BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 

# Changes of gluteus medius muscle in the adult patients with unilateral developmental dysplasia of the hip

RuiYu Liu<sup>1</sup>, XiaoDong Wen<sup>1</sup>, ZhiQin Tong<sup>2</sup>, KunZheng Wang<sup>1\*</sup> and ChunSheng Wang<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** The gluteus medius muscle is essential for gait and hip stability. Changes that occur in the gluteus medius muscles in patients with developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) are not well understood. A better understanding of DDH related changes will have positive repercussions toward hip soft tissue reconstruction.

**Methods:** 19 adult patients with unilateral DDH scheduled for total hip arthroplasty were assessed for: cross-sectional area (CSA), radiological density (RD) and the length of gluteus medius using computed tomograhpy(CT) (scanned before THA). Hip abductor moment arm and gluteus medius activation angle were also measured *via* hip anteroposterior radiographs.

**Results:** Both CSA and RD of gluteus medius muscle were significantly reduced (p < 0.05) in the affected hip compared to the control. In the affected hip, the length of the gluteus medius muscle was reduced by 8-11 % (p < 0.05) while the gluteus medius activation angle was significantly increased (p < 0.05) and the hip abductor moment arm was decreased (p < 0.05).

**Conclusions:** The gluteus medius showed substantial loss of CSA, RD as well as decreased length in patients with DDH in the affected hip. These changes should be considered in both hip reconstruction and postoperative rehabilitation training in patients with DDH.

#### Background

Total hip arthroplasty performed on patients with hip dysplasia presents challenging work for surgeons due to its bony deformity and soft tissue imbalance. The bony configuration changes of hip dysplasia have been investigated extensively, however, soft tissue abnormalities around the affected hip have been less well studied. Such characterization is essential to help recognize hip dysplasia and to make an optimal plan for hip reconstruction and postoperative rehabilitation in patients with developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) [1,2].

Abduction muscle tension is important for gait and hip biomechanics. Abductor dysfunction may lead to joint instability, resulting in a high rate of recurrent dislocation [3,4]. The gluteus medius muscle, which plays a key role in exerting abduction force across the hip joint, providing stabilization of the pelvis during single leg stance [5], is the main muscle in the hip abductor muscle group that also includes gluteus maximus, gluteus minimus, piriformis muscles, and tensor fascia lata. Insufficiency of the gluteus medius muscle is clinically associated with a positive Trendelenburg sign and finally leads to a loss of pelvic control with an impaired ability to walk. The preservation of the gluteus medius muscle during total hip arthroplasty is therefore regarded to be crucial for postoperative abduction force [6] and functional outcome.

With the femur displaced proximally, the gluteus medius muscle and other soft tissues around the affected hip contracts in patients with DDH and leads to leg length discrepancy and hip instability [7]. It has been suggested that the gluteus medius muscle as well as other soft tissues be released to obtain femoral reduction [8,9] and optimal biomechanics in patients with DDH during total hip arthroplasty. Previous studies [10,11] compared



© 2012 Liu et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: kunzheng1955@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Second Hospital Affiliated to the Medical College of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an Shaanxi 710004, People's Republic of China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

length changes of the gluteus medius muscle between the pelvic support osteotomy preoperative and posteroperative in the congenital dislocation of the hip. However, fewer studies quantified the contracture extent (the length change and atrophy) of gluteus medius muscle compared to the healthy hip in patients with DDH, which is vital for femoral reduction and abductor muscle strength.

Abductor weakness often can not be corrected in patients with DDH performed THA postoperatively [12-14]. Abductor strength is related not only with abductor muscle strength itself but also other factors: Abduction strength correlated positively with both femoral offset and the length of the abductor lever arm. Thus, both the gluteus medius muscle itself and related factors should be explored to better understand DDH and possible recovery strategies. These specific data may be contributive to helping us understand the abnormalities of the gluteus medius and in making optimal abductor function reconstruction, in addition to the design of more specifically helpful rehabilitation training programs.

