provided by Springer - Publisher Connector

Júdice et al. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity (2017) 14:25 DOI 10.1186/s12966-017-0481-3

International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity

RESEARCH Open Access



Sedentary patterns, physical activity and health-related physical fitness in youth: a cross-sectional study

Pedro B. Júdice¹, Analiza M. Silva¹, Juliane Berria², Edio L. Petroski², Ulf Ekelund^{3,4} and Luís B. Sardinha^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Strong evidence indicates that moderate-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) is positively associated with fitness in youth, independent of total sedentary-time. Sedentary-time appears negatively associated with fitness only when it replaces MVPA. However, whether different sedentary-patterns affect health-related fitness is unknown.

Methods: The associations between MVPA and sedentary-patterns with physical fitness were examined in 2698 youths (1262 boys) aged 13.4 ± 2.28 years. Sedentary-time (counts · minute⁻¹ < 100) and PA were objectively measured by accelerometry. Each break (≥100 counts · min⁻¹ < 2295) in sedentary-time and the frequency of daily bouts in non-prolonged (<30 min) and prolonged (≥30 min) sedentary-time were determined. The FITNESSGRAM® test battery was used to assess fitness. A standardized fitness composite-score (z-score) was calculated by summing the individual z-scores of the five tests adjusted to age and sex.

Results: Positive associations between MVPA and fitness were observed in both boys (β = 0.013, 95% CI: 0.005; 0.021) and girls (β = 0.014, 95% CI: 0.006; 0.022), independent of sedentary-patterns. Modest associations were found for the breaks in sedentary-time with fitness (β = 0.026, 95% CI: 0.009; 0.042), independent of total sedentary-time and MVPA in boys. In girls, non-prolonged sedentary bouts were positively associated with fitness (β = 0.014, 95% CI: 0.003; 0.024), independent of total sedentary-time and MVPA.

Conclusions: These results reinforce that, independent of the time and patterns of sedentary behavior, MVPA is consistently associated with fitness in youth. Modest and inconsistent associations were found for sedentary behaviors. Breaking-up sedentary-time in boys and non-prolonged sedentary bouts in girls were positively associated with fitness, independent of total sedentary-time and MVPA. In order to enhance youth's fitness, public health recommendations should primarily target MVPA, still, suggestion to reduce and break-up sedentary-time may also be considered.

Keywords: Breaks, Bouts, Sedentary behavior, Physical activity, Cardiorespiratory, Adolescents

Background

Physical fitness is an important predictor of health in youth [1, 2], and poor physical fitness seems to be associated with the development of cardio-metabolic risk factors [2, 3], impaired vascular health [4], body composition [5], poorer cognitive control [6], and poor academic attainment [7].

There is evidence suggesting that both moderate and vigorous intensity physical activity (MVPA) is beneficially associated with physical fitness. In the opposite end of the physical activity spectrum, large amounts of time spent sedentary may contribute to increased fatness [8, 9], and impaired fitness in youth [10–13]. A recent meta-analysis [14] found moderate-to-strong evidence for a relationship of overall sedentary time with some fitness indicators [14]. However, these results suggested that sedentary behavior may only be detrimental to fitness when it replaces time spent in MVPA [15–17],

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: Isardinha@fmh.ulisboa.pt

¹Exercise and Health Laboratory, CIPER, Faculdade de Motricidade Humana, Universidade de Lisboa, Estrada da Costa, Cruz-Quebrada, Lisbon 1499-002, Portugal

and that MVPA is associated with fitness independent of total sedentary time [18]. Additionally, some of the associations observed between sedentary behavior and cardio-metabolic health indicators in youth are markedly less pronounced when taking fitness into account [19, 20], which suggests that sedentariness and fitness may interact.

Youth are spending more than 60% of their waking day sedentary [21], and there is evidence that sedentary behaviors will track during childhood into adulthood [22]. The high prevalence of this deleterious behavior in this population group and acknowledging that Portuguese youth do not reach sufficient PA levels compared with recommendations [23] justify the need for more research in youth. Additionally, recent findings suggest that breaking-up sedentary time and limiting prolonged bouts of sedentary time may be beneficially associated with weight status [24, 25], vascular function [26], and cardio metabolic risk [27]. However, whether specific sedentary patterns, e.g. the frequency of breaks and bouts in sedentary time, i.e. may be associated with fitness levels in youth is less explored [17].

Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the independent associations between MVPA and sedentary patterns (defined here as breaks and bouts in sedentary time) with health-related fitness in a comprehensive sample of Portuguese youth aged 10–17 years.

Methods

Study design and participants

Data were derived from a national wide cross-sectional study aimed to examine PA, physical fitness, overweight/ obesity prevalence, and related factors in Portuguese school-age youth. Briefly, data were collected by means of proportional stratified random sampling taking into account the location (region), and the number of students by age and gender in each school, in all mainland Portuguese administrative regions (Alentejo, Algarve, Centro, Lisboa, and Norte). Data from 22,179 youth were collected (89% response rate). All participants aged between 10 and 17 years old with a health status that allowed participation in physical education classes were eligible. Sedentary time and PA were objectively measured in a randomly selected subsample of 3165 youths. Participants that did not comply with accelerometer data collection criteria and missed data on any of the healthrelated physical fitness components were excluded from the analysis. The final sample consisted of 2698 (85%) youths (1262 boys) age 10–17 years ($M_{\rm age}$ = 13.40 ± 2.28).

