the neutrino pair, and ignore scalar currents. Therefore simplified models involving gauge-bosons form a good generalisation of the more specific NP models available. The simplified Z can mimic modified Z penguins for instance, occurring in supersymmetric models for example, while a Z' -like particle occurs in several of the other models listed earlier.

In addition to $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ the ratio ε'/ε belongs to the most prominent observables in K -meson physics. It is also very sensitive to NP contributions, but is unfortunately subject to large hadronic uncertainties present in the matrix elements of QCD and electroweak penguin operators. Moreover, strong cancellations between these two contributions make precise predictions for ε'/ε in the SM and its various extensions difficult. Reviews of ε'/ε can be found in [35–39]. The most recent analyses of ε'/ε within $Z(Z')$ and 331 models have been presented in [40] and [34], respectively. See also our SM analysis in [18].

Most importantly, improved anatomy of ε'/ε within the SM have been presented in [41]. It was triggered by the first result on ε'/ε from the RCB-UKQCD lattice collaboration [42], which indicated that ε'/ε in the SM could be significantly below the data, but the large theoretical uncertainties in this calculation did not yet allow for firm conclusions. These uncertainties have been significantly reduced in [41] through the extraction of a number of hadronic matrix elements of contributing operators from the CP-conserving $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ data. Parallel to this study an important upper bound for the contribution of QCD penguins to ε'/ε has been derived from the large N approach [43]. The analysis in [41] combined with the bound in [43] demonstrates that indeed ε'/ε in the SM could turn out to be significantly lower than its experimental value. We will be more explicit about this in section 4.

Now, in most extensions of the SM found in the literature the enhancement of ε'/ε through NP usually implies the suppression of the branching ratio for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$. But, as we will demonstrate in section 4.6 simplified models can be constructed in which ε'/ε and the branching ratio for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ can be simultaneously enhanced over their SM values.

Our paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we collect basic formulae for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ valid in any extension of the SM and discuss their general properties. In section 3 we formulate the simple Z and Z' models in question. In section 4 we recall some aspects of ε'/ε concentrating on the simplified models of the previous section. In particular we present two simplified models in which ε'/ε , $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu})$ can be enhanced simultaneously over their SM values. In section 5 we present formulae for various decays and observables in the simplified models of section 3 and discuss their correlations with $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$. This includes $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions, $B \rightarrow K(K^*)\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$. $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ plays an important role in constraining the allowed values of $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu})$. While some numerical results will be shown already in previous sections the main numerical analysis of the models of section 3 is presented in section 6. We conclude in section 7.

2 General formulae and properties

2.1 General expressions

The branching ratios for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ in any extension of the SM in which light neutrinos couple only to left-handed currents are given as follows

$$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}) = \kappa_+(1 + \Delta_{\text{EM}}) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\text{Im } X_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda^5} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\text{Re } \lambda_c}{\lambda} P_c(X) + \frac{\text{Re } X_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda^5} \right)^2 \right], \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) = \kappa_L \cdot \left(\frac{\text{Im } X_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda^5} \right)^2, \quad (2.2)$$

where [13]

$$\kappa_+ = (5.173 \pm 0.025) \cdot 10^{-11} \left[\frac{\lambda}{0.225} \right]^8, \quad \Delta_{\text{EM}} = -0.003, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\kappa_L = (2.231 \pm 0.013) \cdot 10^{-10} \left[\frac{\lambda}{0.225} \right]^8. \quad (2.4)$$

and $\lambda_i = V_{is}^* V_{id}$ are the CKM factors. For the charm contribution, represented by $P_c(X)$, the calculations in [7–9, 12, 13] imply [18]

$$P_c(X) = 0.404 \pm 0.024, \quad (2.5)$$

where the error is dominated by the long distance uncertainty estimated in [12]. In what follows we will assume that NP does not modify this value, which turns out to be true in all extensions of the SM we know about. Such contributions can be in any case absorbed into the function X_{eff} . The latter function that describes pure short distance contributions from top quark exchanges and NP is given by

$$X_{\text{eff}} = V_{ts}^* V_{td} (X_L(K) + X_R(K)) \equiv V_{ts}^* V_{td} X_L^{\text{SM}}(K) (1 + \xi e^{i\theta}). \quad (2.6)$$

The functions $X_L(K)$ and $X_R(K)$ summarise the contributions from left-handed and right-handed quark currents, respectively. In the SM only $X_L(K)$ is non-vanishing and is given by [18]

$$X_L^{\text{SM}}(K) = 1.481 \pm 0.005_{\text{th}} \pm 0.008_{\text{exp}} = 1.481 \pm 0.009. \quad (2.7)$$

One can also express the function X_{eff} as a function of the branching ratios $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$, which is useful for the study of correlations of the latter with other flavour observables. One has, directly from (2.1), (2.2),

$$\text{Re } X_{\text{eff}} = -\lambda^5 \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})}{\kappa_+ (1 + \Delta_{\text{EM}})} - \frac{\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})}{\kappa_L} \right]^{1/2} - \lambda^4 \text{Re } \lambda_c P_c(X), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\text{Im } X_{\text{eff}} = \lambda^5 \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})}{\kappa_L} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (2.9)$$

In choosing the signs in these formulae we assumed that NP contributions do not reverse the sign of SM functions. For more general expressions admitting such a possibility see [44]. At the Grossmann-Nir bound [45] the square root in (2.8) vanishes.

2.2 Basic properties

The correlation between $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ depends on the short distance dynamics, encapsulated in the two real parameters ξ and θ that vanish in the SM. Measuring these branching ratios one day will allow to determine those parameters and, comparing them with their expectations in concrete models, obtain insight into the flavour structure of the NP contributions. Those can be dominated by left-handed currents, by right-handed currents, or by both with similar magnitudes and phases. In general one can distinguish between three classes of models [46]:

1. Models with a CKM-like structure of flavour interactions. If based on flavour symmetries only, they include MFV and $U(2)^3$ models [47]. In this case the function $X_L(K)$ is real and $X_R(K) = 0$. There is then only one variable to our disposal, the value of $X_L(K)$, and the only allowed values of both branching ratios are on the green branches in figure 1. But due to stringent correlations with other observables present in this class of models, only certain ranges for $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ are still allowed, which we will determine in the context of our analysis.
2. Models with new flavour and CP-violating interactions in which either left-handed currents or right-handed currents fully dominate, implying that left-right operator contributions to ε_K can be neglected. In this case there is a strong correlation between NP contributions to ε_K and $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ and the ε_K constraint implies the blue branch structure shown in figure 1. On the horizontal branch NP contribution to $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ is real and therefore vanishes in the case of $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$. On the second branch NP contribution is purely imaginary and this branch is parallel to the Grossman-Nir (GN) bound [45]. In practice, due to uncertainties in ε_K , there are moderate deviations from this structure which is characteristic for the LHT model [27], or Z or Z' FCNC scenarios with either pure LH or RH couplings [48, 49].
3. If left-right operators have significant contribution to ε_K or generally if the correlation between ε_K and $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ is weak or absent, the two branch structure is also absent. Dependent on the values of ξ or θ , any value of $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ is in principle possible. The red region in figure 1 shows the resulting structure for a fixed value of ξ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. Randall-Sundrum models with custodial protection (RSc) belong to this class of models [29]. However, it should be kept in mind that usually the removal of the correlation with ε_K requires subtle cancellations between different contributions to ε_K and consequently some tuning of parameters [29, 49].

Unfortunately, on the basis of only these two branching ratios alone, it is not possible to find out how important the contributions of right-handed currents are, as their effects are hidden in a single function X_{eff} . In this sense the decays governed by $b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu}$ transitions, which will also enter our analysis, are complementary, and the correlation between $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays and $B \rightarrow K(K^*) \nu \bar{\nu}$, as well as $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, can help in identifying the presence or absence of right-handed currents.

3 Simplified models

In studying correlations between various decays it is important to remember that

- Correlations between decays of different mesons test the flavour structure of couplings or generally flavour symmetries.
- Correlations between decays of a given meson test the Dirac structure of couplings.

We will look at the first correlations by comparing those within MFV models based on a $U(3)^3$ flavour symmetry with the ones present in models with a minimally broken $U(2)^3$




Figure 1. Illustrations of common correlations in the $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ versus $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ plane. The expanding red region illustrates the lack of correlation for models with general LH and RH NP couplings. The green region shows the correlation present in models obeying CMFV. The blue region shows the correlation induced by the constraint from ϵ_K if only LH or RH couplings are present.

flavour symmetry [50, 51]. In the latter case we will work at leading order in the breaking of the symmetry, and therefore assume that only the left-handed quark couplings are relevant, as in MFV. We will then extend the analysis to more general models with generic flavour structure.

3.1 Z models with flavour symmetries

In order to exhibit correlations of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays with other observables we will first consider two simple Z models in which the quark flavour violating couplings are consistent either with a $U(3)^3$ or with a $U(2)^3$ symmetry. These models are very restrictive as the Z mass and its couplings to leptons are known. In particular, in the conventions of [48] for the couplings $\Delta(Z)$ of the Z boson to fermions,

$$\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z) = \Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z) = \frac{g}{2c_W} = 0.372. \quad (3.1)$$

However, in order to be able to generalise our analysis straightforwardly to the Z' case, we will use the general expressions for these lepton couplings.

We will then find that in the case of MFV there is only one new real parameter a and in the $U(2)^3$ case there are three new real parameters: real a and a complex b .

3.1.1 $U(3)^3$ case

In this case the Z quark flavour violating couplings are given respectively for the three meson systems (K, B_d, B_s) as follows:

$$\Delta_L^{sd}(Z) = aV_{ts}^*V_{td}, \quad \Delta_L^{db}(Z) = aV_{td}^*V_{tb}, \quad \Delta_L^{sb}(Z) = aV_{ts}^*V_{tb}, \quad (3.2)$$

where a is flavour-universal and real.

