Research Article

Algorithms Construction for Variational Inequalities

Yonghong Yao,[1] Yeong-Cheng Liou,[2] and Shin Min Kang[3]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300160, China*
[2] *Department of Information Management, Cheng Shiu University, Kaohsiung 833, Taiwan*
[3] *Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Republic of Korea*

Correspondence should be addressed to Shin Min Kang, smkang@gnu.ac.kr

We devote this paper to solving the variational inequality of finding x^* with property $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$ such that $\langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Note that this hierarchical problem is associated with some convex programming problems. For solving the above VI, we suggest two algorithms: Implicit Algorithmml: $x_t = TPc[I - t(A - \gamma f)]x_t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and Explicit Algorithm: $x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)TPc[1 - \alpha_n(A - \gamma f)]x_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. It is shown that these two algorithms converge strongly to the unique solution of the above VI. As special cases, we prove that the proposed algorithms strongly converge to the minimum norm fixed point of T.

1. Introduction

Variational inequalities are being used as a mathematical programming tool in modeling a wide class of problems arising in several branches of pure and applied sciences. Several numerical techniques for solving variational inequalities and the related optimization problem have been considered by some authors. See, for example, [1–16].

Our main purpose in this paper is to consider the following variational inequality:

$$\text{Find } x^* \in \text{Fix}(T) \text{ such that } \langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Fix}(T), \tag{1.1}$$

where T is a nonexpansive self-mapping of a nonempty closed convex subset C of a real Hilbert space H, $A : C \to H$ is a strongly positive linear bounded operator, and $f : C \to H$ is a ρ-contraction.

At this point, we wish to point out this hierarchical problem associated with some convex programming problems. The reader can refer to [17–21] and the references therein.

For solving VI (1.1), we suggest two algorithms which converge to the unique solution of VI (1.1). As special cases, we prove that the proposed algorithms strongly converge to the minimum norm fixed point of T.

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$, respectively, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H. Let $f : C \rightarrow H$ be a ρ-contraction; that is, there exists a constant $\rho \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \rho\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \tag{2.1}$$

A mapping A is said to be *strongly positive* on H if there exists a constant $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \tilde{\gamma}\|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H. \tag{2.2}$$

Recall that a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be *nonexpansive* if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \tag{2.3}$$

A point $x \in C$ is a *fixed point* of T provided $Tx = x$. Denote by $\text{Fix}(T)$ the set of fixed points of T; that is, $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$.

Remark 2.1. If $A : C \rightarrow H$ is a strongly positive linear bounded operator and $f : C \rightarrow H$ is a ρ-contraction, then for $0 < \gamma < \tilde{\gamma}/\rho$, the mapping $A - \gamma f$ is strongly monotone. In fact, we have

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)x - (A - \gamma f)y, x - y \rangle = \langle A(x - y), x - y \rangle - \gamma\langle f(x) - f(y), x - y \rangle$$

$$\geq \tilde{\gamma}\|x - y\|^2 - \gamma\rho\|x - y\|^2 \tag{2.4}$$

$$\geq 0.$$

The metric (or nearest point) projection from H onto C is the mapping $P_C : H \rightarrow C$ which assigns to each point $x \in C$ the unique point $P_C x \in C$ satisfying the property

$$\|x - P_C x\| = \inf_{y \in C}\|x - y\| =: d(x, C). \tag{2.5}$$

The following properties of projections are useful and pertinent to our purposes.

Lemma 2.2. *Given $x \in H$ and $z \in C$,*

(a) *$z = P_C x$ if and only if there holds the relation*

$$\langle x - z, y - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \tag{2.6}$$

(b) $z = P_C x$ if and only if there holds the relation

$$\|x - z\|^2 \le \|x - y\|^2 - \|y - z\|^2, \quad \forall y \in C, \tag{2.7}$$

(c) *there holds the relation*

$$\langle P_C x - P_C y, x - y \rangle \ge \|P_C x - P_C y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \tag{2.8}$$

Consequently, P_C is nonexpansive and monotone.

