## SHORT REPORT Open Access



# Insomnia and its associations in patients with recurrent glial neoplasms

Matthew E. Robertson<sup>1</sup>, Frances McSherry<sup>2</sup>, James E. Herndon<sup>2</sup> and Katherine B. Peters<sup>3\*</sup>

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Patient with neurological disorders and cancer can develop sleep disturbance, in particular insomnia. Etiology of insomnia is multi-factorial in primary brain tumour patients with possible causes including corticosteroids, psychoactive medications, co-morbid psychiatric/medical conditions, and damage to neuronal tissue.

**Findings:** To understand better insomnia in recurrent glioma patients, a single-center retrospective analysis was performed looking at recurrent glioma patients from January 2004 to May 2009. Data was extracted and included demographics, clinical factors, psychoactive medications, and co-morbid symptoms. Presence and absence of insomnia complaints was evaluated with other co-morbidities using Chi square and Wilcoxon analyses. Records from 340 recurrent glioma patients were evaluated and 46.8 % (n = 159) indicated presence of insomnia with 20 % (n = 66) actively using medications for sleep. Use of corticosteroids were significantly associated with insomnia (p = 0.0003). Age, gender, tumour location, use of stimulants, antipsychotics, and antidepressants were not significantly associated with insomnia in recurrent glioma patients. There was a trend towards a possible significant association with insomnia to fatigue complaints and use of anti-epileptics, p-values of 0.0501 and 0.0725 respectively.

**Conclusions:** In conclusion, insomnia is commonly encountered in patients with recurrent glial tumors. Corticosteroid use is associated with insomnia in this population. In light of the frequency of insomnia and its associations, future analysis is warranted into sleep complaints in recurrent glioma patients and its impact on quality of life.

**Keywords:** Insomnia, Recurrent, Glial, Neoplasms

### **Findings**

#### **Background**

Primary brain tumours represent 1 % of all diagnosed cancers (Ohgaki 2009). In studies in regards to quality of life in patients with primary brain tumours, insomnia is commonly seen (Taphoorn and Bottomley 2005; Taphoorn et al. 2005). This problem not only occurs in high-grade patients but also in patients with low-grade tumours (Gustafsson et al. 2006). In a study by Wellisch and colleagues, they evaluated the incidence of major depressive disorder in patients with primary brain tumours and also found that sleep dysfunction was present in over 50 % of these patients (Wellisch et al. 2002). While sleep dysfunction often accompanies depression,

insomnia could be multifactorial in primary brain tumour patients. Chemotherapy, radiotherapy, use of corticosteroids such as dexamethasone, use of antiepileptics (AEDs) and psychoactive medications, and damage to the brain parenchyma either by tumour or surgery could have an impact on sleep architecture. One important question that has been considered is how to treat properly insomnia in patients with primary brain tumours as many of the sleep inducing agents can lead to cognitive difficulty that leads to interference with the quality of life. Therefore, we sought to evaluate complaints of insomnia in recurrent glioma patients and its associations with patient, tumour, medication, and co-morbid symptoms.

#### Methods

This was retrospective single-center study to evaluate insomnia and its associations in recurrent glioma patients at the Preston Robert Tisch Brain Tumor Center at Duke from January 1, 2006 to May 1, 2009. This study

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: katherine.peters@duke.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Neurology, Duke University Medical Center, PO Box 3624, Durham, NC 27710, USA

