Geotech Geol Eng (2010) 28:139–145 DOI 10.1007/s10706-009-9287-7

ORIGINAL PAPER

brought to you by 🔏 CORE provided by Springer - Publisher Connector

Study of Factors Affecting the Compressive Strength of Sandy Soil Stabilized with Polymer

Ali Reza Zandieh · S. Shahaboddin Yasrobi

Received: 7 February 2007/Accepted: 28 November 2009/Published online: 5 January 2010 © The Author(s) 2010. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Material engineers are continually confronted by depletion of quality construction materials for road and airfield construction. Even if good quality construction materials for road and airfield are available, the haul costs may preclude their use. Stabilization of soils in order to improve strength and durability properties often relies on cement, lime, fly ash, and asphalt emulsion. These materials are inexpensive, relatively easy to apply, and provide benefits to many different soil types. In addition, there are a variety of nontraditional soil stabilization/ modification additives available from the commercial sector such as polymer emulsions, acids, lignin derivatives, enzymes, tree resin emulsions, and silicates. These additives may be in liquid or solid state and are often touted to be applicable for most soils. Polymers may be easy to apply in permeable materials such as sand and may achieve good stabilization in relatively shorter periods of time. These polymer materials can be used for stabilizing, soil in road shoulders, slopes, and pads of military and emergency airports. In addition, these types of materials can be used to prevent the movement of the

A. R. Zandieh (⊠) · S. S. Yasrobi Department of Civil Engineering, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran e-mail: ali.zandieh_2007@yahoo.com

S. S. Yasrobi e-mail: yasrobis@modares.ac.ir dune sands on the sides of railroads and stabilizing the dust on the surface of access roads. Within the present research, two different polymers of wide range of dosages have been applied. Following results have been achieved: (1) These polymers improve the compressive strength from 0.03 N/mm² for control sample to 5.2 N/mm² for improved sample. (2) The optimum curing time of dune sands with different polymers is 7 days. (3) The UC strength of stabilized samples soars with an increase in the temperature, in the first 24 h of the curing process. (4) When the concentration of salt increased from 1 to 10 percent, UC strength of stabilized samples decreased.

Keywords Compressive strength · Dune sand · Stabilization additives · Polymer · Soaking test

1 Introduction

Engineers are frequently required to incorporate poor quality soil and aggregate into pavement designs. Among the wide range application of these poor quality materials in demonstrating undesirable engineering behavior, one can note low-bearing capacity, high shrink/swell potential, and poor freeze—thaw durability. Great efforts have been made by researches in the field of conventional stabilization additives. Reviewing the relevant literature, one can recognize lime, cement, and fly ash as conventional soil stabilizing agents. However, few research works have been adopted in the field of nontraditional stabilization additives.

Vedenskaya et al. (1971) used copolymers to consolidate sands, silts, and clays. The copolymers used were guanidine acrylate (GA), methylene bisacrylamide (MBAM), and ethylene dimethacryl-amid (EDMA). The additive formula consisted of a 24:1 ratio of vinyl monomer to diene. The combination of GA and EDMA performed best in sands and loams followed by GA combined with MBAM. They reported an increase in unconfined compressive (UC) of strength 2,452–2,942 kpa for a 5 percent additive mixture in sand. Vedenskaya et al. (1971). Reported that the formation of the polymer-soil structure in soil consolidation was completed in less than 10 days. They recommended that the additive quantity should range between 5 and 10 percent.

Oldham et al. (1977) developed a synthesis of potential stabilizers identified by the corps of engineers and contract researchers from 1946 to 1977. Their report identified acids, asphalt, cement, lime, resins, salts, silicates, and other products as potential stabilizers demonstrating varying degrees of success. The results of their investigation divided performance by soil type and demonstrated that product performance differed for varying soil type. They also noted that the stabilization mechanisms for particular stabilizing agents, such as salts, were particularly suited for specific climates and environmental conditions. A polymer resin provided the greatest increase in UC strength for the sand materials.

Gopal et al. (1983) performed comparative studies using urea–formaldehyde (UF) and its copolymers to stabilize dune sand. Specimens were prepared at different combinations of UF ratios, pH levels, and acid catalysts. All specimens were cured for 6 h at 60°C. The results showed a maximum UC strength of 16,181 kpa. Lowering the pH of the additive mixture using phosphoric acid catalysts improved the relative strength increase in the specimens. The optimum UF ratio for their experiment was 1:2.25 urea to formaldehyde by weight. Gopal et al. (1983) recommended using 9 percent resin and 0.3 percent acid catalyst to stabilize dune sands.

