RESEARCH

Parasites & Vectors





Assessment of diagnostic doses for widely used synthetic pyrethroids (Deltamethrin & Permethrin) in an endemic focus of leishmaniasis in Turkey

Mehmet Karakuş^{1*}, Yasemen Sarıkaya², Gizem Oğuz², Mert Doğan², Gökhan Ergan², Filiz Günay², Özge Erişöz Kasap², Yusuf Özbel¹ and Bülent Alten²

Abstract

Background: *Leishmania* is a group of parasitic flagellated protozoons, which are transmitted by female sand flies and produces health problems in humans and also in wild and domestic animals. So far, 25 *Phlebotomus* and 4 *Sergentomyia* species were recorded in Turkey including proven or possible vectors of *Leishmania* spp. As no single insecticide susceptibility test was conducted targeting the sand flies in Turkey, we aimed to determine the diagnostic dose against two commonly used synthetic pyrethroids (deltamethrin and permethrin) in a hyperendemic area for leishmaniasis.

Methods: Sand flies were collected from villages of Adana in 2–4 September 2013 using Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) light traps and transferred to the laboratory. The World Health Organisation tube test method was conducted using self-prepared filter papers with different concentrations. In order to determine the diagnostic dose, lethal doses (LD) were calculated by EPA Probit Analysis. Sand flies used in the experiments were dissected, mounted and identified.

Results: For the lowest (0.025 %) and highest dose of permethrin (0.5 %), the mortality rate was recorded as 52.6 % and 100 % by the end of 24-h period and the diagnostic dose was recorded as 0.36 %. The mortality rate for lowest (0.0025 %) and highest (0.05 %) doses of deltamethrin was recorded as 54.8 % and 100 %. The diagnostic dose of deltamethrin was determined as 0.9 %.

Conclusion: An insecticide susceptibility study was conducted in Turkey for the first time and effective doses were determined by calculating the LDs. According to presented results, the wild population of sand flies collected from a hyper-endemic region of Adana Province is still susceptible to deltamethrin and permethrin.

Keywords: Phlebotomus, Insecticide resistance, Pyrethroids, Deltamethrin, Permethrin, Adana, Turkey

Background

Phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Pyschodidae) are the main vectors of leishmaniasis and a group of viruses called Phleboviruses in the Mediterranean region [1]. In Turkey, two clinical forms of leishmaniasis, namely cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) and visceral leishmaniasis (VL), are reported. Although the exact incidence of CL is estimated to be much higher than the reported cases, approximately 50,000 cases were reported between 1990–2012 according to the Ministry of Health [2, 3].

Cutaneous leishmaniasis incidence in the Çukurova region was reported previously and the vector species was shown to be *Phlebotomus tobbi* [4, 5]. In Çukurova, the causative agents for CL were previously reported to be *Leishmania infantum* and *L. tropica. Leishmania donovani* and *L. major* were also reported as causative agents in the same area according to a recent investigation of Koltas et al. [6]. Detection of a new phlebovirus in



© 2016 The Author(s). **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: mehmetk1986@yahoo.com

¹Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Ege University, Bornova, İzmir, Turkey

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Çukurova highlights the importance of future epidemiological and the entomological studies [7]. Although sand fly fauna of this area and the seasonal dynamics of the vectors has been well documented [8, 9], no study has been performed to measure insecticide resistance of vector sand fly species.

Synthetic pyrethroids has been in use for vector control, in many developed and developing countries since their introduction in 1940s [10]. The use of insecticides for pest or vector control has applied in most malaria endemic countries [11, 12]. In Turkey, vector control programs are mainly targeting mosquitoes; however, no national campaign has been evaluated in order to control Phlebotomine sand flies. Improper, disorganized and uniform usage of insecticides for vector control has led to the development of insecticide resistance in insects and arthropod vectors in tropical and subtropical countries [13]. As the most significant result of the extensive and intensive use of insecticides is the development of resistance against insecticides [14], monitoring of resistance is a necessary element of any medium or largescale deployment of an insecticidal intervention [15].