was reviewed and managed by the Duke Institutional Review Board; this study was deemed to be retrospective and did not require patient consent. The clinical charts of patients that were enrolled in clinical treatment protocols for recurrent glial neoplasms were reviewed. These data reviewed were collected as part of medical care and retrospectively queried. In clinical treatment protocols for recurrent glial tumors, adverse events have been collected using Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), and insomnia was selected as the adverse event to study for this retrospective analysis. Insomnia was captured using the CTCAE version 3 and defined as a disorder characterized by difficulty falling asleep and/or remaining asleep. Severity of insomnia was graded and included mild (occasional difficulty sleeping, not interfering with function), moderate (difficulty sleeping, interfering with function and not interfering with activities of daily living), and severe (frequent difficulty sleeping, interfering with activities of daily living). Patients were defined as having insomnia present or absent. Moreover, subjects in these clinical trials were always queried in regards to the presence of insomnia. Additional data were obtained from medical records and included demographics (age, gender, race, tumour grade, location of tumour), Karnofsky performance score (KPS), number of prior progressions, sleep complaints (such as insomnia, snoring, nightmares, restless legs), sleep disorders (such as obstructive sleep apnea, REM behavioral disorder, restless leg syndrome, narcolepsy), corticosteroid use, AED use, psychoactive medication use, and comorbid symptoms (such as depression, fatigue, and cognitive dysfunction). Of note, KPS is a 0-100 scale used to describe the performance and function of cancer patients in regards to activities of daily living. The rationale for this was to look for associations of these previous pieces of information with the presence and absence of insomnia. Descriptive analysis was performed on all demographic, medication and symptom variables. Insomnia was treated as a binary variable (absent or present) and the following statistical tests were performed: 1. Chi square test for gender, race, tumour grade, side of brain, KPS, number of progressions, corticosteroid use, antidepressant use, stimulant use, sleep aid use, antipsychotic use, AED use, and co-morbid symptoms and 2. Wilcoxon analysis for age. The level of significance was set at p-value <0.05.

#### Results

Charts from 340 recurrent glioma patients were reviewed retrospectively. Data is presented in Table 1. In brief, the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation age was 48.4 years (11.7 years), 225 (66.2 %) were male, and majority (n = 322, 94.7 %) were Caucasian. In terms of tumour

grade, 296 (87.1 %) were high-grade gliomas and 44 (12.9 %) were low-grade gliomas. At the time that the data was extracted, a majority of recurrent glioma patients had only one progression (n = 178, 52.4 %) and had KPS of 90–100 (n = 169, 50.6 %). Insomnia was documented as a complaint in the medical record for 159 (46.8 %) recurrent glioma patients. Gender, race, and tumour grade were not associated with the presence of insomnia in this patient population.

There was also no statistically significant observed difference in KPS scale amongst dichotomized insomnia groups (p-value of 0.0793). Ninety-eight (55 %) patients without insomnia had KPS scores of 90-100 while 71 (45 %) patients with insomnia had similar scores. Patients with KPS scores from 50 to 80 ranged from 79 (45 %) in the insomnia absent cohort, to 86 (55 %) in the insomnia present cohort.

Tumour progression is defined radiographically by increased tumor growth on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The association between progression of the tumour and the presence or absence of insomnia was investigated. Patients with one progression were similarly represented in the insomnia absent group (91 patients or 50 %) compared with 87 (55 %) patients in the insomnia present cohort. Fifty-one (28 %) patients had 2 tumour progressions without evidence of insomnia, while 46 (29 %) did endorse insomnia. Three or more tumour progressions were documented in 39 (22 %) patients without insomnia and 26 (16 %) patients with insomnia. A relation between an increasing number of tumour progressions and insomnia did not meet statistical significance (p-value 0.4868).

Corticosteroid use was found to significantly impact sleep leading to insomnia. Of the patients on steroid therapy, 59 (33 %) did not have insomnia symptoms while 83 (52 %) had sleep disturbance, reaching a p-value of 0.0003. When further stratified into insomnia severity 47 % (55 patients) endorsed mild insomnia while taking steroids. In patients with moderate insomnia, 57 % (17 patients) were using steroids. Severe insomnia correlated with steroid use in 85 % (11) patients, reaching a p-value of 0.0001.

AED use trended towards statistical significance (p-value of 0.0725) with 131 patients (72 %) without insomnia taking antiepileptic medication, while 129 (81 %) of those with insomnia were taking some form of AED. Grouping insomnia complaints by the number of AEDs taken demonstrated that in patients with insomnia 19 % were prescribed no AED, 59 % were taking one AED and 22 % were prescribed two or more AEDs.