Computed tomography has been used to assess the muscle change in the trunk [15] and around the hip [16-18]. Cross-sectional area (CSA) is closely related to the muscle volume and the radiological density of muscle, which indirectly represents muscle strength. The purpose of our study is to better characterize the gluteus medius muscle abnormalities in patients with adult unilateral DDH. Our approach to achieve this goal was to: 1) quantify the loss of contractile muscle mass in the gluteus medius muscle (responsible for hip abduction strength) and 2) investigate the length changes of the gluteus medius muscle (knowledge of which is essential for femur reduction in hip reconstruction in patients with DDH).

#### **Methods**

#### Patients

We reviewed the CT scans of 19 unilateral dysplasia hips collected recently over the course of three years. The scans were made for morphological evaluation of the acetabular and proximal femur before total hip arthroplasty [19]. The overall average age of the patients was 47 years (range 35–61 years), the mean weight was 55 kg (range 50–69 kg), and the mean height was 157 cm (range 155–170 cm). There were no significant difference in age, weight, or height between the two groups (7 males and 12 females).

According to the classification of Crowe [20], there were 8 hips in class II and 11 hips in class III in our patients with unilateral developmental dysplasia of the hip. The Crowe classification divides dysplastic hips radiographically into four categories based on the extent of proximal migration of the femoral head. Class I is less than 50 per cent subluxation; class II is 50 to 75 per cent subluxation; class III is 75 to 100 per cent subluxation; and class IV, more than 100 per cent subluxation. The degree of hip subluxation ranged from 55 to 98 percent according to the method of Crowe [20]. None of the patients had accepted osteotomy for treatment or correction of their hip disease. Medical history, duration of hip symptoms and the use of medications for pain relief were noted. Patients were instructed to maintain their normal medication on all test occasions. Patients gave written consent and the Ethical Committee of our institution approved the study.

#### Computed tomography

The patients were examined in the supine position in a Phillips MX 8000 (Phillips Medical Systems, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) spiral CT-scanner with the pelvis in the neutral position the lower limb placed in internal rotation so the patella is situated in the frontal plane. Routine radiographs of the anteroposterior pelvis were taken. Transverse images were obtained of each patients using the following CT protocol. The area from the iliac crest to below the lesser trochanter was scanned, 2.0 mm thick slices at 2.0 mm intervals. The scanned images were DICOM format( $512 \times 512$  pixels) and were analyzed *via* computerized tomography work station.

Definitions of observed parameters: The femoral offset (FO) was the distance from the center of rotation of the femoral head to a line vertical to the long axis of the femur [21]. The height of the center of the femoral head was the distance from the center of rotation of the femoral head to a line vertical to horizontal line through the midpoint of the lesser trochanter. The neck-shaft angle was measured as the angle between the midline of the femoral neck and the midline of the femoral shaft [22]. The abductor lever arm was determined as the perpendicular distance from a line tangential to the greater trochanter to the center of rotation of the femoral head. The tangential line corresponded to the abductor shadow [23], and the gluteus medius activation angle was delimited by the line tangential to the greater trochanter and the line running from the insertion point of the gluteus medius to the global offset laterally [24]. These parameters were measured on a magnified scout view of CT (shown in Figure 1A).

Muscle cross-sectional area (CSA) and radiological density (RD; in Hounsfield units: HU) were assessed in three different level section of the gluteus medius muscle using transaxial CT scans. For accuracy, we selected three different sections levels of the muscle. We drew a line tangental to the lateral margin of the greater trochanter and extended the line to intersect with a horizontal line under the fifth lumbar which is approximately the gluteus medius origin plane. This line is also the gluteus medius line of action for the force. We quartered the line and chose three points (except the origin and end), through the points we drew a horizontal line, the plane corresponding to the spiral transaxial CT scans (Figure 1B). Areas of interest (the section of the gluteus medius muscle) were manually circumscribed and automatically computed (Figure 2A, B and C). The density was measured in Hounsfield units (HU) and was evaluated by measuring the mean density of the crosssectional area in the region of interest, CSA and RD for gluteus medius muscle were determined twice by 2 independent observers to calculate the intra- and interobserver reproducibility.