The World Health Organization (WHO) exposure kit is widely used for laboratory and field collected sand flies to determine the effective dosages of desired active ingredients [16, 17]. WHO tube test can be performed to measure the insecticide resistance and susceptibility by using insecticide impregnated papers. A standard paper, which is impregnated with different concentrations of insecticides can be obtained from WHO or can be prepared manually by following the previously reported procedure [15].

National control measures against leishmaniasis include disease notification and treatment of patients, but not specific vector control activities. Because of this, effectiveness of the insecticides to those vector sand flies is unknown. Thus, we aimed to determine the diagnostic dosages for widely used synthetic pyrethroids using WHO tube test and wild caught sand flies in an endemic focus of leishmaniasis in Turkey.

Methods

Study area and sand fly collection

The sampling was done in villages of Adana (lat $37^{\circ}15'$ 44.8 "N, lon $35^{\circ}39'24.1$ "E) between 2–4 September 2013 using Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) light traps (John W. Hock Company, Gainesville, USA). Light traps were set up at late afternoon and collected by dawn. Alive unfed female sand flies were separated from trap cages using mouth aspirators and transferred to special cones in order to transport to the laboratory, where the bioassay were conducted. Sand flies were kept under stable appropriate conditions (25 ± 2 °C and 70 % ± 10 % relative humidity) during the bioassay period.

Susceptibility tests

The choice of these two insecticides was justified by their widely usage in Turkey. All plastic equipment needed for the tube test and standard doses of permethrin and deltamethrin are procured from WHOs sales office in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Since there is no standard procedure or baseline insecticide dosage for sand flies described previously in Turkey, different concentrations for both deltamethrin (0.0025 %, 0.005 %, 0.01 %, 0.025 %, 0.05 %) and permethrin (0.025 %, 0.05 %, 0.1 %, 0.25 %, 0.5 %) were prepared by diluting the active ingredient in butanone, which is also obtained from the WHO. Accordingly, control papers were prepared using only butanone. Insecticide impregnated papers used in the present study were prepared using 12×15 cm Whatman No. 1 filter papers (Whatman, Madstone, United Kingdom) as noted on WHO Pesticide Evaluation Scheme (WHOPES) [15].

Unfed female sand flies were transferred to exposure tubes with a maximum number of 20 for each tube and were gently transferred to holding tubes after one-hour exposure period. Sugar soaked cotton (10 %) was placed on top of each holding tube and renewed every one hour. Six replicates for each concentration were performed. Control test tubes carrying control papers were also held parallel to each set of tests. The results obtained by each replicate were pooled for diagnostic dose analysis. All bioassays were conducted under stable conditions (25 ± 2 °C and 75 % ± 10 % relative humidity) as stated on WHOPES previously [15].

Assessment of diagnostic doses

To determine the lethal dose 50, 90 and 99 (LD_{50} , LD_{90} , LD_{99}) for each dilution of the insecticide, mortality was recorded for 1, 3, 6, 12 and 24-h after exposure. Lethal doses and 95 % confidential intervals were calculated using EPA Probit Analysis Software V1.5 [18]. Probit regression lines were illustrated and the Chi-square test for heterogeneity was calculated using the output file of Probit analysis for each insecticide. The slope for LD values were recorded.

Identification of sand fly species

Following the bioassays, all specimens were transferred to 70 % ethanol for species identification. Sand flies were dissected, mounted and identified using the keys and descriptions presented previously [19–21].

