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We investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the following higher-order dynamic equation $x^{\Delta^{n}}(t)+f\left(t, x(t), x^{\Delta}(t), \ldots, x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)\right)=0$, on an arbitrary time scale T , where the function $f$ is defined on $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}$. We give sufficient conditions under which every solution $x$ of this equation satisfies one of the following conditions: (1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=0$; (2) there exist constants $a_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) / \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=1$, where $h_{i}\left(t, t_{0}\right)(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are as in Main Results.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the following higherorder dynamic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{n}}(t)+f\left(t, x(t), x^{\Delta}(t), \ldots, x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)\right)=0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on an arbitrary time scale $\mathbf{T}$, where the function $f$ is defined on $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}$.
Since we are interested in the asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of solutions near infinity, we assume that sup $\mathrm{T}=\infty$, and define the time scale interval $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathrm{T}}=\{t \in \mathrm{~T}$ : $\left.t \geq t_{0}\right\}$, where $t_{0} \in \mathbf{T}$. By a solution of (1.1), we mean a nontrivial real-valued function $x \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)_{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbf{R}\right), T_{x} \geq t_{0}$, which has the property that $x^{\Delta^{n}}(t) \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{R}\right)$ and satisfies (1.1) on $\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)_{T}$, where $C_{r d}$ is the space of rd-continuous functions. The solutions vanishing in some neighborhood of infinity will be excluded from our consideration. A solution $x$ of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, otherwise it is called nonoscillatory.

The theory of time scales, which has recently received a lot of attention, was introduced by Hilger's landmark paper [1] in order to create a theory that can unify continuous and discrete analysis. The cases when a time scale is equal to the real numbers or to the integers represent the classical theories of differential and of difference equations. Many other interesting time scales exist, and they give rise to many applications (see [2]). Not only the new theory of the so-called "dynamic equations" unifies the theories of differential equations and difference equations but also extends these classical cases to cases "in between," for example, to the so-called $q$-difference equations when $\mathbf{T}=q^{\mathbf{N}_{0}}$, which has important applications in quantum theory (see [3]).

On a time scale $\mathbf{T}$, the forward jump operator, the backward jump operator, and the graininess function are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t)=\inf \{s \in \mathbf{T}: s>t\}, \quad \rho(t)=\sup \{s \in \mathbf{T}: s<t\}, \quad \mu(t)=\sigma(t)-t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. We refer the reader to $[2,4]$ for further results on time scale calculus. Let $p \in$ $C_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R})$ with $1+\mu(t) p(t) \neq 0$, for all $t \in \mathbf{T}$, then the delta exponential function $e_{p}\left(t, t_{0}\right)$ is defined as the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\Delta}=p(t) y  \tag{1.3}\\
y\left(t_{0}\right)=1
\end{gather*}
$$

In recent years, there has been much research activity concerning the oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of various equations on time scales, and we refer the reader to [5-18].

Recently, Erbe et al. [19-21] considered the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the third-order dynamic equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(a(t)\left[r(t) x^{\Delta}(t)\right]^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}+p(t) f(x(t))=0 \\
x^{\Delta \Delta \Delta}(t)+p(t) x(t)=0  \tag{1.4}\\
\left(a(t)\left\{\left[r(t) x^{\Delta}(t)\right]^{\Delta}\right\}^{r}\right)^{\Delta}+f(t, x(t))=0
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively, and established some sufficient conditions for oscillation.
Karpuz [22] studied the asymptotic nature of all bounded solutions of the following higher-order nonlinear forced neutral dynamic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(t)+A(t) x(\alpha(t))]^{\Delta^{n}}+f(t, x(\beta(t)), x(\gamma(t)))=\varphi(t) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Chen [23] derived some sufficient conditions for the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of the $n$ th-order nonlinear neutral delay dynamic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a(t) \Psi(x(t))\left[\left|(x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t)))^{\Delta^{n-1}}\right|^{\alpha-1}(x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t)))^{\Delta^{n-1}}\right]^{\gamma}\right\}^{\Delta}+\lambda F(t, x(\delta(t)))=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on an arbitrary time scale T. Motivated by the above studies, in this paper, we study (1.1) and give sufficient conditions under which every solution $x$ of (1.1) satisfies one of the following conditions: (1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=0$; (2) there exist constants $a_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) / \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=1$, where $h_{i}\left(t, t_{0}\right)(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are as in Section 2 .