Data collection were conducted during 2008–2009. Participants were examined during physical education classes by specifically trained physical education teachers. Participants were informed about the objectives

of the study and a written informed consent was obtained from their legal guardians. The study was approved by the Ethics Council of the Faculdade de Motricidade Humana, Universidade de Lisboa and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki for Human Studies [28].

Anthropometry

Participants were weighed to the nearest 0.01 kg while wearing minimal clothes and without shoes, on an electronic scale (Seca, Hamburg, Germany). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with a stadiometer (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) according to the standardized procedures described elsewhere [29]. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body mass (kg)/height² (m).

Sedentary time and PA

Sedentary time and PA were assessed by accelerometry (ActiGraph, GT1M model, Fort Walton Beach, FL). The accelerometer is a small device that measures the acceleration of normal human movements, ignoring high frequency vibrations associated with mechanical equipment. All participants were asked to wear the accelerometer on the right hip, close to the iliac crest, for 4 days. The device activation, download, and processing were performed using the software Actilife (v.6.9.1) (ActiGraph, Fort Walton Beach, FL, USA). The devices were activated on the first day in the morning and data were recorded and posteriorly downloaded into 15-sec epochs. Overall, 15-sec epochs were reintegrated to 60-sec epochs, and activity levels were expressed in terms of counts per minute and intensity thresholds were established according to a previous study [30] using the cut points from Evenson et al. [31]. Apart from accelerometer non-wear time (i.e., when it was removed during sleep and water activities), periods of at least 60 consecutive minutes of zero activity intensity counts were also considered as non-wear time. A valid day was defined as having 600 min (10 h) or more of monitored wear, and all participants with at least three valid days (including one weekend day) were included in the analyses. Information regarding the date (day/month/year) of data collection was recorded and posteriorly treated in order to create a covariate indicating the specific season (spring, summer, autumn, and winter) in which the data resulted from.

Each minute during which the accelerometer counts below 100 was considered sedentary time; total sedentary time was the sum of sedentary minutes while the accelerometer was worn. A break in sedentary time was considered as each interruption in sedentary time in which the accelerometer count raised up to or above 100 counts \cdot min⁻¹ and which stayed within the lightintensity PA (LIPA) range (100 to 2295 counts \cdot min⁻¹) for at least 1 min.

Data processing also derived the following variables: non-prolonged sedentary bouts (the number of periods with less than 30 continuous minutes in sedentary behavior, with no interruptions allowed) and prolonged sedentary bouts (the number of periods with more than 30 continuous minutes in sedentary behavior, with no interruptions allowed). Accelerometer counts $\geq 100 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ were classified as active time, with further differentiation to identify separately LIPA (100 to 2295 counts · min⁻¹) and MVPA (\geq 2296 counts · min⁻¹). The difference between LIPA and the daily breaks in sedentary time variable is that whereas LIPA is the total cumulative daily time spent in LIPA per day (minutes · day⁻¹), breaks in sedentary time represents the number of times sedentary time were broken by LIPA (breaks · day⁻¹).

Health-related fitness

The FITNESSGRAM® test battery was developed to assess physical fitness among youth with a health-related approach, and it is widely used in some states and school districts in the United States of America [32] and in other countries [18]. Based on the FITNESSGRAM®, youths are stratified as being above or below a predetermined threshold for the healthy fitness zone (HFZ) [32]. The FITNESSGRAM includes assessment of health standards for cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), body weight, and musculoskeletal function [33].

The specific components of the FITNESSGRAM® are curl-ups (abdominal strength and endurance), push-ups (upper body strength and endurance), sit and reach (flexibility of the hamstrings and the lower back), the Progressive Aerobic Cardiovascular Endurance Run (PACER) test (CRF), and BMI (measure that provides an indication of the appropriateness of a youth's weight relative to height). A standardized fitness composite score (z-score) was calculated by summing the individual age and sex adjusted z-scores as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Composite z-score} &= \text{z-Curl-up} + \text{z-Push-Ups} \\ &+ \text{z-Sit } \text{Reach} + \text{z-Pacer} + (\text{-z-BMI}) \end{aligned}$$

When compared to the laboratorial setting, the PACER test has been investigated to be a valid and reliable tool to assess cardiorespiratory fitness [34, 35]. Additionally, the rationale for the tests that are included in the battery to assess strength and flexibility has been widely described [36]. Teacher-obtained health-related large-scale fitness assessment was previously reported to be reliable and valid, and generally independent of potential confounding variables such as student or school characteristics [34].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows version 21.0, 2012 (SPSS Inc., an IBM

Company, Chicago IL, USA). Descriptive analyses included means ± SD for all measured variables. To examine differences in continuous variables between the two sexes, T-Tests were used whereas the qui-square test was used to compare differences in proportions between boys and girls. Interactions between sex and the main covariates for the association with fitness composite score were tested using univariate analysis of variance, and a significant interaction was found for sex with MVPA (p = 0.031). Therefore, linear regression models were performed separately for boys and girls to examine associations for total sedentary time, MVPA, daily breaks in sedentary time (breaks · day -1), non-prolonged and prolonged sedentary bouts (bouts · day -1) with a standardized fitness composite score (z-score), and also with each of the individual FITNESSGRAM® components.

