Dodd et al. Trials 2013, **14**(Suppl 1):O37 http://www.trialsjournal.com/content/14/S1/O37



ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Adjusting for treatment changes in epilepsy: causal analysis of randomised controlled trial survival outcomes in the presence of departure from randomised treatment

Susanna Dodd^{1*}, Paula Williamson¹, Ruwanthi Kolamunnage Dona¹, Marta Van der Hoek¹, Ian White²

From 2nd Clinical Trials Methodology Conference: Methodology Matters Edinburgh, UK. 18-19 November 2013

Treatment changes are typical in epilepsy because of the chronic nature of the disease and common problems with treatment, most typically inadequate seizure control and unacceptable adverse effects. Randomised trials in epilepsy often adopt a pragmatic approach to treatment changes, in order to cater for patient needs and mirror the real-life experience of patients. Trial patients may experience multiple treatment changes taking a variety of forms, including addition of alternative treatment(s), switching to other treatment(s) and complete withdrawal from all treatment.

Primary analysis of trial data is usually based on the principle of intention to treat (ITT), which ignores such treatment changes and thus avoids any selection bias that may be introduced by changes from randomised treatment. ITT however only allows estimation of the effectiveness of treatment, rather than the true efficacy (or causal effect) of treatment, which is of particular interest to patients and clinicians alike in this setting.

Methods such as per protocol or as treated analyses are commonly implemented to estimate causal effects, but are often biased because treatment changes are typically associated with prognostic factors. There exist alternative statistical methods to estimate causal effects of treatment whilst avoiding such bias, such as the rank preserving structural failure time model (RPSTFM) and inverse probability of censoring weighting (IPCW) models, but these methods are not well known and require certain assumptions. I will discuss the challenges of adjusting for treatment changes in epilepsy and demonstrate the

RPSTFM and IPCW methods, discussing their advantages and disadvantages in this setting.

Authors' details

¹University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. ²MRC Biostatistics Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

Published: 29 November 2013

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-14-S1-O37

Cite this article as: Dodd *et al.*: Adjusting for treatment changes in epilepsy: causal analysis of randomised controlled trial survival outcomes in the presence of departure from randomised treatment. *Trials* 2013 14(Suppl 1):O37.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit



¹University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