Chi Square analyses were employed to look at several subjective complaints common in the primary brain tumour population in an attempt to correlate presence of

Table 1 Clinical factors and its associations with insomnia in recurrent glioma patients

Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	159 50.0 106 (66.7 %) 53 (33.3 %)	340 50.0	0.6601
Median       50.0         Gender       Male       119 (65.7 %)         Female       62 (34.3 %)         Race       Female       62 (34.3 %)         Caucasian       172 (95.0 %)         Other race       9 (5.0 %)         Histology       High-grade glioma       153 (84.5 %)         Low-grade glioma       28 (15.5 %)         Side of brain affected       82 (45.3 %)         Right       82 (45.3 %)         Bilateral       16 (8.8 %)         KPS       79 (44.6 %)         90–100       98 (55.4 %)         Number of progressions       1         1       91 (50.3 %)         2       51 (28.2 %)         3+       39 (21.5 %)         Corticosteroid use       Yes         Yes       59 (32.6 %)         No       122 (67.4 %)         Antidepressant use       Yes         Yes       53 (29.3 %)         No       128 (70.7 %)         Stimulant use         Yes       15 (8.3 %)         No       166 (91.7 %)         Sleep aid use         Yes       29 (16.0 %)         No       178 (98.3 %)         Antipsy	50.0 106 (66.7 %)	50.0	
Gender       Male       119 (65.7 %)         Female       62 (34.3 %)         Race       Caucasian       172 (95.0 %)         Other race       9 (5.0 %)         Histology       High-grade glioma       153 (84.5 %)         Low-grade glioma       28 (15.5 %)         Side of brain affected       83 (45.9 %)         Right       82 (45.3 %)         Bilateral       16 (8.8 %)         KPS       50-80         50-80       79 (44.6 %)         90-100       98 (55.4 %)         Number of progressions       1         1       91 (50.3 %)         2       51 (28.2 %)         3+       39 (21.5 %)         Corticosteroid use       Yes         Yes       59 (32.6 %)         No       122 (67.4 %)         Antidepressant use       Yes         Yes       53 (29.3 %)         No       128 (70.7 %)         Stimulant use         Yes       15 (8.3 %)         No       166 (91.7 %)         Sleep aid use         Yes       29 (16.0 %)         No       152 (84.0 %)         Antipsychotic use         Yes       3 (1	106 (66.7 %)		
Male 119 (65.7 %) Female 62 (34.3 %) Race Caucasian 172 (95.0 %) Other race 9 (5.0 %) Histology High-grade glioma 153 (84.5 %) Low-grade glioma 28 (15.5 %) Side of brain affected Right 83 (45.9 %) Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral 16 (8.8 %) KPS 50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %) Number of progressions 1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %) Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)		225 (66.2.0/)	
Female 62 (34.3 %) Race Caucasian 172 (95.0 %) Other race 9 (5.0 %) Histology High-grade glioma 153 (84.5 %) Low-grade glioma 28 (15.5 %) Side of brain affected Right 83 (45.9 %) Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral 16 (8.8 %) KPS 50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %) Number of progressions 1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %) Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)		225 /66 2 0/1	0.9087
Race Caucasian 172 (95.0 %) Other race 9 (5.0 %) Histology High-grade glioma 153 (84.5 %) Low-grade glioma 28 (15.5 %) Side of brain affected Right 83 (45.9 %) Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral 16 (8.8 %) KPS 50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %) Number of progressions 1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %) Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	53 (33.3 %)	225 (66.2 %)	
Caucasian 172 (95.0 %) Other race 9 (5.0 %) Histology High-grade glioma 153 (84.5 %) Low-grade glioma 28 (15.5 %) Side of brain affected Right 83 (45.9 %) Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral 16 (8.8 %) KPS 50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %) Number of progressions 1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %) Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)		115 (33.8 %)	
Other race 9 (5.0 %) Histology High-grade glioma 153 (84.5 %) Low-grade glioma 28 (15.5 %) Side of brain affected Right 83 (45.9 %) Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral 16 (8.8 %) KPS 50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %) Number of progressions 1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %) Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)			0.8122
Histology High-grade glioma Low-grade glioma Side of brain affected Right Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral Roy No Solution Side of brain affected Right 83 (45.9 %) Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral Roy No Bilateral Roy Solution Roy Roy Solution Roy Roy Roy Roy Solution Roy	150 (94.3 %)	322 (94.7 %)	