The presence of tree-level Z contributions in various flavour observables can be summarised by shifts in the master functions S , X and Y which enter respectively the expressions for quark mixing ($\Delta F = 2$) and branching ratios for meson decays with $\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ in the final state.

The couplings in (3.2) imply then:

$$\Delta S(K) = \Delta S(B_d) = \Delta S(B_s) \equiv \Delta S = a^2 \frac{4\tilde{r}}{M_Z^2 g_{\text{SM}}^2} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$g_{\text{SM}}^2 = 4 \frac{M_W^2 G_F^2}{2\pi^2} = 1.78137 \times 10^{-7} \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \quad (3.4)$$

with G_F being the Fermi constant. $\tilde{r} = 1.068$ is a QCD correction [48].

Similarly,

$$\Delta X_L(K) = \Delta X_L(B_d) = \Delta X_L(B_s) \equiv \Delta X = a \frac{\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z)}{M_Z^2 g_{\text{SM}}^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\Delta Y_A(K) = \Delta Y_A(B_d) = \Delta Y_A(B_s) \equiv \Delta Y = a \frac{\Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z)}{M_Z^2 g_{\text{SM}}^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

We observe very strong correlations between the three meson systems. This model has only one new real parameter a with respect to the SM, which could be positive or negative. In fact, using the equality of the Z couplings in (3.1) and eliminating the parameter a we find a very stringent relation

$$\Delta X = \Delta Y = \pm 4.67 \sqrt{\Delta S}, \quad (3.7)$$

where the sign corresponds to two possible signs of a . The consequences of this relation are rather profound. In particular:

- The size of possible effects in rare decays is strongly bounded by the allowed universal shift in the box function S .
- However, as $S_{\text{SM}} > X_{\text{SM}} > Y_{\text{SM}} > 0$, NP generically affects, in this scenario, rare decays stronger than particle-antiparticle mixing.
- While the flavour universal shifts ΔX and ΔY can have generally both signs, with the real parameter a , the universal shifts ΔS are strictly positive in agreement with the general discussion in [52]. This means that $\Delta M_{s,d}$ and ε_K can only be enhanced in this scenario, and this happens in a correlated manner.
- Due to the present data on $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ the shift $\Delta Y < 0$ is favoured, implying *suppression* of all rare decay branching ratios governed by the functions X and Y . Moreover, the amounts of these suppressions are correlated with each other. We stress that this property is characteristic for tree-level Z exchange and originates in the signs of the leptonic couplings in (3.1).

- As in the SM $X_{\text{SM}} > Y_{\text{SM}}$, NP affects stronger decays with $\mu\bar{\mu}$ in the final state than those with $\nu\bar{\nu}$.

Our numerical analysis in section 6 will show that in this scenario NP effects are generally below 50% at the level of the branching ratios.

3.1.2 $U(2)^3$ case

The Z couplings in (3.2) are now modified to

$$\Delta_L^{sd}(Z) = aV_{ts}^*V_{td}, \quad \Delta_L^{db}(Z) = bV_{td}^*V_{tb}, \quad \Delta_L^{sb}(Z) = bV_{ts}^*V_{tb}, \quad (3.8)$$

with $b \neq a$ being a complex number. Therefore, compared with the $U(3)^3$ case, b represents two new real parameters: its absolute value, and the phase which has impact on CP violation in $B_{s,d}$ systems. In this case the correlation between the K system and the $B_{s,d}$ systems is broken. For the K system the MFV formulae remain unchanged, while now

$$\Delta S(B_d) = \Delta S(B_s) \equiv \Delta S(B) = (b^*)^2 \frac{4\tilde{r}}{M_Z^2 g_{\text{SM}}^2}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\Delta X_L(B_d) = \Delta X_L(B_s) \equiv \Delta X(B) = b \frac{\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z)}{M_Z^2 g_{\text{SM}}^2}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\Delta Y_A(B_d) = \Delta Y_A(B_s) \equiv \Delta Y(B) = b \frac{\Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z)}{M_Z^2 g_{\text{SM}}^2}. \quad (3.11)$$

We find then

$$\Delta X(B) = \Delta Y(B) = \pm 4.67 \sqrt{\Delta S(B)}^*. \quad (3.12)$$

Moreover, writing the total S function as

$$S(B) = S_{\text{SM}} + \Delta S(B) = |S(B)| e^{-i2\varphi}, \quad (3.13)$$

where a non-zero φ is generated by quark flavour violating Z couplings, we find the known anti-correlation between mixing induced CP asymmetries in B_d and B_s systems respectively:

$$S_{\psi K_S} = \sin(2\beta + 2\varphi), \quad S_{\psi\phi} = \sin(2|\beta_s| - 2\varphi) \quad (3.14)$$

We note then:

- While ε_K can only be enhanced in this scenario, the fact that b is a complex number implies the possibility of $|S(B_q)|$ being larger or smaller than S_{SM} , and therefore allows for both enhancements and suppressions of $\Delta M_{s,d}$, independently of ε_K . In this manner some tensions in the unitarity triangle fits can be avoided [50, 51].
- In the $B_{s,d}$ meson systems the suppressions of branching ratios are favoured by the $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ data.
- Due to the measured value of $S_{\psi\phi}$ being SM-like, also the size of allowed modifications in $S_{\psi K_S}$ is predicted to be small. As seen in (3.14) the modifications of these two asymmetries are anti-correlated with each other and for fixed γ this anti-correlation depends on the value of $|V_{ub}|$ [53]. Similarly to MFV, this scenario favours then $|V_{ub}|$ from exclusive decays, although it still allows for visible non-MFV effects.

- However, due to the breakdown of the correlation between $B_{s,d}$ and K meson system, NP effects in $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ can be larger than in the MFV case, being only subject to constraints from ε_K , ΔM_K , $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and also ε'/ε . As we will see below the absence of correlation with $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ is important here.

3.2 Z' models with flavour symmetries

These models are less restrictive, and in the MFV case have four new real parameters relative to the SM,

$$a, \quad M_{Z'}, \quad \Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z'), \quad \Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z'), \quad (3.15)$$

where $\Delta(Z')$ denote the Z' couplings to fermions, and this number is reduced in the correlations between various observables. In the case of $U(2)^3$ models an additional complex parameter $b \neq a$ in $B_{s,d}$ systems is present and the correlations between the K system and the $B_{s,d}$ systems are broken.

The relevant formulae for the shifts in various functions are obtained from the ones in the Z models by simply replacing M_Z by $M_{Z'}$ and the Z couplings by Z' ones. The QCD correction \tilde{r} in (3.3) depends logarithmically on the Z' mass [48]. For definiteness we will set $\tilde{r} = 0.941$, which corresponds to $M_{Z'} = 5 \text{ TeV}$.

The crucial difference between Z' and Z models is not only the big difference in their masses but more importantly that the Z' couplings to leptons are in principle arbitrary and do not have to satisfy the relation (3.1). On the other hand, in accordance with the $SU(2)_L$ symmetry we have for all Z' models, independently of whether a flavour symmetry is imposed,

$$\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z') = \Delta_L^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z'), \quad \Delta_V^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z') = 2\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z') + \Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z'). \quad (3.16)$$

This will have interesting consequences as we will see below. Moreover, these couplings and $M_{Z'}$ are constrained by LEP II and present LHC data.

The correlations between various loop functions in the MFV case have now the structure

$$\Delta X = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\Delta S^*} \Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z')}{2\sqrt{\tilde{r}} M_{Z'} g_{\text{SM}}}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\Delta Y = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\Delta S^*} \Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z')}{2\sqrt{\tilde{r}} M_{Z'} g_{\text{SM}}}, \quad (3.18)$$

and generally, in contrast to (3.7), $\Delta X \neq \Delta Y$. In the $U(2)^3$ scenario these formulae apply separately for the loop functions of the K and $B_{s,d}$ systems, which generally differ from each other. Notice that ΔS is always real in the $U(3)^3$ case.

The following new features relative to the case of Z models should be noted

- As now $\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z')$ and $\Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z')$ can differ from each other, the correlations between decays with muons and neutrinos in the final state are in principle absent. Therefore even in the MFV scenario the data on $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ alone, being sensitive only to $\Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z')$ have no impact on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$, $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu}$ transitions. However, when the data on $B \rightarrow K(K^*) \mu^+ \mu^-$ are taken into account and the coupling

$\Delta_V^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z')$ is restricted, the $SU(2)_L$ relation in (3.16) implies some bounds on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ in addition to those following from the allowed size of ΔS . We will be more explicit about this issue in section 5.1 below.

- After ΔS and $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ constraints have been imposed, for fixed leptonic Z' couplings, NP effects in rare decays decrease with increasing $M_{Z'}$ and as we will see in section 6 for $M_{Z'} \geq 5$ TeV they will be rather small, in particular smaller than in particle-antiparticle mixing. This opposite hierarchy between NP effects in mixing and rare decays relative to the Z case could allow one in the future to distinguish Z and Z' scenarios.
- In the $U(2)^3$ scenario also the correlations between NP effects in $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays and $B_{s,d}$ meson systems are broken allowing still significant enhancements of both branching ratios subject to the constraints from ε_K , ΔM_K and the LEP and LHC bounds on the Z' mass and its leptonic couplings.

3.3 Z and Z' with arbitrary FCNC quark couplings

Finally, we will investigate the cases of general FCNC quark couplings of Z and Z' so that non-minimal sources of flavour violation will be present in all meson systems and generally they will not be correlated with each other. This will allow larger NP contributions to $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ than what was possible in the previous cases.