With the technique of multiplanar reconstruction (MPR), the frontal pelvic plane was established using both anterior superior iliac spines and the pubic tubercles and subsequent axes from this [19,25], we selected the frontal plane through greater trochanter, The length of the gluteus medius from its origin to its insertion was measured as a straight line [10] (shown in Figure 3).

Measurement of the parameters for the gluteus medius muscle were performed twice by 2 independent investigators, the first examiner performed the measurements twice (2 weeks apart), and the other performed it once. Two independent examiners analyzed the blinded images on two separate occasions at least 3 weeks apart. Intra-observer and inter-observer variations in measuring all parameters were assessed using the coefficient of variation (CV%).

#### Statistics

Comparisons between the affected hip and the healthy side were performed using paired t-tests. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05, for repeated tests. All data analysis was performed with SPSS 13.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Ill., USA).

#### Results

The intra-observer and inter-observer repeatability of measurements was acceptable. Intra-observer reproducibility (CV%) for parameters measurements ranged from 0.7 % to 3.5 % and inter-observer reproducibility varied from 2.1 % and 7.8 %.

The cross-sectional area (CSA) of the gluteus medius muscle demonstrated no statistical difference in the A(a)plane but was significantly decreased in the B(b) and C (c) plane in the affected hip compared to the control side (p < 0.05). The RD of the gluteus medius muscle decreased in the affected side compared with the control side (p < 0.05). The CSA of the gluteus medius muscle was reduced by17-22 % in the affected hip, whereas the RD of the muscle groups was reduced by 32-38 % relative to the control side at three planes (shown in Table 1 and Figure 2).

The femoral neck-shaft angle significantly increased (p < 0.05) in the affected hip accompanied by a significant decrease in the femoral offset (p < 0.05). The length of the gluteus medius muscle was reduced by 8-11 % (p < 0.05) but the gluteus medius activation angle was significantly increased (p < 0.05) and hip abductor moment arm decreased (p < 0.05) in the affected side compared with the control side.

There was no significant difference in the height of the center of femoral head between the affected side and the control although it had slightly changed between the two sides (shown in Table 2).

#### Discussion

Our study demonstrates that the gluteus medius muscle shows marked atrophy in the affected hip. This phenomenon was also shown in subjects with advanced OA hip joint pathology. Gait patterns may provide some further explanation for the gluteus medius muscle



response to advanced OA hip joint pathology [26,27]. The gluteus medius muscle atrophy appears inherently linked to offloading strategies used in gait during late stage joint pathology [28]. However, the cause in patients with DDH may be related not only with these factors described above but also the femur being displaced proximally, the femur being in a position of abduction with the reduced abductor lever arm and increased gluteus medius activation angle.

In contrast to other studies which selected only one plane both in the affected side and normal side [17,18], we choose three different sections. This approach can help to obtain more information about the gluteus medius muscle. We selected different planes in the contralateral healthy hip and the affected one taking into consideration the proximal migration of the femur on the dysplastic side. We selected the contralateral healthy hip as the control group maintaining consistency across patients despite the differing effects of age and height between the patient population we examined, which in itself can affect muscle quality and quantity.

The gluteus medius muscle is one of the main soft tissues that limit femur reduction and limb length discrepancy correction in patients with DDH. To overcome this problem, the release of the gluteus medius muscle is necessary in the mildly dysplastic hip [14] and combined





with femoral osteotomies in the severely dysplastic hip [12]. Except one-step soft tissue releases, slow release by continuous iliofemoral distraction were also described [29,30] in the severely dysplastic hip. Either technique will affect the overall length and function of the gluteus medius muscle. Therefore, how much the gluteus medius muscle could be released and lengthened needs to be further evaluated. The contracture extent of soft tissue around the hip was thought to be an important factor for limb elongation length [31] in patients with DDH.