High-grade glioma 153 (84.5 %) Low-grade glioma 28 (15.5 %) Side of brain affected Right 83 (45.9 %) Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral 16 (8.8 %) KPS 50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %) Number of progressions 1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %) Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	9 (5.7 %)	18 (5.3 %)	
Low-grade glioma Side of brain affected Right 83 (45.9 %) Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral 16 (8.8 %) KPS 50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %) Number of progressions 1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %) Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)			0.1483
Side of brain affected         Right       83 (45.9 %)         Left       82 (45.3 %)         Bilateral       16 (8.8 %)         KPS       50-80       79 (44.6 %)         90-100       98 (55.4 %)         Number of progressions       91 (50.3 %)         2       51 (28.2 %)         3+       39 (21.5 %)         Corticosteroid use       Yes         Yes       59 (32.6 %)         No       122 (67.4 %)         Antidepressant use       Yes         Yes       53 (29.3 %)         No       128 (70.7 %)         Stimulant use       Yes         Yes       15 (8.3 %)         No       166 (91.7 %)         Sleep aid use       Yes         Yes       29 (16.0 %)         No       152 (84.0 %)         Antipsychotic use       Yes         Yes       3 (1.7 %)         No       178 (98.3 %)         Anti-epileptic use       Yes         Yes       131 (72.4 %)         No       50 (27.6 %)	143 (89.9 %)	296 (87.1 %)	
Right	16 (10.1 %)	44 (12.9 %)	
Left 82 (45.3 %) Bilateral 16 (8.8 %) KPS 50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %) Number of progressions 1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %) Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)			0.1585
Bilateral 16 (8.8 %)  KPS  50-80 79 (44.6 %) 90-100 98 (55.4 %)  Number of progressions  1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %)  Corticosteroid use  Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %)  Antidepressant use  Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use  Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use  Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use  Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use  Yes 131 (72.4 %)	80 (50.3 %)	163 (47.9 %)	
KPS         50-80       79 (44.6 %)         90-100       98 (55.4 %)         Number of progressions         1       91 (50.3 %)         2       51 (28.2 %)         3+       39 (21.5 %)         Corticosteroid use         Yes       59 (32.6 %)         No       122 (67.4 %)         Antidepressant use         Yes       53 (29.3 %)         No       128 (70.7 %)         Stimulant use         Yes       15 (8.3 %)         No       166 (91.7 %)         Sleep aid use         Yes       29 (16.0 %)         No       152 (84.0 %)         Antipsychotic use         Yes       3 (1.7 %)         No       178 (98.3 %)         Anti-epileptic use         Yes       131 (72.4 %)         No       50 (27.6 %)	73 (45.9 %)	155 (45.6 %)	
50-80       79 (44.6 %)         90-100       98 (55.4 %)         Number of progressions       91 (50.3 %)         2       51 (28.2 %)         3+       39 (21.5 %)         Corticosteroid use       Yes         Yes       59 (32.6 %)         No       122 (67.4 %)         Antidepressant use       Yes         Yes       53 (29.3 %)         No       128 (70.7 %)         Stimulant use       Yes         Yes       15 (8.3 %)         No       166 (91.7 %)         Sleep aid use       Yes         Yes       29 (16.0 %)         No       152 (84.0 %)         Antipsychotic use       Yes         Yes       3 (1.7 %)         No       178 (98.3 %)         Anti-epileptic use       Yes         Yes       131 (72.4 %)         No       50 (27.6 %)	6 (3.8 %)	22 (6.5 %)	
90–100 98 (55.4 %)  Number of progressions  1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %)  Corticosteroid use  Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %)  Antidepressant use  Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use  Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use  Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use  Yes 3 (1.7 %)  No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use  Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)			0.0793
