

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Journal of Inequalities and Applications
Volume 2009, Article ID 808720, 8 pages
doi:10.1155/2009/808720

Research Article

Markov Inequalities for Polynomials with Restricted Coefficients

Feilong Cao¹ and Shaobo Lin²

¹ Department of Information and Mathematics Sciences, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang Province, China

² Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang Province, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Feilong Cao, feilongcao@gmail.com

Received 13 November 2008; Revised 6 February 2009; Accepted 15 April 2009

Recommended by Siegfried Carl

Essentially sharp Markov-type inequalities are known for various classes of polynomials with constraints including constraints of the coefficients of the polynomials. For \mathbb{N} and $\delta > 0$ we introduce the class $\mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$ as the collection of all polynomials of the form $P(x) = \sum_{k=h}^n a_k x^k$, $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|a_k| \leq n^\delta$, $|a_h| = \max_{h \leq k \leq n} |a_k|$. In this paper, we prove essentially sharp Markov-type inequalities for polynomials from the classes $\mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$ on $[0, 1]$. Our main result shows that the Markov factor $2n^2$ valid for all polynomials of degree at most n on $[0, 1]$ improves to $c_\delta n \log(n+1)$ for polynomials in the classes $\mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$ on $[0, 1]$.

Copyright © 2009 F. Cao and S. Lin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In this paper, n always denotes a nonnegative integer; c and c_i always denote absolute positive constants. In this paper c_δ will always denote a positive constant depending only on δ the value of which may vary from place to place. We use the usual notation $L^p = L^p[a, b]$ ($0 < p \leq \infty, -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$) to denote the Banach space of functions defined on $[a, b]$ with the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p &= \|f\|_{L^p[a,b]} = \left\{ \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 0 < p < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{[a,b]} &= \|f\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

We introduce the following classes of polynomials. Let

$$P_n = \left\{ f : f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, a_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

denote the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most n with real coefficients. Let

$$P_n^c = \left\{ f : f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, a_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

denote the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most n with complex coefficients. For $\delta > 0$ we introduce the class $\mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$ as the collection of all polynomials of the form

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=h}^n a_k x^k, \quad a_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad |a_k| \leq n^\delta, \quad |a_h| = \max_{h \leq k \leq n} |a_k|. \quad (1.4)$$

So obviously

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,\delta} \subset P_n \subset P_n^c. \quad (1.5)$$

The following so-called Markov inequality is an important tool to prove inverse theorems in approximation theory. See, for example, Duffin and Schaeffer [1], Devore and Lorentz [2], and Borwein and Erdélyi [3].

Markov inequality. The inequality

$$\|P'\|_p \leq n^2 \|P\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty \quad (1.6)$$

holds for every $P \in P_n$.

It is well known that there have been some improvements of Markov-type inequality when the coefficients of polynomial are restricted; see, for example, [3–7]. In [5], Borwein and Erdélyi restricted the coefficients of polynomials and improved the Markov inequality as in following form.

Theorem 1.1. *There is an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\|P'\|_{[0,1]} \leq cn \log(n+1) \|P\|_{[0,1]} \quad (1.7)$$

for every $P \in L_n = \{f : f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}\}$.

We notice that the coefficients of polynomials in L_n only take three integers: $-1, 0$, and 1 . So, it is natural to raise the question: can we take the coefficients of polynomials as more general integers, and the conclusion of the theorem still holds? This question was not posed by Borwein and Erdélyi in [5, 6]. Also, we have not found the study for the question by now. This paper addresses the question. We shall give an affirmative answer. Indeed, we will prove the following results.

Theorem 1.2. *There are an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$ and a positive constant c_δ depending only on δ such that*

$$c_1 n \log(n+1) \leq \max_{0 \neq P_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}} \frac{|P'_n(1)|}{\|P_n\|_{[0,1]}} \leq \max_{0 \neq P_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}} \frac{\|P'_n\|_{[0,1]}}{\|P_n\|_{[0,1]}} \leq c_\delta n \log(n+1). \quad (1.8)$$

Our proof follows [6] closely.