Accompanied with the contracuture of abductor musculature, the adductor musculature also does contract. Thus, except the gluteus medius muscle release, proper release of adductor muscles is vital to keep abduction-

Table 1 Cross sectional area and radiological density characteristics

	Affected side	Healthy side	Difference (%)	P-value
cross-sectional area (cm <sup>2</sup> )				
A(a) plane	14.8(4.1)	17.9(5.5)	-17.3	0.06
B(b) plane	18.9(5.7)	23.6(6.4)	-19.9	0.001
C(c) plane	13.5(4.7)	17.4(5.1)	-22.4	0.005
Density of muscle (HU)				
A(a) plane	32.1(10.2)	49.3(6.9)	-34.9	0.002
B(b) plane	28.5(5.8)	46.5(8.1)	-38.7	0.001
C(c)plane	31.3(8.3)	46.2(6.8)	-32.3	0.001

Mean value(SD), percentage difference and p-value for cross-sectional area and radiological density in healthy compared to affected limbs in 19 patients with unilateral DDH.

A,B,C: the healthy side.

a,b,c: the affected side.

(-)means reduction compared to healthy side.

HU: houndsfield units.

adduction balance and hip stability during hip reconstruction in THA.

Patient age at the time of the operation and the postoperative changes in the volume of the gluteus medius muscle are related to abductor muscle strength restoration in pelvic support osteotomy of the congenital hip dislocation [11]. The preoperative muscle strength was an essential factor in postoperative muscle strength recovery in patients with DDH, for whom abductorsparing periacetabular Osteotomy was performed [32]. The posterolateral approach, which has a lesser disruption of abductor musculature and more anatomic dissection [33], should be adopt in patients with DDH performed THA.

Muscle strength is proportional to not only the muscle volume or cross-sectional area (CSA) but also the muscle radiological density (RD), which may represent the actual amount of contractile muscle [34]. Thus we used CSA combined with muscular RD [17,35,36] to evaluate the atrophy of gluteus medius muscle. The reduced CSA and RD of gluteus medius muscle in patients with DDH implicated reduced muscle strength. Trendelenburg sign, which is indicated by gluteus medius muscle weakness, often could not be improved in some patients performed THA [12-14]. The reduced muscle strength may be one of the causes of abductor dysfunction. At the same time, the abnormal femoral offset and abductor moment arm should be paid attention to in abduction function reconstruction in patients with DDH during THA, because the abductor function deficit may result from an intrinsically reduced muscular strength or may be the indirect result of biomechanical alterations induced by abnormal femoral offset and abductor moment arm.

The gluteus medius muscle has the reduced strength preoperative and length changes postoperative in

-				
	Affected side Mean value(SD)	Healthy side Mean value(SD)	Difference (%)	P-value
Femoral neck-shaft angle (°)	136.2(3.1)	126.1(4.5)	8	0.001
Femoral offset (mm)	27.23(3.5)	39.9(6.5)	-31.8	0.001
Height of the center of femoral head (mm)	52(8.7)	52.2(5.5)	-0.3	0.940
Hip abductor moment arm (mm)	34.89(6.5)	44.8(7.9)	-22.3	0.010
The length of gluteus medius muscle (mm)	135.6(10.3)	145.5(9.6)	-6.8	0.001
The angle of gluteus medius activation(°)	26.9(5.8)	12.4(2.8)	116.8	0.002

#### Table 2 hip abductor function related parameters in 19 patients with unilateral DDH

Mean value(SD), percentage difference and p-value for femoral offset, femoral neck-shaft angle, hip abductor moment arm and the angle of gluteus medius activation in healthy compared to affected limbs in 19 patients with unilateral DDH.