Preliminary models (unadjusted) were developed for the association between all main covariates with the outcomes separately. Analysis with all covariates (MVPA, sedentary time, non-prolonged and prolonged sedentary bouts, breaks in sedentary time, age, and season of data collection) in one model was then performed (adjusted models), and non-significant variables (P < 0.1) were eliminated from the final model using backward stepwise approach. Final models were further adjusted for age, season of data collection, and total sedentary time. For example, if only the breaks remained in the model as a significant variable for fitness composite score, the model was adjusted for MVPA, total sedentary time, age, and season of data collection. Conversely, if only MVPA remained in the model, the adjustment would be for total sedentary time, age, and season of data collection. When more than one variable remained in the model, a variance inflation factor (VIF) for each independent variable was calculated to evaluate multicollinearity and a cutoff of <5.0 was considered as an indicator of nonmulticollinearity [37]. For all analyses, 5% significance level was adopted, except for the backwards elimination (p < 0.1).

Results

Participants' characteristics for the whole sample and stratified by sex are shown in Table 1. Boys had significantly higher results in curl-ups, push-ups, pacer 20 m and were more physically active in MVPA (p 0.001) than girls. Conversely, girls were more sedentary than boys (p 0.001). On average, boys and girls spent 58% and 61% of their waking hours sedentary, 36% and 35% in LIPA, and 5.5% and 3.6% in MVPA, respectively. Thirty-two % of boys were classified as overweight or obese while 27% of the girls were overweight or obese.

The correlations between all the main independent covariates and multicollinearity tests are shown in Table 2. The VIF was <5.0 suggesting low possibility for

Table 1 Participants' characteristics

	All (n = 2698) Mean (SD)	Boys (n = 1262) Mean (SD)	Girls (<i>n</i> = 1436) Mean (SD)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	13.4 (2.3)	13.3 (2.2)	13.5 (2.3)	0.025
Body mass (kg)	50.7 (13.3)	51.4 (14.9)	50.0 (11.8)	< 0.001
Height (m)	1.6 (0.1)	1.6 (0.1)	1.6 (0.1)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m²); HFZ (%)	20.4 (3.8); 70.5%	20.2 (3.8); 67.6%	20.6 (3.8); 73.1%	0.922
Season % (spring; summer; autumn; winter)	35%; 0.7%; 28%; 36%	40%; 0.4%; 29%; 32%	32%; 1.0%; 27%; 41%	< 0.001
Curl ups (repeats); HFZ (%)	36.0 (17.3); 90.4%	40.6 (18.0); 92.4%	31.8 (15.6); 88.7%	< 0.001
Push-ups (repeats); HFZ (%)	10.9 (7.3); 66.6%	13.9 (8.2); 64.7%	8.20 (5.2); 68.2%	< 0.001
Sit and reach (cm); HFZ (%)	24.8 (6.7); 57.2%	22.9 (6.5); 77.6%	26.5 (6.5); 39.3%	0.363
Pacer 20 m (laps); HFZ (%)	39.6 (19.5); 78.5%	49.6 (21.5); 89.7%	30.8 (11.9); 68.7%	< 0.001
Sedentary time (min/day)	498.3 (94.0)	482.8 (96.9)	512.0 (89.3)	< 0.001
Prolonged SB (bouts/day)	1.0 (0.8)	1.1 (0.8)	1.0 (0.8)	0.205
Non-prolonged SB (bouts/day)	120.3 (16.6)	119.3 (16.2)	121.1 (16.8)	0.767
Breaks in SB (breaks/day)	87.5 (13.9)	86.9 (13.7)	87.9 (14.2)	0.372
LIPA (min/day)	302.7 (78.6)	308.9 (80.3)	297.3 (76.7)	0.265
MVPA (min/day)	37.3 (22.0)	45.9 (23.9)	29.7 (17.1)	< 0.001

p-value for the T-test in continuous variables, and the qui-square test to compare differences in proportions between boys and girls n number of participants, SD standard deviation, HFZ healthy fitness zone, SB sedentary behavior, BMI body mass index, LIPA light intensity physical activity, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, PACER progressive aerobic cardiovascular endurance run

multicollinearity between exposure variables. Similarly, the correlation coefficients between all the independent variables were <0.75 indicating low risk for collinearity.

The associations for total sedentary time, MVPA, breaks in sedentary time, non-prolonged and prolonged sedentary bouts with standardized fitness composite score (z-score) are shown in Table 3. Interactions between sex and the independent variables (MVPA, total sedentary time, breaks in sedentary time, prolonged and non-prolonged sedentary bouts) were tested. Because a significant interaction between sex and MVPA (p = 0.031) in the association with the dependent variable was found, all further analyses were stratified by sex.

After adjusting for age, season of data collection, and total sedentary time, MVPA was positively associated with fitness composite scores in both girls and boys (p < 0.001). Breaks in sedentary time (p < 0.05) were positively associated with fitness composite scores after adjustment for age, season, total sedentary time, and

MVPA in boys. Similarly, non-prolonged sedentary bouts (p < 0.05) were positively associated with fitness composite scores after adjustment for age, season, total sedentary time, and MVPA in girls.

Total sedentary time was not associated with fitness composite score in both unadjusted and adjusted models (p > 0.05) in both sexes. For each one-minute difference in MVPA, the fitness composite score was 0.014 higher, independently of total sedentary time and other covariates in girls. Similarly, each one-minute difference in MVPA was associated with 0.013 higher fitness composite score in boys. Additionally, each break in sedentary time was associated with 0.026 higher fitness composite score in boys.

The associations between total sedentary time, MVPA, breaks in sedentary time, non-prolonged and prolonged sedentary bouts with individual FITNESSGRAM $^{\circ}$ components are shown in Table 4. Total sedentary time was positively associated with curl-up test (p < 0.05) in girls.