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.2 does not contradict [6, Theorem 2.4] since the coefficients of polynomials in $\mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$ are assumed to be integers, in which case there is a room for improvement.

2. The Proof of Theorem

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $M \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $m \leq M \leq 2n$, f is analytical inside and on the ellipse $A_{n,M}$, which has focal points $(0,0)$ and $(1,0)$, and major axis*

$$\left[-\frac{M}{n}, 1 + \frac{M}{n} \right]. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $B_{n,m,M}$ be the ellipse with focal points $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$, and major axis

$$\left[-\frac{m^2}{nM}, 1 + \frac{m^2}{nM} \right]. \quad (2.2)$$

Then there is an absolute constant $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\max_{z \in B_{n,m,M}} \log|f(z)| \leq \max_{z \in [0,1]} \log|f(z)| + \frac{c_3 m}{M} \left(\max_{z \in A_{n,m}} \log|f(z)| - \max_{z \in [0,1]} \log|f(z)| \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is mainly based on the famous Hadamard's Three Circles Theorem and the proof [6, Corollary 3.2]. In fact, if one uses it with n replaced by n/m and α replaced by M/m , Lemma 2.1 follows immediately from [6, Corollary 3.2]. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $P \in \mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$ with $\|P\|_{[0,1]} = \exp(-M)$, $M \geq \log(n+1)$. Suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq m \leq M$. Then there is a constant $c_\delta \geq 2$ such that*

$$\|P^{(m)}\|_{[0,1]} \leq m! \left(\frac{c_\delta n M}{m^2} \right)^m \|P\|_{[0,1]}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. By Chebyshev's inequality, there is an $s_{n-1} \in P_{n-1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(x)\|_{[0,1]} &= \left\| P\left(\frac{y+1}{2}\right) \right\|_{[-1,1]} \\ &= 2^{-n} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n 2^{n-j} a_j (y+1)^j \right\|_{[-1,1]} \\ &= 2^{-n} |a_n| \|y^n - s_{n-1}\|_{[-1,1]} \geq 2^{-n} \times 2^{1-n} = 2 \times 4^{-n}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$ with $a_n \neq 0$. Therefore, $M \leq n \log 4$. Because of the assumption on $P \in \mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$, we can write

$$\max_{z \in [0,1]} \log|P(z)| = -M. \quad (2.6)$$

Recalling the facts that

$$\max_{z \in A_{n,M}} |z| \leq 1 + \frac{M}{n}, \quad (2.7)$$

$P \in \mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$, and $z \in A_{n,M}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log|P(z)| &= \log \sum_{k=0}^n |a_k z^k| \leq \log \left(n^\delta (n+1) \left(1 + \frac{M}{n}\right)^{n+1} \right) \\ &\leq \log(n^\delta) + \log(n+1) + (n+1) \frac{M}{n} \leq c_\delta M. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Now by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{z \in B_{n,m,M}} |P(z)| &= \max_{z \in B_{n,m,M}} \exp(\log|P(z)|) \\ &\leq \max_{z \in [0,1]} \exp(\log|P(z)|) \exp \left(\frac{c_3 m}{M} \left(\max_{z \in A_{n,M}} \log|P(z)| - \max_{z \in [0,1]} \log|P(z)| \right) \right) \\ &\leq \max_{z \in [0,1]} |P(z)| \exp \left(\frac{c_3 m}{M} (c_\delta + 1) M \right) \leq (c_\delta)^m \max_{z \in [0,1]} |P(z)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Let $y \in [0, 1]$, then there is an absolute constant $c_4 \geq 2$ such that

$$B_\rho := \left\{ w : |w - y| = \rho := \frac{m^2}{c_4 n M} \right\} \subseteq B_{n,m,M}. \quad (2.10)$$