(-)means reduction compared to healthy side.

patients with DDH during THA, Some specific rehabilitation exercise should be designed to strengthen the muscle and keep the stability of hip post-operative as for hip arthroscopy post-operative [37]. Weight-bearing exercises may provide more functional benefit because this type of exercise often activates a greater number of muscle group [38]. Muscle strength recovery and gait adaption was not complete one year after total hip arthroplasty in patients with unilateral osteoarthritis or osteonecrotic hips [39,40]. Another study demonstrated a slow morphological recovery in cross-sectional area (CSA) and radiological density (RD) of hip muscles compared to the healthy limb two years after THA in patients with osteoarthritis [41]. Considering the changes of gluteus medius muscle in patients with DDH, we think that patients need more time for muscle strength recovery and gait adaption.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, the gluteus medius muscles showed a substantial loss of CSA and RD, as well as length decrease in adult patients with unilateral DDH. The factors which influence the gluteus medius muscle were the abductor lever arm and gluteus medius muscle activation angle changes. Attention should be paid to these changes in hip reconstruction. These findings provide orthopedic surgeons with objective information about the amount and condition of the gluteus medius muscle in patients with DDH.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declared that they have no competing interest.

#### Acknowledgements

We thanks Mr. Xiangchun Yang, the technician from the Department of imaging center of Central Hospital of Xi'an for his assistance with the radiographs and CT scans.

#### Fundings

Supported by national natural science foundation No.81101337

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Second Hospital Affiliated to the Medical College of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an Shaanxi 710004, People's Republic of China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Second Hospital Affiliated to the Medical College of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an Shaanxi 710004, People's Republic of China.

#### Authors' contributions

RYL and KZW designed the study. XDW contributed to the measurements and ZQT wrote the draft of the manuscript. CSW performed the statistical analyses and all authors read and approved the final version.

#### Received: 31 December 2011 Accepted: 29 May 2012 Published: 15 June 2012

#### References

- Pfirrmann CW, Notzli HP, Dora C, Hodler J, Zanetti M: Abductor tendons and muscles assessed at MR imaging after total hip arthroplasty in asymptomatic and symptomatic patients. *Radiology* 2005, 235(3):969–976.
- Amaro AJ, Amado F, Mendes A, Oliveira J, Malheiro A, Meireles A, Appell HJ, Duarte JA: Radiographic geometric measures of the hip joint and abductor muscle function in patients after total hip replacement. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 2007, 17:437–443.
- Asayama I, Chamnongkich S, Simpson KJ, Kinsey TL, Mahoney OM: Reconstructed Hip Joint Position and Abductor Muscle Strength After Total Hip Arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2005, 20(4):414–420.
- Kiyama T, Naito M, Shitama H, Maeyama A: Effect of Superior Placement of the Hip Center on Abductor Muscle Strength in Total Hip Arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2009, 24(2):240–245.
- Preininger B, Schmorl K, von Roth P, Winkler T, Schlattmann P, Matziolis G, Perka C, Tohtz S: A formula to predict patients' gluteus medius muscle volume from hip joint geometry. *Man Ther* 2011, 16(5):447–451.
- Kumagai M, Shiba N, Higuchi F, Nishimura H, Inoue A: Functional evaluation of hip abductor muscles with use of magnetic resonance imaging. J Orthop Res 1997, 15(6):888–893.
- Lai KA, Lin CJ, Su FC: Gait analysis of adult patients with complete congenital dislocation of the hip. J Formos Med Assoc 1997, 96:740–744.
- Sanchez-Sotelo J, Berry DJ, Trousdale RT, Cabanela ME: Surgical treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip in adults: II. Arthroplasty options. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2002, 10(5):334–344.
- Wu X, Lou LM, Li SH, Wu WP, Cai ZD: Soft tissue balancing in total hip arthroplasty for patients with adult dysplasia of the hip. Orthop Surg 2009, 1(3):212–215.