Results

Assessment of diagnostic dose for permethrin

In total, 882 specimens were exposed to 5 different dilutions of permethrin and diagnostic dose, which was calculated as 0.368 by doubling the LD_{99} value, obtained by Probit analysis. For the lowest dose of permethrin (0.025 %), mortality of 52.6 % was recorded by the end of the 24-h period. For the following doses (0.05 %, 0.1 %, 0.25 % and 0.5 %) mortality rates of 65.3 %, 81.5 %, 92.8 % and 100 %, respectively, were recorded. A total of 113 specimens were used in the control group and 3.5 % mortality was observed. According to WHO, all bioassays were accepted as valid since the mortality of the control group observed to be lower than 20 % (Fig. 1).

Assessment of diagnostic dose for deltamethrin

A total of 846 specimens were exposed to 5 different doses of deltamethrin, and the diagnostic dose was noted as 0.9 % by doubling the LD₉₉ value. Mortality for the lowest dose of deltamethrin (0.0025 %) was found to be 54.8 % by the end of the 24-h period. For the following doses of deltamethrin (0.005 %, 0.01 %, 0.025 %, 0.05 %), mortalities were noted as 78.9 %, 83.1 %, 93.2 % and 100 %, respectively. The control group was conducted using 118 specimens and 4.2 % mortality was observed by the end of 24-h period. (Fig. 2). LD values, diagnostic doses, *P*-values and Chi-square data were shown in table (Table 1).

Assessment of diagnostic doses for Phlebotomus tobbi

Of the 1,959 specimens tested, 948 of them were classified as *P. tobbi*. Results of the experiments were evaluated separately and Probit analysis was done particularly to *P. tobbi*. A total of 948 specimens were exposed to 5 different doses of both deltamethrin and permethrin and diagnostic doses were noted as 0.34 % and 0.75 % by doubling the LD₉₉ value, respectively. Mortality was found to be 52.7 % and 43.7 % by the end of 24-h period for the lowest doses of deltamethrin (0.0025 %) and permethrin (0.025 %). For the highest tested doses of deltamethrin (0.05 %) and Permethrin (0.5 %), 100 % death rate was observed by the end of 24-h period. (Fig. 3).

Sand fly species used in the experiments

In total, 1,959 (1,728 in the exposure tests and 231 in the control group) sand flies used in the bioassays were identified and 5 species belonging to two genera, *Phlebotomus* [*P. tobbi* 48.3 %, *P. papatasi* 23.1 %, *P. perfiliewi* 18.4 % and 3.1 % *P. major* (*s.l.*)] and *Sergentomyia* (7.1 % *S. dentata*) were identified.

Discussion

Phlebotomine sand flies are the main vectors of *Leishmania* spp. and also play a role in the transmission of arboviruses (Phleboviruses) and bacteria (*Bartonella bacilliformis*) to both humans and animals. So far, 25 *Phlebotomus* and four *Sergentomyia* species were recorded in Turkey including proven or possible vectors of leishmaniasis and other sand fly borne diseases [4, 8, 22]. The national leishmaniasis control program is currently covers patient treatment free-of-charge, but there is no vector control program was conducted in Turkey. However, indoor residual spraying has mainly been used for malaria control programs in most of the regions in the country and it affects other insects including sand flies.

The resistance status of commonly used chemicals to mosquitoes was studied in Çukurova plain since 1959 and developed resistance was shown in natural mosquito populations of Adana province [10, 23]. Due to sharing the same habitat with mosquitoes, the development of insecticide resistance in sand flies is inevitable. Diagnostic dose analyses were also done with the proven vector species *P. tobbi*. According to our results, LD values of





both insecticides were noted to be lower in *P. tobbi* specimens. Different sand fly species do not necessarily share the same resistance mechanism, nor do they exhibit the same resistance patterns. In the present study, the diagnostic dose of deltamethrin (0.34 %) and permethrin (0.75 %) were evaluated between 95 % confidential intervals by EPA Probit Analysis.