The simplest scenario of NP with non-minimal sources of flavour violation is the case of the Z boson with FCNCs. The only freedom in the kaon system in this NP scenario are the complex couplings $\Delta_{L,R}^{sd}(Z)$ as the Z mass and its couplings to leptons are known. In Z' models, in addition to $\Delta_{L,R}^{sd}(Z')$, two new real parameters enter: $M_{Z'}$ and $\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z')$. In the latter case we will be guided by the bounds on the Z' mass and its leptonic couplings from LEP II and the LHC as well as LHCb data on $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions.

These scenarios have already been considered in [40, 48, 54] but the treatment of CKM parameters was different there, and both the input from lattice QCD and the value of $|V_{cb}|$ have changed in the meantime.

4 ε'/ε

4.1 General structure

Let us begin our presentation of ε'/ε with the general formula for the effective Hamiltonian relevant for $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decays in any extension of the SM

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}(K \rightarrow \pi\pi) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}(K \rightarrow \pi\pi)(\text{SM}) + \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}(K \rightarrow \pi\pi)(\text{NP}) \quad (4.1)$$

where the SM part is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}(K \rightarrow \pi\pi)(\text{SM}) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i^{\text{SM}}(\mu) Q_i \quad (4.2)$$

and the NP part by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}(K \rightarrow \pi\pi)(\text{NP}) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} (C_i(\mu)Q_i + C'_i(\mu)Q'_i). \quad (4.3)$$

Explicit expressions for the operators Q_i can be found in [55]. For our discussion it will be sufficient to have expressions only for the dominant QCD-penguin and electroweak penguin operators:

QCD PENGUINS:

$$Q_5 = (\bar{s}d)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} (\bar{q}q)_{V+A}, \quad Q_6 = (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A}, \quad (4.4)$$

ELECTROWEAK PENGUINS:

$$Q_7 = \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}d)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} e_q (\bar{q}q)_{V+A}, \quad Q_8 = \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A}. \quad (4.5)$$

Here, α, β denote colours and e_q denotes the electric quark charges reflecting the electroweak origin of Q_7, \dots, Q_{10} . Finally, $(\bar{q}q')_{V\pm A} \equiv \bar{q}_\alpha \gamma_\mu (1 \pm \gamma_5) q'_\alpha$. The so-called primed operators Q'_i are obtained from Q_i by interchanging $V-A$ and $V+A$: these new operators contribute in the presence of right-handed flavour-violating couplings. Note that if NP scales are well above m_t , as is the case of Z' models, the summation over flavours in (4.4) and (4.5) has to include also the top quark. But in the SM and Z models the top quark is already integrated out.

The Wilson coefficients $C_i^{\text{SM}}(\mu)$ are known at the NLO level in the renormalisation group improved perturbation theory including both QCD and QED corrections [55, 56]. Also some elements of NNLO corrections can be found in the literature [6, 57].

If new operators beyond those present in the SM contribute to ε'/ε one should in principle perform the full RG analysis at the NLO level including these operators. However, in view of various parameters involved we will follow the procedure proposed in [40] and consider NP contributions at the LO. Moreover, as demonstrated there, at the end it is a good approximation to include in ε'/ε only the modifications in the contributions of the dominant QCD penguin (Q_6) and electroweak (Q_8) operators and in the contribution of the corresponding primed operators.

Now, relative to the case of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$, $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $\Delta F = 2$ processes, flavour diagonal quark couplings are involved, and without knowing these couplings the correlation between rare K decays and ε'/ε is lost. In the case of Z the diagonal quark couplings are known and this implies a correlation between rare K decays and ε'/ε , as first stressed in [58]. But the case of Z' is different. For instance it could be that for some reason the flavour-diagonal quark couplings to Z' are very strongly suppressed relatively to the non-diagonal ones. In this case one would be able to enhance the branching ratios for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ without violating the ε'/ε constraint. We stress this point as the usual statements about correlation between rare K decays and ε'/ε made in the literature apply to concrete models and one cannot exclude that through particular choices

of flavour-diagonal Z' couplings to quarks this correlation can be broken. In what follows we will restrict our discussion to cases for which such correlations are present.

Finally, although the impact of ε'/ε also depends on the different scenarios for Z couplings, as shown in [40], the SM value of ε_K must be consistent with the data if one wants to satisfy simultaneously ε_K and ε'/ε . The details depend on the value of the hadronic matrix element of the QCD penguin operator Q_6 , or equivalently on the value of the parameter $B_6^{(1/2)}$. If ε_K in the SM differs significantly from the data, NP required to fit the data on ε_K automatically violates the ε'/ε constraint for $B_6^{(1/2)}$ within 20% from its large N value $B_6^{(1/2)} = 1.0$. But, as we shall see in detail in section 4.6, a new insight in the range of values of $B_6^{(1/2)}$ has been gained through the studies in [41, 43], so that now more space is left for NP contributions to ε'/ε . Also, as already mentioned, significant arbitrariness in the diagonal quark couplings to Z' allows for larger NP effects in this case.

In [18] we have updated the analysis of ε'/ε within the SM and the recent analyses of ε'/ε within $Z(Z')$ and 331 models have been presented in [40] and [34], respectively. However, since then two improved analyses of ε'/ε in the SM have been presented [41, 43] and we will base our analysis on these two papers.

4.2 SM contribution

The starting point of our presentation is the analytic formula for ε'/ε within the SM [36, 40], which has been recently updated in [41] and is given as follows

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{\text{SM}} = \text{Im} [\lambda_t F_{\varepsilon'}(x_t)], \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$F_{\varepsilon'}(x_t) = P_0 + P_X X_0(x_t) + P_Y Y_0(x_t) + P_Z Z_0(x_t) + P_E E_0(x_t). \quad (4.7)$$

The first term in (4.7) is dominated by QCD-penguin contributions, the next three terms by electroweak penguin contributions and the last term is totally negligible. The x_t dependent functions have been collected in the appendix A of [18].

The coefficients P_i are given in terms of the non-perturbative parameters¹

$$R_6 \equiv B_6^{(1/2)} \left[\frac{114.54 \text{ MeV}}{m_s(m_c) + m_d(m_c)} \right]^2, \quad R_8 \equiv B_8^{(3/2)} \left[\frac{114.54 \text{ MeV}}{m_s(m_c) + m_d(m_c)} \right]^2, \quad (4.8)$$

as follows:

$$P_i = r_i^{(0)} + r_i^{(6)} R_6 + r_i^{(8)} R_8. \quad (4.9)$$

The coefficients $r_i^{(0)}$, $r_i^{(6)}$ and $r_i^{(8)}$ comprise information on the Wilson-coefficient functions of the $\Delta S = 1$ weak effective Hamiltonian at the NLO. Their numerical values for three values of $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ are collected in the appendix B of [41]. We will next describe how the (4.6) is modified in the presence of NP contributions. The structure of modifications depends on NP model considered.

In our numerical analysis we will use for the quark masses the values of [59], given in table 1. Then at the nominal value $\mu = m_c = 1.3 \text{ GeV}$ we have

$$m_s(m_c) = (109.1 \pm 2.8) \text{ MeV}, \quad m_d(m_c) = (5.44 \pm 0.19) \text{ MeV}. \quad (4.10)$$

¹Note that R_i do not contain the factor 1.13 present in [40].

4.3 CMFV and $U(2)^3$

These are the simplest cases as only the shifts in the function X, Y, Z , discussed in previous section, have to be made if NP is not far from the electroweak scale. The most predictive in this case is Z scenario as in this case the following shifts in the functions X, Y and Z entering the analytic formula (4.6) have to be made

$$\Delta X = \Delta Y = \Delta Z = ac_W \frac{8\pi^2}{g^3}, \quad (4.11)$$

which equal just the shifts in (3.5). The reason why the shift is universal in these three functions originates in the fact that a Z exchange with flavour violating couplings in one vertex and known flavour diagonal couplings modifies just the Z -penguin contribution which universally enters X, Y and Z .

The shift ΔZ has the largest impact on ε'/ε , as the coefficient P_Z is large and negative. For a positive a the enhancement of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ implies suppression of ε'/ε , while a negative a suppresses these branching ratios and enhances ε'/ε . In fact, in MFV this scenario appears to be favoured by the $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ data. Moreover, it would also be favoured by the data on ε'/ε , if the SM prediction for ε'/ε will turn out to be below its measured value, as presently indicated by the analyses in [41–43]

The correlation with $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ is made manifest using expression (2.9) together with (4.11), from which one has

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{\text{MFV}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{\text{SM}} + h(P_X + P_Y + P_Z) \left[\lambda^5 \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})}{\kappa_L} \right)^{1/2} - X_{\text{SM}} \text{Im} \lambda_t \right], \quad (4.12)$$

while the correlation with $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ follows from the fact that the phase of X_{eff} in (2.8) is aligned with the SM.

If the flavour symmetry is reduced down to $U(2)^3$ the formula in (4.11) is still valid but the correlation with $B_{s,d}$ meson systems is broken and the constraints on the NP contributions to ε'/ε are weaker. In particular, independently of $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, the ratio ε'/ε can be enhanced or suppressed but its MFV correlation with $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ remains valid.

The case of Z' is complicated by the fact that the diagonal quark couplings are rather arbitrary, and are not constrained by other semileptonic rare decays. The analysis of ε'/ε can therefore not be very specific even if constraints from LEP and LHC are taken into account. It should also be emphasized that, depending on the structure of diagonal couplings, different operators dominate ε'/ε (even if generally they are Q_6, Q_8 or the corresponding primed operators). The good news in Z' scenarios is that unless a concrete framework is considered, there is no strict correlation between ε'/ε and $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ allowing for larger NP effects in these decays than what is possible in the case of Z scenarios.