## 2. Main Results

Let $k$ be a nonnegative integer and $s, t \in \mathbf{T}$, then we define a sequence of functions $h_{k}(t, s)$ as follows:

$$
h_{k}(t, s)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } k=0  \tag{2.1}\\ \int_{s}^{t} h_{k-1}(\tau, s) \Delta \tau & \text { if } k \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

To obtain our main results, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. Let $n$ be a positive integer, then there exists $T_{n}>t_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k+1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)-h_{k}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \geq 1 \text { for } t \geq T_{n}, 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \text {. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will prove the above by induction. First, if $k=0$, then we take $T_{1} \geq t_{0}+2$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)-h_{0}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=t-t_{0}-1 \geq 1 \quad \text { for } t \geq T_{1} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we assume that there exists $T_{m}>t_{0}$, such that $h_{k+1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)-h_{k}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \geq 1$ for $t \geq T_{m}$ and $0 \leq k \leq m$ with $0 \leq m<n-1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{m+1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)-h_{m}\left(t, t_{0}\right) & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(h_{m}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)-h_{m-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)\right) \Delta \tau \\
& =\int_{t_{0}}^{T_{m}}\left(h_{m}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)-h_{m-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)\right) \Delta \tau+\int_{T_{m}}^{t}\left(h_{m}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)-h_{m-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)\right) \Delta \tau \\
& \geq \int_{t_{0}}^{T_{m}}\left(h_{m}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)-h_{m-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)\right) \Delta \tau+\int_{T_{m}}^{t} \Delta \tau  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\int_{t_{0}}^{T_{m}}\left(h_{m}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)-h_{m-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)\right) \Delta \tau+t-T_{m}
\end{align*}
$$

from which it follows that there exists $T_{m+1}>T_{m}$, such that $h_{k+1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)-h_{k}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \geq 1$ for $t \geq T_{m+1}$ and $0 \leq k \leq m+1$. The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.2 (see [24]). Let $p \in C_{r d}(T,[0, \infty))$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) \Delta s \leq e_{p}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \leq e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) \Delta s} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [2]). Let $y, p \in C_{r d}(T,[0, \infty))$ and $A \in[0, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \leq A+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} y(\tau) p(\tau) \Delta \tau, \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{T} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \leq A e_{p}\left(t, t_{0}\right), \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{T} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [2]). Let $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $x$ is $n$ times differentiable on $T$. Let $\alpha \in T^{\kappa^{n-1}}$ and $t \in \mathrm{~T}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_{k}(t, \alpha) x^{\Delta^{k}}(\alpha)+\int_{\alpha}^{\rho^{n-1}(t)} h_{n-1}(t, \sigma(\tau)) x^{\Delta^{n}}(\tau) \Delta \tau \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.5 (see [2]). Assume that $f$ and $g$ are differentiable on $T$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$. If there exists $T>t_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)>0, \quad g^{\Delta}(t)>0, \quad \forall t \geq \mathrm{T} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^{\Delta}(t)}{g^{\Delta}(t)}=r(\text { or } \infty) \text { implies } \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{g(t)}=r(\text { or } \infty) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6 (see [23]). Let $x$ be defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbf{T}^{\prime}}$, and $x(t)>0$ with $x^{\Delta^{n}}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ and not eventually zero. If $x$ is bounded, then
(1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{i}}(t)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,
(2) $(-1)^{i+1} x^{\Delta^{n-i}}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Now, one states and proves the main results.
Theorem 2.7. Assume that there exists $t_{1}>t_{0}$, such that the function $f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t)\left|u_{i}\right|, \quad \forall\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right) \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathrm{T}} \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}(t)(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ are nonnegative functions on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{T}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e_{q}\left(t, t_{1}\right)<\infty, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t) h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)\left(t \geq t_{1}\right)$, then every solution $x$ of (1.1) satisfies one of the following conditions:
(1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=0$,
(2) there exist constants $a_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}=1 . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ be a solution of (1.1), then it follows from Lemma 2.4 that for $0 \leq m \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} h_{k}\left(t, t_{1}\right) x^{\Delta^{k+m}}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{\rho^{n-m-1}(t)} h_{n-m-1}(t, \sigma(\tau)) x^{\Delta^{n}}(\tau) \Delta \tau \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{1} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.11) and Lemma 2.1, we see that there exists $T>t_{1}$, such that for $t \geq T$ and $0 \leq m \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)\right| \leq h_{n-m-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1}\left|x^{\Delta^{k+m}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau)\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right| \Delta \tau\right] . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)\right| \leq h_{n-m-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) F(t) \quad \text { for } t \geq T, 0 \leq m \leq n-1, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=A+\int_{T}^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau)\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right| \Delta \tau \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\max _{0 \leq m \leq n-1}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1}\left|x^{\Delta^{k+m}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|\right\}+\int_{t_{1}}^{T} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau)\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right| \Delta \tau . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq A+\int_{T}^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) F(\tau) \Delta \tau \quad \text { for } t \geq T . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq A e_{q}(t, T) \quad \forall t \geq T \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t) h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)$. Hence from (2.12), there exists a finite constant $c>0$, such that $F(t) \leq c$ for $t \geq T$. Thus, inequality (2.20) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)\right| \leq h_{n-m-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) c \quad \text { for } t \geq T, 0 \leq m \leq n-1 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.1), we see that if $t \geq T$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(T)-\int_{T}^{t} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau), \ldots, x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since condition (2.12) and Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) \Delta \tau<\infty, \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find from (2.11) and (2.21) that the sum in (2.22) converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)$ exists and is a finite number. Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=a_{0}$. If $a_{0} \neq 0$, then it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{h_{n-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=a_{0} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x$ has the desired asymptotic property. The proof is completed.
Theorem 2.8. Assume that there exist functions $p_{i}:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow(0, \infty)(0 \leq i \leq n)$, and nondecreasing continuous functions $g_{i}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$, and $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t) g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|u_{i}\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}\right)+p_{n}(t) \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{1} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} p_{i}(t) \Delta t=P_{i}<\infty \quad \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq n, \\
& \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}(s)}=\infty \quad \text { for any } \varepsilon>0, \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