- Inan M, Alkan A, Harma A, Ertem K: Evaluation of the gluteus medius muscle after a pelvic support osteotomy to treat congenital dislocation of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005, 87(10):2246–2252.
- Inan M, Mahar A, Swimmer T, Tomlinson T, Wenger DR: Changes in the lengths of the gluteus medius and gluteus minimus muscles with trochanteric transfer following pelvic support osteotomy, a biomechanical study. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2004, 38(1):67–70.
- Togrul E, Ozkan C, Kalaci A, Gülşen M: A new technique of subtrochanteric shortening in total hip replacement for Crowe type 3 to 4 dysplasia of the hip. J Arthroplasty 2010, 25(3):465–470.
- Reikerås O, Haaland JE, Lereim P: Femoral Shortening in Total Hip Arthroplasty for High Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2010, 468(7):1949–1955.
- 14. Hartofilakidis G, Karachalios T: **Total hip arthroplasty for congenital hip disease.** *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004, **86A**(2):242–250.
- Bouche KG, Vanovermeire O, Stevens VK, Coorevits PL, Caemaert JJ, Cambier DC, Verstraete K, Vanderstraeten GG, Danneels LA: Computed tomographic analysis of the quality of trunk muscles in asymptomatic and symptomatic lumbar discectomy patients. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2011 Mar 31, 12:65.
- Clark JM, Haynor DR: Anatomy of the abductor muscles of the hip as studied by computed tomography. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1987, 69(7):1021–1031.
- Rasch A, Byström AH, Dalen N, Berg HE: Reduced muscle radiological density, cross-sectional area, and strength of major hip and knee muscles in 22 patients with hip osteoarthritis. *Acta Orthop* 2007, 78(4):505–510.
- Preininger B, Schmorl K, von Roth P, Winkler T, Matziolis G, Perka C, Tohtz S: The sex specificity of hip-joint muscles offers an explanation for better results in men after total hip arthroplasty. *Int Orthop* 2012, 36(6):1143–1148.
- Liu RY, Wang KZ, Wang CS, Dang XQ, Tong ZQ: Evaluation of Medial Acetabular Wall Bone Stock in Patients with Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip Using a Helical Computed Tomography Multiplanar Reconstruction Technique. Acta Radiol 2009, 50:791–797.
- Crowe JF, Mani VJ, Ranawat CS: Total hip replacement incongenital dislocation and dysplasia of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1979, 61(1):15–23.
- 21. McGrory BJ, Morrey BF, Cahalan TD, An KN, Cabanela ME: Effect of femoral offset on range of motion and abductor muscle strength after total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1995, **77**:865–869.
- 22. Hoaglund FT, Low WD: Anatomy of the femoral neck and head, with compara-tive data from Caucasians and Hong Kong Chinese. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1980, **152**:10–16.
- Sakai T, Sugano N, Ohzono K, Nishii T, Haraguchi K, Yoshikawa H: Femoral anteversion, femoral offset, and abductor lever arm after total hip arthroplasty using a modular femoral neck system. J Orthop Sci 2002, 7 (1):62–67.
- Lecerf G, Fessy MH, Philippot R, Massin P, Giraud F, Flecher X, Girard J, Mertl P, Marchetti E, Stindel E: Femoral offset: Anatomical concept, definition, assessment, implications for preoperative templating and hip arthroplasty. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2009, 95(3):210–219.
- Mayr E, Kessler O, Prassl A, Rachbauer F, Krismer M, Nogler M: The frontal pelvic plane provides a valid reference system for implantation of the acetabular cup:spatial orientation of the pelvis in different positions. *Acta Orthop* 2005, **76**:848–853.
- Arokoski MH, Arokoski JP, Haara M, Kankaanpaa M, Vesterinen M: Hip muscle strength and muscle cross sectional area in men with and without hip osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2002, 29(10):2187–2195.
- 27. Grimaldi A, Richardson C, Stanton W, Durbridge G, Donnelly W, Hides J: **The** association between degenerative hip joint pathology and size of the gluteus medius, gluteus minimus and piriformis muscle. *Man Ther* 2009, 14(6):605–610.
- Krebs DE, Robbins CE, Lavine L, Mann RW: Hip biomechanics during gait. Journal of Orthopedic and Sports. *Phys Ther* 1998, 28(1):51–59.
- Lerch M, Thorey F, von Lewinski G, Klages P, Wirth CJ, Windhagen H: An alternative treatment method to restore limb-length discrepancy in osteoarthritis with high congenital hip dislocation. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2009, 129(12):1593–1599.

