

RESEARCH

Open Access

A note on 'Modified proof of Caristi's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2013, 2013:210'

Hassen Aydi¹, Erdal Karapinar² and Poom Kumam^{3*}See related article: <http://www.journalofinequalitiesandapplications.com/content/2013/1/210>.

*Correspondence:

poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th

³Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's
University of Technology Thonburi,
Bang Mod, Bangkok 10140, Thailand
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article**Abstract**

In this note, we emphasize that the proofs and statements of the main results of the paper 'Modified proof of Caristi's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces' (Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2013, 2013:210) do not have any utility to use the partial metric. Hence, it has no contribution to either partial metric theory or Caristi-type fixed point problems.

MSC: 47H10; 54H25**Keywords:** Caristi's fixed point theorem; partial metric space; lower semi-continuous function

In the following, we use the same definitions, notations and structures given in [1]. We start first with Caristi's [2] fixed point theorem.

Theorem 1.1 [2] *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ and let ϕ be a lower semi-continuous function from X into $[0, \infty)$. Assume that $d(x, f(x)) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Then f has a fixed point in X .*

Lemma 2.3 [3] *Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and let $p^s : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by*

$$p^s(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y) \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, p^s) is a metric space.

To emphasize that the function given in (1) is a metric, we use the notation d_p instead of p^s , that is,

$$d_p(x, y) = p^s(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \quad (2)$$

Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Following [1], consider $\phi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ not necessarily a continuous function such that

$$2p(x, g(x)) - p(x, x) - p(g(x), g(x)) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(g(x)), \quad x \in X.$$

By (2), we can write

$$d_p(x, g(x)) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(g(x)).$$

The author [1] defines the class of mappings Φ and Φ_g as follows:

$$\Phi = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow X \text{ and } 2p(x, f(x)) - p(x, x) - p(f(x), f(x)) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(f(x))\}$$

and

$$\Phi_g = \{f \mid f \in \Phi \text{ and } \phi(f) \leq \phi(g)\}.$$

We re-write Φ as

$$\Phi = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow X \text{ and } d_p(x, f(x)) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(f(x))\}.$$

It is well known also that (X, p) is complete if and only if (X, d_p) is complete (see, e.g., [3, 4]).

Under these observations, keeping (2) in mind, we conclude that Lemma 3.1 in [1] remains true without using any properties of a partial metric. On the other hand, in Lemma 3.2 in [1] the completeness assumption is missed. It can be re-formulated correctly as follows.

Updated Lemma 3.2 [1] *Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a complete partial metric space (X, p) such that*

$$d_p(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \phi(x_n) - \phi(x_{n+1}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where ϕ is a lower semi-continuous function. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \bar{x}$ and $d_p(\bar{x}, x_n) \leq \phi(x_n) - \phi(\bar{x})$ for each n .

Moreover, in Definition 2.2 in [1], the open and closed balls associated to a partial metric p are not defined correctly, because the term $p(x, x)$ is missing, that is, we should have

$$B_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in X, p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{B}_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in X, p(x, y) \leq p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}.$$

It is clear that there is nothing in this paper [1] to prove. Indeed, the main result of [1] is a consequence of Theorem 1.1.

The following definition already exists in the literature.

Definition 3.3 (cf. [1]) *Let (X, p) be a partial metric space.*

(1) For $A \subset X$, define the diameter of a subset A , written $D(A)$, by

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= \sup_{(x_i, x_j) \in A} \{2p(x_i, x_j) - p(x_i, x_i) - p(x_j, x_j)\} \\ &= \sup_{(x_i, x_j) \in A} d_p(x_i, x_j). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $r(A) = \inf_{x \in A} (\phi(x))$. Note that $B \subset A$ implies $r(B) \geq r(A)$.

(3) Let $\Phi' \subset \Phi$. For each $x \in X$, define $S_x = \{f(x) | f \in \Phi'\}$.

Keeping (2) in mind, we conclude easily.

Lemma 3.4 [1] $D(S_x) \leq 2(\phi(x) - r(S_x))$.

Consequently, we derive Theorem 3.5 in [1] without using any property of the partial metric. As a conclusion, this paper is just a repetition of usual results by using equality (2).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Jubail College of Education, Dammam University, P.O. Box 12020, Jubail, 31961, Saudi Arabia. ²Department of Mathematics, Atılım University, Incek, Ankara 06836, Turkey. ³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Bang Mod, Bangkok 10140, Thailand.

Received: 8 May 2013 Accepted: 12 July 2013 Published: 29 July 2013

References

1. Klin-eam, C: Modified proof of Caristi's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 210 (2013)
2. Caristi, J: Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **215**, 241-251 (1976)
3. Matthews, SG: Partial metric topology. Research Report 212. Dept. of Computer Science. University of Warwick (1992)
4. Karapinar, E: Generalizations of Caristi Kirk's theorem on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 4 (2011)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-355

Cite this article as: Aydi et al.: A note on 'Modified proof of Caristi's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013, 2013:210'. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 2013:355.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com