By Cauchy's integral formula and the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |P^{(m)}(y)| &= \left| \frac{m!}{2\pi i} \int_{B_{n,m,M}} \frac{P(z)}{(z-y)^{m+1}} dz \right| \\ &\leq \frac{m!}{2\pi} (c_\delta)^m \|P\|_{[0,1]} \int_{B_\rho} \frac{dz}{(z-y)^{m+1}} \leq \frac{m!}{2\pi} (c_\delta)^m \|P\|_{[0,1]} \int_{B_\rho} \frac{\rho d\theta}{\rho^{m+1}} \\ &\leq m! \left(\frac{c_\delta n M}{m^2} \right)^m \|P\|_{[0,1]}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Noting $\mathcal{F}_{n,\delta} \supseteq L_n$ and the fact

$$c_1 n \log(n+1) \leq \max_{0 \neq P_n \in L_n} \frac{|P'_n(1)|}{\|P_n\|_{[0,1]}} \quad (2.12)$$

proved by [6], we only need to prove the upper bound. To obtain

$$|P'(y)| \leq c_\delta n \log(n+1) \|P\|_{[0,1]}, \quad (2.13)$$

we distinguish four cases.

Case 1. $y \in [0, 1/4]$. Let y be an arbitrary number in $[0, 1/4]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |P'(y)| &\leq |a_h| n y^h (1 + y + y^2 + \dots) \\ &\leq 2|a_h| n y^h (1 - y - y^2 - \dots) \\ &= 2n y^h (|a_h| - |a_h| y - |a_h| y^2 - \dots) \\ &\leq 2n |P(y)| \\ &\leq 2n \|P\|_{[0,1]}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Case 2. $y \in [1 - \mu^2/c_\delta n M, 1]$ and $\|P\|_{[0,1]} = \exp(-M) \leq (2n+2)^{-4}$, where $\mu = \min\{[M], k\}$ and k denotes the number of zeros of P at 1. Let n be a positive integer. If $P \in \mathcal{F}_{n,\delta}$ satisfies the assumptions, then $|P^{(k)}(1)| \neq 0$, and $P^{(r)}(1) = 0$ ($0 \leq r < k$). Therefore, Markov inequality implies

$$1 \leq |P^{(k)}(1)| \leq n^2 \dots (n-k+1)^2 \|P\|_{[0,1]} \leq (2n)^{2k} \exp(-M). \quad (2.15)$$

Hence

$$k \geq \frac{M}{2 \log(2n)}. \quad (2.16)$$

So, the last inequality and $M \geq 4 \log(2n + 2)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\geq \min \left\{ M - 1, \frac{M}{2 \log(2n)} \right\} \geq \frac{M}{2 \log(2n + 2)} \geq 2, \\ \frac{M}{\mu} &\leq 2 \log(2n + 2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Now using Taylor's theorem, Lemma 2.2 with $m = \mu - 1$, the above inequality, and the fact $P^{(r)}(1) = 0$ ($0 \leq r < k$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |P'(y)| &\leq \frac{1}{(\mu - 1)!} \left\| (P')^{(\mu-1)} \right\|_{[1-y,1]} (1-y)^{\mu-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu!}{(\mu - 1)!} \left(\frac{c_\delta n M}{\mu^2} \right)^\mu \|P\|_{[0,1]} (1-y)^{\mu-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu!}{(\mu - 1)!} \left(\frac{c_\delta n M}{\mu^2} \right)^\mu \|P\|_{[0,1]} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{c_\delta n M} \right)^{\mu-1} \\ &\leq 2^{1-\mu} c_\delta n \frac{M}{\mu} \|P\|_{[0,1]} \leq c_\delta n \log(2n + 2) \|P\|_{[0,1]}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Case 3. $y \in [1/4, 1 - \mu^2/c_\delta n M]$ and $\|P\|_{[0,1]} = \exp(-M) \leq (2n + 2)^{-4}$. Let $(u, v) \in B_{n,m,M}$. We have $u = 1/2 + a \cos \theta$, $v = b \sin \theta$, where $2a$ and $2b$ are the major axis and minor axis of $B_{n,m,M}$, respectively, and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. Let $m = 1$, we see

$$a = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{nM}, \quad b = \sqrt{\frac{1}{nM} \left(1 + \frac{1}{nM} \right)}. \quad (2.19)$$