then every solution $x$ of (1.1) satisfies one of the following conditions:
(1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=0$,
(2) there exist constants $a_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}=1 . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ be a solution of (1.1), then it follows from Lemma 2.4 that for $0 \leq m \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} h_{k}\left(t, t_{1}\right) x^{\Delta^{k+m}}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{\rho^{n-m-1}(t)} h_{n-m-1}(t, \sigma(\tau)) x^{\Delta^{n}}(\tau) \Delta \tau \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{1} . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.25), we see that there exists $T>t_{1}$, such that for $t \geq T$ and $0 \leq m \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)\right| \leq h_{n-m-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1}\left|x^{\Delta^{k+m}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)}\right)+p_{n}(\tau)\right] \Delta \tau\right] . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)\right| \leq h_{n-m-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) F(t), \quad \text { for } t \geq T, 0 \leq m \leq n-1, \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=A+\int_{T}^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)}\right) \Delta \tau, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\max _{0 \leq m \leq n-1}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1}\left|x^{\Delta^{k+m}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|\right\}+\int_{t_{1}}^{T} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)}\right) \Delta \tau+P_{n} . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.30) and (2.31), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq A+\int_{T}^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) g_{i}(F(\tau)) \Delta \tau \quad \text { for } t \geq T . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t)=A+\int_{T}^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) g_{i}(F(\tau)) \Delta \tau \quad \text { for } t \geq T,  \tag{2.34}\\
G(y)=\int_{A}^{y} \frac{d s}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}(s)}, \tag{2.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
{[G(u(t))]^{\Delta} } & =u^{\Delta}(t) \int_{0}^{1} G^{\prime}\left(h u(t)+(1-h) u^{\sigma}(t)\right) d h \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t) g_{i}(F(t))\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d h}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}\left(h u(t)+(1-h) u^{\sigma}(t)\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t) g_{i}(u(t))}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}(u(t))}  \tag{2.36}\\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u(t)) \leq G(u(T))+\int_{T}^{t} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) \Delta \tau \leq G(u(T))+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} P_{i} . \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} G(y)=\infty$ and $G(y)$ is strictly increasing, there exists a constant $c>0$, such that $u(t) \leq c$ for $t \geq T$. By (2.30), (2.33), and (2.34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)\right| \leq h_{n-m-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) c \quad \text { for } t \geq T, 0 \leq m \leq n-1 . \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.1) that if $t \geq T$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(T)-\int_{T}^{t} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau), \ldots, x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2.38) and condition (2.25) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{T}^{t}\left|f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau), \ldots, x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(\tau)\right)\right| \Delta \tau \\
& \leq \int_{T}^{t}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(\tau) g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)}\right)+p_{n}(\tau)\right] \Delta \tau  \tag{2.40}\\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} P_{i} g_{i}(c)+P_{n} \\
&=M<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