90–100 98 (55.4 %)  Number of progressions  1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %)  Corticosteroid use  Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %)  Antidepressant use  Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use  Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use  Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use  Yes 3 (1.7 %)  No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use  Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	86 (54.8 %)	165 (49.4 %)	
Number of progressions  1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %)  Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %)  Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	71 (45.2 %)	169 (50.6 %)	
1 91 (50.3 %) 2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %)  Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %)  Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)			0.4868
2 51 (28.2 %) 3+ 39 (21.5 %)  Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %)  Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	87 (54.7 %)	178 (52.4 %)	
3+ 39 (21.5 %)  Corticosteroid use  Yes 59 (32.6 %)  No 122 (67.4 %)  Antidepressant use  Yes 53 (29.3 %)  No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use  Yes 15 (8.3 %)  No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use  Yes 29 (16.0 %)  No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use  Yes 3 (1.7 %)  No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use  Yes 131 (72.4 %)  No 50 (27.6 %)	46 (28.9 %)	97 (28.5 %)	
Corticosteroid use Yes 59 (32.6 %) No 122 (67.4 %) Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	26 (16.4 %)	65 (19.1 %)	
Yes       59 (32.6 %)         No       122 (67.4 %)         Antidepressant use       Yes         Yes       53 (29.3 %)         No       128 (70.7 %)         Stimulant use       Yes         Yes       15 (8.3 %)         No       166 (91.7 %)         Sleep aid use       Yes         Yes       29 (16.0 %)         No       152 (84.0 %)         Antipsychotic use       Yes         Yes       3 (1.7 %)         No       178 (98.3 %)         Anti-epileptic use       Yes         Yes       131 (72.4 %)         No       50 (27.6 %)	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.0003
No 122 (67.4 %)  Antidepressant use  Yes 53 (29.3 %)  No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use  Yes 15 (8.3 %)  No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use  Yes 29 (16.0 %)  No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use  Yes 3 (1.7 %)  No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use  Yes 131 (72.4 %)  No 50 (27.6 %)	83 (52.2 %)	142 (41.8 %)	
Antidepressant use Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	76 (47.8 %)	198 (58.2 %)	
Yes 53 (29.3 %) No 128 (70.7 %) Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	( , . ,	(	>0.999
No 128 (70.7 %)  Stimulant use  Yes 15 (8.3 %)  No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use  Yes 29 (16.0 %)  No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use  Yes 3 (1.7 %)  No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use  Yes 131 (72.4 %)  No 50 (27.6 %)	46 (28.9 %)	99 (29.1 %)	
Stimulant use Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	113 (71.1 %)	241 (70.9 %)	
Yes 15 (8.3 %) No 166 (91.7 %) Sleep aid use Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	(, , , ,	2 (7 0.5 70)	>0.999
No 166 (91.7 %)  Sleep aid use  Yes 29 (16.0 %)  No 152 (84.0 %)  Antipsychotic use  Yes 3 (1.7 %)  No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use  Yes 131 (72.4 %)  No 50 (27.6 %)	14 (8.8 %)	29 (8.5 %)	, 0.555
Sleep aid use       29 (16.0 %)         No       152 (84.0 %)         Antipsychotic use       3 (1.7 %)         No       178 (98.3 %)         Anti-epileptic use       131 (72.4 %)         No       50 (27.6 %)	145 (91.2 %)	311 (91.5 %)	
Yes 29 (16.0 %) No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	(5 / 5)	311 (31.379)	0.0764
No 152 (84.0 %) Antipsychotic use Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	38 (23.9 %)	67 (19.7 %)	0.0701
Antipsychotic use  Yes 3 (1.7 %)  No 178 (98.3 %)  Anti-epileptic use  Yes 131 (72.4 %)  No 50 (27.6 %)	121 (76.1 %)	273 (80.3 %)	
Yes 3 (1.7 %) No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	121 (70.1 70)	273 (00.3 70)	>0.999
No 178 (98.3 %) Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	2 (1.3 %)	5 (1.5 %)	70.555
Anti-epileptic use Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	157 (98.7 %)	335 (98.5 %)	
Yes 131 (72.4 %) No 50 (27.6 %)	137 (30.7 70)	333 (20.3 70)	0.0725
No 50 (27.6 %)	129 (81.1 %)	260 (76.5 %)	0.0723
,	30 (18.9 %)	80 (23.5 %)	
Symptom, ratigue	30 (18.9 %)	00 (23.3 %)	0.0501
Absent 33 (18.2 %)	17 (10.7 %)	50 (14.7 %)	0.0501
Present 148 (81.8 %)	142 (89.3 %)	290 (85.3 %)	0.0005
Symptom: sedation	114/71 70/\	24F /72 1 0/\	0.8895
Absent 131 (72.4 %)	114 (71.7 %)	245 (72.1 %)	
Present 50 (27.6 %)	45 (28.3 %)	95 (27.9 %)	0.555
Symptom: confusion Absent 127 (70.2 %)		243 (71.5 %)	0.5697