Denote

$$h(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - y + a \cos \theta \right)^2 + b^2 \sin^2 \theta. \quad (2.20)$$

The solution of equation $h'(\theta) = 0$ is

$$\cos \theta_1 = 4a \left(y - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \sin \theta_2 = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

It is obvious that

$$\min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} h(\theta) = h(\theta_1). \quad (2.22)$$

So, $a^2 = b^2 + 1/4$ and the assumption of Lemma 2.2 imply

$$\begin{aligned} h(\theta_1) &= \left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (4a^2 - 1)^2 + b^2 \left(1 - 16a^2 \left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right) \\ &= b^2 + \left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (16a^4 - 8a^2 + 1 - 16a^2 b^2) \\ &= b^2 + \left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (1 - 4a^2) = b^2 (1 - (2y - 1)^2) \\ &= 4b^2 y(1 - y) \geq \frac{\mu^2}{c_\delta(nM)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

And from (2.17) and Cauchy's integral formula, it follows that for every $y \in [1/4, 1 - \mu^2/c_\delta nM]$,

$$B_{\rho'} := \left\{ w : |w - y| \leq \rho' = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{c_\delta nM}} \right\} \subseteq B_{n,1,M}, \quad (2.24)$$

and there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |P'(y)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B_{n,1,M}} \frac{P(z)}{(z - y)^2} dz \right| \\ &\leq c_\delta \|P\|_{[0,1]} \left| \int_{B_{\rho'}} \frac{\rho'}{(\rho')^2} de^{i\theta} \right| \\ &\leq c_\delta \frac{nM}{\mu^2} \|P\|_{[0,1]} \\ &\leq c_\delta n \log(n+1) \|P\|_{[0,1]}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Case 4. $\|P\|_{[0,1]} \geq (2n+2)^{-4}$. Applying Lemma 2.1 with $m = 1$ and $M = \log(n+2)$, we obtain that there is constant $c_\delta > 0$ such that

$$\max_{z \in B_{n,1,\log(n+2)}} |P(z)| \leq c_\delta \|P\|_{[0,1]}. \quad (2.26)$$

Indeed, noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{z \in [0,1]} \log|P(z)| &\geq -4 \log(2n+2), \\ \max_{z \in A_{n, \log(n+2)}} \log|P(z)| &\leq \log \left(n^\delta \left(1 + \frac{\log(n+2)}{n} \right)^{n+1} \right) \leq c_\delta \log(n+2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

we get the result want to be proved by a simple modification of the proof of Lemma 2.2. We omit the details. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. \square

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 90818020) and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province of China (no. Y7080235).

References

- [1] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, "A refinement of an inequality of the brothers Markoff," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 517–528, 1941.
- [2] R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, vol. 303 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1993.
- [3] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, vol. 161 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1995.
- [4] P. B. Borwein, "Markov's inequality for polynomials with real zeros," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 43–47, 1985.
- [5] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, "Markov- and Bernstein-type inequalities for polynomials with restricted coefficients," *The Ramanujan Journal*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 309–323, 1997.
- [6] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, "Markov-Bernstein type inequalities under Littlewood-type coefficient constraints," *Indagationes Mathematicae*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 159–172, 2000.
- [7] P. Borwein, T. Erdélyi, and G. Kós, "Littlewood-type problems on $[0,1]$," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 22–46, 1999.