The suitability of WHO bioassay for determining the insecticide susceptibility is widely accepted on sand flies as well as on other insect species [24–26]. Another tool for screening the susceptibility of sand flies to desired insecticides is the CDC bottle bioassay tests [15, 27]. There are several concentrations and methods, applied to measure the insecticide susceptibility, but no standard dose or method was determined for sand flies [26, 28]. In previous studies, researchers modified the CDC bottle bioassay by using different size of glass bottles [29, 30]. This resulted in different percentages of mortality. Denlinger et al. [29] reported that mortality percentage was higher in small glass bottles, in comparison with

Table 1 Probit analysis results of deltamethrin and permethrin

	Deltamethrin	Permethrin
Number exposed	882	846
LD ₅₀ % (95 % Cl ^a)	0.002 (0.002-0.003)	0.007 (0.001-0.015)
LD ₉₀ % (95 % Cl ^a)	0.027 (0.019–0.044)	0.238 (0.152-0.480)
LD ₉₉ % (95 % Cl ^a)	0.184 (0.094–0.537)	0.450 (0.312-1.702)
Diagnostic dose	0.368	0.9
X ²	6.614	1.943
<i>P</i> -value	0.079	0.064

^aUpper and Lower Limits with 95 % confidence intervals

the big glass bottles. Since the method used was not universal, the results obtained in these studies cannot be compared between different labs. In the present study, no modifications were made on WHO tube test equipment's in order to compare the results with other laboratories that using the same standards of WHO.

The characteristics of the pyrethroids used in this study are known to be different. Type I pyrethroids including permethrin are more likely to cause knockdown in a very short time period, while Type II pryethroids are more likely to cause mortality [31]. In the present study we noted that LD_{50} value of permethrin was almost 3 times higher than the LD_{50} value of deltamethrin (Table 1). This difference in LD values does not arise because of resistance, but most likely because it is a different class of insecticide. Previous studies correlate with our findings, which state that, high concentration of permethrin is needed to cause the same percentage of mortality compared to deltamethrin [29, 32, 33].

As a results of exposure to pyrethroids and DDT, shedding of the legs is an important sub-lethal effect in sand flies. This effect of prethroids were observed in different studies using deltamethrin, permethrin and lambda-cyhalothrin [34]. It was previously suggested that, shedding of legs will reduce the blood-feeding behavior of the sand flies and will help to control leishmaniasis [34]. However, contradictory results were obtained by Denlinger et al. [29], who suggested that sand flies that have shed their legs are still capable of feeding on anesthetized mice. In the present study, shedding of legs was observed in each concentration of deltamethrin and permethrin. In the lowest concentration group, sand



flies with shed legs survived by the end of 24-h period. Rogers et al. [35] reported that the infection with *Leish-mania* will manipulate the sand fly to increase their biting frequency. It is clear that ineffective doses will cause sand flies to shed their legs but this will not obstruct their role in the transmission of *Leishmania*.

As stated on WHO and CDC manuals for insecticide tests, only unfed female specimens can be used in the experiments [15]. In a previous study, researchers reported that relative toxicity of the insecticides can be assessed by using male specimens in the insecticide susceptibility experiments [30]. Because of the physiological features and body size changes according to the gender of insects, we decided to use only non-blood-fed female specimens in each experiments as well as in control group. The use of different methods in the insecticide susceptibility tests makes it harder to compare and/or combine the data obtained from different research facilities.

The results of previous studies have shown that sand flies are less likely to develop resistance and are still susceptible to the majority of the available insecticides, which are actively in use [36–38]. Molecular approaches were also evaluated to test the pyrethroid resistance and failed to detect resistance among wild populations [39]. Our findings were similar to those previous insecticide susceptibility studies. Pyrethroid resistance in mosquitos were demonstrated in the study area previously, but the findings of the present study suggest that the sand fly populations of the study area are still susceptible to these insecticides [40].

Study limitations

Because there is no published study about the baseline dose for pyrethroid insecticides in the study area, we performed the diagnostic dose assessment tests using the standard doses for deltamethrin and permethrin, which evaluated by WHO. Because of the species identification can only made after the bioassays in wild-caught experiments, we could not assess the LD values particular to pathogenic species and LD values couldn't be determined on species level.