- Lai KA, Shen WJ, Huang LW, Chen MY: Cementless total hip arthroplasty and limb-length equalization in patients with unilateral Crowe type-IV hip dislocation. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005, 87:339–345.
- Fujishiro T, Nishiyama T, Hayashi S, Kurosaka M, Kanno T, Masuda T: Leg Length Change in Total Hip Arthroplasty With Subtrochanteric Femoral Shortening Osteotomy for Crowe Type IV Developmental Hip Dysplasia. J Arthroplasty 2012, 27(6):1019–1022.
- Ezoe M, Naito M, Asayama I: Muscle strength improves after abductorsparing periacetabular osteotomy. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2006, 444:161–168.
- 33. Padgett DE, Warashina H: The unstable total hip replacement. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2004, (420):72–79. Review.
- Goodpaster BH, Carlson CL, Visser M, Kelley DE, Scherzinger A, Harris TB, Stamm E, Newman AB: Attenuation of skeletal muscle and strength in the elderly: The health abc study. J Appl Physiol 2001, 90(6):2157–2165.
- Hu ZJ, He J, Zhao FD, Fang XQ, Zhou LN, Fan SW: An Assessment of the Intra- and Inter-reliability of the Lumbar Paraspinal Muscle Parameters UsingCT Scan and Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Spine* 2011, 36(13):868–874. *Phila Pa 1976.*
- Keller A, Brox JI, Gunderson R, Holm I, Friss A, Reikerås O: Trunk Muscle Strength, Cross-sectional Area, and Density in Patients With Chronic Low Back Pain Randomized to Lumbar Fusion or Cognitive Intervention and Exercises. Spine 2004, 29(1):3–8. Phila Pa 1976.
- Philippon MJ, Decker MJ, Giphart JE, Torry MR, Wahoff MS, LaPrade RF: Rehabilitation exercise progression for the gluteus medius muscle with consideration for iliopsoas tendinitis: an in vivo electromyography study. *Am J Sports Med* 2011, 39(8):1777–1785.
- Jacobs CA, Lewis M, Bolgla LA, Christensen CP, Nitz AJ, Uhl TL: Electromyographic Analysis of Hip Abductor Exercises Performed by a Sample of Total Hip Arthroplasty Patients. J Arthroplasty 2009, 24(7):1130–1136.
- Shih CH, Du YK, Lin YH, Wu CC: Muscular Recovery Around the Hip Joint After Total Hip Arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1994, 302:115–120.
- Foucher KC, Hurwitz DE, Wimmer MA: Preoperative gait adaptations persist one year after surgery in clinically well-functioning total hip replacement patients. J Biomech 2007, 40(15):3432–3437.
- Rasch A, Bystrom AH, Dalen N, Martinez-Carranza N, Berg HE: Persisting muscle atrophy two years after replacement of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2009, 91:583–588.

#### doi:10.1186/1471-2474-13-101

**Cite this article as:** Liu *et al.*: Changes of gluteus medius muscle in the adult patients with unilateral developmental dysplasia of the hip. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2012 **13**:101.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit