### COMMENT





**Open Access** 

# The Earth Microbiome project: successes and aspirations

Jack A Gilbert<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Janet K Jansson<sup>4</sup> and Rob Knight<sup>5,6\*</sup>

The Earth Microbiome Project (EMP) was launched in August 2010, with the ambitious aim of constructing a global catalogue of the uncultured microbial diversity of this planet. The primary vision of the Earth Microbiome Project, to process the microbial diversity and functional potential from approximately 200,000 environmental samples, marks it as an undertaking so massive that it was at first considered to be pure folly (as late as 2012, Jonathan Eisen was quoted in *Nature* as saying 'Knight and Gilbert literally talk about sampling the entire planet. It is ludicrous and not feasible - yet they are doing it' [1]).

The initial concept arose out of a Department of the Environment (DOE) sponsored workshop on the promise of terabase-scale sequencing in Snowbird, Utah, designed to inspire research ideas using new technology to revolutionize microbial ecology and our understanding of the microbial world [2]. Many other exciting projects also evolved from that meeting, including efforts to extend the sequencing of type strains of cultured bacterial taxa, which in itself has become the Microbial Earth Project [3]. In October 2010, EMP pioneers held a small workshop at Argonne National Laboratories to determine the most effective way to jumpstart such an initiative. At this meeting, we agreed that the only feasible route to acquire and process 200,000 samples was through crowdsourcing, soliciting donations of samples from researchers around the world. This was identified as a key flaw in the design, on the grounds that it would not be possible to convince researchers to part with samples that had been painstakingly collected for inclusion in a single effort [4]. Fortunately, the participants' generosity has greatly exceeded what we could have hoped for, and the crowdsourcing approach has been a success.

We floated this strategy initially as a potentially viable approach based on the precedent of existing programs that followed broadly similar designs, especially the

\* Correspondence: rob.knight@colorado.edu

<sup>5</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and BioFrontiers Institute,

University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

<sup>6</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





© 2014 Gilbert et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

hypothesis-driven study provided by our collaborators can tell its own story, the real power of the EMP is through meta-analysis of these data, empowering researchers to develop and use samples acquired from myriad ecosystems to test hypotheses in microbial ecology. Importantly, this pooled data resource also provides an unparalleled opportunity to contextualize individual studies by defining the patterns they see in a global context. These large-scale meta-analyses can enable researchers to ask unique questions regarding the biogeography, dynamic dispersal, and ecology of the microbial planet.

## Current studies, ecosystem coverage, and immediate observations

In the currently available EMP database (as of July 2014) [9] there are samples acquired from >200 collaborators, comprising more than 40 different biomes, defined for broad categories including marine pelagic water, freshwater lake sediment, human-associated, and so on. At a '30,000 feet' perspective the EMP is identifying the environmental characteristics that correlate with microbial community structure within and between these different biomes. However, as the EMP is a collection of individual projects, each with a core hypothesis, it is also possible to discuss the immediate observations associated with individual studies. For example, exploration of human saliva from obese versus normal-weight individuals showed that while saliva was able to alter the aromatic properties of wine, only a few microbial taxa were likely to be responsible for this [10]. This preliminary study shows that oral microbes may influence the aromatic properties of food and drink, altering our satiation response. In soil systems, microbial communities from prairie soils across the Midwest of the United States of America were sequenced by the EMP. This ecosystem has been mostly replaced through agricultural land-use, and this study showed that the major shifts in their composition are driven almost exclusively by the changing relative abundance of Verrucomicrobia and its influence on carbon dynamics [11]. These analyses could be useful in helping improve prairie restoration efforts. In deep soil samples from the Russian permafrost, the EMP characterized microbial communities associated with buried organic matter, helping to identify the bacteria that were degrading the soil organic matter in these systems [12]. In deep-sea sediments from the Gulf of Mexico, the EMP data have provided understanding of how the microbial communities responded to the oil pollution from the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill [13,14]. Another example of investigating human impact is the analysis of freshwater river sediments along a gradient of human influence, whereby the EMP data on the microbial communities demonstrate impact-specific signals [15]. The diversity of study sites and research questions embedded in these first 30,000 samples is extraordinary, yet this is just the tip of the iceberg. Initial analysis of 10,000 of the samples identified approximately 6 million bacterial taxonomic units (genus or species level taxa), only a small fraction of which could be mapped to known phylogenies using 16S rRNA databases such as GreenGenes [16]. The frequency and distribution of these species can enable us to address interesting questions, for example, regarding the distribution of taxa across different soil ecosystems; the EMP datasets suggest that there is considerable overlap in taxa between sites, with organisms that are abundant at one location being extremely rare in another location, as previously demonstrated from marine sites [17].

A small number of concerns regarding the existing data have been raised by communities focusing on specific systems or taxa. For example, as with all studies using PCR, there are biases associated with the EMP PCR primers: they are not efficient at amplifying marine Pelagibacter ubique targets. As a result, new primers have been designed that should be more efficient in amplifying *Pelagibacter*, an important taxon in marine systems; however, we need to determine how efficient these new primers will be at amplifying all the other bacteria from other environments. As such, a study is underway to investigate whether rescuing Pelagibacter has deleterious consequences for other taxa or systems. However, because DNA extraction protocols themselves can have different biases depending on the environmental matrix from which the DNA is extracted [18], and PCR reagents can have contaminants that may influence amplification [19], the number of potential biases that could influence analysis is large and the key for cross-system analyses is consistent protocols. We are taking all sensible precautions to catalogue and determine potential biases: by recording all procedural and analytical variables it will be possible to determine which specific protocol elements may influence interpretation and whether the effects of these technical sources of variation limit our ability to identify important factors structuring microbial diversity.

#### Creating an EMP operation taxonomic unit table

One major challenge has been creating a master table delineating the abundance of each type of organism in each environment. With 7,000 samples for the Shenzhen meeting in 2011 [20], existing tools could barely handle the data load. In particular, the operation taxonomic unit (OTU) table, which converts the raw sequence data into a sample-by-OTU table giving the taxon abundances, strained the limits of what could be done in the traditional 'dense' format in which there is a slot for the abundance of each possible taxon in each environment, even if that slot has a zero count. Simply loading the table into memory and accessing specific taxa or samples became impossible as the dataset grew. Accordingly, we developed the Biological Observation Matrix (BIOM) file format [21], which reduced an early version of the EMP OTU table (6,164 samples by 7,082 OTUs) from 175 MB to 12 MB. Further improvement has been achieved by the recent move in BIOM 2.1 to HDF5, a file format used widely by physicists, climate scientists, and others needing random access to subsets of vast files. With these improvements, which are being developed fully opensource on the github repository [22], we expect that interested parties will be able to manipulate the full EMP OTU table on their laptops rather than requiring largescale compute resources.

There are many different methods for analyzing the sequence data to obtain clusters of related sequences, each with advantages and drawbacks. For example, clustering sequences de novo produces a gold standard sequence cluster (a robust classification of a taxonomically similar group of sequences), but is very slow, while a reference-based protocol, where sequences are matched in a phylogenetic tree, is very fast but throws out sequences that fail to hit a reference. Another important challenge is visualization. QIIME [23] is the analysis architecture primarily used by the EMP, and it has long relied on KiNG [24], a molecular graphics package, for producing three-dimensional principal coordinates plots, essentially treating the community locations as atoms in a very curious molecule. However, as the size of the EMP dataset continued to grow, and the environmental contextual data became richer, the strategy of creating different views of the dataset colored by each field of contextual data (for example pH, dissolved organic carbon, and each of the hundreds of other variables captured by samples in the EMP) became unwieldy. To overcome these challenges, and to provide a three-dimensional graphics component that is directly embeddable in current web technologies, we developed EMPeror [25], software that uses current web standards such as HTML5 and OpenGL, to display even vast datasets and to explore and to recolor them dynamically.

#### The future

The EMP will continue to grow and adapt as new collaborators and new technologies are added. Generating the taxon matrix in BIOM format for the existing 30,000 samples will help us to provide advice on the biomes and questions that should be targeted for the next 20,000 samples. We are also exploring metagenomic analyses for studies where the data can be used to test hypotheses regarding the ecology of microbial metabolic function (for example, [11,13,15]). At present, metagenomic data associated with individual studies have been made available through traditional routes (EBI, NCBI submissions), but we are working towards explicit submission and analysis pipelines for these data, including downstream analyses such as genome assemblies and metabolic pathway reconstruction. The success of the EMP has been in generating a coordinated exploration of the microbial world, and in providing the facility for data generation to collaborators who previously did not have such capacity. Primarily this has been achieved through the generation of open access data and analysis platforms that facilitate interpretation. As we move forward, we will continue to explore new avenues for collaboration, including potentially going beyond the Earth to explore extra-terrestrial locations.

#### Acknowledgments

We wish to acknowledge the Templeton Foundation and the WM Keck Foundation for continued support of this initiative. We also wish to thank our extensive range of collaborators, advisors and colleagues who have made the EMP possible. This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Genomics and Systems Biology, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. <sup>3</sup>College of Environmental and Resource Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China. <sup>4</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, PO Box 999MSIN: J4-18, Richland, WA 99352, USA. <sup>5</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and BioFrontiers Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA. <sup>6</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boulder, CO 80309, USA.

#### Received: 15 July 2014 Accepted: 6 August 2014 Published online: 22 August 2014

#### References

- Gewin V: Microbes en masse: The sequencing machine. Nature 2012, 487:156–158.
- Gilbert JA, Meyer F, Antonopoulos D, Balaji P, Brown CT, Brown CT, Desai N, Eisen JA, Evers D, Field D, Feng W, Huson D, Jansson J, Knight R, Knight J, Kolker E, Konstantindis K, Kostka J, Kyrpides N, Mackelprang R, McHardy A, Quince C, Raes J, Sczyrba A, Shade A, Stevens R: Meeting report: the terabase metagenomics workshop and the vision of an Earth microbiome project. Stand Genomic Sci 2010, 3:243–248.
- 3. The Microbial Earth Project. http://www.microbial-earth.org/cgi-bin/index.cgi.
- Gilbert JA, Meyer F, Jansson J, Gordon J, Pace N, Tiedje J, Ley R, Fierer N, Field D, Kyrpides N, Glöckner F-O, Klenk H-P, Wommack KE, Glass E, Docherty K, Gallery R, Stevens R, Knight R: The Earth Microbiome Project: Meeting report of the "1 EMP meeting on sample selection and acquisition" at Argonne National Laboratory October 6 2010. Stand Genomic Sci 2010, 3:249–253.
- Zinger L, Amaral-Zettler LA, Fuhrman JA, Horner-Devine MC, Huse SM, Welch DBM, Martiny JBH, Sogin M, Boetius A, Ramette A: Global patterns of bacterial beta-diversity in seafloor and seawater ecosystems. *PloS One* 2011, 6:e24570.
- NIH Human Microbiome Project (HMP) Roadmap Project. http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/43021.
- The Earth Microbiome Project Data Site. http://www.earthmicrobiome. org/emp-standard-protocols/.
- Huttenhower C, Gevers D, Knight R, Abubucker S, Badger JH, Chinwalla AT, Creasy HH, Earl AM, FitzGerald MG, Fulton RS, Giglio MG, Hallsworth-Pepin K, Lobos EA, Madupu R, Magrini V, Martin JC, Mitreva M, Muzny DM, Sodergren EJ, Versalovic J, Wollam AM, Worley KC, Wortman JR, Young SK, Zeng Q, Aagaard KM, Abolude OO, Allen-Vercoe E, Alm EJ, Alvarado L, *et al*: Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* 2012, 486:207–214.
- 9. EMP Data Repository. http://www.microbio.me/emp/.
- Piombino P, Genovese A, Esposito S, Moio L, Cutolo PP, Chambery A, Severino V, Moneta E, Smith DP, Owens SM, Gilbert JA, Ercolini D: Saliva

from obese individuals suppresses the release of aroma compounds from wine. *PLoS One* 2014, **9**:e85611.

- Fierer N, Ladau J, Clemente JC, Leff JW, Owens SM, Pollard KS, Knight R, Gilbert JA, McCulley RL: Reconstructing the microbial diversity and function of pre-agricultural tallgrass prairie soils in the United States. *Science* 2013, 342:621–624.
- Gittel A, Bárta J, Kohoutová I, Mikutta R, Owens S, Gilbert J, Schnecker J, Wild B, Hannisdal B, Maerz J, Lashchinskiy N, Capek P, Santrůčková H, Gentsch N, Shibistova O, Guggenberger G, Richter A, Torsvik VL, Schleper C, Urich T: Distinct microbial communities associated with buried soils in the Siberian tundra. *ISME J* 2014, 8:841–853.
- Mason OU, Scott NM, Gonzalez A, Robbins-Pianka A, Bælum J, Kimbrel J, Bouskill NJ, Prestat E, Borglin S, Joyner DC, Fortney JL, Jurelevicius D, Stringfellow WT, Alvarez-Cohen L, Hazen TC, Knight R, Gilbert JA, Jansson JK: Metagenomics reveals sediment microbial community response to Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *ISME J* 2014, 8:1464–1475.
- Scott NM, Hess M, Bouskill NJ, Mason OU, Jansson JK, Gilbert JA: The microbial nitrogen cycling potential is impacted by polyaromatic hydrocarbon pollution of marine sediments. Front Microbiol 2014, 5:108.
- Gibbons SM, Jones E, Bearquiver A, Blackwolf F, Roundstone W, Scott N, Hooker J, Madsen R, Coleman ML, Gilbert JA: Human and environmental impacts on river sediment microbial communities. *PLoS One* 2014, 9:e97435.
- McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, Andersen GL, Knight R, Hugenholtz P: An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J* 2012, 6:610–618.
- Gibbons SM, Caporaso JG, Pirrung M, Field D, Knight R, Gilbert JA: Evidence for a persistent microbial seed bank throughout the global ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013, 110:4651–4655.
- Rubin BER, Gibbons SM, Kennedy S, Hampton-Marcell J, Owens S, Gilbert JA: Investigating the impact of storage conditions on microbial community composition in soil samples. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8:e70460.
- Zehr JP, Crumbliss LL, Church MJ, Omoregie EO, Jenkins BD: Nitrogenase genes in PCR and RT-PCR reagents: implications for studies of diversity of functional genes. *BioTechniques* 2003, 35:996–1002. 1004–1005.
- Gilbert JA, Bailey M, Field D, Fierer N, Fuhrman JA, Hu B, Jansson J, Knight R, Kowalchuk GA, Kyrpides NC, Meyer F, Stevens R: The Earth Microbiome Project: The Meeting Report for the 1st International Earth Microbiome Project Conference, Shenzhen, China, June 13th-15th 2011. Stand Genomic Sci 2011, 5:243–247.
- McDonald D, Clemente JC, Kuczynski J, Rideout JR, Stombaugh J, Wendel D, Wilke A, Huse S, Hufnagle J, Meyer F, Knight R, Caporaso JG: The Biological Observation Matrix (BIOM) format or: how I learned to stop worrying and love the ome-ome. *GigaScience* 2012, 1:7.
- 22. Biom GutHub Repository. https://github.com/biom-format.
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Peña AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J, Knight R: QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 2010, 7:335–336.
- Chen VB, Davis IW, Richardson DC: KING (Kinemage, Next Generation): a versatile interactive molecular and scientific visualization program. Protein Sci Publ Protein Soc 2009, 18:2403–2409.
- Vázquez-Baeza Y, Pirrung M, Gonzalez A, Knight R: EMPeror: a tool for visualizing high-throughput microbial community data. *GigaScience* 2013, 2:16.

#### doi:10.1186/s12915-014-0069-1

Cite this article as: Gilbert J *et al*: The Earth Microbiome project: successes and aspirations. *BMC Biology* 2014 **12**:69.