Conclusion

This is the first study that aims to assess the diagnostic dose for commonly used synthetic pyrethroids in an leishmaniasis endemic area in Turkey. Improper, disorganized and uniform usage of the insecticides for vector control will lead to the development of insecticide resistance in vector species. Routine monitoring of insecticide resistance in the natural populations of vectors is necessary and will helps to detect early resistance and improve effectiveness of operational control strategies. The data obtained from this study will help to national vector control programs to use appropriate and effective insecticide applications. Further studies are needed to evaluate diagnostic doses for *P. papatasi* and for other possible vector species in the study area.

Abbreviations

CDC: Centers for disease control and prevention; CL: Cutaneous leishmaniasis; LD: Lethal dose; VL: Visceral leishmaniasis; WHO: World Health Organization; WHOPES: World Health Organization Pesticide Evaluation Scheme

Acknowledgements

We would like to dedicate this paper to Prof. Dr. Mehmet Ali Özcel, the founder and honorary president of the Parasitology Department of Ege University Medical School. We also thank Prof. Luigi Gradoni from Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy for his valuable comments about WHO test techniques.

Funding

This study was partially supported by The Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center, Global Emerging Infections Surveillance and Response System (AFHSC-GEIS), United States (with Yvonne Marie-Linton as the principal investigator). This manuscript was prepared whilst YML held a National Research Council (NRC) ResearchAssociateship Award at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research. This research was performed in part under a Memorandum of Understanding between the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research and the Smithsonian Institution, with institutional support provided by both organizations. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The material to be published reflects the views of the authors and should not be construed to represent those of the US Department of the Army or the US Department of Defense.

This work was partially supported by EU grant FP7-261504 EDENext and is catalogued by the EDENext Steering Committee as EDENext451 (http://www.edenext.eu). The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission.

Availability of data and material

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

MK, YO, BA, FG, OEK designed the study. The sample collections were conducted by YS, BA, OEK, FG and GO. All authors contributed to bioassays. MK, OEK, FG and BA contributed to writing the draft. Dissection and species identifications were made by MK. All authors read and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Authors' information

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declared that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Author details

¹Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Ege University, Bornova, İzmir, Turkey. ²Department of Biology, Ecology Division, HUESRL-VERG Iaboratories, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.

Received: 16 May 2016 Accepted: 22 September 2016 Published online: 29 September 2016

References

- Ready PD. Biology of phlebotomine sand flies as vectors of disease agents. Annu Rev Entomol. 2013;58:227–50.
- Ok UZ, Balcioğlu IC, Taylan Özkan A, Özensoy S, Özbel Y. Leishmaniasis in Turkey. Acta Trop. 2002;84:43–8.
- Gürel MS, Yeşilova Y, Olgen MK, Ozbel Y. Cutaneous leishmaniasis in Turkey. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2012;36:121–9.
- Svobodová M, Alten B, Zídková L, Dvořák V, Hlavačková J, Myšková J, et al. Cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania infantum* transmitted by *Phlebotomus tobbi*. Int J Parasitol. 2009;39:251–6.
- Gunay F, Karakus M, Oguz G, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of Olyset[®] Plus in a village-based cohort study in the Cukurova Plain, Turkey, in an area of hyperendemic cutaneous leishmaniasis. J Vector Ecol. 2014;39:395–405.
- Koltas IS, Eroglu F, Alabaz D, Uzun S. The emergence of *Leishmania major* and *Leishmania donovani* in southern Turkey. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2014;108(3):154–8.
- Alkan C, Alwassouf S, Piorkowski G, et al. Isolation, genetic characterization, and seroprevalence of Adana virus, a novel phlebovirus belonging to the Salehabad virus complex, in Turkey. Williams B, ed. J Virol. 2015;89(8):4080–91.
- Kasap OE, Votypka J. Alten B The distribution of the *Phlebotomus major* complex (Diptera: Psychodidae) in Turkey. Acta Trop. 2013;127:204–11.
- Belen A, Alten B. Seasonal dynamics and altitudinal distributions of sand fly (Diptera: Psychodidae) populations in a cutaneous leishmaniasis endemic area of the Cukurova region of Turkey. J Vector Ecol. 2011;36 Suppl 1:87–94.
- 10. Curtis TJ. Status of mosquito and fly insecticide susceptibility in Turkey. Mosq News. 1986;22:142–8.