Ajayi-Majebi et al. (1991) conducted an experiment designed to determine the effects of stabilizing clay-silt soil with the combination of an epoxy resin (bisphenol A/epichlorohydrin) and polyamide hardener. The additive mixture was composed of 1:1 ratio of epoxy resin to polyamide hardener Ajayi-Majebi et al. (1991) concluded that admixing up to 4 percent stabilizer into a clay-silt material produced large increases in the load-bearing capacity of the material in terms of its un soaked California bearing ratio (CBR). They observed that an increase in the temperature of the curing environment will led to an increase in strength formation. Curing time for the stabilization agent was reported as low as 3 h.

Palmer et al. (1995) conducted experiments to evaluate the strength and density modification of unpaved roads using lignin sulfonate (lignin), calcium chloride (CaCl₂), and magnesium chloride (MgCl₂). Additive concentration ranged from 1.0 to 3.25 percent by dry weight. Laboratory results indicated that lignin was the only product that increased the specimen density. Laboratory tests on specimens subjected to 4 wet-dry cycles indicated reduced UC strength by increasing additive content. The maximum reported UC strength was 7,661 kpa for a 7-day air-dried silty sand (SM) specimen stabilized with lignin at a concentration of 2.5 percent by dry weight. Dry UC strength, results from CaCl₂ and MgCl₂ stabilized soils were lower than the latter value for the unstabilized specimens.

Rauch et al. (2003) used three nontraditional liquid soil stabilizers, those of which were added to a wide variety of clay soils. The results did not indicate significant changes in Atterberg limits, moisturedensity relations, swell, and shear strengths. However, it was noted that the tests were all conducted under the manufacturer's recommended conditions although these conditions may not represent the best concentrations or dilution ratios.

Studies by Santoni et al. (2003) have shown that the polymer emulsions do provide significant strength gain and added strength under wet conditions. Strength gains, as measured by unconfined compressive tests, demonstrate that the polymer-stabilized soil properties improve with curing time. Curing of polymer emulsions occurs by 'breaking' of the emulsion and subsequent water loss by evaporation. The breaking of the emulsion occurs when the individual emulsion droplets suspended in the water phase coalesce. This occurs as the emulsion particles wet the surface of the soil particle, and the polymer would be deposited on the surface. The amount of polymer deposited on the surface of the soil particle depends on the concentration of the polymer added and the degree of mixing with the soil.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

A detailed analysis of the chemical composition of these products and their reinforcement mechanisms presented within the section (Table 1). The compositions used for this study consisted of poly 1 (polyvinyl acetate, MW: 83,000, Aldrich) and poly 2 (Poly Methyl Mehta Acryl ate (PMMA), MW: 14,000, Aldrich). The dune sand material used in this experiment has obtained from the desert of Garmsar city of Iran.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Specimen Preparation

For control samples, 175.5 g of water was mixed with 1,350 g of sand (<1 mm) till a homogeneous mixture was achieved (Fig. 1). The wet soil was compacted in standard mold (diameter 50 mm) by a load of 10 N falling for 15 times. For stabilized soil, 175.5 ml of the soil stabilizer polymer solution (13% of solid contents) is added instead of water, and the previous procedure is followed.

Then, compacted specimen was placed in the laboratory temperature of 22°C. The curing process primarily consisted of evaporation of moisture from the specimens over time and the hardening of the additive-soil matrix.

2.2.2 Curing Time and Polymer Percentage

Three specimens of each mixture were prepared. The curing times of specimens were 1 day, 2 day, 7 day,



Fig. 1 Particle size analysis of dune sand used in soil stabilization studies

14 day, and 28 day, and quantities of p/s = (polymer weight/sand weight) percentage were 0.4, 0.7, 1, 1.7, 2.4, 3.0, and 3.7. After preparation of specimens, specimens were tested according to the UC-test procedure (According to ASTM D-2166-91).