4.4 Z with general flavour-violating couplings

It should be emphasized, that this scenario can be realized in many models and in the case of the absence of a discovery of new particles at the LHC the flavour violating couplings of Z could constitute an important window to short distance scales beyond the LHC.

For completeness we recall here the formulae for ε'/ε derived in [40]. The details including derivations can be found there. Relative to the Z' case, discussed subsequently, the RG running in this case is simplified by the fact that the initial conditions for the Wilson coefficients have to be evaluated at the electroweak scale as in the SM. We consider three scenarios for the quark couplings: only left-handed (LH), only right-handed (RH), and left-right symmetric (LRS) [48]. In the ALRS scenario of [48] the NP contributions to $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ vanish and this case is uninteresting from the point of view of the present paper.

4.4.1 LH scenario

Here the simplest approach is to make the following shifts in the functions X , Y and Z entering the analytic formula (4.6) [40]:

$$\Delta X = \Delta Y = \Delta Z = c_W \frac{8\pi^2}{g^3} \frac{\Delta_L^{sd}(Z)}{\lambda_t}. \quad (4.13)$$

This formula gives the generalization of the shifts in (4.11) to arbitrary LH flavour-violating Z couplings to quarks. We have then

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{\text{LHS}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{\text{SM}} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{Z,L} \quad (4.14)$$

where the second term stands for the modification related to (4.13).

Since the shifts in the loop functions (4.13) are universal, the correlation between ε' and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ is again given by (4.12). On the other hand, since the phase of the Δ_L^{sd} coupling is now arbitrary, the correlation with $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ is lost in this case.

4.4.2 RH scenario

This case is analyzed in detail in section 7.5 in [40], where it is demonstrated that by far the dominant new contribution to ε'/ε comes from the Q'_8 operator. The relevant hadronic matrix element $\langle Q'_8 \rangle_2 = -\langle Q_8 \rangle_2$ and consequently it is known from lattice QCD [60, 61]. We refer to [40] for details.

In this case we have then

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{\text{RHS}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{\text{SM}} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{Z,R} \quad (4.15)$$

with the second term given within an excellent approximation by [40]

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}\right)_{Z,R} = -6.2 \times 10^3 \left[\frac{114 \text{ MeV}}{m_s(m_c) + m_d(m_c)} \right]^2 \left[\frac{B_8^{(3/2)}}{0.76} \right] \text{Im} \Delta_R^{sd}(Z). \quad (4.16)$$

Note that due to the new lattice results in [61] the central value of $B_8^{(3/2)}$ has been modified relative to [40] where the older value 0.65 extracted from [60] has been used.

This result implies that $\text{Im} \Delta_R^{sd}(Z)$ must be at most be $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ in order for ε'/ε to agree with experiment. Then, similarly to the LH case just discussed, NP contribution to

ε_K are very small and only for CKM parameters for which ε_K in the SM agrees well with the data this scenario remains viable.

As far as $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ are concerned we can use the formulae in [48]. Equivalently, in the case of the RH scenario, one can just make a shift in the function $X(K)$:

$$\Delta X(K) = \left[\frac{\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z)}{g_{\text{SM}}^2 M_Z^2} \right] \left[\frac{\Delta_R^{sd}(Z)}{\lambda_t} \right], \quad \Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z) = \frac{g}{2c_W}. \quad (4.17)$$

Expressing $\text{Im} \Delta_R^{sd}$ in terms of $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ through (2.9), one then has

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \right)_{Z,R} = -32.6 \cdot R_8 \left[\lambda^5 \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})}{\kappa_L} \right)^{1/2} - \text{Im} \lambda_t \cdot X_{\text{SM}} \right]. \quad (4.18)$$

4.4.3 General case

When both $\Delta_L^{sd}(Z)$ and $\Delta_R^{sd}(Z)$ are present the general formula for ε'/ε is

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \right) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \right)_{\text{SM}} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \right)_Z^L + \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \right)_Z^R \quad (4.19)$$

with the last two terms representing LH and RH contributions discussed above. This formula allows to calculate ε'/ε for arbitrary Z couplings, in particular for the LRS scenario where $\Delta_L^{sd}(Z) = \Delta_R^{sd}(Z)$, and for the case presented in section 4.6.1.

The numerical analysis of all these scenarios is presented in section 6.

4.5 Z' with flavour-violating couplings

We have already emphasized that in general, in the absence of the knowledge of flavour diagonal Z' couplings to quarks, there is no correlation between ε'/ε and $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays. We will therefore not present a numerical analysis of ε'/ε in Z' scenarios, except for one case in section 4.6.

The analysis in 331 models, where the operator Q_8 turns out to be most important, can be found in [34]. On the other hand, in [40], where the possible impact of Z' on the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule has been considered, the diagonal couplings could be fixed by requiring the maximal contribution of Z' to the $A_0(K \rightarrow \pi\pi)$ amplitude. In this case the operator Q_6 turned out to be most important. As we will see below, a variant of this model turns out to be interesting in view of the recent lattice result on ε'/ε in [42] and recent analyses in [41, 43].

4.6 Can ε'/ε and $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ be simultaneously enhanced?

In most extensions of the SM found in the literature the enhancement of the branching ratio for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ through NP usually implies the suppression of ε'/ε , and vice versa an enhancement of ε'/ε implies a suppression of $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$. We have already mentioned this feature in the context of our analysis of Z models with MFV after (4.11). This is related to the fact that there is a strong correlation between the *negative* electroweak penguin contribution to ε'/ε and the branching ratio for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$. Here we would like to present

two simplified models in which in fact ε'/ε and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ can be simultaneously enhanced with respect to their SM values.

This case is of interest in view of the recent result from the RCB-UKQCD lattice collaboration which indicates that ε'/ε in the SM could be significantly below the data. Indeed, they find in the SM [42]

$$(\varepsilon'/\varepsilon)_{\text{SM}} = (1.4 \pm 7.0) \cdot 10^{-4}, \quad (4.20)$$

which is by 2.1σ below the experimental world average from the NA48 [62] and KTeV [63, 64] collaborations,

$$(\varepsilon'/\varepsilon)_{\text{exp}} = (16.6 \pm 2.3) \cdot 10^{-4}. \quad (4.21)$$

A recent detailed anatomy of ε'/ε in the SM in [41] also confirms that, with the value of $B_6^{(1/2)}$ from [42], ε'/ε in the SM is indeed significantly smaller than the experimental value. Assuming that the real parts of the $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ amplitudes are fully governed by the SM dynamics and including isospin breaking effects the authors of [41] find

$$(\varepsilon'/\varepsilon)_{\text{SM}} = (1.9 \pm 4.5) \cdot 10^{-4}, \quad (4.22)$$

which is by 2.9σ below (4.21). Clearly, the size of this suppression of ε'/ε depends sensitively on the value of $B_6^{(1/2)}$, the dominant source of uncertainty in the prediction of ε'/ε in the SM. But even discarding lattice results, and using the recently derived upper bounds on $B_6^{(1/2)}$ and $B_8^{(3/2)}$ from the large N approach [43], ε'/ε is found typically by a factor of two below the data. Motivated by these finding we looked for models in which ε'/ε and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ could be simultaneously enhanced.

4.6.1 Simplified Z model

We consider a model in which Z has both LH and RH couplings, but not equal to each other, and not differing only by a sign. As seen in (4.16), in order to obtain a positive contribution to ε'/ε we need $\text{Im} \Delta_R^{sd}(Z) < 0$. But this alone would suppress the rare decay branching ratios. The solution to this problem is the contribution of the Q_8 operator to ε'/ε given in (4.13). While this is not evident from this formula, as shown in [40], for equal LH and RH Z couplings this contribution is by a factor of 3.3 smaller than the one in (4.16). On the other hand, the branching ratio for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ is sensitive to the sum of LH and RH couplings. Therefore choosing $\text{Im} \Delta_L^{sd}(Z) > 0$ with

$$|\text{Im} \Delta_R^{sd}(Z)| < \text{Im} \Delta_L^{sd}(Z) < 3.3 |\text{Im} \Delta_R^{sd}(Z)| \quad (4.23)$$

one can enhance simultaneously ε'/ε and the branching ratio for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$. In doing this, $\text{Re} \Delta_{L,R}^{sd}(Z)$ have to be kept sufficiently small in order not to spoil the agreement of $\text{Re} A_0$ in the SM with the data. Moreover, the ΔM_K and ε_K constraints have to be satisfied.

In the left panel of figure 2 we show the correlation between ε'/ε and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ in the case of $\Delta_L^{sd}(Z) = -2\Delta_R^{sd}(Z)$, and compare it with the opposite correlation that is




Figure 2. 95% C.L. allowed regions for ε'/ε and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$. Left: model with flavour-changing Z boson couplings $\Delta_R^{sd} = -0.5 \Delta_L^{sd}$. Center: modified Z, LH scenario $\Delta_R^{sd} = 0$. Right: 5 TeV Z' with $\Delta_R^{qq} = 1$ and $\Delta_L^{\nu\nu} = 0.5$. The plots are for $B_6 = 1$ (blue), $B_6 = 0.76$ (green), and $B_6 = 0.57$ (red). The hatched regions are the SM predictions at 2σ . The gray band shows the experimental result for ε'/ε .

present in the LH scenario (central panel). The different colours correspond to different choices of the parameters $B_6^{(1/2)}$ and $B_8^{(3/2)}$:

$$B_6^{(1/2)} = 1.0, \quad B_8^{(3/2)} = 1.0 \quad (\text{blue}), \quad (4.24)$$

$$B_6^{(1/2)} = 0.76, \quad B_8^{(3/2)} = 0.76 \quad (\text{green}), \quad (4.25)$$

$$B_6^{(1/2)} = 0.57, \quad B_8^{(3/2)} = 0.76 \quad (\text{red}). \quad (4.26)$$

The first choice is motivated by the upper bound from large N approach [43], $B_6^{(1/2)} \leq B_8^{(3/2)} < 1$. The second choice uses the central value for $B_8^{(3/2)}$ from the RBC-UKQCD collaboration [61] extracted in [18], and assumes that $B_6^{(1/2)} = B_8^{(3/2)}$ saturating the previous bound. Finally, the third choice uses the central values for both $B_6^{(1/2)}$ and $B_8^{(3/2)}$ from the RBC-UKQCD collaboration, with $B_6^{(1/2)}$ extracted in [41] from the lattice results in [42].