- Tomlin RS, Forrest L, Pu MM, Kim MH. Discourse semantics. In: van Dijk TA, editor. Discourse as Structure and Process, vol. 1. London: Sage; 1997. p. 63–111.
- 12. Koçak O. Pest Management. Hacettepe University, Technology Research Center, Insecticide Application Facility. Ankara: 1998.
- Singh RK, Mittal PK, Dhiman RC. Insecticide susceptibility status of *Phlebotomus argentipes*, a vector of visceral leishmaniasis in different foci in three states of India. J Borne Dis. 2012;49:254–7.
- Marriela NB, Tomé HW, Guedes RCN. Martinsa GFF Deltamethrin- mediated survival, behavior, and oenocyte morphology of insecticide- susceptible and resistant yellow fever mosquitos (*Aedes aegypti*). Acta Trop. 2016;158:88–96.
- 15. World Health Organization (WHO). Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vector mosquitoes. Geneva: WHO; 2013.
- Perea EZ, Leo'n RB, Salcedo MP, Brogdon WG, Devine GJ. Adaptation and evaluation of the bottle assay for monitoring insecticide resistance in disease vector mosquitoes in the Peruvian Amazon. Malar J. 2009;8:208.
- 17. Aizoun N, Osse R, Azondekon R, Alia R, Oussou O, Gnanguenon V, et al. Comparison of the standard WHO susceptibility tests and the CDC bottle bioassay for the determination of insecticide susceptibility in malaria vectors and their correlation with biochemical and molecular biology assays in Benin, West Africa. Parasit Vectors. 2013;6:147.
- Finney DJ. Probit analysis. 3rd ed. London: Cambridge University Press; 1971. p. 333.
- Perfil'ev PP. Phlebotomidae (sandflies). In: Theodor O, editor. Fauna of USSR, vol. 3. Jerusalem: No:2 Wiener Bindery Ltd; 1968.
- 20. Lewis DJ. Phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Pyschodidae) from the Oriental Region. Syst Entomol. 1987;12:163–80.
- Killick-Kendrick R, Tang Y, Killick-Kendrick M, Sang DK, Sirdar MK, Ke L, et al. The identification of female sandflies of the subgenus *Larroussius* by the morphology of the spermathecal ducts. Parassitologia. 1991;33:335–47.
- Kasap OE, Dvorak V, Depaquit J, et al. Phylogeography of the subgenus *Transphlebotomus* Artemiev with description of two new species, *Phlebotomus anatolicus* n. sp. and *Phlebotomus killicki* n. sp. Infect Genet Evol. 2015;34:467–49.
- 23. Gokberk C. Increase in incidence of malaria cases in Adana region, Turkey, on the resistance of vector *A. sacharovi* to insecticides. Riv Malariol. 1959;38:197–211.
- Braverman Y, Chizov-Ginzburg A, Pener H, Wilamowski A. Susceptibility and repellency of *Culicoides imicola* and *Culex pipiens* to lambda-cyhalothrin. Vet Ital. 2004;40:336–9.
- Ocampo CB, Salazar-Terreros MJ, Mina NJ, McAllister J. Brogdon W Insecticide resistance status of *Aedes aegypti* in 10 localities in Colombia. Acta Trop. 2011;118:37–44.
- Faraj C, Ouahabi S, el Adlaoui B, El Elkohli M, Lakraa L, El Rhazi M, Ameur B. Insecticide susceptibility status of *Phlebotomus (Paraphlebotomus) sergenti* and *Phlebotomus (Phlebotomus) papatasi* in endemic foci of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Morocco. Parasit Vectors. 2012;5:51–7.
- 27. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Methods in Anopheles Research. Secondth ed. Atlanta: CDC Technical Report; 2010.
- Maroli M, Cianchi T, Bianchi R, Khoury C. Testing insecticide susceptibility of *Phlebotomus perniciosus* and *P. papatasi* (Diptera: Psychodidae) in Italy. Ann 1st Super Sanita. 2002;38:419–23.
- Denlinger DS, Lozano-Fuentes S, Lawyer PG, Black WC, Bernhardt SA. Assessing insecticide susceptibility of laboratory *Lutzomyia longipalpis* and *Phlebotomus papatasi* sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae: Phlebotominae). J Med Entomol. 2015;5:1003–12.
- Li AY, Pérez de León AA, Linthicum KJ, Britch SC, Bast JD, Debboun M. Baseline susceptibility to pyrethroid and organophosphate insecticides in two Old World sand fly species (Diptera: Psychodidae). US Army Med Dep J. 2015:3–9.
- Davies TGE, Field LM, Usherwood PNR, Williamson MS. DDT, pyrethrin, pyrethroids, and insect sodium channels. IUBMB Life. 2007;59:151–62.
- Fletcher MG, Axtell RC. Susceptibility of the bedbug, *Cimex lectularis*, to selected insecticides and various treated surfaces. Med Vet Entomol. 1993;7:69–72.
- Jirakanjanakit N, Rongnoparut P, Saengtharatip S, Chareonviriyaphap T, Duchon S, Bellec C, Yoksan S. Insecticide susceptible/resistant status in Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti and Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand during 2003–2005. J Econ Entomol. 2007;100:545–50.