2.2.3 Soaking Test (Wet-Condition Procedure)

Since the probability of exposure to moisture during the stabilized materials performance life in a pavement system is extremely high, a wet-test procedure was developed to evaluate the stabilized materials moisture susceptibility. Three specimens of each mixture with the curing time of 7 days were tested according to the wet-test procedure. The cured specimen was placed on its side in 12.5 mm height of water for a period of 3 min (Fig. 2a). Then, the specimen was removed from the water and drained for 5 min (Fig. 2b). Afterward the specimen was subjected to UC test (Fig. 2c). This wet procedure permitted a visual observation of the susceptibility to moisture, as well as, physical evaluation of decreasing structural strength. The duration of exposure to moisture was 3 min, based upon the deterioration rate of the control sample (Fig. 3). The load was applied to each stabilized specimen at a constant rate of 0.05 mm per second. The compressions of specimens were continued till failure.

Table 1 Chemical composition of the products and their reinforcement mechanisms

Polymers	MW	Viscosity (C.P)	pН	Density	Glass Transition (°k)	Color	Reinforcement mechanisms
Polyvinyl acetate	83,000	470	5.5	1.1	335	White	Physical (like glue)
(PMMA)	14,000	450	5.5	0.92	310	White	Physical (like glue)



Fig. 2 a Soaking stabilized specimen. b Draining stabilized specimen. c UC test picture



Fig. 3 The deterioration of the control specimen

2.2.4 Decreasing Curing Time with Heating

Three specimens of mixture with (p/s) = 1.7% of poly 1, 2 were prepared, and then specimens were cured for 24 h at room temperature followed by 24 h at 40° and 70°C.

2.2.5 Adding NaCl to Polymeric Specimens

Three specimens of mixture with curing time (7 day) and (p/s) = 1.7% were tested with NaCl additive according to dry-test procedure. 1, 3, 7, and 10 percent of salt by the weight of dune sand added to mixture of polymer-soil. Then, cured specimens were tested.

3 Results

Elemental analysis of dune sand used in this study is presented in Table 2. The main components in dune sand are SiO₂ (52%), Al₂O₃ (12%), and CaO (11%).

The results presented on dune sand treatment with soil additives show significant improvement in their load-bearing capacity as exemplified in the improvement of its compressive strength. The results of unconfined compression tests were used as an index of specimen performance. The performance of test specimens relative to the performance of the control specimen, and each other, provided a means of evaluating of the effects of curing time, durability in terms of wet and dry conditions, stabilizer type, and stabilizer quantities. The control specimen was a dune sand specimen prepared at the target moisture content without any stabilizer.

3.1 Effect of Stabilizer Type

The effect of stabilizer type was evaluated by testing three control samples. The results of the tests indicated that these nontraditional stabilizers significantly improved the UC strength of dune sand. The polymer-1 and polymer-2 improved the UC strength by more than 100 percent for dry condition.

Table 2 Elemental analy- sis of dune sand sample that	Analytic	Dune sand
was used for this study	LOI ^a	8.57
(w)%	Na ₂ O	1.627
	MgO	3.528
	Al_2O_3	12.424
	SiO ₂	52.874
	P_2O_5	0.155
	SO ₃	1.295
	K ₂ O	2.676
	CaO	11.667
	TiO ₂	0.683
	MnŌ	0.208
	Fe ₂ O ₃	4.199
	Sr	0.043
^a LOI loss of ignition at	Zr	0.021
950°C		

3.2 Effect of Curing Time and Additive Quantities

Tables 3 and 4 present results for different (p/s)percentage quantities and curing time of testing the two polymeric stabilizers and control specimens (With UC strength = 0.03 N/mm^2). The effects of two polymers were evaluated for 7 different concentrations. The moisture content of each sample decreased with increasing curing time. A sample of mixed material was taken to determine the initial moisture content of the composite material according to ASTM D 4643. The moisture contents of the test samples are as follows (Tables 5, 6). More than 80-85 percent of moisture was evaporated by the first 7 days (poly 1) and first 3 days (poly 2) of curing in all the stabilized samples. In each case, the UC strength increased with the increasing curing time and decreasing moisture content. It was found that the samples carried on gaining strength after the 7-day curing period. These polymeric stabilizers gained over 90% of strength in the first 3-7 days (3 days for poly 2 and 7 days for poly 1). In addition, in this section, SEM images of dune sand, polymer texture, and stabilized samples are shown in Figs. 4, 5 and 6. The micro-graphs of before- and after-stabilization of dune sand revealed that the initial micro-structure was transformed to an integrated lattice, which improved the uniaxial compression strength.

3.3 Effect of Wet and Dry Test Condition

As discussed earlier, the treated and untreated samples were tested using dry and wet-test procedure to provide an indication of the material's moisture susceptibility. After a 7-day curing period, UC tests were conducted on wet and dry specimens. Placing

Table 3 The effect of curing time of polymer-1 on unconfined compressive strength (N/mm^2)

Days	P/S%								
	0.4	0.7	1	1.7	2.4	3.02	3.7		
1	1.24	1.53	1.71	2.02	2.37	2.52	2.56		
3	2.58	3.24	3.51	3.84	4.13	4.38	4.63		
7	2.89	3.67	4.07	4.32	4.55	4.73	5.00		
14	3.06	3.80	4.17	4.42	4.65	4.82	5.13		
28	3.10	3.88	4.30	4.71	4.86	4.98	5.21		

Table 4 The effect of curing time of polymer-2 on unconfinedcompressive strength (N/mm^2)

Days	P/S%								
	0.4	0.7	1	1.7	2.4	3.02	3.7		
1	0.66	0.95	1.24	1.57	1.71	1.86	2.13		
3	1.57	2.52	2.99	3.37	3.59	3.76	3.91		
7	2.04	3.06	3.62	4.17	4.46	4.69	4.90		
14	2.29	3.39	4.09	4.63	4.86	5.02	5.27		
28	2.33	3.47	4.13	4.71	4.92	5.15	5.31		

 Table 5 Moisture contents of the test samples, which were stabilized by polymer-1

Days	w/s%								
	0.4	0.7	1	1.7	2.4	3.02	3.7		
1	11.25	11.12	11.7	8.9	7.56	6.55	5.5		
3	7.5	7.2	6.5	4.2	3.9	3.01	2.5		
7	1.10	1.05	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.65	0.56		
14	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.81	0.61	0.60	0.60		
28	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		

Table 6 Moisture contents of the test samples, which werestabilized by polymer-2

Days	w/s%								
	0.4	0.7	1	1.7	2.4	3.02	3.7		
1	11.5	11.32	11.01	8.0	7.12	6.55	6.1		
3	1.15	1.10	1.0	0.9	0.75	3.01	0.70		
7	1.0	1.0	0.98	0.82	0.69	0.65	0.58		
14	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.82	0.72	0.69	0.51		
28	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		



Fig. 4 SEM image of dune sand without polymer (25X)

the specimens in 12.5 mm of water for 3 min provided an excellent indicator of the material's durability under wet conditions. The deterioration of



Fig. 6 SEM image of Stabilized dune sand with polymer-1 and polymer-2, (P/S) = 1.7% (25X)



the control specimen due to moisture exposure is shown in Fig. 3. The improved samples with polymer-1 and polymer-2 provided excellent resistance to moisture deterioration; however, the load-bearing capacity of the improved samples with polymer-1 and polymer-2 were reduced, when tested under the wet condition (Table 7).

3.4 Effect of Temperature on Curing Time

Effect of temperature on unconfined compressive strength of dune sand after samples were cured for 24 h at room temperature followed by 24 h at 40° and

Table 7 UC samples of poly 1 and 2, before (dry) and aftersoaking (wet) test

(p/s) %	Polymer- UC (N/m	l m ²)	Polymer-2 UC (N/mm ²)		
	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	
0	0.003	0	0.003	0	
0.4	2.04	0.66	2.89	2.56	
0.7	3.06	0.91	3.68	3.34	
1	3.62	1.24	4.07	3.82	
1.7	4.17	1.57	4.32	4.15	
2.4	4.46	1.71	4.55	4.44	
3.02	4.69	1.86	4.73	4.66	
3.7	4.90	2.13	5.00	4.95	

Table 8 UCS of dune sand after samples were cured for 24 h at room temperature (22°) followed by 24 h at 40° and 70°C

Polyme UC (N/	r-1 mm ²)		Polymer-2 UC (N/mm ²)			
22°	40°C	70°C	22°	40°C	70°C	
2.02	3.17	4.69	1.57	3.90	4.70	

70°C indicated that UC strength rate increases by an increase in temperature (Table 8).