As expected, in our simple model the requirement of satisfying the data on ε'/ε automatically implies enhanced values of $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$, while in the LH model, similar to the Littlest Higgs model with T-parity [28], suppressed $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ is predicted.

We do not present the correlation between ε'/ε and $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ as this also involves real parts of the new couplings and is more model dependent.

4.6.2 Simplified Z' model

Another example of a model in which $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ and ε'/ε can be simultaneously enhanced has been already considered in [40]. In this model, not the electroweak penguin operator Q_8 , but the QCD penguin operator Q_6 is affected by NP. A tree-level exchange of Z' with left-handed flavour violating quark couplings and flavour universal structure of diagonal RH quark couplings generates the Q_5 operator, and through renormalisation group evolution also the Q_6 operator which at the end dominates the NP contribution to ε'/ε .

Assuming then that Z' has only LH flavour violating couplings one has [40]

$$\text{Im}A_0^{\text{NP}} = \text{Im}C_6(\mu) \langle Q_6(\mu) \rangle_0, \quad (4.27)$$

where

$$C_6(m_c) = 1.13 \frac{\Delta_L^{sd}(Z') \Delta_R^{qq}(Z')}{4M_{Z'}^2}. \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$\langle Q_6(\mu) \rangle_0 = -4 \left[\frac{m_K^2}{m_s(\mu) + m_d(\mu)} \right]^2 (F_K - F_\pi) B_6^{(1/2)}. \quad (4.29)$$

Clearly the size of the NP effects depend on the various couplings of the Z' to quarks and leptons. The right panel of figure 2 shows the results for the values

$$\Delta_R^{qq}(Z') = 1, \quad \Delta_L^{\nu\nu}(Z') = 0.5, \quad (4.30)$$

which satisfy the LHC bounds on flavour-conserving four-fermion interactions, and again for the three choices of the parameters $B_6^{(1/2)}$ and $B_8^{(3/2)}$ of (4.24)–(4.26).

5 Relations to other $\Delta F = 1$ processes

5.1 $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$

It is of interest to see how the decays $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ are correlated with $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions and in particular what are the implications of the $B \rightarrow K(K^*)\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ in the context of the simplest models.

Let us first note that Z models of any kind cannot explain these anomalies for various reasons. In concrete models these anomalies are most easily explained through the shifts in the Wilson coefficients C_9 and C_{10} of the operators

$$Q_9 = (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \ell), \quad Q_{10} = (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \ell), \quad (5.1)$$

with [65–68]

$$C_9^{\text{NP}} \approx -C_{10}^{\text{NP}} \approx -(0.5 \pm 0.2). \quad (5.2)$$

The solution with NP present only in C_9 , with $C_9^{\text{NP}} \approx -1$, is even favoured, but much harder to explain in the context of existing models. We refer to [68] for tables with various solutions.

This relation is very badly violated in Z models for which one has

$$\frac{C_{10}^{\text{NP}}}{C_9^{\text{NP}}} = \frac{\Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z)}{\Delta_V^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z)} = -13.3 \quad (5.3)$$

in drastic disagreement with (5.2). The explanation of $B \rightarrow K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies would then imply very strong suppression of $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ relative to the SM which disagrees with the data. On the other hand the agreement with the data on $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ would allow only very small value of C_9^{NP} .

In Z' models we have generally

$$\sin^2 \theta_W C_9^{\text{NP}} = -\frac{\Delta_L^{sb}(Z') \Delta_V^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z')}{V_{ts}^* V_{tb} M_{Z'}^2 g_{\text{SM}}^2} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_W C_{10}^{\text{NP}} = -\frac{\Delta_L^{sb}(Z') \Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z')}{V_{ts}^* V_{tb} M_{Z'}^2 g_{\text{SM}}^2} \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore for

$$\Delta_V^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z') = -\Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z') \quad (5.6)$$

the relation between C_9^{NP} and C_{10}^{NP} in (5.2) can be satisfied. This is the case of Z' with purely $V - A$ couplings both in the quark and lepton sector.

But the $\text{SU}(2)_L$ relation in (3.16) then implies that

$$\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z') = \Delta_V^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z'). \quad (5.7)$$

In turn in the case of MFV, when the first ratio on the r.h.s. in (5.4) and (5.5) reduces to flavour independent a , we have

$$\Delta X_L(K) = \Delta X_L(B_d) = \Delta X_L(B_s) \equiv \Delta X = -\sin^2 \theta_W C_9^{\text{NP}}. \quad (5.8)$$

$$\Delta Y_L(K) = \Delta Y_L(B_d) = \Delta Y_L(B_s) \equiv \Delta Y = \sin^2 \theta_W C_9^{\text{NP}}. \quad (5.9)$$

Therefore, for Z' models with MFV quark couplings, the $B \rightarrow K(K^*)\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies imply:

- Enhancement of the branching ratios $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu})$ relative to their SM values;
- Suppression of the branching ratios $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ and $\mathcal{B}(B_d \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ relative to their SM values;
- Enhancement of the branching ratios $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow K^*\nu\bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow K\nu\bar{\nu})$ relative to their SM values as already pointed out in [69].

The first of these results does not apply beyond MFV, even in $\text{U}(2)^3$ models, but the second and third remain true in $\text{U}(2)^3$ models. Moreover, for arbitrary Z' quark couplings the correlations between $B \rightarrow K(K^*)\mu^+\mu^-$, $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ and $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow K^*\nu\bar{\nu})$ exist due to the $\text{SU}(2)_L$ relation in (3.16) as already known from other analyses, in particular [69]. In the latter case we can compare the region still allowed for 5 TeV Z' shown in the right panel of figure 5 with the fit results on C_9 from [68].

In figure 3 we show the regions still allowed in the $C_9^{\text{NP}} = -C_{10}^{\text{NP}}$ versus $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu})$ planes, in a simplified 5 TeV Z' model obeying CMFV.

We observe that for $C_9^{\text{NP}} \leq -0.3$ one leaves the 2σ range allowed by $\Delta M_{s,d}$, and for $C_9^{\text{NP}} \leq -0.5$ the one allowed by ε_K and ΔM_K . Thus a massive Z' with MFV couplings can lower the tension of the theory with data but cannot fully explain the observed anomaly.

5.2 $B \rightarrow K(K^*)\nu\bar{\nu}$

There are many reasons for performing an analysis of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays in our paper:

- It is well known that they are strongly correlated with $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays in models with MFV [44], but also in more complicated models [15].
- As recently shown in [69] these decays, when measured, could allow to distinguish between various explanations of the present anomalies in $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions.




Figure 3. Allowed ranges for $C_9^{\text{NP}} = -C_{10}^{\text{NP}}$ versus $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ (left panel) and $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ (right panel) in a simplified 5 TeV Z' model obeying CMFV. The 2σ confidence regions shown correspond to constraints from kaon mixing (blue), B mixing (red) and $b \rightarrow s \mu^+ \mu^-$ transitions (grey) (from [68]).

- It should also be stressed that these decays are of interest on its own as they are theoretically cleaner than $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ and allow good tests of the presence of right-handed currents and in general of NP.

Both decays should be measured at Belle II. The most recent estimate of their branching ratios within the SM reads [69]:

$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = \left[\frac{|V_{cb}|}{0.0409} \right]^2 (3.98 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-6}, \tag{5.10}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \nu \bar{\nu}) = \left[\frac{|V_{cb}|}{0.0409} \right]^2 (9.19 \pm 0.86) \times 10^{-6}, \tag{5.11}$$

where the errors in the parentheses are fully dominated by form factor uncertainties. We expect that when these two branching ratios will be measured, these uncertainties will be further decreased and $|V_{cb}|$ will be precisely known so that a very good test of the SM will be possible.

An extensive analysis of these decays model independently and in various extensions of the SM has been performed in [69] but only the correlation of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ with the $b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu}$ in MFV can be found in figure 2 of that paper and we would like to extend this discussion. In view of the fact that $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays are correlated with $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ in Z and Z' models and there are also correlations between $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays in such models, we will find correlations between $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$, $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ which can be tested by LHCb and NA62 before Belle will test the correlations between $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays and $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ analyzed in detail in [69].

All formulae necessary for our analysis can be found in [69] and will not be repeated here (see in particular section 4.1 of that paper).




Figure 4. Allowed ranges for $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ versus $\mathcal{B}(B_d \rightarrow K^* \nu \bar{\nu})$ in a simplified Z model (left panel) and a 5 TeV Z' model (right panel) obeying CMFV. In the left panel the 2σ confidence regions shown correspond to constraints from e'/ϵ (green), $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ (yellow) and $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ (magenta), while in the right panel they correspond to constraints from kaon mixing (blue), B mixing (red) and $b \rightarrow s \mu^+ \mu^-$ transitions (grey) (from [68]).

In figure 4 we show the regions allowed at 95% C.L. in the $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ versus $\mathcal{B}(B_d \rightarrow K^* \nu \bar{\nu})$ plane for a simplified Z and a 5 TeV Z' model obeying CMFV. We do not show corresponding plots for $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ because in CMFV the NP dependence is the same as for $\mathcal{B}(B_d \rightarrow K^* \nu \bar{\nu})$.

5.3 $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Only the so-called short distance (SD) part of a dispersive contribution to $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ can be reliably calculated. It is given generally as follows ($\lambda = 0.2252$)

$$\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)_{SD} = 2.01 \cdot 10^{-9} \left(\frac{\text{Re } Y_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda^5} + \frac{\text{Re } \lambda_c}{\lambda} P_c(Y) \right)^2, \quad (5.12)$$

where at NNLO [70]

$$P_c(Y) = 0.115 \pm 0.017. \quad (5.13)$$

The short distance contributions are described by

$$Y_{\text{eff}} = V_{ts}^* V_{td} (Y_L(K) - Y_R(K)), \quad (5.14)$$

with

$$Y_L^{\text{SM}}(K) = \eta_Y Y_0(x_t), \quad \eta_Y = 0.9982, \quad (5.15)$$

also entering $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays. Notice the minus sign in front of Y_R , as opposed to X_R in (2.6), that results from the fact that only the axial part contributes. This difference allows to be sensitive to right-handed couplings, which is not possible in the case of $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays.

In the case of tree-level Z exchange we have

$$Y_L(K) = Y_L^{\text{SM}}(K) + \frac{\Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z) \Delta_L^{sd}(Z)}{g_{\text{SM}}^2 M_Z^2 V_{ts}^* V_{td}}, \quad Y_R(K) = \frac{\Delta_A^{\mu\bar{\mu}}(Z) \Delta_R^{sd}(Z)}{g_{\text{SM}}^2 M_Z^2 V_{ts}^* V_{td}}, \quad (5.16)$$

with analogous expressions for the Z' case.

If $Y(K)$ is related to $X(K)$, as in most of the models considered here, one can write $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ in terms of $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$, in analogy to (4.12), as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 2.01 \cdot 10^{-9} & \left[\frac{\text{Re } \lambda_t}{\lambda^5} (Y_{\text{SM}} \mp X_{\text{SM}}) + \frac{\text{Re } \lambda_c}{\lambda} (P_c(Y) \mp P_c(X)) \right. \\ & \left. \mp \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})}{\kappa_+} - \frac{\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})}{\kappa_L} \right)^{1/2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where the first choice of signs holds whenever only left-handed contributions are present — i.e. in MFV, $U(2)^3$, and in the LH scenario for generic couplings — while the second choice holds for RH couplings. NP contributions to $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ vanish in the LRS scenario for Z and Z' .

The extraction of the short distance part from the data is subject to considerable uncertainties. The most recent estimate gives [71]

$$\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)_{\text{SD}} \leq 2.5 \cdot 10^{-9}, \quad (5.18)$$

to be compared with $(0.8 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-9}$ in the SM.

As a preparation for the next section it is useful to recall what is the structure of the impact on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ of the constraints from ε'/ε and $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, which have an important interplay [40]: ε'/ε puts constraints only on imaginary parts of NP contributions while $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ only on the real ones. As demonstrated already in [48], the impact of the latter constraint on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ depends strongly on the scenario for the Z flavour violating couplings.

6 Results and comparison of bounds

6.1 Preliminaries

The detailed phenomenology in the general case of Z and Z' scenarios, including ε_K , ΔM_K and rare decays $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$, $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, has been presented in [48] and generalized to include ε'/ε in [40]. But MFV has not been considered there and it will be of interest to see the allowed size of NP contributions in this case. Earlier studies of the upper bounds on NP effects in $\Delta F = 2$ and $\Delta F = 1$ processes can be found in [72, 73]. Here we will concentrate on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays but will also present some results for other decays. The analyses of rare processes in models with an $U(2)^3$ flavour symmetry has been already considered in [51, 74], and in [48] in the context of Z and Z' scenarios. But our analysis that uses simple models for couplings allows a new insight into these models.

$ V_{ub} $	$3.88(29) \times 10^{-3}$	[18]	F_K	156.1(11) MeV	[59]
$ V_{cb} $	$40.7(14) \times 10^{-3}$	[18]	\hat{B}_K	0.750(15)	[59, 75]
γ	$(73.2^{+6.3}_{-7.0})^\circ$	[76]	F_{B_d}	190.5(42) MeV	[59]
$ V_{us} $	0.2252(9)	[77]	F_{B_s}	227.7(45) MeV	[59]
$ \epsilon_K $	$2.228(11) \times 10^{-3}$	[78]	$F_{B_s} \sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_s}}$	266(18) MeV	[59]
ΔM_K	$0.5292(9) \times 10^{-2} \text{ ps}^{-1}$	[78]	ξ	1.268(63)	[59]
ΔM_d	$0.507(4) \text{ ps}^{-1}$	[77]	$B_6^{(1/2)}$	0.65(20)	[42, 43]
ΔM_s	$17.761(22) \text{ ps}^{-1}$	[77]	$B_8^{(3/2)}$	0.76(5)	[61]
τ_{B_d}	1.519(5) ps	[77]	η_{cc}	1.87(76)	[79]
τ_{B_s}	1.512(7) ps	[77]	η_{ct}	0.496(47)	[80]
$\alpha_s(M_Z)$	0.1185(6)	[78]	η_{tt}	0.5765(65)	[81]
$m_c(m_c)$	1.279(13) GeV	[82]	η_B	0.55(1)	[81, 83]
$m_s(2 \text{ GeV})$	93.8(24) MeV	[59]			
$m_d(2 \text{ GeV})$	4.68(16) MeV	[59]			
M_t	173.34(82) GeV	[84]			

Table 1. Values of theoretical and experimental quantities used as input parameters.

Also, the present analysis uses a different strategy for the CKM parameters than the one in [40], where various scenarios for these parameters have been considered. In what follows we will use the values of the parameters in (1.1) determined in tree-level decays — called “strategy A” in [18] — and we will investigate how large NP effects in $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ are still allowed when the constraints from ϵ_K , ΔM_K , $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, and ϵ'/ϵ are taken into account. As already described, the latter constraint will be subject to significant non-perturbative uncertainties connected to the parameter $B_6^{(1/2)}$. In spite of this, ϵ'/ϵ already has an important impact on the maximal allowed size of the branching ratio, not only for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ but also for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$.

In fact the recent progress on the calculation of ϵ'/ϵ in [41] and [43], reported already in section 4, makes the impact of this ratio on rare decays larger than in [40]. In the following we shall use the lattice value $B_8^{(3/2)} = 0.76(5)$ from [61], while for $B_6^{(1/2)}$ we will take an average between the new lattice result [42] and the maximal value $B_6^{(1/2)} = B_8^{(3/2)}$ allowed by the large N approach [43].

In table 1 we summarise the values of the parameters used as inputs in our analysis.

6.2 CMFV and $U(2)^3$ for Z and Z' models

In the left panel of figure 5 we show the 2σ allowed ranges from current experimental constraints for $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ in a simplified Z model obeying CMFV. Similarly, in the right panel of the same figure, we show the allowed ranges for a simplified Z' model with a Z' mass of 5 TeV, as discussed earlier, also obeying CMFV. Neglecting the constraints from the $B_{d,s}$ systems gives the situation in the less constrained




Figure 5. The 95% C.L. allowed ranges for $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ in a simplified Z model (left panel) or a 5 TeV Z' model (right panel) obeying CMFV. In the case of the smaller $U(2)^3$ symmetry, the constraints from B processes can be neglected. Note the difference in scale between these plots.

$U(2)^3$ symmetry scenario. In both cases we have used the averaged CKM inputs from strategy A. We make the following observations:

- For the simplified Z model, constraints from $\Delta F = 1$ processes dominate over $\Delta F = 2$ ones. The latter in fact hardly constrain these branching ratios at all.
- For Z' models the situation is the opposite: due to a direct dependence on the high NP scale, $\Delta F = 2$ observables become the most constraining, and we have therefore neglected the $\Delta F = 1$ constraints.
- NP contributions in simplified Z models with CMFV are rather constrained by the $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching ratio. In $U(2)^3$ this constraint is not present, while the short distance part of $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ still leaves ample room for NP. On the other hand, the strongest limit for an enhancement of $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ branching ratios, both in $U(3)^3$ and $U(2)^3$ Z models, comes from ϵ'/ϵ . Indeed, already the SM point is only marginally compatible with the experimental data, and lower values of the two branching ratios are preferred.
- For Z' models the $\Delta F = 2$ constraints from the kaon and B systems are comparable in size, therefore there is little difference between the CMFV and $U(2)^3$ scenarios. For a 5 TeV Z' they can deviate from the SM by at most 10 – 20%, which could be hard to detect even in the flavour precision era.

In summary we find that it will not be easy to distinguish MFV models from the SM on the basis of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$. While in the case of Z' models small NP effects are required by $\Delta F = 2$ constraints, because of the high Z' mass, in the case of Z models the crucial limit comes from the data on $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and ϵ'/ϵ . While an enhancement of the two branching ratios is always strongly constrained, their suppression with respect to the SM prediction is still possible in the latter case.




Figure 6. The allowed ranges for $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ in a simplified Z model (left) and a 5 TeV Z' model (right) in LH and RH scenarios. The ϵ_K and ΔM_K constraints are imposed in all cases. In the left-handed plot the ϵ'/ϵ and $K_L \rightarrow \mu\mu$ constraints are also imposed.

6.3 Generic Z models

In the left panel of figure 6 we show the 95% C.L. allowed ranges for $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ in the LH, RH and LR scenarios with Z mediated FCNC. The origin for the different ranges is explained in detail in [40]. Here we only note the following basic features:

- In the LH scenario $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ can be by a factor of two larger than its SM value. The strong ϵ'/ϵ constraint, on the other hand, forces $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ to be of the order of the SM value or smaller, as explained in section 4.6. Both branching ratios can also be significantly suppressed. We show the impact of the ϵ'/ϵ and $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ constraints.
- In the RH scenario $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ is again constrained to be close to its SM value, while $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ can be almost by a factor of five larger than its SM value because the $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ constraint is weaker. Such a large enhancement is anyhow already constrained by the present experimental results. Both branching ratios can also be suppressed relative to SM values but not as strongly as in the l.h.s. case.
- Finally in the LRS case the allowed range for $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ is similar to the r.h.s. case, while, due to the absence of the $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ constraint, $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ can be large. The ϵ_K constraint plays a role here because of the presence of left-right operators.

6.4 Generic Z' models

Due to the sensitivity of the ϵ'/ϵ constraint to Z' diagonal quark couplings, in order to be model independent, we present a numerical analysis in Z' scenarios without the ϵ'/ϵ constraint. In the right panel of figure 6 we show the 3σ allowed ranges for $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ in a simplified 5 TeV Z' model for the LH scenario obeying the ϵ_K

and ΔM_K constraints. The leptonic Z' couplings have been fixed to the Z boson values for concreteness, $\Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z') = \Delta_L^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(Z)$. Since the $\Delta F = 2$ effects due to RH currents alone are identical to the ones of LH currents, exactly the same results hold also in the RH scenario.

In the LRS scenario the constraints from ϵ_K are much stronger, due to the presence of left-right operators. Notice, on the other hand, that one can in principle avoid the strong $\Delta F = 2$ bounds by means of some fine-tuning if the RH couplings are sufficiently small [49]; we do not analyse this possibility here.

7 Summary and outlook

In the present paper we have made another look at $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays which are expected to become the stars of flavour physics in the coming ten years. Our results are presented in numerous plots which should allow to monitor efficiently the experimental developments in the coming years. In particular the correlations with other observables like $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, $B \rightarrow K(K^*)\mu\bar{\mu}$ and $B \rightarrow K(K^*)\nu\bar{\nu}$ branching ratios and ϵ'/ϵ will be very relevant for the distinction between various extensions of the SM. Also the improvement in the accuracy of the CKM parameters determined in tree-level decays and more accurate values of various non-perturbative parameters obtained by lattice QCD will be important ingredients in future analyses.

In view of the recent result on ϵ'/ϵ from RBC-UKQCD collaboration [42] and the analyses in [41, 43] which find ϵ'/ϵ significantly below the data, we have presented two simplified models which would improve the agreement of the theory and data if the present status of ϵ'/ϵ will be confirmed by more precise lattice QCD calculations one day.

We close our paper with the following observations:

- There is a hierarchy in the size of possible NP effects in $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ mediated by tree-level Z exchanges. They are smallest in CMFV, larger in $U(2)^3$ models and significantly larger in the case of new sources of flavour and CP violation beyond these two CKM-like frameworks.
- In Z' models with MFV the present $B_d \rightarrow K(K^*)\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies favour the enhancement of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$. $\Delta F = 2$ observables however put significant constraints on this possibility.
- Due to the absence of correlation between $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ and ϵ'/ϵ in general Z' models, the size of NP contribution in these decays could be large. Then, as demonstrated in [49], $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ can probe energy scales as large as 1000 TeV in the presence of general flavour-violating couplings.
- If the NA62 experiment will find the branching ratio for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ to be significantly above the SM predictions, both tree-level Z and Z' exchanges could be responsible for these effects — but the same can be said about more complicated models like LHT, RSc and supersymmetric models. Such high values of $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu})$ will also signal non-MFV sources at work.

- In particular, only Z and Z' models with general flavour violating couplings, among the models that we considered, allow for $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ above 20×10^{-11} .
- Finally, the future measurement of $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ will significantly facilitate the distinction between various models.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Jennifer Girrbach-Noe for participation in very early stage of this project. This research was done and financed in the context of the ERC Advanced Grant project “FLAVOUR” (267104) and was partially supported by the DFG cluster of excellence “Origin and Structure of the Universe”.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] G. Buchalla and A.J. Buras, *QCD corrections to rare K and B decays for arbitrary top quark mass*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **400** (1993) 225 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] F. Newson et al., *Prospects for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ at CERN in NA62*, [arXiv:1411.0109](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] A. Romano, *The $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ decay in the NA62 experiment at CERN*, [arXiv:1411.6546](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] T.K. Komatsubara, *Experiments with K-Meson Decays*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **67** (2012) 995 [[arXiv:1203.6437](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] KOTO collaboration, K. Shiomi, *$K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ at KOTO*, [arXiv:1411.4250](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] M. Gorbahn and U. Haisch, *Effective Hamiltonian for non-leptonic $|\Delta F| = 1$ decays at NNLO in QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **713** (2005) 291 [[hep-ph/0411071](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] A.J. Buras, M. Gorbahn, U. Haisch and U. Nierste, *The Rare decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ at the next-to-next-to-leading order in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 261805 [[hep-ph/0508165](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] A.J. Buras, M. Gorbahn, U. Haisch and U. Nierste, *Charm quark contribution to $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ at next-to-next-to-leading order*, *JHEP* **11** (2006) 002 [*Erratum ibid.* **1211** (2012) 167] [[hep-ph/0603079](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] J. Brod and M. Gorbahn, *Electroweak Corrections to the Charm Quark Contribution to $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$* , *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 034006 [[arXiv:0805.4119](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] J. Brod, M. Gorbahn and E. Stamou, *Two-Loop Electroweak Corrections for the $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ Decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 034030 [[arXiv:1009.0947](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] G. Buchalla and A.J. Buras, *Two loop large- m_t electroweak corrections to $K \rightarrow \pi$ neutrino anti-neutrino for arbitrary Higgs boson mass*, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 216 [[hep-ph/9707243](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] G. Isidori, F. Mescia and C. Smith, *Light-quark loops in $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **718** (2005) 319 [[hep-ph/0503107](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [13] F. Mescia and C. Smith, *Improved estimates of rare K decay matrix-elements from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 034017 [[arXiv:0705.2025](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] A.J. Buras, F. Schwab and S. Uhlig, *Waiting for precise measurements of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$* , *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** (2008) 965 [[hep-ph/0405132](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] A.J. Buras and J. Girrbach, *Towards the Identification of New Physics through Quark Flavour Violating Processes*, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **77** (2014) 086201 [[arXiv:1306.3775](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] M. Blanke, *New Physics Signatures in Kaon Decays*, *PoS(KAON13)010* [[arXiv:1305.5671](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] C. Smith, *Rare K decays: Challenges and Perspectives*, [arXiv:1409.6162](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] A.J. Buras, D. Buttazzo, J. Girrbach-Noe and R. Knegjens, *$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ in the Standard Model: status and perspectives*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 033 [[arXiv:1503.02693](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] A.J. Buras, *Minimal flavour violation and beyond: Towards a flavour code for short distance dynamics*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B 41** (2010) 2487 [[arXiv:1012.1447](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] A.J. Buras and J. Girrbach, *BSM models facing the recent LHCb data: A First look*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B 43** (2012) 1427 [[arXiv:1204.5064](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] A.J. Buras, A. Romanino and L. Silvestrini, *$K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$: A Model independent analysis and supersymmetry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **520** (1998) 3 [[hep-ph/9712398](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] G. Colangelo and G. Isidori, *Supersymmetric contributions to rare kaon decays: Beyond the single mass insertion approximation*, *JHEP* **09** (1998) 009 [[hep-ph/9808487](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] A.J. Buras, G. Colangelo, G. Isidori, A. Romanino and L. Silvestrini, *Connections between ϵ'/ϵ and rare kaon decays in supersymmetry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **566** (2000) 3 [[hep-ph/9908371](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] A.J. Buras, T. Ewerth, S. Jager and J. Rosiek, *$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays in the general MSSM*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **714** (2005) 103 [[hep-ph/0408142](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] A. Crivellin, L. Hofer, U. Nierste and D. Scherer, *Phenomenological consequences of radiative flavor violation in the MSSM*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 035030 [[arXiv:1105.2818](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] A.J. Buras, A. Poschenrieder, S. Uhlig and W.A. Bardeen, *Rare K and B Decays in the Littlest Higgs Model without T-Parity*, *JHEP* **11** (2006) 062 [[hep-ph/0607189](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] M. Blanke, A.J. Buras, B. Duling, S. Recksiegel and C. Tarantino, *FCNC Processes in the Littlest Higgs Model with T-Parity: a 2009 Look*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B 41** (2010) 657 [[arXiv:0906.5454](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] M. Blanke, A.J. Buras and S. Recksiegel, *Quark flavour observables in the Littlest Higgs model with T-parity after LHC Run 1*, [arXiv:1507.06316](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] M. Blanke, A.J. Buras, B. Duling, K. Gemmler and S. Gori, *Rare K and B Decays in a Warped Extra Dimension with Custodial Protection*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 108 [[arXiv:0812.3803](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] M. Bauer, S. Casagrande, U. Haisch and M. Neubert, *Flavor Physics in the Randall-Sundrum Model: II. Tree-Level Weak-Interaction Processes*, *JHEP* **09** (2010) 017 [[arXiv:0912.1625](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [31] D.M. Straub, *Anatomy of flavour-changing Z couplings in models with partial compositeness*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 108 [[arXiv:1302.4651](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] A.J. Buras, F. De Fazio, J. Girrbach and M.V. Carlucci, *The Anatomy of Quark Flavour Observables in 331 Models in the Flavour Precision Era*, *JHEP* **02** (2013) 023 [[arXiv:1211.1237](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] A.J. Buras, F. De Fazio and J. Girrbach, *331 models facing new $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ data*, *JHEP* **02** (2014) 112 [[arXiv:1311.6729](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] A.J. Buras, F. De Fazio and J. Girrbach-Noe, *$Z - Z'$ mixing and Z -mediated FCNCs in $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ models*, *JHEP* **08** (2014) 039 [[arXiv:1405.3850](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] S. Bertolini, M. Fabbrichesi and J.O. Eeg, *Theory of the CP-violating parameter ϵ'/ϵ* , *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **72** (2000) 65 [[hep-ph/9802405](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] A.J. Buras and M. Jamin, *ϵ'/ϵ at the NLO: 10 years later*, *JHEP* **01** (2004) 048 [[hep-ph/0306217](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] A. Pich, *ϵ'/ϵ in the standard model: Theoretical update*, [hep-ph/0410215](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] V. Cirigliano, G. Ecker, H. Neufeld, A. Pich and J. Portoles, *Kaon Decays in the Standard Model*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84** (2012) 399 [[arXiv:1107.6001](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] S. Bertolini, J.O. Eeg, A. Maiezza and F. Nesti, *New physics in ϵ' from gluomagnetic contributions and limits on Left-Right symmetry*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 095013 [[arXiv:1206.0668](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] A.J. Buras, F. De Fazio and J. Girrbach, *$\Delta I = 1/2$ rule, ϵ'/ϵ and $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ in $Z'(Z)$ and G' models with FCNC quark couplings*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2950 [[arXiv:1404.3824](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] A.J. Buras, M. Gorbahn, S. Jäger and M. Jamin, *Improved anatomy of ϵ'/ϵ in the Standard Model*, [arXiv:1507.06345](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] Z. Bai et al., *Standard-model prediction for direct CP-violation in $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decay*, [arXiv:1505.07863](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] A.J. Buras and J.-M. Gerard, *Upper Bounds on ϵ'/ϵ Parameters $B_6^{(1/2)}$ and $B_8^{(3/2)}$ from Large- N QCD and other News*, [arXiv:1507.06326](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] A.J. Buras and R. Fleischer, *Bounds on the unitarity triangle, $\sin 2\beta$ and $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays in models with minimal flavor violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 115010 [[hep-ph/0104238](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] Y. Grossman and Y. Nir, *$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ beyond the standard model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **398** (1997) 163 [[hep-ph/9701313](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] M. Blanke, *Insights from the Interplay of $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ and ϵ_K on the New Physics Flavour Structure*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B 41** (2010) 127 [[arXiv:0904.2528](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] R. Barbieri, D. Buttazzo, F. Sala and D.M. Straub, *Flavour physics and flavour symmetries after the first LHC phase*, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 105 [[arXiv:1402.6677](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] A.J. Buras, F. De Fazio and J. Girrbach, *The Anatomy of Z' and Z with Flavour Changing Neutral Currents in the Flavour Precision Era*, *JHEP* **02** (2013) 116 [[arXiv:1211.1896](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] A.J. Buras, D. Buttazzo, J. Girrbach-Noe and R. Knegjens, *Can we reach the Zeptouniverse with rare K and $B_{s,d}$ decays?*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 121 [[arXiv:1408.0728](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [50] R. Barbieri, G. Isidori, J. Jones-Perez, P. Lodone and D.M. Straub, *U(2) and Minimal Flavour Violation in Supersymmetry*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1725 [[arXiv:1105.2296](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] R. Barbieri, D. Buttazzo, F. Sala and D.M. Straub, *Flavour physics from an approximate U(2)³ symmetry*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 181 [[arXiv:1203.4218](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] M. Blanke and A.J. Buras, *Lower bounds on $\Delta M_{s,d}$ from constrained minimal flavour violation*, *JHEP* **05** (2007) 061 [[hep-ph/0610037](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] A.J. Buras and J. Girschbach, *On the Correlations between Flavour Observables in Minimal U(2)³ Models*, *JHEP* **01** (2013) 007 [[arXiv:1206.3878](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] A.J. Buras and J. Girschbach, *Left-handed Z' and Z FCNC quark couplings facing new $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ data*, *JHEP* **12** (2013) 009 [[arXiv:1309.2466](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] A.J. Buras, M. Jamin and M.E. Lautenbacher, *The Anatomy of ϵ'/ϵ beyond leading logarithms with improved hadronic matrix elements*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **408** (1993) 209 [[hep-ph/9303284](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] M. Ciuchini, E. Franco, G. Martinelli and L. Reina, *The $\Delta S = 1$ effective Hamiltonian including next-to-leading order QCD and QED corrections*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **415** (1994) 403 [[hep-ph/9304257](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] A.J. Buras, P. Gambino and U.A. Haisch, *Electroweak penguin contributions to nonleptonic $\Delta F = 1$ decays at NNLO*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **570** (2000) 117 [[hep-ph/9911250](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] A.J. Buras and L. Silvestrini, *Upper bounds on $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ from ϵ'/ϵ and $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **546** (1999) 299 [[hep-ph/9811471](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] S. Aoki et al., *Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2890 [[arXiv:1310.8555](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] T. Blum et al., *Lattice determination of the $K \rightarrow (\pi\pi)_{I=2}$ Decay Amplitude A_2* , *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 074513 [[arXiv:1206.5142](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] T. Blum et al., *$K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ $\Delta I = 3/2$ decay amplitude in the continuum limit*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 074502 [[arXiv:1502.00263](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] NA48 collaboration, J.R. Batley et al., *A Precision measurement of direct CP-violation in the decay of neutral kaons into two pions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **544** (2002) 97 [[hep-ex/0208009](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] KTeV collaboration, A. Alavi-Harati et al., *Measurements of direct CP-violation, CPT symmetry and other parameters in the neutral kaon system*, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 012005 [*Erratum ibid.* **D 70** (2004) 079904] [[hep-ex/0208007](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] KTeV collaboration, E.T. Worcester, *The Final Measurement of ϵ'/ϵ from KTeV*, [arXiv:0909.2555](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] S. Descotes-Genon, L. Hofer, J. Matias and J. Virto, *On the impact of power corrections in the prediction of $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ observables*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 125 [[arXiv:1407.8526](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] W. Altmannshofer and D.M. Straub, *New physics in $b \rightarrow s$ transitions after LHC run 1*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 382 [[arXiv:1411.3161](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] G. Hiller and M. Schmaltz, *R_K and future $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ physics beyond the standard model opportunities*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 054014 [[arXiv:1408.1627](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [68] W. Altmannshofer and D.M. Straub, *Implications of $b \rightarrow s$ measurements*, [arXiv:1503.06199](#) [INSPIRE].
- [69] A.J. Buras, J. Girrbach-Noe, C. Niehoff and D.M. Straub, *$B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays in the Standard Model and beyond*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 184 [[arXiv:1409.4557](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [70] M. Gorbahn and U. Haisch, *Charm Quark Contribution to $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ at Next-to-Next-to-Leading*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 122002 [[hep-ph/0605203](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [71] G. Isidori and R. Unterdorfer, *On the short distance constraints from $K_{L,S} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$* , *JHEP* **01** (2004) 009 [[hep-ph/0311084](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [72] C. Bobeth et al., *Upper bounds on rare K and B decays from minimal flavor violation*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 726** (2005) 252 [[hep-ph/0505110](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [73] U. Haisch and A. Weiler, *Determining the Sign of the Z Penguin Amplitude*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 76** (2007) 074027 [[arXiv:0706.2054](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [74] R. Barbieri, P. Campli, G. Isidori, F. Sala and D.M. Straub, *B -decay CP -asymmetries in $SUSY$ with a $U(2)^3$ flavour symmetry*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C 71** (2011) 1812 [[arXiv:1108.5125](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [75] A.J. Buras, J.-M. Gérard and W.A. Bardeen, *Large- N Approach to Kaon Decays and Mixing 28 Years Later: $\Delta I = 1/2$ Rule, \hat{B}_K and ΔM_K* , *Eur. Phys. J.* **C 74** (2014) 2871 [[arXiv:1401.1385](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [76] CKMFITTER GROUP collaboration, K. Trabelsi, *World average and experimental overview of γ/φ_3* , presented at *CKM 2014*, Vienna Austria (2014), <http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr>.
- [77] HEAVY FLAVOR AVERAGING GROUP collaboration, Y. Amhis et al., *Averages of B -Hadron, C -Hadron and tau-lepton properties as of early 2012*, [arXiv:1207.1158](#) [INSPIRE].
- [78] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, J. Beringer et al., *Review of Particle Physics (RPP)*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 86** (2012) 010001 [INSPIRE].
- [79] J. Brod and M. Gorbahn, *Next-to-Next-to-Leading-Order Charm-Quark Contribution to the CP -violation Parameter ϵ_K and ΔM_K* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 121801 [[arXiv:1108.2036](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [80] J. Brod and M. Gorbahn, *ϵ_K at Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order: The Charm-Top-Quark Contribution*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 82** (2010) 094026 [[arXiv:1007.0684](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [81] A.J. Buras, M. Jamin and P.H. Weisz, *Leading and Next-to-leading QCD Corrections to ϵ Parameter and $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ Mixing in the Presence of a Heavy Top Quark*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 347** (1990) 491 [INSPIRE].
- [82] K.G. Chetyrkin et al., *Charm and Bottom Quark Masses: An Update*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 80** (2009) 074010 [[arXiv:0907.2110](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [83] J. Urban, F. Krauss, U. Jentschura and G. Soff, *Next-to-leading order QCD corrections for the $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing with an extended Higgs sector*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 523** (1998) 40 [[hep-ph/9710245](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [84] ATLAS, CDF, CMS and D0 collaborations, *First combination of Tevatron and LHC measurements of the top-quark mass*, [arXiv:1403.4427](#) [INSPIRE].