Table 1 continued

Characteristic	Insomnia Absent (N = 181)	Insomnia Present (N = 159)	Total (N = 340)	p-value*
Present	54 (29.8 %)	43 (27.0 %)	97 (28.5 %)	
Symptom: mood disturbance				0.2438
Absent	107 (59.1 %)	84 (52.8 %)	191 (56.2 %)	
Present	74 (40.9 %)	75 (47.2 %)	149 (43.8 %)	

these symptoms with insomnia. In patients with insomnia, there was no statistically significant association between documented symptoms of sedation, confusion, and mood disturbance. In regards to the relationship between fatigue and insomnia, there was a trend to significance. Of the 159 patients endorsing some degree of insomnia, 142 (89 %) also complained of fatigue. p-value for this relationship was close to significance at 0.0501.

#### Discussion

Sleep disturbance in the form of insomnia remains a major quality of life concern in primary glioma patients. In this retrospective analysis of glioma patients common tumour variables, co-morbid medications, and subjective patient complaints were analyzed as to their roles in causing insomnia. Insomnia is an often minimized, but common symptom in all patients. Insomnia rates amongst healthy adult individuals are 13–33 % (Kaye et al. 1983; Cunnington et al. 2013). In contrast, cancer of any kind has been associated with 30–50 % insomnia rates in newly diagnosed patients, and in 23–44 % of cancer patients 2–5 years after initial diagnosis (Savard and Morin 2001; Savard et al. 2001, 2009). Insomnia in patients with cancer can be precipitated by many factors both from the cancer itself or its treatment (Savard et al. 2015).

Corticosteroid use has been associated with many side effects, including insomnia. Unfortunately, corticosteroid therapy is often a necessary treatment in the treatment of CNS neoplasms. A recent study demonstrated an insomnia rate of 22 % in patients being treated for brain metastases (Sturdza et al. 2008). No study to date has looked at insomnia related to corticosteroid use in a primary brain tumour population. In our current study, there is a clear relationship between corticosteroid use and insomnia. Eighty-three of the 142 total patients taking steroids (52 %) related some degree of insomnia. This represents a higher incidence of insomnia compared to the brain metastasis steroid users, which may relate to differences in amount of corticosteroid given, differing concomitant therapies or different underlying disease processes. There was also a relatively high percentage (47 %) of patients not prescribed corticosteroids who related symptoms of insomnia. This again may relate to disruption of circadian rhythms due to tumour location, burden of psychosocial pressures, or secondary to other therapeutic interventions.

While AED use associated with insomnia trended towards statistical significance in our glioma group, the multitude of pharmacologic options and varying pharmacologic mechanisms make a general analysis of this population difficult. Prior studies have looked at specific AEDs and their effects on sleep in healthy patients and those with underlying epilepsy. Antiepileptic classes such as benzodiazepines and barbiturates have been found to have detrimental effects on sleep (Bazil 2003). Barbiturates can decrease sleep latency and increase sleep continuity (Obermeyer and Benca 1996), but long term use has actually been associated with insomnia and depressed REM sleep (Wolf et al. 1984). Benzodiazepines have been found to decrease slow-wave sleep with prolonged use and withdrawal is associated with insomnia. Phenytoin decreases sleep latency, but has been associated with increased nocturnal awakenings and reduced REM sleep (Legros and Bazil 2003). Interestingly, gabapentin and lamotrigine have both been shown to increase REM sleep (Placidi et al. 2000). Levetiracetam was found to have no effect on number of awakenings, sleep efficiency or amount of REM, but patients subjectively perceive fewer awakenings, more restful sleep and decreased alertness in awakening.

Fatigue is also a common symptom both within the cancer population as a whole, and among patients with primary brain tumours. In a same by Kim et al. a linear regression analysis of sleep disturbance in the form of insomnia was a strong predictor of fatigue as scored on a Brief Fatigue Inventory (Kim et al. 2012). In the primary brain tumour patient cohort studied in this retrospective analysis, insomnia again proved to be a strong predictor of fatigue with over 89 % of patients with insomnia endorsing fatigue.

In conclusion, our retrospective analysis showed that insomnia is a common complaint in recurrent glioma patients, irrespective of grade, and is significantly associated with use of corticosteroids. Discussion of other concomitant medications, such as AEDs, and co-morbid symptoms, such as fatigue, should be performed in patients endorsing insomnia. Evaluation and treatment of insomnia and how it impacts a patient's quality of life is warranted in this population.

