DOI 10.1186/s13663-015-0451-x

Jung Fixed Point Theory and Applications (2015) 2015:202

## RESEARCH

 Fixed Point Theory and Applications a SpringerOpen Journal

**Open Access** 



# General iterative methods for monotone mappings and pseudocontractive mappings related to optimization problems

Jong Soo Jung\*

\*Correspondence: jungjs@dau.ac.kr Department of Mathematics, Dong-A University, Busan, 604-714, Korea

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce two general iterative methods for a certain optimization problem of which the constrained set is the common set of the solution set of the variational inequality problem for a continuous monotone mapping and the fixed point set of a continuous pseudocontractive mapping in a Hilbert space. Under some control conditions, we establish the strong convergence of the proposed methods to a common element of the solution set and the fixed point set, which is the unique solution of a certain optimization problem. As a direct consequence, we obtain the unique minimum-norm common point of the solution set and the fixed point set.

MSC: 47H06; 47H09; 47H10; 47J20; 49J40; 47J25; 47J05

**Keywords:** general iterative method; optimization problem; continuous monotone mapping; continuous pseudocontractive mapping; variational inequality; metric projection; strongly positive bounded linear operator; contractive mapping

## **1** Introduction

Let *H* be a real Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of *H*, and let  $S : C \to C$  be a self-mapping on *C*. We denote by Fix(*S*) the set of fixed points of *S* and by  $P_C$  the metric projection of *H* onto *C*.

A mapping *F* of *C* into *H* is called *monotone* if

 $\langle x-y, Fx-Fy \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$ 

A mapping *F* of *C* into *H* is called  $\alpha$ *-inverse-strongly monotone* (see [1, 2]) if there exists a positive real number  $\alpha$  such that

$$\langle x - y, Fx - Fy \rangle \ge \alpha ||Fx - Fy||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

If *F* is an  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping of *C* into *H*, then it is obvious that *F* is  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ -Lipschitz continuous, that is,  $||Fx - Fy|| \le \frac{1}{\alpha}||x - y||$  for all  $x, y \in C$ . Clearly, the class of monotone mappings includes the class of  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mappings.

© 2015 Jung. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



An operator *A* is said to be *strongly positive* on *H* if there exists a constant  $\overline{\gamma} > 0$  such that

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \overline{\gamma} \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

A mapping *F* of *C* into *H* is called  $\overline{\gamma}$ -strongly monotone if there exists a positive real number  $\overline{\gamma}$  such that

$$\langle x - y, Fx - Fy \rangle \ge \overline{\gamma} ||x - y||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Clearly, the class of monotone mappings includes the class of strongly positive mappings. Let *F* be a nonlinear mapping of *C* into *H*. The variational inequality problem is to find  $u \in C$  such that

$$\langle v - u, Fu \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall v \in C.$$
 (1.1)

We denote the set of solutions of the variational inequality problem (1.1) by VI(C, F). The variational inequality problem has been extensively studied in the literature; see [2–6] and the references therein.

The class of pseudocontractive mappings is one of the most important classes of mappings among nonlinear mappings. We recall that a mapping  $T: C \rightarrow H$  is said to be *pseudocontractive* if

$$||Tx - Ty||^2 \le ||x - y||^2 + ||(I - T)x - (I - T)y||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C,$$

and *T* is said to be *k*-strictly pseudocontractive if there exists a constant  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$||Tx - Ty||^{2} \le ||x - y||^{2} + k ||(I - T)x - (I - T)y||^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C,$$

where *I* is the identity mapping. Note that the class of *k*-strictly pseudocontractive mappings includes the class of nonexpansive mappings as a subclass. That is, *T* is nonexpansive (*i.e.*,  $||Tx - Ty|| \le ||x - y||$ ,  $\forall x, y \in C$ ) if and only if *T* is 0-strictly pseudocontractive. Clearly, the class of pseudocontractive mappings includes the class of strictly pseudocontractive mappings as a subclass, and the class of *k*-strictly pseudocontractive mappings falls into the one between the class of nonexpansive mappings and the class of pseudocontractive mappings. Moreover, this inclusion is strict due to an example in [7] (see also Example 5.7.1 and Example 5.7.2 in [8]). Recently, many authors have been devoting the studies to the problems of finding fixed points for pseudocontractive mappings; see, for example, [9–15] and the references therein.

The following optimization problem has been studied extensively by many authors:

$$\min_{x\in\Omega}\frac{\mu}{2}\langle Ax,x\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\|x-u\|^2-h(x),$$

where  $\Omega = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$ ,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,... are infinitely many closed convex subsets of H such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \neq \emptyset$ ;  $u \in H$ ;  $\mu \ge 0$  is a real number; A is a strongly positive bounded linear selfadjoint operator on H; and h is a potential function for  $\gamma f$  (*i.e.*,  $h' = \gamma f$  for  $\gamma > 0$  and a function *f* on *H*). For this kind of minimization problems, see, for example, Bauschke and Borwein [16], Combettes [17], Deutsch and Yamada [18], Jung [19], and Xu [20, 21] when  $\Omega = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} C_i$  and  $h(x) = \langle x, b \rangle$  for a given point *b* in *H*.

Iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings and strictly pseudocontractive mappings have recently been applied to solve the optimization problem, where the constraint set is the fixed point set of the mapping; see, for instance, [6, 10, 11, 18, 22–25] and the references therein. Some iterative methods for equilibrium problems, variational inequality problems, and fixed point problems to solve optimization problem, where the constraint set is the common set of the solution set of the problems and the fixed point set of the mappings, were also investigated by many authors recently; see, for instance, [26, 27] and the references therein. We can refer to [28] for certain iterative methods for the integral boundary value problems with causal operators, and we can refer to [29] for iterative methods for solving certain random operator equations.

In particular, in 2006, combining Moudafi's method [30] with Xu's method [21], Marino and Xu [24] introduced the following general iterative method for a nonexpansive mapping *S*:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f x_n + (I - \alpha_n A) S x_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
(1.2)

where  $\gamma > 0$  and f is a contractive mapping on H. Under well-known control conditions on the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$ , they proved the strong convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.2) to a point  $\tilde{x} \in Fix(S)$ , which is the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x} - p \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \operatorname{Fix}(S),$$

which is the optimality condition for the optimization problem

$$\min_{x\in \operatorname{Fix}(S)}\frac{1}{2}\langle Ax,x\rangle-h(x),$$

where *h* is a potential function for  $\gamma f$ . Very recently, Jung [23] proposed the following general iterative method for a *k*-strictly pseudocontractive mapping *T* for some  $0 \le k < 1$ :

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n (u + \gamma f x_n) + (I - \alpha_n (I + \mu A)) P_C S x_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$

$$(1.3)$$

where  $u \in C$ ;  $\mu \ge 0$  is a real number; and  $S : C \to H$  is a mapping defined by Sx = kx + (1-k)Tx. Under different control conditions on the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$  and the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.3), he showed the strong convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  to a point  $\tilde{x} \in Fix(T)$ , which is the unique solution of the optimization problem

$$\min_{x\in \operatorname{Fix}(T)}\frac{\mu}{2}\langle Ax,x\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\|x-u\|^2-h(x),$$

where *h* is a potential function for  $\gamma f$ .

On the other hand, in order to study the variational inequality problem (1.1) coupled with the fixed point problem, many authors have introduced some iterative methods for finding

an element of  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(S)$ , where F is an  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and S is a nonexpansive mapping; see [1, 31-34] and the references therein. Some iterative methods for finding an element of  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$  were also presented by many authors, where F is a continuous monotone mapping and T is a continuous pseudocontractive mapping; see [35-37] and the references therein. In the case that E is a Banach space with the dual  $E^*$ , we can refer to [38] for iterative methods for finding an element of  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ , where  $F : C \to E^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and T is a relatively weak nonexpansive mapping, and we can refer to [39] for iterative methods for finding an element of  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} Fix(T_i) \cap VI(C, F)$ , where F is an  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly accretive mapping and  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., N, are  $k_i$ -strictly pseudocontractive mappings. And we can consult [40] for iterative methods for finding a common element of  $VI(C, F_1) \cap VI(C, F_2)$ , where  $F_1, F_2 : C \to E^*$  are two continuous monotone mappings.

Recently, researchers have also invented some iterative methods for finding the minimum norm element in the solution set of certain problems (for instance, variational inequality problem, minimization problem, split feasibility problem, *etc.*) and the fixed point set of nonlinear mappings (for instance, nonexpansive mapping, strictly pseudocontractive mapping, Lipschitzian pseudocontractive mapping, *etc.*); see, for instance, [41–43] and the references therein.