3.5 Effect of NaCl

The existence of high amounts of salt in costal and desert regions cause some problems in road sub base and base stabilization procedures. On the other hand, the existence of salts in native soils, those of which shall be stabilized with polymers may increase or decrease the uniaxial compression strength. Therefore, the effect of salt on the reconstituted specimens is studied. The effect of salt seems to be negligible when the dose of the salt is low. (Dose is bout 1% by weight of sand), and it decreases the compressive strength when the dose of salt is high. By comparison, when the concentration of salt was increased from 1 to 10 percent, UC strength of stabilized samples decreased (Table 9). Micro-graphs of stabilized specimens with polymer-1 (accompanied with salt) indicate the unfavorable effect of salt grains on the

Table 9 UC of dune sand with NaCl additive

NaCl	1%	3%	7%	10%
Polymer-1	4.02	3.62	2.95	2.66
UCS (N/mm ²)				
Polymer-2	4.20	3.91	3.55	3.31
UCS (N/mm ²)				

integrated stabilized micro-fabric. The added salt leads to decrease in the unconfined compression strength, which stands for a proof in the unfavorable aspect of the salt agent. On the other hand, one can find that salt grains had less unfavorable effect on the stabilized micro-fabric, when performing polymer-2 in comparison with polymer-1. The aforesaid interpretations conform to the unconfined compression test results.

4 Conclusion

It seems that soil stabilizers were effective in producing a significant improvement in compressive strength of dune sand. The results of laboratory experiment produced four conclusions regarding stabilization of dune sand material with polymeric stabilizers. The conclusions are based the on the test conditions presented.

- Polymer-1 and polymer-2 have shown good potential to increase strength of dune sand soil under dry condition. The improved samples with polymer-1 and polymer-2 provided excellent resistance to moisture deterioration. The loadbearing capacity of the improved samples with polymer-1 and polymer-2 was reduced when tested under the wet condition. But the effect of wet-condition test on stabilized samples with poly 2 was lower than poly 1.
- These polymeric stabilizers gained over 90% of strength within the first 3–7 days (3 days for poly 2 and 7 days for poly 1).
- 3. The UC strength of stabilized samples soars with an increase in the temperature, in the first 24 h of the curing process.
- 4. When the concentration of salt increased from 1 to 10 percent, UC strength of stabilized samples decreased.

5 Recommendation

The nontraditional stabilization products identified in this experiment as demonstrating significant performance improvement could be evaluated under actual field conditions and traffic loadings. In addition, other durability tests should be conducted or developed for these products to verify long-term performance of stabilized materials.

Acknowledgments This research paper herein was sponsored by the Department of Civil Engineering, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- Ajayi-Majebi A, Grissom WA, Smith LS, Jones EE (1991) Epoxy-resin based chemical stabilization of a fine, poorly graded soil system. In Transportation Research Record 1295, TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C
- Gopal R, Singh J, Das G (1983) Chemical stabilisation of sand comparative studies on urea-formaldehyde resins as dune sand stabiliser and effect of compaction on strength (Part IV). In Transactions of Indian Society of Desert Technology and University Centre of Desert Studies, Vol. 8 No. 2, Indian Society of Desert Technology, Jodhpur, India, pp 13–19
- Oldham JC, Eaves RC, White DW (1977) Materials evaluated as potential soil stabilizers. miscellaneous paper S-77–15. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS
- Palmer JT, Edgar TV, Boresi AP (1995) Strength and density modification of unpaved road soils due to chemical additives. Master's Thesis, University of Wyoming, Department of Civil and Architectural Engineering, Laramie, Wyoming, January
- Rauch AF, Harman JS, Katz LE, Liljestrand HM (2003) Measured effects of liquid soil stabilizers on engineering properties of clays, transportation research record 1787, TRB, National Research Council, Washington, DC, pp 33–41
- Santoni RL, Tingle JS, Webster SL (2003) Stabilization of silty sand with non-traditional additives, transportation research record 1787, TRB, National Research Council, Washington, DC, pp 33–41
- Vedenskaya VA, Ogneva NE, Korshak VV, Mekhant'eva LI, Goguadze Ts.A (1971) Consolidation of over-moist soils by copolymers of guanidine acrylate and methacryloguanidine-urea hydrochloride with certain alkylidene bisacrylamides (Translated by Inglis EA). In Soviet plastics, Vol. 7. Rubber and Technical Press, London, pp 55–58