- Alexander B, Barros VC, de Souza SF, Barros SS, Teodoro LP, Soares ZR, et al. Susceptibility to chemical insecticides of two Brazilian populations of the visceral leishmaniasis vector *Lutzomyia longipalpis* (Diptera: Psychodidae). Trop Med Int Health. 2009;14:1272–7.
- 35. Rogers ME, Bates PA. *Leishmania* manipulation of sand fly feeding behavior results in enhanced transmission. PLoS Pathog. 2007;3:e91.
- Aboul Ela RG, Morsy TA, El Gosamy BMR, Ragheb DA. The susceptibility of the Egyptian *Phlebotomus papatasi* to five Insecticides. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 1993;23(1):69–94.
- Tetreault GE, Zayed AE, Hanafi HA, Beavers GM, Zeichner BC. Susceptibility of sand flies to selected insecticides in North Africa and the Middle East. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2001;17(1):23–7.
- Mazzarri MB, Feliciangeli MD, Maroli M, Hernandez A. Bravo A Susceptibility of *Lutzomyia longipalpis* (Diptera: Psychodidae) to selected insecticides in an endemic focus of visceral leishmaniasis in Venezuela. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 1997;13(4):335–41.
- Fawaz EY, Zayed AB, Fahmy NT, Villinski JT, Hoel DF, Diclaro JW. Pyrethroid insecticide resistance mechanisms in the adult *Phlebotomus papatasi* (Diptera: Psychodidae). J Med Entomol. 2016;53:620–8.
- Luleyap HU, Alptekin D, Kasap H, Kasap M. Detection of knockdown resistance mutations in *Anopheles sacharovi* (Diptera: Culicidae) and genetic distance with *Anopheles gambiae* (Diptera: Culicidae) using cDNA sequencing of the voltage-gated sodium channel gene. J Med Entomol. 2002;39:870–4.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