In this paper, as a continuation of study for the above-mentioned optimization problems, we consider the following optimization problem of which the constrained set is  $VI(C, F) \cap$ Fix(*T*):

$$\min_{x \in \operatorname{VI}(C,F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)} \frac{\mu}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x - u\|^2 - h(x), \tag{1.4}$$

where *F* is a continuous monotone mapping; *T* is a continuous pseudocontractive mapping  $u \in C$ ;  $\mu \ge 0$  is a real number; and *h* is a potential function for  $\gamma f$  when *f* is a contractive mapping and  $\gamma > 0$ . We present two general iterative methods for solving the optimization problem (1.4). First, we introduce an implicit general iterative method. Consequently, by discretizing the continuous implicit method, we provide an explicit general iterative method. Under some control conditions, we show the strong convergence of the proposed methods to an element of  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ , which is the unique solution of the optimization problem (1.4). As special cases, we obtain two iterative methods which converge strongly to the minimum norm point of  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ . Our results unify, complement, develop, and improve upon the corresponding results of Jung [22, 23], Yao *et al.* [27], and some recent results in the literature.

### 2 Preliminaries and lemmas

Let *H* be a real Hilbert space, and let *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of *H*. We write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  to indicate that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to x.  $x_n \rightarrow x$  implies that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to x.

For every point  $x \in H$ , there exists a unique nearest point in *C*, denoted by  $P_C(x)$ , such that

$$||x - P_C(x)|| \le ||x - y||, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

 $P_C$  is called the *metric projection* of H onto C. It is well known that  $P_C$  is nonexpansive and is characterized by the properties

$$u = P_C(x) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \langle x - u, u - y \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in H, y \in C.$$

$$(2.1)$$

In a Hilbert space *H*, the following equality holds:

$$\|x - y\|^{2} = \|x\|^{2} + \|y\|^{2} - 2\langle x, y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$
(2.2)

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our main results.

Lemma 2.1 In a real Hilbert space H, there holds the following inequality:

$$||x+y||^2 \le ||x||^2 + 2\langle y, x+y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([20]) Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1-w_n)s_n + w_n\delta_n + v_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

where  $\{w_n\}$ ,  $\{\delta_n\}$ , and  $\{v_n\}$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $\{w_n\} \subset [0,1]$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n = \infty$  or, equivalently,  $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-w_n) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \delta_n \leq 0 \text{ or } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n |\delta_n| < \infty;$
- (iii)  $v_n \ge 0 \ (n \ge 0), \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n < \infty$ .

Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$ .

The following lemmas can be easily proven, and therefore, we omit the proofs.

**Lemma 2.3** Let H be a real Hilbert space, and let  $A : H \to H$  be a strongly positive bounded linear operator with a constant  $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ . Let  $f : H \to H$  be a contractive mapping with a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\mu \ge 0$  and  $0 < \gamma < \frac{1+\mu\overline{\gamma}}{k}$ . Then

$$\langle x-y, ((I+\mu A)-\gamma f)x-((I+\mu A)-\gamma f)y\rangle \geq (1+\mu\overline{\gamma}-\gamma k)\|x-y\|^2, \quad \forall x,y\in H.$$

*That is*,  $(I + \mu A) - \gamma f$  *is strongly monotone with a constant*  $1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([24]) Let  $\mu > 0$ , and let  $A : H \to H$  be a strongly positive bounded linear selfadjoint operator on a Hilbert space H with a constant  $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ . Let  $0 < \xi \le (1 + \mu ||A||)^{-1}$ . Then  $||I - \xi(I + \mu A)|| < 1 - \xi(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})$ .

The following lemmas are Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 of Zegeye [44], respectively.

**Lemma 2.5** ([44]) Let C be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let  $F : C \to H$ be a continuous monotone mapping. Then, for r > 0 and  $x \in H$ , there exists  $z \in C$  such that

$$\langle y-z,Fz\rangle + \frac{1}{r}\langle y-z,z-x\rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

*For* r > 0 *and*  $x \in H$ *, define*  $F_r : H \to C$  *by* 

$$F_r x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle y - z, Fz \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \ge 0, \forall y \in C \right\}.$$

Then the following hold:

- (i)  $F_r$  is single-valued;
- (ii)  $F_r$  is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$||F_r x - F_r y||^2 \le \langle x - y, F_r x - F_r y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H;$$

- (iii)  $\operatorname{Fix}(F_r) = \operatorname{VI}(C, F);$
- (iv) VI(C, F) is a closed convex subset of C.

**Lemma 2.6** ([44]) Let C be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let  $T : C \to H$  be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping. Then, for r > 0 and  $x \in H$ , there exists  $z \in C$  such that

$$\langle y-z, Tz \rangle - \frac{1}{r} \langle y-z, (1+r)z-x \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

*For* r > 0 *and*  $x \in H$ *, define*  $T_r : H \to C$  *by* 

$$T_r x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle y - z, Tz \rangle - \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, (1+r)z - x \rangle \le 0, \forall y \in C \right\}.$$

Then the following hold:

- (i)  $T_r$  is single-valued;
- (ii)  $T_r$  is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$||T_r x - T_r y||^2 \le \langle x - y, T_r x - T_r y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H;$$

- (iii)  $\operatorname{Fix}(T_r) = \operatorname{Fix}(T);$
- (iv) Fix(T) is a closed convex subset of C.

The following lemma can be found in [26, 45].

**Lemma 2.7** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H, and let  $g: C \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a proper lower semicontinuous differentiable convex function. If  $x^*$  is a solution of the minimization problem

$$g(x^*) = \inf_{x \in C} g(x),$$

then

$$\langle g'(x^*), p-x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad p \in C.$$

In particular, if  $x^*$  solves the optimization problem

$$\min_{x\in C}\frac{\mu}{2}\langle Ax,x\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\|x-u\|^2-h(x),$$

where A is a bounded linear self-adjoint operator on H, then

$$\langle u + (\gamma f - (I + \mu A))x^*, p - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad p \in C,$$

where *h* is a potential function of  $\gamma f$ .

## 3 Main results

Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume the following:

- *H* is a real Hilbert space;
- *C* is a nonempty closed subspace subset of *H*;
- $A: C \to C$  is a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator with a constant  $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ ;
- $f: C \rightarrow C$  is a contractive mapping with a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$ ;
- Constants  $\mu \ge 0$  and  $0 < \gamma < \frac{1+\mu\overline{\gamma}}{k}$ ;
- $F: C \rightarrow H$  is a continuous monotone mapping;
- VI(*C*, *F*) is the set of the variational inequality problem (1.1) for *F*;
- $T: C \to C$  is a continuous pseudocontractive mapping such that  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- $F_{r_t}: H \to C$  is a mapping defined by

$$F_{r_t} x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle y - z, Fz \rangle + \frac{1}{r_t} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \ge 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

for  $r_t \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $t \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\liminf_{t \to 0} r_t > 0$ ;

•  $T_{r_t}: H \to C$  is a mapping defined by

$$T_{r_t}x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle y - z, Tz \rangle - \frac{1}{r_t} \langle y - z, (1 + r_t)z - x \rangle \le 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

for  $r_t \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $t \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\liminf_{t \to 0} r_t > 0$ ;

•  $F_{r_n}: H \to C$  is a mapping defined by

$$F_{r_n}x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle y - z, Fz \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \ge 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

for  $r_n \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0$ ;

•  $T_{r_n}: H \to C$  is a mapping defined by

$$T_{r_n}x = \left\{ z \in C : \langle y - z, Tz \rangle - \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - z, (1 + r_n)z - x \rangle \le 0, \forall y \in C \right\}$$

for  $r_n \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0$ ;

•  $u \in C$ .

By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, we note that  $F_{r_t}$ ,  $T_{r_t}$ ,  $F_{r_n}$ , and  $T_{r_n}$  are nonexpansive,  $Fix(F_{r_n}) = VI(C, F) = Fix(F_{r_t})$ , and  $Fix(T_{r_n}) = Fix(T) = Fix(T_{r_t})$ .

In this section, first, we introduce the following general iterative method that generates a net  $\{x_t\}_{t \in \{0,\min\{1, \frac{1}{1+u^{\|A\|}}\}}$  in an implicit way:

$$x_{t} = t(u + \gamma f x_{t}) + (I - t(I + \mu A))T_{r_{t}}F_{r_{t}}x_{t}.$$
(3.1)

Now, for  $t \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu ||A||}\})$ , consider a mapping  $Q_t : C \to C$  defined by

$$Q_t x = t(u + \gamma f x) + (I - t(I + \mu A))T_{r_t}F_{r_t}x, \quad \forall x \in C.$$

It is easy to see that  $Q_t$  is a contractive mapping with a constant  $1 - t(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)$ . Indeed, since  $T_{r_t}F_{r_t}$  is nonexpansive, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_t x - Q_t y\| &\leq \left\| \left( I - t(I + \mu A) \right) T_{r_t} F_{r_t} x - \left( I - t(I + \mu A) \right) T_{r_t} F_{r_t} y \right\| \\ &+ t \left\| (u + \gamma f x) - (u + \gamma f y) \right\| \\ &\leq \left( 1 - t(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma}) \right) \|x - y\| + t\gamma k \|x - y\| \\ &= \left( 1 - t(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k) \right) \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < t < \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu ||A||}\}$ , it follows that

$$0 < 1 - t(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k) < 1.$$

Hence  $Q_t$  is a contractive mapping. By the Banach contraction principle,  $Q_t$  has a unique fixed point, denoted by  $x_t$ , which uniquely solves the fixed point equation (3.1).

We summarize the basic properties of  $\{x_t\}$ .

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $\{x_t\}$  be defined via (3.1). Then

- (i)  $\{x_t\}$  is bounded for  $t \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+u\|A\|}\});$
- (ii)  $\lim_{t\to 0} ||x_t T_{r_t}F_{r_t}x_t|| = 0;$
- (iii)  $x_t: (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu ||A||}\}) \to H$  is locally Lipschitzian, provided
- $r_t: (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu \|A\|}\}) \to (0, \infty) \text{ is locally Lipschitzian;}$ (iv)  $x_t$  defines a continuous path from  $(0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu \|A\|}\})$  into H, provided  $r_t: (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu ||A||}\}) \to (0, \infty)$  is continuous.

*Proof* (i) Let  $z_t = F_{r_t} x_t$ , and let  $u_t = T_{r_t} z_t$ . Let  $p \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ . Then  $p = F_{r_t} p$  by Lemma 2.5(iii) and  $p = T_{r_t}p$  (= Tp) by Lemma 2.6(iii), and from the nonexpansivity of  $T_{r_t}$  and  $F_{r_t}$ , it follows that

$$\|u_t - p\| = \|T_{r_t} z_t - T_{r_t} p\| \le \|z_t - p\|,$$
(3.2)

and

$$||z_t - p|| = ||F_{r_t} x_t - F_{r_t} p|| \le ||x_t - p||.$$
(3.3)

Let  $\overline{A} = I + \mu A$ . By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\|x_t - p\| = \|t(u + \gamma fx_t) + (I - t\overline{A})T_{r_t}z_t - p\|$$
  
$$= \|(I - t\overline{A})T_tz_t - (I - t\overline{A})T_{r_t}p + t\gamma fx_t - t\gamma fp + t(u + \gamma f - \overline{A})p\|$$
  
$$\leq \|(I - t\overline{A})T_{r_t}z_t - (I - t\overline{A})T_{r_t}p\| + t\gamma k\|x_t - p\|$$
  
$$+ t(\|u\| + \|(\gamma f - \overline{A})p\|)$$

$$\leq \left(1 - t(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma})\right) \|z_t - p\| + t\gamma k \|x_t - p\| + t\left(\|u\| + \left\|(\gamma f - \overline{A})p\right\|\right)$$
  
$$\leq \left(1 - t(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma})\right) \|x_t - p\| + t\gamma k \|x_t - p\| + t\left(\|u\| + \left\|(\gamma f - \overline{A})p\right\|\right)$$
  
$$= \left(1 - t(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)\right) \|x_t - p\| + t\left(\|u\| + \left\|(\gamma f - \overline{A})p\right\|\right).$$

So, it follows that

$$\|x_t - p\| \leq \frac{\|u\| + \|(\gamma f - \overline{A})p\|}{1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k}.$$

Hence { $x_t$ } is bounded and so are { $z_t$ } = { $F_{r_t}x_t$ }, { $u_t$ } = { $T_{r_t}z_t$ }, and { $\overline{A}T_{r_t}z_t$ } = { $\overline{A}T_{r_t}F_{r_t}x_t$ }, and { $fx_t$ }.

(ii) Let  $z_t = F_{r_t}x_t$ . By the definition of  $\{x_t\}$  and the boundedness of  $\{fx_t\}$  and  $\{\overline{A}T_{r_t}z_t\}$  in (i), we have

$$\|x_t - T_{r_t}F_{r_t}x_t\| = t \|\overline{A}T_{r_t}F_{r_t}x_t - (u + \gamma fx_t)\|$$
  
$$\leq t (\|\overline{A}T_{r_t}z_t\| + \|u\| + \gamma \|fx_t\|) \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to 0.$$

(iii) Let  $t, t_0 \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu ||A||}\})$ , and let  $z_t = F_{r_t} x_t$  and  $z_{t_0} = F_{r_{t_0}} x_{t_0}$ . Let  $u_t = T_{r_t} z_t$  and  $u_{t_0} = T_{r_{t_0}} z_{t_0}$ . Then we get

$$\langle y - u_{t_0}, Tu_{t_0} \rangle - \frac{1}{r_{t_0}} \langle y - u_{t_0}, (1 + r_{t_0})u_{t_0} - z_{t_0} \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$
(3.4)

and

$$\langle y - u_t, Tu_t \rangle - \frac{1}{r_t} \langle y - u_t, (1 + r_t)u_t - z_t \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

$$(3.5)$$

Putting  $y = u_t$  in (3.4) and  $y = u_{t_0}$  in (3.5), we obtain

$$\langle u_t - u_{t_0}, Tu_{t_0} \rangle - \frac{1}{r_{t_0}} \langle u_t - u_{t_0}, (1 + r_{t_0})u_{t_0} - z_{t_0} \rangle \le 0,$$
 (3.6)

and

$$\langle u_{t_0} - u_t, Tu_t \rangle - \frac{1}{r_t} \langle u_{t_0} - u_t, (1+r_t)u_t - z_t \rangle \le 0.$$
 (3.7)

Adding up (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$\langle u_t - u_{t_0}, Tu_{t_0} - Tu_t \rangle - \left\langle u_t - u_{t_0}, \frac{(1 + r_{t_0})u_{t_0} - z_{t_0}}{r_{t_0}} - \frac{(1 + r_t)u_t - z_t}{r_t} \right\rangle \le 0,$$

which implies that

$$\langle u_t - u_{t_0}, (u_t - Tu_t) - (u_{t_0} - Tu_{t_0}) \rangle - \langle u_t - u_{t_0}, \frac{u_{t_0} - z_{t_0}}{r_{t_0}} - \frac{u_t - z_t}{r_t} \rangle \le 0.$$

Now, using the fact that T is pseudocontractive, we get

$$\left(u_t - u_{t_0}, \frac{u_{t_0} - z_{t_0}}{r_{t_0}} - \frac{u_t - z_t}{r_t}\right) \ge 0,$$

and hence

$$\left(u_t - u_{t_0}, u_{t_0} - u_t + u_t - z_{t_0} - \frac{r_{t_0}}{r_t}(u_t - z_t)\right) \ge 0.$$
(3.8)

Without loss of generality, let us assume that there exists a real number  $r_t > b > 0$  for  $t \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu \|A\|}\})$ . Then, by (3.8), we have

$$\|u_{t} - u_{t_{0}}\|^{2} \leq \left\langle u_{t} - u_{t_{0}}, z_{t} - z_{t_{0}} + \left(1 - \frac{r_{t_{0}}}{r_{t}}\right)(u_{t} - z_{t})\right\rangle$$
  
$$\leq \|u_{t} - u_{t_{0}}\|\left\{\|z_{t} - z_{t_{0}}\| + \left|1 - \frac{r_{t_{0}}}{r_{t}}\right|\|u_{t} - z_{t}\|\right\}.$$
(3.9)

Hence, from (3.9) we obtain

$$\|u_{t} - u_{t_{0}}\| \leq \|z_{t} - z_{t_{0}}\| + \frac{1}{r_{t}}|r_{t} - r_{t_{0}}|\|u_{t} - z_{t}\|$$

$$\leq \|z_{t} - z_{t_{0}}\| + \frac{1}{b}|r_{t} - r_{t_{0}}|L,$$
(3.10)

where  $L = \sup\{\|u_t - z_t : t \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu \|A\|}\})\|\}.$ Moreover, since  $z_t = F_{r_t} x_t$  and  $z_{t_0} = F_{r_{t_0}} x_{t_0}$ , we get

$$\langle y - z_t, Fz_t \rangle + \frac{1}{r_t} \langle y - z_t, z_t - x_t \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

$$(3.11)$$

and

$$\langle y - z_{t_0}, F z_{t_0} \rangle + \frac{1}{r_{t_0}} \langle y - z_{t_0}, z_{t_0} - x_{t_0} \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
 (3.12)

Putting  $y = z_{t_0}$  in (3.11) and  $y = z_t$  in (3.12), we obtain

$$\langle z_{t_0} - z_t, Fz_t \rangle + \frac{1}{r_t} \langle z_{t_0} - z_t, z_t - x_t \rangle \ge 0,$$
 (3.13)

and

$$\langle z_t - z_{t_0}, Fz_{t_0} \rangle + \frac{1}{r_{t_0}} \langle z_t - z_{t_0}, z_{t_0} - x_{t_0} \rangle \ge 0.$$
 (3.14)

Adding up (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$-\langle z_t - z_{t_0}, Fz_t - Fz_{t_0} \rangle + \left( z_{t_0} - z_t, \frac{z_t - x_t}{r_t} - \frac{z_{t_0} - x_{t_0}}{r_{t_0}} \right) \ge 0.$$

Since *F* is monotone, we get

$$\left(z_{t_0}-z_t, \frac{z_t-x_t}{r_t}-\frac{z_{t_0}-x_{t_0}}{r_{t_0}}\right) \ge 0,$$

and hence

$$\left\langle z_t - z_{t_0}, z_{t_0} - z_t + z_t - x_{t_0} - \frac{r_{t_0}}{r_t}(z_t - x_t) \right\rangle \ge 0.$$
 (3.15)

Then, using the method in (3.8) and (3.9), from (3.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_t - z_{t_0}\|^2 &\leq \left\langle z_t - z_{t_0}, z_t - x_t + x_t - x_{t_0} - \frac{r_{t_0}}{r_t} (z_t - x_t) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle z_t - z_{t_0}, x_t - x_{t_0} + \left(1 - \frac{r_{t_0}}{r_t}\right) (z_t - x_t) \right\rangle \\ &\leq \|z_t - z_{t_0}\| \left\{ \|x_t - x_{t_0}\| + \frac{1}{b} |r_t - r_{t_0}| \|z_t - x_t\| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$||z_t - z_{t_0}|| \le ||x_t - x_{t_0}|| + \frac{1}{b}|r_t - r_{t_0}|M,$$
(3.16)

where  $M = \sup\{\|z_t - x_t\| : t \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu\|A\|}\})\|\}$ . Combining (3.10) with (3.16), we get

$$\|u_t - u_{t_0}\| = \|T_{r_t} z_t - T_{r_{t_0}} z_{t_0}\| \le \|x_t - x_{t_0}\| + \frac{1}{b} |r_t - r_{t_0}| (L + M).$$
(3.17)

Now, using (3.17), we calculate

$$\begin{split} \|x_{t} - x_{t_{0}}\| \\ &= \left\| (I - t\overline{A}) T_{r_{t}} F_{r_{t}} x_{t} + t(u + \gamma f x_{t}) - (I - t_{0}\overline{A}) T_{r_{t_{0}}} F_{r_{t_{0}}} x_{t_{0}} - t_{0}(u + \gamma f x_{t_{0}}) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| (I - t\overline{A}) T_{r_{t}} z_{t} - (I - t_{0}\overline{A}) T_{r_{t}} z_{t} \right\| + \left\| (I - t_{0}\overline{A}) T_{r_{t}} z_{t} - (I - t_{0}\overline{A}) T_{r_{t_{0}}} z_{t_{0}} \right\| \\ &+ \gamma |t - t_{0}| \|f x_{t}\| + |t - t_{0}| \|u\| + \gamma t_{0} \|f x_{t} - f x_{t_{0}}\| \\ &\leq |t - t_{0}| \|\overline{A}\| \|T_{r_{t}} z_{t}\| + (1 - t_{0}(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})) \|T_{r_{t}} z_{t} - T_{r_{t_{0}}} z_{t_{0}}\| \\ &+ \gamma |t - t_{0}| \|f x_{t}\| + |t - t_{0}| \|u\| + t_{0} \gamma k \|x_{t} - x_{t_{0}}\| \\ &\leq |t - t_{0}| \|\overline{A}\| \|T_{r_{t}} z_{t}\| + (1 - t_{0}(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})) \bigg[ \|x_{t} - x_{t_{0}}\| + \frac{1}{b} |r_{t} - r_{t_{0}}|(L + M) \bigg] \\ &+ \gamma |t - t_{0}| \|f x_{t}\| + |t - t_{0}| \|u\| + t_{0} \gamma k \|x_{t} - x_{t_{0}}\|. \end{split}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - x_{t_0}\| &\leq \frac{\|\overline{A}\| \|T_{r_t} z_t\| + \gamma \|fx_t\| + \|u\|}{t_0 (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)} |t - t_0| \\ &+ \frac{(1 - t_0 (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})) \frac{1}{b} (L + M)}{t_0 (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)} |r_t - r_{t_0}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r_t : (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu \|A\|}\}) \to (0, \infty)$  is locally Lipschitzian,  $x_t$  is also locally Lipschitzian. (iv) From the last inequality in (iii), the result follows immediately. We prove the following theorem for strong convergence of the net  $\{x_t\}$  as  $t \to 0$ , which guarantees the existence of solutions of the optimization problem (1.4).

**Theorem 3.1** Let the net  $\{x_t\}$  be defined via (3.1). Then  $x_t$  converges strongly to a point  $\tilde{x} \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$  as  $t \to 0$ , which solves the variational inequality

$$\langle u + (\gamma f - (I + \mu A))\widetilde{x}, p - \widetilde{x} \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall p \in \operatorname{VI}(C, F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T).$$
 (3.18)

This  $\tilde{x}$  is the unique solution of the optimization problem (1.4).

*Proof* We first show the uniqueness of a solution of the variational inequality (3.18), which is indeed a consequence of the strong monotonicity of  $(I + \mu A) - \gamma f$ . In fact, since A is a strongly positive bounded linear operator with a constant  $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ , we know from Lemma 2.3 that  $I + \mu A - \gamma f$  is strongly monotone with a constant  $1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $\widetilde{x} \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$  and  $\widehat{x} \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$  both are solutions to (3.18). Then we have

$$\langle u + (\gamma f - (I + \mu A))\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x} - \widehat{x} \rangle \le 0$$
(3.19)

and

$$\left\langle u + \left(\gamma f - (I + \mu A)\right)\widehat{x}, \widehat{x} - \widetilde{x} \right\rangle \le 0.$$
(3.20)

Adding up (3.19) and (3.20) yields

$$\langle ((I + \mu A) - \gamma f) \widetilde{x} - ((I + \mu A) - \gamma f) \widehat{x}, \widetilde{x} - \widehat{x} \rangle \leq 0.$$

The strong monotonicity of  $(I + \mu A) - \gamma f$  (Lemma 2.3) implies that  $\tilde{x} = \hat{x}$  and the uniqueness is proved.

Next, we prove that  $x_t \to \tilde{x}$  as  $t \to 0$ . Let  $\overline{A} = (I + \mu A)$ , and let  $z_t = F_{r_t}x_t$ . Observing  $\operatorname{Fix}(T) = \operatorname{Fix}(T_{r_t})$  (by Lemma 2.6(iii)) and  $\operatorname{Fix}(F_{r_t}) = \operatorname{VI}(C, F)$  (by Lemma 2.5(iii)), from (3.1) we write, for given  $p \in \operatorname{VI}(C, F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_t - p &= (I - t\overline{A})T_{r_t}z_t - (I - t\overline{A})T_{r_t}p + t\gamma(fx_t - fp) + t\left(u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})p\right) \\ &= (I - t\overline{A})(T_{r_t}z_t - T_{r_t}p) + t\gamma(fx_t - fp) + t\left(u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})p\right) \end{aligned}$$

to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - p\|^2 &= \left\langle (I - t\overline{A})(T_{r_t}z_t - T_{r_t}p), x_t - p \right\rangle + t\gamma \left\langle fx_t - fp, x_t - p \right\rangle \\ &+ t \left\langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})p, x_t - p \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left( 1 - t(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma}) \right) \|z_t - p\| \|x_t - p\| + t\gamma k \|x_t - p\|^2 \\ &+ t \left\langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})p, x_t - p \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left( 1 - t(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma}) \right) \|x_t - p\|^2 + t\gamma k \|x_t - p\|^2 \\ &+ t \left\langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})p, x_t - p \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\|x_t - p\|^2 \le \frac{1}{1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})p, x_t - p \rangle.$$
(3.21)

Since  $\{x_t\}$  is bounded as  $t \to 0$  (by Proposition 3.1(i)), there exists a subsequence  $\{t_n\}$  in  $(0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{1+\mu ||A||}\})$  such that  $t_n \to 0$  and  $x_{t_n} \rightharpoonup x^*$ . First of all, we prove that  $x^* \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ . To this end, we divide its proof into four steps.

Step 1. We show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_{t_n} - z_{t_n}|| = 0$ , where  $z_{t_n} = F_{r_{t_n}} x_{t_n}$ . To show this, let  $p \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ . Since  $p = F_{r_{t_n}}p$ , from (2.2) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{t_n} - p\|^2 &= \|F_{r_{t_n}} x_{t_n} - F_{r_{t_n}} p\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle z_{t_n} - p, x_{t_n} - p \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big[ \|x_{t_n} - p\|^2 + \|z_{t_n} - p\|^2 - \|x_{t_n} - z_{t_n}\|^2 \Big], \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$||z_{t_n} - p||^2 \le ||x_{t_n} - p||^2 - ||x_{t_n} - z_{t_n}||^2$$

Thus, from (3.2) we have

$$||T_{r_n}z_{t_n}-p||^2 \le ||z_{t_n}-p||^2 \le ||x_{t_n}-p||^2 - ||x_{t_n}-z_{t_n}||^2.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{t_n} - z_{t_n}\|^2 &\leq \|x_{t_n} - p\|^2 - \|T_{r_n} z_{t_n} - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\|x_{t_n} - p\| + \|T_{r_n} z_{t_n} - p\|\right) \left(\|x_{t_n} - p\| - \|T_{r_n} z_{t_n} - p\|\right) \\ &\leq \left(\|x_{t_n} - p\| + \|T_{r_n} z_{t_n} - p\|\right) \|x_{t_n} - T_{r_n} z_{t_n}\| \\ &= \left(\|x_{t_n} - p\| + \|T_{r_n} z_{t_n} - p\|\right) \|x_{t_n} - T_{r_n} F_{r_n} x_{t_n}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t_n \to 0$  and  $||x_{t_n} - T_{r_n}F_{r_n}x_{t_n}|| \to 0$  by Proposition 3.1(ii), we get  $||x_{t_n} - z_{t_n}|| \to 0$  by the boundedness of  $\{x_t\}$  and  $\{T_{r_t}z_t\}$ .

*Step* 2. We show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||u_{t_n} - z_{t_n}|| = 0$ , where  $u_{t_n} = T_{r_{t_n}} z_{t_n}$ . Indeed, from Proposition 3.1(ii) and Step 1 it follows that

$$||u_{t_n} - z_{t_n}|| \le ||u_{t_n} - x_{t_n}|| + ||x_{t_n} - z_{t_n}|| \to 0 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty).$$

*Step* 3. We show that  $x^* \in VI(C, F)$ . In fact, from the definition of  $z_{t_n} = F_{r_{t_n}} x_{t_n}$  we have

$$\langle y - z_{t_n}, F z_{t_n} \rangle + \left\langle y - z_{t_n}, \frac{z_{t_n} - x_{t_n}}{r_{t_n}} \right\rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
(3.22)

Set  $w_t = tv + (1 - t)x^*$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$  and  $v \in C$ . Then  $w_t \in C$ . From (3.22) it follows that

$$\langle w_t - z_{t_n}, Fw_t \rangle \geq \langle w_t - z_{t_n}, Fw_t \rangle - \langle w_t - z_{t_n}, Fz_{t_n} \rangle - \left\langle w_t - z_{t_n}, \frac{z_{t_n} - x_{t_n}}{r_{t_n}} \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle w_t - z_{t_n}, Fw_t - Fz_{t_n} \rangle - \left\langle w_t - z_{t_n}, \frac{z_{t_n} - x_{t_n}}{r_{t_n}} \right\rangle.$$

$$(3.23)$$

By Step 1, we have  $\frac{z_{t_n} - x_{t_n}}{r_{t_n}} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Moreover, since  $x_{t_n} \rightharpoonup x^*$ , by Step 1, we have  $z_{t_n} \rightharpoonup x^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since *F* is monotone, we also have that  $\langle w_t - z_{t_n}, Fw_t - Fz_{t_n} \rangle \ge 0$ . Thus, from (3.23) it follows that

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle w_t - z_{t_n}, Fw_t \rangle = \langle w_t - x^*, Fw_t \rangle,$$

and hence

$$\langle v-x^*, Fw_t \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C.$$

If  $t \to 0$ , the continuity of *F* yields that

$$\langle v-x^*,Fx^*\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C.$$

This implies that  $x^* \in VI(C, F)$ .

*Step* 4. We show that  $x^* \in Fix(T)$ . In fact, from the definition of  $u_{t_n} = T_{r_{t_n}} z_{t_n}$ , we have

$$\langle y - u_{t_n}, Tu_{t_n} \rangle - \frac{1}{r_{t_n}} \langle y - u_{t_n}, (1 + r_{t_n})u_{t_n} - z_{t_n} \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
 (3.24)

Put  $w_t = tv + (1 - t)x^*$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$  and  $v \in C$ . Then  $w_t \in C$ , and from (3.24) and pseudocontractivity of *T* it follows that

$$\langle u_{t_n} - w_t, Tw_t \rangle \geq \langle u_{t_n} - w_t, Tw_t \rangle + \langle w_t - u_{t_n}, Tu_{t_n} \rangle - \frac{1}{r_{t_n}} \langle w_t - u_{t_n}, (1 + r_{t_n})u_{t_n} - z_{t_n} \rangle = - \langle w_t - u_{t_n}, Tw_t - Tu_{t_n} \rangle - \frac{1}{r_{t_n}} \langle w_t - u_{t_n}, u_{t_n} - z_{t_n} \rangle - \langle w_t - u_{t_n}, u_{t_n} \rangle \ge - ||w_t - u_{t_n}||^2 - \frac{1}{r_{t_n}} \langle w_t - u_{t_n}, u_{t_n} - z_{t_n} \rangle - \langle w_t - u_{t_n}, u_{t_n} \rangle = - \langle w_t - u_{t_n}, w_t \rangle - \left( w_t - u_{t_n}, \frac{u_{t_n} - z_{t_n}}{r_{t_n}} \right).$$
(3.25)

By Step 2, we get  $\frac{u_{t_n}-z_{t_n}}{r_{t_n}} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Moreover, since  $x_{t_n} \rightharpoonup x^*$ , by Step 1 and Step 2, we have  $u_{t_n} \rightharpoonup x^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, from (3.25), as  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that

$$\langle x^* - w_t, Tw_t \rangle \geq \langle x^* - w_t, w_t \rangle,$$

and hence

$$-\langle v-x^*, Tw_t 
angle \geq -\langle v-x^*, w_t 
angle, \quad \forall v \in C.$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow 0$  and using the fact that *T* is continuous, we get

$$-\langle v-x^*, Tx^* \rangle \geq -\langle v-x^*, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall v \in C.$$

Now, let  $v = Tx^*$ . Then we obtain  $x^* = Tx^*$  and hence  $x^* \in Fix(T)$ . Therefore,  $x^* \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ .

Now, we substitute  $x^*$  for p in (3.21) to obtain

$$\left\|x_{t_n} - x^*\right\|^2 \le \frac{1}{1 + \mu\overline{\gamma} - \gamma k} \left(\mu + (\gamma f - \overline{A})x^*, x_{t_n} - x^*\right).$$
(3.26)

Note that  $x_{t_n} \rightarrow x^*$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = 0$ . These facts and inequality (3.26) imply that  $x_{t_n} \rightarrow x^*$  strongly.