Table 2 Correlations for the main covariates and multicollinearity

	Sedentary time	Prolonged SB	Non-prolonged SB	Breaks in SB	MVPA	VIF
Sedentary time (min/day)	1.000	0.017	0.278	-0.143	-0.345	1.494
Prolonged SB (bouts/day)	0.017	1.000	0.069	0.007	0.008	1.008
Non-prolonged SB (bouts/day)	0.278	0.069	1.000	0.651	-0.165	2.318
Breaks in SB (breaks/day)	-0.143	0.007	0.651	1.000	-0.039	2.180
MVPA (min/day)	-0.345	0.008	-0.165	-0.039	1.000	1.146

The Pearson correlation coefficients in bold mean significant correlations ($p \le 0.05$)

SB sedentary behavior, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, VIF variance inflation factor. The VIF for each independent variable was calculated to evaluate multicollinearity

Table 3 Association for sedentary time, MVPA, breaks in sedentary time, non-prolonged and prolonged sedentary bouts with standardized fitness composite score (z-score), by

	Fitness composite score					
	All		Boys		Girls	
	β (95% CI) ^a	β (95% CI) ^b	β (95% CI) ^a	β (95% CI) ^c	β (95% CI) ^a	β (95% CI) ^c
Sedentary time (min/day)	0.000 (-0.001; 0.002)	0.001 (-0.001; 0.002)	0.00 (0.000; 0.004)	0.001 (-0.002; 0.003)	-0.001 (-0.003; 0.000)	0.000 (-0.001; 0.002)
Prolonged SB (bouts/day)	0.080 (-0.064; 0.223)	0.080 (-0.063; 0.223)	0.167 (-0.065; 0.398)	0.132 (-0.096; 0.360)	0.001 (-0.177; 0.178)	0.081 (-0.094; 0.256)
Non-prolonged SB (bouts/day)	0.010 (0.004; 0.017)	0.011 (0.002; 0.020) ^d	0.011 (0.000; 0.022)	0.003 (-0.013; 0.018)	0.010 (0.002; 0.018)	0.014 (0.003; 0.024) ^d
Breaks in SB (breaks/day)	0.009 (0.001; 0.017)	0.024 (0.013; 0.034) ^d	0.008 (-0.005; 0.021)	0.026 (0.009; 0.042) ^d	0.010 (0.001; 0.020)	0.001 (-0.017; 0.019)
MVPA (min/day)	0.008 (0.003; 0.013)	0.010 (0.005; 0.016) ^d	0.008 (0.001; 0.015)	0.013 (0.005; 0.021) ^d	0.011 (0.003; 0.019)	0.014 (0.006; 0.022) ^d

Data are unstandardized β coefficient and 95% confidence interval (Cl) SB sedentary behavior, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity *Unadjusted model branch and season of data collection branches were adjusted for total sedentary time, sex, age, and season of data collection the models were adjusted for total sedentary time, age, and season of data collection daraibles that remained in the model β coefficients in bold mean significant associations ($p \le 0.05$)

able 4 Association	i ior sederitary ume, mvkA, break	Table 4 Association for severitary unite, mypra, dieaks in severitary unite, mon-probleged and prolonged severitary bouts with fillnessociation fests, by sex	d and prolonged sedemary bout	S WILL FILINESSGRAIM TESTS, DY S	χĎ
	Curl up	Push up	Sit and reach	Pacer	BMI
	β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)
Girls					
Sedentary time (min/day)	0.015 (0.005; 0.025) ^a	0.000 (-0.004; 0.003)	-0.004 (-0.008; 0.000)	0.001 (-0.007; 0.009)	-0.001 (-0.003; 0.002)
Prolonged SB (bouts/day)	1.647 (–28.163; 31.458)	-2.925 (-13.273; 7.423)	-3.041; -15.559; 9.477)	10.453 (–12.206; 33.112)	-3.388 (-10.803; 4.028)
Non-prolonged SB (bouts/day)	-0.016 (-0.076; 0.044)	0.006 (-0.015; 0.026)	0.049 (0.024; 0.075) ^a	0.056 (0.010; 0.102) ^a	$-0.016 (-0.026; -0.006)^{a}$
Breaks in SB (breaks/day)	-0.037 (-0.119; 0.044)	-0.011 (-0.039; 0.017)	-0.058; -0.093; -0.024) ^a	-0.047 (-0.109; 0.015)	-0.015 (-0.036; 0.005)
MVPA (min/day)	0.025 (-0.021; 0.071)	0.015 (-0.002; 0.031)	0.025 (0.005; 0.044) ^a	0.067 (0.032; 0.102) ^a	-0.005 (-0.016; 0.007)
Boys					
Sedentary time (min/day)	0.013 (0.001; 0.026) ^a	0.005 (-0.001; 0.010)	-0.003 (-0.008; 0.002)	0.004 (-0.009; 0.017)	0.001 (-0.002; 0.004)
Prolonged SB (bouts/day)	-7.137 (-45.323; 31.050)	4.841 (–12.610; 22.292)	-12.069; -27.270; 3.846)	29.656 (–11.007; 70.319)	-2.422 (-11.041; 6.196)
Non-prolonged SB (bouts/day)	0.003 (-0.075; 0.081)	-0.051 (-0.087; -0.015) ^a	0.024 (0.010; 3.132)	0.026 (-0.057; 0.110)	-0.013 (-0.031; 0.005)
Breaks in SB (breaks/day)	0.075 (0.008; 0.141) ^a	0.063 (0.019; 0.107) ^a	-0.018; -0.057; 0.020)	0.002 (-0.101; 0.105)	-0.030 (-0.045; -0.015) ^a
MVPA (min/day)	-0.001 (-0.025; 0.169)	0.001 (-0.019; 0.018)	0.007 (-0.009; 0.023)	0.093 (0.049; 0.136) ^a	-0.017 (-0.026; -0.009) ^a

Data are unstandardized β coefficient and 95% confidence interval (CI). The models were mutually adjusted for total sedentary time and/or MVPA, age, and season of data collection SB sedentary behavior, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, BMI body mass index ariables that remained in the model β coefficients in bold mean significant associations ($\rho \le 0.05$)

No associations were found for any of the covariates with the push-up test in girls (p > 0.05). MVPA and non-prolonged sedentary bouts were positively associated with flexibility (sit and reach) (p < 0.05). Similar associations were observed for the pacer test, with MVPA and non-prolonged sedentary bouts being positively associated, (p < 0.05). Finally, non-prolonged sedentary bouts but not MVPA was inversely associated with BMI in girls, (p < 0.05). All analyses were adjusted for age, season, and mutually adjusted for total sedentary time and/or MVPA.

Total sedentary time and breaks in sedentary time were positively associated with curl-up test in boys, (p < 0.05). MVPA was the only variable with positive associations for the pacer test in boys, (p < 0.05). Non-prolonged sedentary bouts were inversely associated and breaks in sedentary time positively associated with the push up test in boys, (p < 0.05). No associations were found for any of the covariates with flexibility (sit and reach) in boys, (p > 0.05). Finally, MVPA and breaks in sedentary time were inversely associated with BMI in boys, (p < 0.05). All analyses were adjusted for age, season of data collection, and mutually adjusted for total sedentary time and/or MVPA.

Discussion

MVPA was positively and consistently associated with fitness in boys and girls, independent of sedentary time and patterns. Modest and inconsistent associations were found between sedentary behavior and fitness. Breaks in sedentary time was positively associated with fitness, independent of total sedentary time and MVPA in boys. In girls, non-prolonged sedentary bouts (<30 min) were positively associated with fitness, independent of total sedentary time and MVPA. These results suggest that encouraging young people to engage in more MVPA and reduce their sedentary time may have beneficial effects on their health related fitness.

Current guidelines for public health [38] suggest that youth should accumulate at least 60 min of MVPA each day, minimize sedentary time each day by limiting recreational screen time to no more than 2 h/day, and limiting sedentary (motorized) transport, extended sitting time and time spent indoors [38]. Additionally, an international study [39] concluded that youth spent 8.6 h per day sedentary, and simultaneously 54.2% of youth failed to meet sedentary behavior guidelines [39].

In accordance with the findings from a recent metaanalysis [14], no association was found for total sedentary time with fitness level in either boys or girls in the present study. Our findings suggest that specific patterns of sedentary time, e.g. the frequency of breaks in sedentary time, may be associated with higher fitness levels. This may then suggest that guidelines for sedentary behavior may include a recommendation to break-up sedentary time; effectively increasing overall physical activity. However, our findings need replication in future studies before implementation in public health recommendations for young people.

It can be argued that the benefits do not come from the breaking pattern itself, but rather the increase in LIPA, that derives from these breaks. However, previous studies found that total sedentary time and the amount of time spent in LIPA do not associate with fitness in youth [16, 40], suggesting that the positive association found for the number of breaks in sedentary time (while performing LIPA) in the present study are not a result of LIPA accumulation but rather reflecting the beneficial effect of an interrupting pattern. However, it is important to acknowledge that quality evidence from studies with robust designs and methods, such as experimental studies controlling for the total amount of sedentary time, matching PA between conditions by manipulating the breaking pattern across conditions, are needed to accurately test this hypothesis [17].

Less than 20% of children meet PA recommendations [41] and high intensity PA declines during youth [42]. Therefore, it is crucial to understand if the associations for MVPA and sedentary behavior with fitness levels are independent of each other. Contrarily to previous findings suggesting negative associations between sedentary time and fitness levels [11, 12], we did not observe an association between total sedentary time and the composite fitness score independent of MVPA suggesting total sedentary time is unrelated with fitness.

When analyzing the associations for each individual component of the fitness test, the observed associations for MVPA were less consistent. No significant associations were found between MVPA strength tests in both boys and girls, and with flexibility in boys, suggesting that MVPA may be associated with overall fitness in both sexes, through its influence on endurance fitness (PACER) [43].

Unexpected positive associations were found for total sedentary time with the curl-up test in both boys and girls. The curl-up test is an indicator of abdominal muscle resistant strength and there is evidence for a negative association between sedentary behavior and strength outcomes in youth [44]. There is no logical or physiological explanation for the unexpected associations found between sedentary time and strength. It is important to mention that in the present study the majority of the participants were within the healthy fitness zone (90.4%), presenting high values for this specific test. On the other hand, although significance was verified for this association, the magnitude of the association was low, and therefore any interpretation of the physiological significance of this observation should be cautious.

Positive associations were found for the non-prolonged sedentary bouts with flexibility, and endurance fitness (PACER), and with BMI (negative associations) in girls. In boys, breaks in sedentary time was positively associated with the overall composite fitness-score and all individual fitness tests, with the exceptions for the flexibility and PACER tests. The individual analysis for the main covariates with each of the specific fitness components suggest variable associations, showing that MVPA and sedentary patterns may play specific roles across the different fitness components. Moreover, muscular strength and endurance (curl-up and push-up tests) may be less affected by young people's PA and sedentary patterns, compared with cardiorespiratory fitness (PACER test).

For each one-minute difference in MVPA the fitness composite score was 0.014 higher, independently of total sedentary time and other covariates, in girls. Similarly, each one-minute difference in MVPA was associated with 0.013 higher fitness composite score in boys. Moreover, each break in sedentary time was associated with 0.026 higher fitness composite score in boys, and for each non-prolonged sedentary bout, fitness composite score was 0.014 higher in girls. Regardless of the statistically significant associations found in our study, the magnitude of these associations was small and may not be clinically meaningful.

In the current educational systems, youth are indebted to be seated during the entire classes spending about 97% of the traditional classes sitting [45]. This represents at least 5-h of sitting at school with prolonged bouts in sedentary behavior. Therefore, regardless of the small clinical effects found in our results, scholar environment is a major opportunity to change sedentary patterns, because it entails a large opportunity to introduce LIPA breaks, which in the long-term may have significant impact on the energy balance preventing overweight and obesity as well as improving overall fitness levels of youth.

Recently, stand-up desks have been presented as a good external stimulus to reduce sitting time by shifting it for standing time in elementary school students [46]. However, the evidence for the benefits of prolonged standing in youth is still weak, and from an energetic point of view it has been shown to add very modest gain in young adults [47]. Based on the findings from the present study, it might be important to develop new strategies to reduce students' prolonged sedentary time while at the school, additional to initiatives to primarily increase MVPA. Moreover, programs relying exclusively on reducing overall sedentary time may overlook an area that is of great importance to youth's fitness. Along with messages related to accumulating at least 60 min · day⁻¹ of MVPA, youth should be encouraged to break-up sedentary time during the day.

A potential sex dimorphism for the associations of breaks in sedentary time with fitness composite score may exist with boys benefiting the most through more breaks in sedentary time. Regardless of the positive associations found for the non-prolonged sedentary bouts with fitness composite score in girls, the non-significant associations for the breaks in sedentary time with fitness levels in girls seems to indicate that a higher rate of sedentary breaks may be more relevant to improve fitness composite score in boys. It is also important to highlight that in the present study it took a year to gather all the data. In fact, seasonal variation in PA and sedentary behavior seems to exist [48], and differences in temperature, rainfall can affect youth PA habits [48]. Thus, PA/sedentary patterns recorded in one specific week of that year may not represent a typical week, and so influence the associations for these covariates with fitness level. However, we further adjusted for the season of data collection, and the associations and their magnitude remained the same, with and without adjustment for this covariate.

Strengths and limitations

This study is not without limitations. Regarding the prolonged and non-prolonged sedentary bouts, there are two major issues that could be responsible for some of the confusing findings and that need to be addressed. First, the low number of prolonged sedentary bouts that youth engaged and secondly the metrics, and how nonprolonged sedentary bouts were obtained. This variable includes any recorded period of continuous sedentary time with less than 30 min, which means that sedentary bouts of 5 or 25 min were both classified as nonprolonged sedentary bouts. When considering nonprolonged sedentary bouts, it was expected to find positive associations with fitness level, which was verified in girls. However, in boys, there was a negative association found for the non-prolonged bouts with the push-up test that can be possibly explained by this high interval (1 to 29 min of continuous sedentary time).

The cross-sectional nature of the data limits inference about the direction of causality for the associations found for the main PA and sedentary variables with fitness composite score. For example, we cannot rule out the possibility that more breaks in sedentary time or more non-prolonged sedentary bouts result from higher fitness levels in boys and girls respectively. Another major limitation was the absence of maturity assessment, a recognized confounder of great importance when investigating youth. However, the associations were controlled for age, which is a variable that accounts for some of the maturity's variation.

An important strength of our study is that sedentary time was objectively measured by accelerometry in a large youth sample. Still accelerometers are not sensitive to detect all activities such as biking, standing, and upper-body movement, which may limit its applicability. Also, one alternative explanation for the counterintuitive findings related to the association between MVPA and fitness may be nothing to do with accelerometry per se, but instead one might hypothesize that concentrating on moderate and vigorous as a single indicator can blunt the association with fitness. Structured, systematic and usually vigorous exercise is usually needed to promote strength and speed, and MVPA may simply not measure this. Regardless, public health guidelines consider this dimension (MVPA) and also, in order to be able to compare with previous studies it was decided to consider MVPA.

Conclusions

Moderate and vigorous PA is associated with fitness levels in youth, independent of total sedentary time and patterns. In addition, the present findings revealed inconsistent associations for sedentary behaviors with fitness levels. Therefore, this study suggests that promoting physical activity of at least moderate intensity is likely to positively influence on fitness in youth, and with less consistency, interrupting sedentary time may also represent an advantage.

Abbreviations

BMI: Body mass index; CRF: Cardiorespiratory fitness; HFZ: Healthy fitness zone; LIPA: Low intensity physical activity; MVPA: Moderate and vigorous physical activity; PA: Physical activity; PACER: Progressive aerobic cardiovascular endurance run; VIF: Variance inflation factor

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the participation of the youths in this study and the physical education teachers for their assistance in helping collect data.

Funding

This research was supported by National funding from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology within the R&D unit 472, CIPER, (UID/DTP/00447/2013). PBJ is supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (SFRH/BD/81403/2011). JB is supported by the Coordination of Improvement of Higher Education Personnel -CAPES (case n ° 6782/2015-09). UE is funded by the Research Council of Norway (249932/F20). The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript, and there is no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

AMS and LBS coordinated the study and were responsible for the data collection. PBJ and JB analyzed and interpreted the data. PBJ, UE, ELP and LBS were major contributors in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

A written informed consent was obtained for all the participants. The study was approved by the Ethics Council of the Faculdade de Motricidade Humana, Universidade de Lisboa and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki for Human Studies.

Author details

¹Exercise and Health Laboratory, CIPER, Faculdade de Motricidade Humana, Universidade de Lisboa, Estrada da Costa, Cruz-Quebrada, Lisbon 1499-002, Portugal. ²Graduate in Physical Education Program, Kinanthropometry Center and Human Performance, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Snata Catarina, Brazil. ³Department of Sport Medicine, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway. ⁴MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

Received: 2 November 2016 Accepted: 21 February 2017 Published online: 04 March 2017

References

- Ascenso A, Palmeira A, Pedro LM, Martins S, Fonseca H. Physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness, but not sedentary behavior, are associated with carotid intima-media thickness in obese adolescents. Eur J Pediatr. 2016;175:391–8.
- Ruiz JR, Cavero-Redondo I, Ortega FB, Welk GJ, Andersen LB, Martinez-Vizcaino V. Cardiorespiratory fitness cut points to avoid cardiovascular disease risk in children and adolescents; what level of fitness should raise a red flag? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2016. [Epub ahead of print].
- Andersen LB, Lauersen JB, Brond JC, Anderssen SA, Sardinha LB, Steene-Johannessen J, et al. A new approach to define and diagnose cardiometabolic disorder in children. J Diabetes Res. 2015;2015:539835.
- Melo X, Santa-Clara H, Santos DA, Pimenta NM, Minderico CS, Fernhall B, et al. Independent association of muscular strength and carotid intimamedia thickness in children. Int J Sports Med. 2015;36:624–30.
- Ornelas RT, Silva AM, Minderico CS, Sardinha LB. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness predict changes in body composition from childhood to adolescence: findings from the European youth heart study. Phys Sportsmed. 2011;39:78–86.
- Pontifex MB, Kamijo K, Scudder MR, Raine LB, Khan NA, Hemrick B, et al. V. The differential association of adiposity and fitness with cognitive control in preadolescent children. Monogr Soc Res Child Dev. 2014;79:72–92.
- Sardinha LB, Marques A, Minderico C, Palmeira A, Martins S, Santos DA, et al. Longitudinal relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness and academic achievement. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2016;48:839–44.
- Zhang G, Wu L, Zhou L, Lu W, Mao C. Television watching and risk of childhood obesity: a meta-analysis. Eur J Public Health. 2016;26:13–8.
- Marques A, Minderico C, Martins S, Palmeira A, Ekelund U, Sardinha LB.
 Cross-sectional and prospective associations between moderate to vigorous
 physical activity and sedentary time with adiposity in children. Int J Obes
 (Lond). 2016;40:28–33.
- Tucker JS, Martin S, Jackson AW, Morrow Jr JR, Greenleaf CA, Petrie TA. Relations between sedentary behavior and FITNESSGRAM healthy fitness zone achievement and physical activity. J Phys Act Health. 2014;11:1006–11.
- Moore JB, Beets MW, Barr-Anderson DJ, Evenson KR. Sedentary time and vigorous physical activity are independently associated with cardiorespiratory fitness in middle school youth. J Sports Sci. 2013;31:1520–5.
- Santos R, Mota J, Okely AD, Pratt M, Moreira C, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, et al. The independent associations of sedentary behaviour and physical activity on cardiorespiratory fitness. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48:1508–12.
- Tremblay MS, LeBlanc AG, Kho ME, Saunders TJ, Larouche R, Colley RC, et al. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in schoolaged children and youth. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2011;8:98.
- van Ekris E, Altenburg TM, Singh AS, Proper KI, Heymans MW, Chinapaw MJ. An evidence-update on the prospective relationship between childhood sedentary behaviour and biomedical health indicators: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2016;17:833–49.

- Aggio D, Smith L, Hamer M. Effects of reallocating time in different activity intensities on health and fitness: a cross sectional study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2015;12:83.
- Sardinha LB, Marques A, Minderico C, Ekelund U. Cross-sectional and prospective impact of reallocating sedentary time to physical activity on children's body composition. Pediatr Obes. 2016. [Epub ahead of print].
- Cliff DP, Hesketh KD, Vella SA, Hinkley T, Tsiros MD, Ridgers ND, et al.
 Objectively measured sedentary behaviour and health and development in children and adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2016;17:330–44
- Martinez-Gomez D, Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, Vicente-Rodriguez G, Veiga OL, Widhalm K, et al. Excessive sedentary time and low cardiorespiratory fitness in European adolescents: the HELENA study. Arch Dis Child. 2011;96:240–6.
- Shuval K, Finley CE, Barlow CE, Gabriel KP, Leonard D, Kohl 3rd HW. Sedentary behavior, cardiorespiratory fitness, physical activity, and cardiometabolic risk in men: the cooper center longitudinal study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2014;89:1052–62.
- Green AN, McGrath R, Martinez V, Taylor K, Paul DR, Vella CA. Associations of objectively measured sedentary behavior, light activity, and markers of cardiometabolic health in young women. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2014:114:907–19.
- Baptista F, Santos DA, Silva AM, Mota J, Santos R, Vale S, et al. Prevalence of the Portuguese population attaining sufficient physical activity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2012;44:466–73.
- Biddle SJ, Pearson N, Ross GM, Braithwaite R. Tracking of sedentary behaviours of young people: a systematic review. Prev Med. 2010;51:345–51.
- Mota J, MJ ES, Raimundo AM, Sardinha LB. Results from Portugal's 2016 report card on physical activity for children and youth. J Phys Act Health. 2016;13:S242–s245.
- Carson V, Stone M, Faulkner G. Patterns of sedentary behavior and weight status among children. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2014;26:95–102.
- Colley RC, Garriguet D, Janssen I, Wong SL, Saunders TJ, Carson V, et al. The association between accelerometer-measured patterns of sedentary time and health risk in children and youth: results from the Canadian health measures survey. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:200.
- McManus AM, Ainslie PN, Green DJ, Simair RG, Smith K, Lewis N. Impact of prolonged sitting on vascular function in young girls. Exp Physiol. 2015;100:1379–87.
- Saunders TJ, Tremblay MS, Mathieu ME, Henderson M, O'Loughlin J, Tremblay A, et al. Associations of sedentary behavior, sedentary bouts and breaks in sedentary time with cardiometabolic risk in children with a family history of obesity. PLoS One. 2013;8:e79143.
- World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. WMJ. 2008;54:122–5.
- 29. Lohman TG, Roche AS, Martorell R. Anthropometric standardization reference manual. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 1988.
- Trost SG, Loprinzi PD, Moore R, Pfeiffer KA. Comparison of accelerometer cut points for predicting activity intensity in youth. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43:1360–8.
- Evenson KR, Catellier DJ, Gill K, Ondrak KS, McMurray RG. Calibration of two objective measures of physical activity for children. J Sports Sci. 2008;26:1557–65.
- 32. Plowman SA, Meredith MD. FitnessGram/ActivityGram reference guide. Dallas: The Cooper Institute; 2013.
- Welk GJ, Laurson KR, Eisenmann JC, Cureton KJ. Development of youth aerobic-capacity standards using receiver operating characteristic curves. Am J Prev Med. 2011;41:S111–116.
- Morrow Jr JR, Martin SB, Jackson AW. Reliability and validity of the FITNESSGRAM: quality of teacher-collected health-related fitness surveillance data. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2010;81:S24–30.
- Boiarskaia EA, Boscolo MS, Zhu W, Mahar MT. Cross-validation of an equating method linking aerobic FITNESSGRAM(R) field tests. Am J Prev Med. 2011;41:S124–130.
- Plowman S. Muscular Strength, Endurance, and Flexibility Assessments. In Fitnessgram/Activitygram Reference Guide. 4th edition. Edited by Plowman S, Meredith MD. Dallas: The Cooper Institute; 2013. 8–1–8–55.
- 37. Guo SS, Chumlea WC, Cockram DB. Use of statistical methods to estimate body composition. Am J Clin Nutr. 1996; 64:428S–435S.
- Carson V, LeBlanc CMA, Moreau E, Tremblay MS. Paediatricians' awareness
 of, agreement with and use of the new Canadian physical activity and
 sedentary behaviour guidelines for children and youth zero to 17 years of
 age. Paediatr Child Health. 2013;18:538–42.

- LeBlanc AG, Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, Broyles ST, Chaput JP, Church TS, et al. Correlates of Total Sedentary Time and Screen Time in 9-11 Year-Old Children around the World: The International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0129622.
- Bai Y, Chen S, Laurson KR, Kim Y, Saint-Maurice PF, Welk GJ. The associations of youth physical activity and screen time with fatness and fitness: the 2012 NHANES national youth fitness survey. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0148038.
- Kalman M, Inchley J, Sigmundova D, Iannotti RJ, Tynjala JA, Hamrik Z, et al. Secular trends in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in 32 countries from 2002 to 2010: a cross-national perspective. Eur J Public Health. 2015;25 Suppl 2:37–40.
- Corder K, Sharp SJ, Atkin AJ, Andersen LB, Cardon G, Page A, et al. Agerelated patterns of vigorous-intensity physical activity in youth: the international Children's accelerometry database. Prev Med Rep. 2016:4:17–22.
- Marques A, Santos R, Ekelund U, Sardinha LB. Association between physical activity, sedentary time, and healthy fitness in youth. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015;47:575–80.
- Edelson LR, Mathias KC, Fulgoni 3rd VL, Karagounis LG. Screen-based sedentary behavior and associations with functional strength in 6–15 yearold children in the United States. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:116.
- 45. Cardon G, De Clercq D, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Breithecker D. Sitting habits in elementary schoolchildren: a traditional versus a "Moving school". Patient Educ Couns. 2004;54:133–42.
- Benden ME, Zhao H, Jeffrey CE, Wendel ML, Blake JJ. The evaluation of the impact of a stand-biased desk on energy expenditure and physical activity for elementary school students. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014:11:9361–75.
- Judice PB, Hamilton MT, Sardinha LB, Zderic TW, Silva AM. What is the metabolic and energy cost of sitting, standing and sit/stand transitions? Eur J Appl Physiol. 2016;116:263–273.
- O'Connell SE, Griffiths PL, Clemes SA. Seasonal variation in physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep in a sample of UK adults. Ann Hum Biol. 2014;41:1–8.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