we see that the sum in (2.39) converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)$ exists and is a finite number. Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=a_{0}$. If $a_{0} \neq 0$, then it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{h_{n-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=a_{0} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x$ has the desired asymptotic property. The proof is completed.
Theorem 2.9. Assume that there exist positive functions $p:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, and nondecreasing continuous functions $g_{i}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$, and $t_{1}>t_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)\right| \leq p(t) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|u_{i}\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}\right) \text { for } t \geq t_{1} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} p(t) \Delta t=P<\infty, \\
\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}(s)}=\infty, \text { for any } \varepsilon>0, \tag{2.43}
\end{gather*}
$$

then every solution $x$ of (1.1) satisfies one of the following conditions:
(1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)=0$,
(2) there exist constants $a_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}=1 . \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.8, we see that there exists $T>t_{1}$, such that for $t \geq T$ and $0 \leq m \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)\right| \leq h_{n-m-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1}\left|x^{\Delta^{k+m}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p(\tau) g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)}\right) \Delta \tau\right] \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\Delta^{m}}(t)\right| \leq h_{n-m-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right) F(t) \quad \text { for } t \geq T, 0 \leq m \leq n-1 \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(t)=A+\int_{T}^{t} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p(\tau) g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)}\right),  \tag{2.47}\\
A=\max _{0 \leq m \leq n-1}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1}\left|x^{\Delta^{k+m}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\right\}+\int_{t_{1}}^{T} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p(\tau) g_{i}\left(\frac{\left|x^{\Delta^{i}}(\tau)\right|}{h_{n-i-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)}\right) . \tag{2.48}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (2.46) and (2.47), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq A+\int_{T}^{t} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p(\tau) g_{i}(F(\tau)) \Delta \tau \quad \text { for } t \geq T \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t)=A+\int_{T}^{t} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p(\tau) g_{i}(F(\tau)) \Delta \tau \quad \text { for } t \geq T,  \tag{2.50}\\
G(y)=\int_{A}^{y} \frac{d s}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}(s)^{\prime}} \tag{2.51}
\end{gather*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
{[G(u(t))]^{\Delta} } & =u^{\Delta}(t) \int_{0}^{1} G^{\prime}\left(h u(t)+(1-h) u^{\sigma}(t)\right) d h \\
& =\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p(t) g_{i}(F(t))\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d h}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}\left(h u(t)+(1-h) u^{\sigma}(t)\right)}  \tag{2.52}\\
& \leq \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p(t) g_{i}(u(t))}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i}(u(t))} \\
& =p(t),
\end{align*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u(t)) \leq G(u(T))+\int_{T}^{t} p(\tau) \Delta \tau \leq G(u(T))+P \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.8, and the details are omitted. The proof is completed.

Theorem 2.10. Assume that the function $f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)$ satisfies
(1) $f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)=p(t) F\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)$ for all $\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right) \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{T} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}$,
(2) $p(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} h_{n-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) p(\tau) \Delta \tau=\infty$,
(3) $u_{0} F\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)>0$ for $u_{0} \neq 0$ and $F\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)$ is continuous at $\left(u_{0}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ with $u_{0} \neq 0$,
then (1) if $n$ is even, then every bounded solution of (1.1) is oscillatory; (2) if $n$ is odd, then every bounded solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is either oscillatory or tends monotonically to zero together with $x^{\Delta^{i}}(t)(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$.

Proof. Assume that (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then, without loss of generality, there is a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, sufficiently large, such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. It follows from (1.1) that $x^{\Delta^{n}}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ and not eventually zero. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{i}}(t)=0, \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,  \tag{2.54}\\
(-1)^{i+1} x^{\Delta^{n-i}}(t)>0 \quad \forall t \geq t_{1}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1,
\end{gather*}
$$

and $x(t)$ is eventually monotone. Also $x^{\Delta}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ if $n$ is even and $x^{\Delta}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ if $n$ is odd. Since $x(t)$ is bounded, we find $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=c \geq 0$. Furthermore, if $n$ is even, then $c>0$.