Finally, we prove that  $x^*$  is a solution of the variational inequality (3.18). In fact, putting  $x_{t_n}$  in place of  $x_t$  in (3.21) and taking the limit as  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\|x^* - p\|^2 \le \frac{1}{1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})p, x^* - p \rangle, \quad \forall p \in \operatorname{VI}(C, F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T).$$

In particular,  $x^*$  solves the following variational inequality:

$$x^* \in \operatorname{VI}(C,F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T), \quad \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})p, p - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \operatorname{VI}(C,F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T),$$

or the equivalent dual variational inequality (see [46])

$$x^* \in \operatorname{VI}(C,F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T), \quad \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})x^*, p - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \operatorname{VI}(C,F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T).$$

That is,  $x^* \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$  is a solution of the variational inequality (3.18); hence  $x^* = \tilde{x}$  by uniqueness. In summary, we have shown that each cluster point of  $\{x_t\}$  (at  $t \to 0$ ) equals  $\tilde{x}$ . Therefore  $x_t \to \tilde{x}$  as  $t \to 0$ . By (3.18) and Lemma 2.7, we deduce immediately the desired result. This completes the proof.

If we take  $\mu = 0$ , u = 0 and  $f \equiv 0$  in Theorem 3.1, then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1** Let  $\{x_t\}$  be defined by

$$x_t = (I-t)T_{r_t}F_{r_t}x_t.$$

Then  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly as  $t \to 0$  to a point  $\tilde{x} \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ , which is the minimum norm point of  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ .

Taking  $T \equiv I$  in Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2** Let  $\{x_t\}$  be defined by

$$x_t = t(u + \gamma f x_t) + (I - t(I + \mu A))F_{r_t} x_t.$$

Then  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly as  $t \to 0$  to a point  $\tilde{x} \in VI(C, F)$ , where is the unique solution of the optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \text{VI}(C,F)} \frac{\mu}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x - u\|^2 - h(x).$$
(3.27)

*Proof* If  $T \equiv I$ , then  $T_r$  in Lemma 2.6 is the identity mapping. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.1.

Taking  $F \equiv 0$  in Theorem 3.1, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3** Let  $\{x_t\}$  be defined by

 $x_t = t(u + \gamma f x_t) + (I - t(I + \mu A))T_{r_t} x_t.$ 

Then  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly as  $t \to 0$  to a point  $\tilde{x} \in Fix(T)$ , where is the unique solution of the optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in Fix(T)} \frac{\mu}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x - u\|^2 - h(x).$$
(3.28)

*Proof* If  $F \equiv 0$ , then  $F_r$  in Lemma 2.5 is the identity mapping. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.1.

If, in Theorem 3.1, we take  $C \equiv H$ , then we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4** Let  $T : H \to H$  be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping, and let  $F : H \to H$  be a continuous monotone mapping. Let  $\{x_t\}$  be defined by (3.1). Then  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly as  $t \to 0$  to a point  $\tilde{x} \in F^{-1}(0) \cap Fix(T)$ , which is the unique solution of the optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in F^{-1}(0) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)} \frac{\mu}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x - u\|^2 - h(x).$$
(3.29)

*Proof* Since D(F) = H, we have  $VI(H, F) = F^{-1}(0)$ . So, by Theorem 3.1, we obtain the desired result.

Now, we propose the following general iterative method which generates a sequence in an explicit way:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n (u + \gamma f x_n) + \left( I - \alpha_n (I + \mu A) \right) T_{r_n} F_{r_n} x_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
(3.30)

where  $x_0 \in H$  is an arbitrary initial guess;  $\{\alpha_n\} \in [0,1]$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ ; and we establish the strong convergence of this sequence to a point  $\tilde{x} \in VI(C,F) \cap Fix(T)$ , which is the unique solution of the optimization problem (1.4).

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by the explicit scheme (3.30). Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (C1)  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$  and  $\alpha_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ ;
- (C2)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty;$
- (C3)  $|\alpha_{n+1} \alpha_n| \le o(\alpha_{n+1}) + \sigma_n$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$  (the perturbed control condition);
- (C4)  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} r_n > 0$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |r_{n+1} r_n| < \infty$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $\tilde{x} \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ , which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (3.18). This  $\tilde{x}$  is the unique solution of the optimization problem (1.4).

*Proof* First, note that from condition (C1), without loss of generality, we assume that  $\alpha_n(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma} - \gamma k) < 1$  and  $\frac{2\alpha_n(1+\mu\overline{\gamma}-\gamma k)}{1-\alpha_n\gamma k} < 1$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Let  $\widetilde{x} \in VI(C,F) \cap Fix(T)$  be the unique solution of the variational inequality (3.18). (The existence of  $\widetilde{x}$  follows from Theorem 3.1.)

From now on, we put  $\overline{A} = I + \mu A$ ,  $z_n = F_{r_n} x_n$  and  $u_n = T_{r_n} z_n$ . Let  $p \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ . Then  $p = T_{r_n} p$  by Lemma 2.6(iii) and  $p = F_{r_n} p$  by Lemma 2.5(iii). Moreover, from the nonexpansivity of  $F_{r_n}$  it follows that

$$||z_n - p|| = ||F_{r_n} x_n - F_{r_n} p|| \le ||x_n - p||.$$
(3.31)

We divide the proof into several steps as follows.

*Step* 1. We show that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. First of all, by (3.31), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| \\ &= \|\alpha_n(u + \gamma f x_n) + (I - \alpha_n \overline{A}) T_{r_n} z_n - p\| \\ &= \|(I - \alpha_n \overline{A}) T_{r_n} z_n - (I - \alpha_n \overline{A}) T_{r_n} p + \alpha_n \gamma \langle f x_n - f p \rangle + \alpha_n \left( u + (\gamma f - \overline{A}) p \right) \| \\ &\leq \|(I - \alpha_n \overline{A}) T_{r_n} z_n - (I - \alpha_n \overline{A}) T_{r_n} p\| + \alpha_n \gamma k \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \left( \|u\| + \|(\gamma f - \overline{A}) p\| \right) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \alpha_n (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})\right) \|z_n - p\| + \alpha_n \gamma k \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \left( \|u\| + \|(\gamma f - \overline{A}) p\| \right) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \alpha_n (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})\right) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \gamma k \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \left( \|u\| + \|(\gamma f - \overline{A}) p\| \right) \\ &= \left(1 - \alpha_n (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)\right) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \left( \|u\| + \|(\gamma f - \overline{A}) p\| \right) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - p\|, \frac{\|u\| + \|(\gamma f - \overline{A}) p\|}{1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we derive

$$\|x_n-p\| \le \max\left\{\|x_0-p\|, \frac{\|u\|+\|(\gamma f-\overline{A})p\|}{1+\mu\overline{\gamma}-\gamma k}\right\}, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded and so are  $\{z_n\} = \{F_{r_n}x_n\}$ ,  $\{u_n\} = \{T_{r_n}z_n\}$ ,  $\{fx_n\}$ , and  $\{\overline{A}T_{r_n}z_n\}$ . As a consequence, with the control condition (C1), we get

$$\|x_{n+1} - T_{r_n} z_n\| \le \alpha_n \left( \|u\| + \gamma \|f x_n - \overline{A} T_{r_n} z_n\| \right) \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$

$$(3.32)$$

*Step* 2. We show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0$ . In fact, by using the same method as in the proof of Proposition 3.1(iii) together with  $z_n = F_{r_n}x_n$ ,  $z_{n-1} = F_{r_{n-1}}x_{n-1}$ ,  $u_n = T_{r_n}z_n$ , and

 $u_{n-1} = T_{r_{n-1}} z_{n-1}$  instead of  $z_t = F_{r_t} x_t$ ,  $z_{t_0} = F_{r_{t_0}} x_{t_0}$ ,  $u_t = T_{r_t} z_t$ , and  $u_{t_0} = T_{r_{t_0}} z_{t_0}$ , respectively, we have

$$\|T_{r_n}z_n - T_{r_{n-1}}z_{n-1}\| \le \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \frac{1}{b}|r_n - r_{n-1}|(M_1 + M_2),$$
(3.33)

where  $M_1 = \sup\{||u_n - z_n|| : n \ge 0\}$ ,  $M_2 = \sup\{||z_n - x_n|| : n \ge 0\}$ , and  $r_n > b > 0$ ,  $n \ge 0$  for some *b*. Thus, by (3.33) and Lemma 2.4, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &= \|\alpha_n(u + \gamma f x_n) + (I - \alpha_n \overline{A}) T_{r_n} z_n \\ &- \alpha_{n-1}(u + \gamma f x_{n-1}) - (I - \alpha_{n-1} \overline{A}) T_{r_{n-1}} z_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq \|(I - \alpha_n \overline{A}) (T_{r_n} z_n - T_{r_{n-1}} z_{n-1})\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|\overline{A}\| \|T_{r_{n-1}} z_{n-1}\| \\ &+ \alpha_n \gamma \|f x_n - f x_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})) \|T_{r_n} z_n - T_{r_{n-1}} z_{n-1}\| \\ &+ \alpha_n \gamma k \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| (\|\overline{A}\| \|T_{r_{n-1}} z_{n-1}\| + \|u\|) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})) \Big[ \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \frac{1}{b} |r_n - r_{n-1}| (M_1 + M_2) \Big] \\ &+ \alpha_n \gamma k \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| M_3 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\ &+ |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| M_3 + \frac{1}{b} |r_n - r_{n-1}| (M_1 + M_2) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\ &+ (o(\alpha_n) + \sigma_{n-1}) M_3 + \frac{1}{b} |r_n - r_{n-1}| (M_1 + M_2), \end{aligned}$$
(3.34)

where  $M_3 = \sup\{\|\overline{A}\| \|T_{r_n} z_n\| + \|u\| : n \ge 0\}$ . By taking  $s_{n+1} = \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|$ ,  $w_n = \alpha_n (1 + \mu \overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)$ ,  $w_n \delta_n = M_3 o(\alpha_n)$  and  $v_n = \sigma_{n-1} M_3 + \frac{1}{b} |r_n - r_{n-1}| (M_1 + M_2)$ , from (3.34) we deduce

 $s_{n+1} \leq (1 - w_n)s_n + w_n\delta_n + v_n.$ 

Hence, by conditions (C2), (C3), (C4) and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|=0.$$

Step 3. We show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - z_n|| = 0$ . By taking  $x_n$  and  $z_n$  instead of  $x_{t_n}$  and  $z_{t_n}$  in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1, the result follows from Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 3.1, (3.32) and Step 2.

*Step* 4. We show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - u_n|| = 0$ , where  $u_n = T_{r_n} z_n$ . In fact, from (3.32) and Step 2, we have

$$\|x_n - u_n\| = \|x_n - T_{r_n} z_n\|$$
  
$$\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T_{r_n} z_n\| \to 0 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty).$$

*Step* 5. We show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||u_n - z_n|| = 0$ , where  $u_n = T_{r_n} z_n$ . In fact, from Step 3 and Step 4, we have

$$||u_n - z_n|| \le ||u_n - x_n|| + ||x_n - z_n|| \to 0 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty).$$

*Step* 6. We show that  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}, x_n - \widetilde{x} \rangle \leq 0$ . To this end, take a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A}) \widetilde{x}, x_n - \widetilde{x} \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A}) \widetilde{x}, x_{n_k} - \widetilde{x} \rangle.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow p$ . Take  $x_{n_k}$  and  $z_{n_k}$  in place of  $x_{t_n}$  and  $z_{t_n}$  in Step 3 and Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then, from Step 3 and Step 4 in the proof of Theorem 3.1 along with Step 5, we derive  $p \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ . Hence, from (3.18) we conclude

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A}) \widetilde{x}, x_n - \widetilde{x} \rangle &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A}) \widetilde{x}, x_{n_k} - \widetilde{x} \rangle \\ &= \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A}) \widetilde{x}, p - \widetilde{x} \rangle \le 0. \end{split}$$

Step 7. We show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - \widetilde{x}|| = 0$ . Note that  $\widetilde{x} \in VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ . Let  $z_n = F_{r_n}x_n$ . By (3.30),  $\widetilde{x} = F_{r_n}\widetilde{x}$ , and  $\widetilde{x} = T_{r_n}\widetilde{x}$ , we deduce

$$x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x} = (I - \alpha_n \overline{A})(T_{r_n} z_n - T_{r_n} \widetilde{x}) + \alpha_n \gamma (f x_n - f \widetilde{x}) + \alpha_n \left( u + (\gamma f - \overline{A}) \widetilde{x} \right).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} \\ &= \|(I - \alpha_{n}\overline{A})(T_{r_{n}}z_{n} - T_{r_{n}}\widetilde{x}) + \alpha_{n}\gamma(fx_{n} - f\widetilde{x}) + \alpha_{n}\left(u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}\right)\|^{2} \\ &\leq \|(I - \alpha_{n}\overline{A})(T_{r_{n}}z_{n} - T_{r_{n}}\widetilde{x})\|^{2} + 2\alpha_{n}\gamma(fx_{n} - f\widetilde{x}, x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}) \\ &+ 2\alpha_{n}\left\langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}, x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\right\rangle \\ &\leq \left(1 - \alpha_{n}(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma})\right)^{2}\|z_{n} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} + 2\alpha_{n}\gamma k\|x_{n} - \widetilde{x}\|\|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\| \\ &+ 2\alpha_{n}\left\langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}, x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\right\rangle \\ &\leq \left(1 - \alpha_{n}(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma})\right)^{2}\|x_{n} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} + \alpha_{n}\gamma k(\|x_{n} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} + \|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2}) \\ &+ 2\alpha_{n}\left\langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}, x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\right\rangle \\ &\leq \left(1 - 2\alpha_{n}(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma}) + \alpha_{n}\gamma k\right)\|x_{n} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} + \alpha_{n}^{2}(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma})^{2}\|x_{n} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} + \alpha_{n}\gamma k\|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} \\ &+ 2\alpha_{n}\left\langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}, x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.35)$$

It then follows from (3.35) that

$$\|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} \leq \frac{1 - 2\alpha_{n}(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma}) + \alpha_{n} \gamma k}{1 - \alpha_{n} \gamma k} \|x_{n} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} + \frac{\alpha_{n}^{2}(1 + \mu \overline{\gamma})^{2}}{1 - \alpha_{n} \gamma k} \|x_{n} - \widetilde{x}\|^{2} + \frac{2\alpha_{n}}{1 - \alpha_{n} \gamma k} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}, x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x} \rangle$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha_n(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma} - \gamma k)}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma k}\right) \|x_n - \widetilde{x}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_n^2(1 + \mu\overline{\gamma})^2}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma k} \|x_n - \widetilde{x}\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha_n\gamma k} \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}, x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x} \rangle \leq (1 - w_n) \|x_n - \widetilde{x}\|^2 + w_n\delta_n,$$

where

$$w_n = \frac{2\alpha_n(1+\mu\overline{\gamma}-\gamma k)}{1-\alpha_n\gamma k} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\delta_n = \frac{1}{2(1+\mu\overline{\gamma}-\gamma k)} \Big[ \alpha_n(1+\mu\overline{\gamma})^2 M_4 + 2 \langle u + (\gamma f - \overline{A})\widetilde{x}, x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x} \rangle \Big],$$

where  $M_4 = \sup\{\|x_n - \widetilde{x}\|^2 : n \ge 0\}$ . It can be easily seen from conditions (C1) and (C2) and Step 6 that  $w_n \to 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n = \infty$  and  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \delta_n \le 0$ . From Lemma 2.2 with  $v_n = 0$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - \widetilde{x}\| = 0$ . This completes the proof.

If we take  $\mu = 0$ , u = 0 and  $f \equiv 0$  in Theorem 3.2, then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) T_{r_n} F_{r_n} x_n.$$

Assume that the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  satisfy conditions (C1)-(C4) in Theorem 3.2. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $\widetilde{x} \in VI(C,F) \cap Fix(T)$ , which is the minimum norm point of  $VI(C,F) \cap Fix(T)$ .

Taking  $T \equiv I$  in Theorem 3.2, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.6** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by the following iterative scheme:

 $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n (u + \gamma f x_n) + (I - \alpha_n (I + \mu A)) F_{r_n} x_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$ 

Assume that the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  satisfy conditions (C1)-(C4) in Theorem 3.2. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $\tilde{x} \in VI(C, F)$ , which is the unique solution of the optimization problem (3.27).

Taking  $F \equiv 0$  in Theorem 3.2, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.7** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by the following iterative scheme:

 $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n (u + \gamma f x_n) + (I - \alpha_n (I + \mu A)) T_{r_n} x_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$ 

Assume that the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  satisfy conditions (C1)-(C4) in Theorem 3.2. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $\tilde{x} \in Fix(T)$ , which is the unique solution of the optimization problem (3.28).