#### **Abbreviations**

AEDs: antiepileptics; CTCAE: common terminology criteria for adverse events; KPS: karnofsky performance status; mg: milligrams; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; REM: rapid eye movement.

#### Authors' contributions

MR participated in the analysis of the data and drafted the manuscript. FMcS: performed the statistical analysis. JEH: performed the statistical analysis. KBP: conceived the study, participated in the study, and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Departments of Neurology, Portsmouth Regional Hospital, Portsmouth, NH, USA. <sup>2</sup> Biostatistics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>3</sup> Neurology, Duke University Medical Center, PO Box 3624, Durham, NC 27710, USA.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank Mrs. Kelly Seagroves for outstanding administrative support.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 3 April 2015 Accepted: 15 June 2016

Published online: 21 June 2016

#### References

- Bazil CW (2003) Effects of antiepileptic drugs on sleep structure: are all drugs equal? CNS Drugs 17:719–728
- Cunnington D, Junge MF, Fernando AT (2013) Insomnia: prevalence, consequences and effective treatment. Med J Aust 199:S36–S40
- Gustafsson M, Edvardsson T, Ahlstrom G (2006) The relationship between function, quality of life and coping in patients with low-grade gliomas. Support Care Cancer 14:1205–1212
- Kaye J, Kaye K, Madow L (1983) Sleep patterns in patients with cancer and patients with cardiac disease. J Psychol 114:107–113

- Kim BR, Chun MH, Han EY, Kim DK (2012) Fatigue assessment and rehabilitation outcomes in patients with brain tumors. Support Care Cancer 20:805–812
- Legros B, Bazil CW (2003) Effects of antiepileptic drugs on sleep architecture: a pilot study. Sleep Med 4:51–55
- Obermeyer WH, Benca RM (1996) Effects of drugs on sleep. Neurol Clin 14:827–840
- Ohgaki H (2009) Epidemiology of brain tumors. Methods Mol Biol 472:323–342 Placidi F, Scalise A, Marciani MG, Romigi A, Diomedi M, Gigli GL (2000) Effect of antiepileptic drugs on sleep. Clin Neurophysiol 111(Suppl 2):S115–S119
- Savard J, Morin CM (2001) Insomnia in the context of cancer: a review of a neglected problem. J Clin Oncol 19:895–908
- Savard J, Simard S, Blanchet J, Ivers H, Morin CM (2001) Prevalence, clinical characteristics, and risk factors for insomnia in the context of breast cancer. Sleep 24:583–590
- Savard J, Villa J, Ivers H, Simard S, Morin CM (2009) Prevalence, natural course, and risk factors of insomnia comorbid with cancer over a 2-month period. J Clin Oncol 27:5233–5239
- Savard J, Ivers H, Savard MH, Morin CM (2015) Cancer treatments and their side effects are associated with aggravation of insomnia: results of a longitudinal study. Cancer 121:1703–1711
- Sturdza A, Millar BA, Bana N, Laperriere N, Pond G, Wong RK, Bezjak A (2008)

  The use and toxicity of steroids in the management of patients with brain metastases. Support Care Cancer 16:1041–1048
- Taphoorn MJ, Bottomley A (2005) Health-related quality of life and symptom research in glioblastoma multiforme patients. Exp Rev Pharm Outcomes Res 5:763–774
- Taphoorn MJ, Stupp R, Coens C, Osoba D, Kortmann R, van den Bent MJ, Mason W, Mirimanoff RO, Baumert BG, Eisenhauer E, Forsyth P, Bottomley A, European Organisation for R, Treatment of Cancer Brain Tumour G, Group ER, National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials G (2005) Health-related quality of life in patients with glioblastoma: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 6:937–944
- Wellisch DK, Kaleita TA, Freeman D, Cloughesy T, Goldman J (2002) Predicting major depression in brain tumor patients. Psychooncology 11:230–238
- Wolf P, Roder-Wanner UU, Brede M (1984) Influence of therapeutic phenobarbital and phenytoin medication on the polygraphic sleep of patients with epilepsy. Epilepsia 25:467–475

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com