In the sequel, we will make use of the following for our main results.

Lemma 2.3 (Demiclosedness Principle for Nonexpansive Mappings, [22]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and $T : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\mathrm{Fix}(T) \ne \emptyset$. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in C weakly converging to x and if $\{(I - T)x_n\}$ converges strongly to y, then $(I - T)x = y$; in particular, if $y = 0$, then $x \in \mathrm{Fix}(T)$.*

Lemma 2.4 (see [14]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Assume that the mapping $F : C \to H$ is monotone and weakly continuous along segments, that is, $F(x + ty) \to F(x)$ weakly as $t \to 0$. Then the variational inequality*

$$x^* \in C, \quad \langle Fx^*, x - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in C \tag{2.9}$$

is equivalent to the dual variational inequality

$$x^* \in C, \quad \langle Fx, x - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 2.5 (see [23]). *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space X and $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ with*

$$0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1. \tag{2.11}$$

Suppose that $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n x_n$ for all $n \ge 0$ and $\limsup_{n \to \infty}(\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \le 0$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty}\|y_n - x_n\| = 0$.

Lemma 2.6 (see [24]). *Assume that $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that*

$$a_{n+1} \le (1 - \gamma_n)a_n + \gamma_n \delta_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that

(a) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$,

(b) $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \delta_n \le 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\delta_n \gamma_n| < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$.

3. Main Results

In this section, we first consider an implicit algorithm and prove its strong convergence for solving variational inequality (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $A : C \to H$ be a strongly positive linear bounded operator and $f : C \to H$ be a ρ-contraction. Let $T : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with* $\mathrm{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. *Let $\gamma > 0$ be a constant satisfying $(\tilde{\gamma} - 1)/\rho < \gamma < \tilde{\gamma}/\rho$. For each $t \in (0, 1)$, let the net $\{x_t\}$ be defined by*

$$x_t = TP_C\big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]x_t, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1). \tag{3.1}$$

Then the net $\{x_t\}$ converges in norm, as $t \to 0^+$, to $x^* \in \mathrm{Fix}(T)$ which is the unique solution of VI (1.1).

Proof. First, we note that the net $\{x_t\}$ defined by (3.1) is well-defined. As a matter of fact, we have, for sufficiently small t,

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|TP_C\big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]x &- TP_C\big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]y\big\| \\
&\leq \big\|\big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]x - \big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]y\big\| \\
&\leq t\gamma\big\|f(x) - f(y)\big\| + \|I - tA\|\|x - y\| \\
&\leq t\gamma\rho\|x - y\| + (1 - t\tilde{\gamma})\|x - y\| \\
&= \big[1 - (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho)t\big]\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

which implies that the mapping $x \mapsto TP_C[I - t(A - \gamma f)]x$ is a contractive from C into C. Using the Banach contraction principle, there exists a unique point $x_t \in C$ satisfying the following fixed point equation:

$$x = TP_C\big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]x, \tag{3.3}$$

this is,

$$x_t = TP_C\big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]x_t, \tag{3.4}$$

which is exactly (3.1).

Next, we show that the net $\{x_t\}$ is bounded. Take an $x^* \in \mathrm{Fix}(T)$ to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_t - x^*\| &= \big\|TP_C\big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]x_t - TP_C x^*\big\| \\
&\leq \big\|\big[I - t(A - \gamma f)\big]x_t - x^*\big\| \\
&\leq t\gamma\big\|f(x_t) - f(x^*)\big\| + t\big\|\gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\big\| + \|(I - tA)(x_t - x^*)\| \\
&\leq (1 - \tilde{\gamma}t)\|x_t - x^*\| + t\gamma\rho\|x_t - x^*\| + t\big\|\gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\big\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

This implies that

$$\|x_t - x^*\| \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho} \|\gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\|. \tag{3.6}$$

It follows that $\{x_t\}$ is bounded, so are the nets $\{f(x_t)\}$ and $\{Ax_t\}$.

From (3.1), we get

$$\|x_t - Tx_t\| = \|TP_C[I - t(A - \gamma f)]x_t - TP_C x_t\|$$

$$\leq t\|(A - \gamma f)x_t\| \tag{3.7}$$

$$\longrightarrow 0.$$

Set $y_t = P_C[I - t(A - \gamma f)]x_t$ for all $t \in (0,1)$. It follows that

$$\|y_t - x_t\| \leq t\|(A - \gamma f)x_t\| \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.8}$$

At the same time, we note that

$$\|x_t - x^*\| \leq \|y_t - x^*\|. \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.1) and the property of the metric projection, we have

$$\|y_t - x^*\|^2 = \langle P_C[I - t(A - \gamma f)]x_t - [I - t(A - \gamma f)]x_t, y_t - x^* \rangle$$

$$+ \langle [I - t(A - \gamma f)]x_t - x^*, y_t - x^* \rangle$$

$$\leq \langle [I - t(A - \gamma f)]x_t - x^*, y_t - x^* \rangle$$

$$= t\langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax^*, y_t - x^* \rangle + \langle (I - tA)(x_t - x^*), y_t - x^* \rangle \tag{3.10}$$

$$\leq (1 - t\tilde{\gamma})\|x_t - x^*\|\|y_t - x^*\| + t\langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax^*, y_t - x^* \rangle$$

$$\leq (1 - t\tilde{\gamma})\|y_t - x^*\|^2 + t\langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax^*, y_t - x^* \rangle.$$

It follows that

$$\|y_t - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}}\langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax^*, y_t - x^* \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}}[\gamma\langle f(x_t) - f(x^*), y_t - x^* \rangle + \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, y_t - x^* \rangle] \tag{3.11}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}}[\gamma\rho\|y_t - x^*\|^2 + \langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x^* - y_t \rangle].$$

That is,

$$\|y_t - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho} \langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x^* - y_t \rangle. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore,

$$\|x_t - x^*\|^2 \leq \|y_t - x^*\| \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho} \langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x^* - y_t \rangle. \tag{3.13}$$

In particular,

$$\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho} \langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x^* - y_n \rangle. \tag{3.14}$$

Next, we show that $\{x_t\}$ is relatively norm-compact as $t \to 0^+$. Assume $\{t_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ is such that $t_n \to 0^+$ as $n \to \infty$. Put $x_n := x_{t_n}$ and $y_n := y_{t_n}$. From (3.7), we have

$$\|x_n - Tx_n\| \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $\tilde{x} \in C$ and hence y_n also converges weakly to $\tilde{x}$. Noticing (3.15), we can use Lemma 2.3 to get $\tilde{x} \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Therefore, we can substitute $\tilde{x}$ for x^* in (3.14) to get

$$\|x_n - \tilde{x}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho} \langle (A - \gamma f)\tilde{x}, \tilde{x} - y_n \rangle. \tag{3.16}$$

Consequently, the weak convergence of $\{y_n\}$ to $\tilde{x}$ actually implies that $x_n \to \tilde{x}$ strongly. This has proved the relative norm-compactness of the net $\{x_t\}$ as $t \to 0^+$.

Now, we return to (3.14) and take the limit as $n \to \infty$ to get

$$\|\tilde{x} - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho} \langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x^* - \tilde{x} \rangle, \quad \forall x^* \in \text{Fix}(T). \tag{3.17}$$

Hence $\tilde{x}$ solves the following VI:

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x^* - \tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x^* \in \text{Fix}(T) \tag{3.18}$$

or the equivalent dual VI (see Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.4)

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)\tilde{x}, x^* - \tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x^* \in \text{Fix}(T). \tag{3.19}$$

From the strong monotonicity of $A - \gamma f$, it follows the uniqueness of a solution of the above VI (see [11, Theorem 3.2]), $\tilde{x} = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}(I - A + \gamma f)\tilde{x}$. That is, $\tilde{x}$ is the unique fixed point in $\text{Fix}(T)$

of the contraction $P_{\text{Fix}(T)}(I - A + \gamma f)$. Clearly this is sufficient to conclude that the entire net $\{x_t\}$ converges in norm to $\tilde{x}$ as $t \to 0^+$. This completes the proof. $\qquad \square$

Next, we suggest an explicit algorithm and prove its strong convergence.

Theorem 3.2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $A : C \to H$ be a strongly positive linear bounded operator and $f : C \to H$ be a ρ-contraction. Let $T : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\gamma > 0$ be a constant satisfying $(\tilde{\gamma} - 1)/\rho < \gamma < \tilde{\gamma}/\rho$. For $x_0 \in C$, let the sequence $\{x_n\}$ be generated iteratively by*

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T P_C [I - \alpha_n (A - \gamma f)] x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{3.20}$$

where the sequences $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$ and $\{\beta_n\} \subset [0,1]$ satisfy the following control conditions:

(C1) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$,

(C2) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,

(C3) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality VI (1.1).

Proof. First we show that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Set $y_n = T P_C u_n$ and $u_n = [I - \alpha_n (A - \gamma f)] x_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. For any $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - p\| &= \|T P_C u_n - T P_C p\| \\
&\leq \|[I - \alpha_n (A - \gamma f)] x_n - p\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - \gamma f(p)\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\| + \|I - \alpha_n A\| \|x_n - p\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n \gamma \rho \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\| + (1 - \alpha_n \tilde{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| \\
&= [1 - (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma \rho) \alpha_n] \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.21}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|y_n - p\| \\
&\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \beta_n) [1 - (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma \rho) \alpha_n] \|x_n - p\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\| \\
&= [1 - (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma \rho) \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)] \|x_n - p\| \\
&\quad + (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma \rho) \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|}{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma \rho},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.22}
$$

which implies that

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|}{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma \rho} \right\}, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and so are $\{y_n\}$, $\{u_n\}$, $\{Ax_n\}$, and $\{f(x_n)\}$.

From (3.20), we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &= \|TP_C u_{n+1} - TP_C u_n\| \\
&\leq \|[I - \alpha_{n+1}(A - \gamma f)]x_{n+1} - [I - \alpha_n(A - \gamma f)]x_n\| \\
&= \|\alpha_{n+1}\gamma(f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)) + (\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n)\gamma f(x_n) \\
&\quad + (I - \alpha_{n+1}A)(x_{n+1} - x_n) + (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1})Ax_n\| \\
&\leq \alpha_{n+1}\gamma\|f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)\| + (1 - \alpha_{n+1}\tilde{\gamma})\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\
&\quad + |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|(\|\gamma f(x_n)\| + \|Ax_n\|) \\
&\leq \alpha_{n+1}\gamma\rho\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + (1 - \alpha_{n+1}\tilde{\gamma})\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\
&\quad + |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|(\|\gamma f(x_n)\| + \|Ax_n\|) \\
&= [1 - (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho)\alpha_{n+1}]\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|(\|\gamma f(x_n)\| + \|Ax_n\|).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}
$$

It follows that

$$
\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho)\alpha_{n+1}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|(\|\gamma f(x_n)\| + \|Ax_n\|),
\tag{3.25}
$$

which implies, from (C1) and the boundedness of $\{x_n\}$, $\{f(x_n)\}$ and $\{Ax_n\}$, that

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty}(\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.
\tag{3.26}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty}\|y_n - x_n\| = 0.
\tag{3.27}
$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty}(1 - \beta_n)\|y_n - x_n\| = 0.
\tag{3.28}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x_n - Tx_n\| &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - Tx_n\| \\
&= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|\beta(x_n - Tx_n) + (1 - \beta_n)(y_n - Tx_n)\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \beta_n\|x_n - Tx_n\| + (1 - \beta_n)\|y_n - TP_C x_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \beta_n\|x_n - Tx_n\| + (1 - \beta_n)\alpha_n\|(A - \gamma f)x_n\|,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.29}
$$

that is,

$$\|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\beta_n}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \alpha_n\|(A - \gamma f)x_n\|. \tag{3.30}$$

This together with (C1), (C3), and (3.28) implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty}\|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Next, we show that, for any $x^* \in F(T)$,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty}\langle u_n - x^*, \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\rangle \leq 0. \tag{3.32}$$

Now we take a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty}\langle x_n - x^*, \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty}\langle x_{n_k} - x^*, \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\rangle. \tag{3.33}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, we may assume that $x_{n_k} \to z$ weakly. Note that $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$ by virtue of Lemma 2.3 and (3.31). Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty}\langle x_n - x^*, \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\rangle = \langle z - x^*, \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\rangle \leq 0. \tag{3.34}$$

We notice that

$$\|u_n - x_n\| \leq \alpha_n\|(A - \gamma f)x_n\| \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.35}$$

Hence, we get

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty}\langle u_n - x^*, \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*\rangle \leq 0. \tag{3.36}$$

Finally, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ converges to the point x^*. We observe that

$$\|u_n - x^*\| \leq \|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n\|(A - \gamma f)x_n\|. \tag{3.37}$$

Therefore, from (3.20), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|y_n - x^*\|^2 \\
&\leq \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - x^*\|^2 \\
&= \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|\alpha_n (\gamma f(x_n) - Ax^*) + (I - \alpha_n A)(x_n - x^*)\|^2 \\
&\leq \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \\
&\quad \times \left[(1 - \alpha_n \widetilde{\gamma})^2 \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x_n) - Ax^*, u_n - x^* \rangle \right] \\
&= \left[1 - 2\alpha_n \widetilde{\gamma} + (1 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^2 \widetilde{\gamma}^2 \right] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x_n) - \gamma f(x^*), u_n - x^* \rangle + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, u_n - x^* \rangle \\
&\leq \left[1 - 2\alpha_n \widetilde{\gamma} + (1 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^2 \widetilde{\gamma}^2 \right] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \gamma \rho \|x_n - x^*\| \|u_n - x^*\| + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, u_n - x^* \rangle \\
&\leq \left[1 - 2\alpha_n (\widetilde{\gamma} - \gamma \rho) \right] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)\alpha_n^2 \widetilde{\gamma}^2 \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \gamma \rho \|x_n - x^*\| \|(A - \gamma f)x_n\| + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, u_n - x^* \rangle.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.38}
$$

Since $\{x_n\}$, $\{f(x_n)\}$, and $\{Ax_n\}$ are all bounded, we can choose a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$
\sup_n \frac{1}{\widetilde{\gamma} - \gamma \rho} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta_n)\widetilde{\gamma}^2}{2} \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \gamma \rho \|x_n - x^*\| \|(A - \gamma f)x_n\| \right\} \leq M.
\tag{3.39}
$$

It follows that

$$
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \left[1 - 2(\widetilde{\gamma} - \rho\gamma)\alpha_n \right] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2(\widetilde{\gamma} - \rho\gamma)\alpha_n \delta_n,
\tag{3.40}
$$

where

$$
\delta_n = \alpha_n M + \frac{1}{\widetilde{\gamma} - \gamma\rho} \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, u_n - x^* \rangle.
\tag{3.41}
$$

By (C1) and (3.36), we get

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq 0.
\tag{3.42}
$$

Now, applying Lemma 2.6 and (3.40), we conclude that $x_n \to x^*$. This completes the proof. $\square$

From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we can deduce easily the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\mathrm{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. For each $t \in (0,1)$, let the net $\{x_t\}$ be defined by*

$$x_t = TP_C(1-t)x_t, \quad \forall t \in (0,1). \tag{3.43}$$

Then the net $\{x_t\}$ defined by (3.43) converges in norm, as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, to the minimum norm element $x^* \in \mathrm{Fix}(T)$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\mathrm{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. For $x_0 \in C$, let the sequence $\{x_n\}$ be generated iteratively by*

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1-\beta_n)TP_C(1-\alpha_n)x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{3.44}$$

where the sequences $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$ and $\{\beta_n\} \subset [0,1]$ satisfy the following control conditions:

(C1) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$,

(C2) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,

(C3) $0 < \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.44) converges strongly to the minimum norm element $x^* \in \mathrm{Fix}(T)$.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] L.-C. Ceng and J.-C. Yao, "An extragradient-like approximation method for variational inequality problems and fixed point problems," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 190, no. 1, pp. 205–215, 2007.

[2] Y. J. Cho, I. K. Argyros, and N. Petrot, "Approximation methods for common solutions of generalized equilibrium, systems of nonlinear variational inequalities and fixed point problems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 60, no. 8, pp. 2292–2301, 2010.

[3] Y. J. Cho and X. Qin, "Systems of generalized nonlinear variational inequalities and its projection methods," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 4443–4451, 2008.

[4] F. Cianciaruso, G. Marino, L. Muglia, and Y. Yao, "On a two-step algorithm for hierarchical fixed point problems and variational inequalities," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 208692, 13 pages, 2009.

[5] R. Glowinski, *Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Variational Problems*, Springer Series in Computational Physics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1984.

[6] A. N. Iusem, "An iterative algorithm for the variational inequality problem," *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 103–114, 1994.

[7] G. M. Korpelevich, "An extragradient method for finding saddle points and for other problems," *Èkonomika i Matematicheskie Metody*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 747–756, 1976.

[8] J.-L. Lions and G. Stampacchia, "Variational inequalities," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 20, pp. 493–517, 1967.

[9] F. Liu and M. Z. Nashed, "Regularization of nonlinear ill-posed variational inequalities and convergence rates," *Set-Valued Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 313–344, 1998.

[10] G. Marino and H.-K. Xu, "A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 43–52, 2006.

[11] M. A. Noor, "Some developments in general variational inequalities," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 152, no. 1, pp. 199–277, 2004.

[12] H. K. Xu and T. H. Kim, "Convergence of hybrid steepest-descent methods for variational inequalities," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 119, no. 1, pp. 185–201, 2003.

[13] I. Yamada, "The hybrid steepest descent method for the variational inequality problem over the intersection of fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings," in *Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and Their Applications*, vol. 8, pp. 473–504, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2001.

[14] Y. Yao, R. Chen, and H.-K. Xu, "Schemes for finding minimum-norm solutions of variational inequalities," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 7-8, pp. 3447–3456, 2010.

[15] Y. Yao, Y. J. Cho, and Y.-C. Liou, "Algorithms of common solutions for variational inclusions, mixed equilibriumproblems and fixed point problems," *European Journal of Operational Research*. In press.

[16] Y. Yao and J.-C. Yao, "On modified iterative method for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 1551–1558, 2007.

[17] A. Cabot, "Proximal point algorithm controlled by a slowly vanishing term: applications to hierarchical minimization," *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 555–572, 2004/05.

[18] P.-E. Maingé and A. Moudafi, "Strong convergence of an iterative method for hierarchical fixed-point problems," *Pacific Journal of Optimization*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 529–538, 2007.

[19] A. Moudafi, "Krasnoselski-Mann iteration for hierarchical fixed-point problems," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1635–1640, 2007.

[20] A. Moudafi and P.-E. Maingé, "Towards viscosity approximations of hierarchical fixed-point problems," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2006, Article ID 95453, 10 pages, 2006.

[21] Y. Yao and Y.-C. Liou, "Weak and strong convergence of Krasnoselski-Mann iteration for hierarchical fixed point problems," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 24, no. 1, Article ID 015015, p. 8, 2008.

[22] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 28 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.

[23] T. Suzuki, "Strong convergence theorems for infinite families of nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2005, no. 1, pp. 103–123, 2005.

[24] H.-K. Xu, "Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 279–291, 2004.