We claim that $c=0$. If not, then there exists $t_{2}>t_{1}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x(t), x^{\Delta}(t), \ldots, x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)\right)>\frac{F(c, 0, \ldots, 0)}{2}>0 \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $F$ is continuous at $(c, 0, \ldots, 0)$ by the condition (3). From (1.1) and (2.55), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{n}}(t)+p(t) \frac{F(c, 0, \ldots, 0)}{2} \leq 0, \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $h_{n-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)$, and integrating from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{2}}^{t} h_{n-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) x^{\Delta^{n}}(\tau) \Delta \tau+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} h_{n-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) p(\tau) \frac{F(c, 0, \ldots, 0)}{2} \Delta \tau \leq 0, \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{2}}^{t} h_{n-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) x^{\Delta^{n}}(\tau) \Delta \tau & \geq\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} h_{n-i}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) x^{\Delta^{n-i}}(\tau)\right|_{t_{2}} ^{t}  \tag{2.58}\\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i} h_{n-i}\left(t_{2}, t_{0}\right) x^{\Delta^{n-i}}\left(t_{2}\right)+(-1)^{n+1} x(t),
\end{align*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+(-1)^{n+1} x(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} h_{n-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) p(\tau) \frac{F(c, 0, \ldots, 0)}{2} \Delta \tau \leq 0, \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i} h_{n-i}\left(t_{2}, t_{0}\right) x^{\Delta^{n-i}}\left(t_{2}\right)$. Thus, $\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} h_{n-1}\left(\tau, t_{0}\right) p(\tau) \Delta \tau<\infty$ since $x(t)$ is bounded, which gives a contradiction to the condition (2). The proof is completed.

## 3. Examples

Example 3.1. Consider the following higher-order dynamic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{n}}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t \beta_{i}} \frac{x^{\Delta^{i}}(t)}{h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}=0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \geq t_{1}>t_{0}>0$ and $\beta_{i}>1(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$. Let $p_{i}(t)=1 /\left[t^{\beta_{i}} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)\right](0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t \beta_{i}} \frac{u_{i}}{h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t)\left|u_{i}\right|, \quad \forall\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right) \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbf{T}} \times \mathbf{R}^{n},  \tag{3.3}\\
e_{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{i}(t) h_{n-i-1}}\left(t, t_{1}\right)=e_{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1 / \beta_{i}\left(t, t_{1}\right) \leq e^{f_{t 1}^{t}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1 / \tau^{\beta_{i} \Delta \tau}<\infty,},
\end{gather*}
$$

by Example 5.60 in [4]. Thus, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that if $x$ is a solution of (3.1) with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t) \neq 0$, then there exist constants $a_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) / \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=1$.

Example 3.2. Consider the following higher-order dynamic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{n}}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{i}}\left(\frac{x^{\Delta^{i}}(t)}{h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}+\frac{1}{t^{\beta_{n}}}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t>t_{0}>0, \alpha_{i} \in(0,1)(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$, and $\beta_{i}>1(0 \leq i \leq n)$. Let $g_{i}(u)=u^{\alpha_{i}}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$, $p_{i}(t)=1 / t^{\beta_{i}}(0 \leq i \leq n)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t^{\beta_{i}}}\left(\frac{u_{i}}{h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}+\frac{1}{t^{\beta_{n}}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.8. Thus, it follows that if $x$ is a solution of (3.4) with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t) \neq 0$, then there exist constants $a_{i}(0 \leq i \leq$ $n-1)$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) / \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=1$.

Example 3.3. Consider the following higher-order dynamic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta^{n}}(t)+\frac{1}{t^{\beta}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{x^{\Delta^{i}}(t)}{h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}=0, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t>t_{0}>0, \alpha_{i} \in(0,1)(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ with $0<\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}<1$ and $\beta>1$. Let $g_{i}(u)=u^{\alpha_{i}} \quad(0 \leq$ $i \leq n-1), p(t)=1 / t^{\beta}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t^{\beta}}\left(\frac{u_{i}}{h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)}\right)^{\alpha_{i}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.9. Thus, it follows that if $x$ is a solution of (3.6) with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t) \neq 0$, then there exist constants $a_{i}(0 \leq i \leq$ $n-1)$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) / \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} h_{n-i-1}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=1$.
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