Taking  $C \equiv H$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.8** Let  $T : H \to H$  be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping, and let  $F : H \to H$  be a continuous monotone mapping. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by (3.30). Assume that the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  satisfy conditions (C1)-(C4) in Theorem 3.2. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $\tilde{x} \in F^{-1}(0) \cap Fix(T)$ , which is the unique solution of the optimization problem (3.29).

**Remark 3.1** (1) For finding an element of  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$ , VI(C, F), Fix(T), and  $F^{-1}(0) \cap$ Fix(*T*), which is the unique solution of the optimization problems (1.4), (3.27), (3.28), and (3.29), respectively, where *T* is a continuous pseudocontractive mapping and *F* is a continuous monotone mapping, our results are new ones different from previous those introduced by several authors. Consequently, our results supplement, develop, and improve upon the corresponding results given recently by several authors in this direction (for example, see [35–37] for  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(T)$  in the case of a continuous monotone mapping *F* and a continuous pseudocontractive mapping *T*; see [1, 31–34] for  $VI(C, F) \cap Fix(S)$  in the case of an  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping *F* and a nonexpansive mapping *S*; see [11, 22, 23] for Fix(T) of a strictly pseudocontractive mapping *T*; and see [24, 27] for Fix(S) of a nonexpansive mapping *S*).

(2) As in Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.5, from Corollaries 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6, 3.7, and 3.8, we can obtain the minimum norm point of VI(C, F), Fix(T), and  $F^{-1}(0) \cap$  Fix(T) for the continuous monotone mapping F and the continuous pseudocontractive mapping T, respectively.

(3) We can replace the perturbed control condition  $|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| \le o(\alpha_{n+1}) + \sigma_n$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$  on the control parameter  $\{\alpha_n\}$  in (C3) of Theorem 3.2 by the following conditions [20, 21]:

- (a)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} \alpha_n| < \infty$ ; or
- (b)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}} = 1$  or, equivalently,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\alpha_n \alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} = 0$ .

#### **Competing interests**

The author declares to have no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments along with providing some recent related papers.

This study was supported by research funds from Dong-A University.

## Received: 23 May 2015 Accepted: 30 October 2015 Published online: 06 November 2015

#### References

- 1. liduka, H, Takahashi, W: Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings. Nonlinear Anal. 61, 341-350 (2005)
- 2. Liu, F, Nashed, MZ: Regularization of nonlinear ill-posed variational inequalities and convergence rates. Set-Valued Anal. 6, 313-344 (1998)
- Browder, FE: Nonlinear monotone operators and convex sets in Banach spaces. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 71, 780-785 (1965)
- 4. Bruck, RE: On the weak convergence of an ergodic iteration for the solution of variational inequalities for monotone operators in Hilbert space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 61, 159-164 (1977)
- 5. Lions, PL, Stampacchia, G: Variational inequalities. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 20, 493-517 (1967)
- Yamada, I: The hybrid steepest descent method for the variational inequality of the intersection of fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings. In: Butnariu, D, Censor, Y, Reich, S (eds.) Inherently Parallel Algorithm for Feasibility and Optimization, and Their Applications, pp. 473-504. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2001)
- 7. Chidume, CE, Mutangadura, S: An example on the Mann iteration method for Lipschitz pseudocontractions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **129**, 2359-2363 (2001)
- 8. Agarwal, RP, O'Regan, D, Sahu, DR: Fixed Point Theory for Lipschitzian-Type Mappings with Applications. Springer, Berlin (2009)
- 9. Acedo, GL, Xu, HK: Iterative methods for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 67, 2258-2271 (2007)

- Cho, YJ, Kang, SM, Qin, X: Some results on k-strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 70, 1956-1964 (2009)
- Jung, JS: Strong convergence of iterative methods for k-strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 215, 3746-3753 (2010)
- 12. Jung, JS: Iterative methods for pseudocontractive mappings in Banach spaces. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013, Article ID 643602 (2013). doi:10.1155/643602
- Morales, CH: Strong convergence of path for continuous pseudo-contractive mappings. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 135, 2831-2838 (2007)
- Morales, CH, Jung, JS: Convergence of paths for pseudo-contractive mappings in Banach spaces. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 128, 3411-3419 (2000)
- Zhou, H: Convergence theorems of fixed points for k-strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 69, 456-462 (2008)
- 16. Bauschke, HH, Borwein, JM: On projection algorithms for solving convex feasibility problems. SIAM Rev. 38, 367-426 (1997)
- Combettes, PL: Hilbertian convex feasibility problem: convergence of projection methods. Appl. Math. Optim. 35, 311-330 (1997)
- Deutch, F, Yamada, I: Minimizing certain convex functions over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 19, 33-56 (1998)
- 19. Jung, JS: Iterative algorithms with some control conditions for quadratic optimizations. Panam. Math. J. 16(4), 13-25 (2006)
- 20. Xu, HK: An iterative algorithm for nonlinear operator. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 66, 240-256 (2002)
- 21. Xu, HK: An iterative approach to quadratic optimization. J. Optim. Theory Appl. 116, 659-678 (2003)
- 22. Jung, JS: A general iterative scheme for *k*-strictly pseudo-contractive mappings and optimization problems. Appl. Math. Comput. **217**, 5581-5588 (2011)
- Jung, JS: Some algorithms for solving optimization problems in Hilbert spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 16(1), 22-35 (2015)
- 24. Marino, G, Xu, HK: A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318, 43-52 (2006)
- Yamada, I, Ogura, N, Yamashita, Y, Sakaniwa, K: Quadratic approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 19, 165-190 (1998)
- Yao, YH, Aslam Noor, M, Zainab, S, Liou, YC: Mixed equilibrium problems and optimization problems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354, 319-329 (2009)
- Yao, Y, Kang, SM, Liou, YC: Algorithms for approximating minimization problems in Hilbert spaces. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 235, 3515-3526 (2011)
- Wang, W, Tian, J: Generalized monotone iterative method for integral boundary value problems with causal operators. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8(5), 600-609 (2015)
- 29. Bocşan, G: Convergence of iterative methods for solving random operator equations. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 6(1), 2-6 (2013)
- 30. Moudafi, A: Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problem. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 241, 46-55 (2000)
- Chen, J, Zhang, L, Fan, T: Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 334, 1450-1461 (2007)
- 32. Jung, JS: A new iteration method for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings in Hilbert spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2010, Article ID 251761 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/251761
- Su, Y, Shang, M, Qin, X: An iterative method of solution for equilibrium and optimization problems. Nonlinear Anal. 69, 2709-2719 (2008)
- 34. Takahashi, W, Toyoda, M: Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **118**(2), 417-428 (2003)
- Chamnampan, T, Kumam, P: A new iterative method for a common solution of fixed points for pseudo-contractive mappings and variational inequalities. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, Article ID 67 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-67
- Wangkeeree, R, Nammanee, K: New iterative methods for a common solution of fixed points for pseudo-contractive mappings and variational inequalities. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 233 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-233
- 37. Zegeye, H, Shahzad, N: Strong convergence of an iterative method for pseudo-contractive and monotone mappings. J. Glob. Optim. 54, 173-184 (2012)
- Zegeye, H, Shahzad, N: Strong convergence theorems for monotone mappings and relatively weak nonexpansive mappings. Nonlinear Anal. 70(7), 2707-2716 (2009)
- Zegeye, H, Shahzad, N: Algorithms for solutions of variational inequalities in the set of common fixed points of finite family λ-strictly pseudocontractive mappings. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 36(6), 799-816 (2015)
- 40. Zegeye, H, Shahzad, N: Approximating common solution of variational inequality problems for two monotone mappings in Banach spaces. Optim. Lett. 5(5), 691-704 (2011)
- 41. Jung, JS: Some algorithms for finding fixed points and solutions of variational inequalities. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 153456 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/153456
- 42. Sunthrayuth, P, Cho, YJ, Kumam, P: General iterative algorithms approach to variational inequalities and minimum-norm fixed point for minimization and split feasibility problems. Opsearch **51**(3), 400-415 (2014)
- 43. Yao, Y, Marino, G, Xu, HK, Liou, YC: Construction of minimum-norm fixed points of pseudocontractions in Hilbert spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. **2014**, Article ID 206 (2014)
- Zegeye, H: An iterative approximation method for a common fixed point of two pseudocontractive mappings. ISRN Math. Anal. 2011, Article ID 621901 (2011)
- 45. Oden, JT: Qualitative Methods on Nonlinear Mechanics. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs (1986)
- 46. Minty, GJ: On the generalization of a direct method of the calculus of variations. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 73, 315-321 (1967)