provided by Springer - Publisher Connector

Des. Codes Cryptogr. DOI 10.1007/s10623-016-0223-6



brought to you by

CORE

# The uniqueness of a distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27, 8, 1; 1, 4, 27, 32} and related results

Leonard H. Soicher<sup>1</sup>

Received: 18 December 2015 / Revised: 2 May 2016 / Accepted: 4 May 2016 © The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** It is known that, up to isomorphism, there is a unique distance-regular graph  $\Delta$  with intersection array {32, 27; 1, 12} [equivalently,  $\Delta$  is the unique strongly regular graph with parameters (105, 32, 4, 12)]. Here we investigate the distance-regular antipodal covers of  $\Delta$ . We show that, up to isomorphism, there is just one distance-regular antipodal triple cover of  $\Delta$  (a graph  $\hat{\Delta}$  discovered by the author over 20 years ago), proving that there is a unique distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27, 8, 1; 1, 4, 27, 32}. In the process, we confirm an unpublished result of Steve Linton that there is no distance-regular antipodal double cover of  $\Delta$ , and so no distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27, 9, 1; 1, 3, 27, 32}, and that there is no distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27, 9, 1; 1, 3, 27, 32}, and that there is no distance-regular antipodal 6-cover of  $\Delta$  that is a double cover of  $\hat{\Delta}$ .

**Keywords** Distance-regular graph · Strongly regular graph · Antipodal cover · Fundamental group

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 05E30; Secondary 05E45 · 55-04

Dedicated to Andries Brouwer on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

This is one of several papers published in *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* comprising the special issue in honor of Andries Brouwer's 65th birthday.

Leonard H. Soicher l.h.soicher@qmul.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK

### **1** Introduction

A graph  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular with intersection array

$$\{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d\}$$

if  $\Gamma$  is connected with diameter *d*, and for i = 0, 1, ..., d, whenever vertices v, w are at distance *i* in  $\Gamma$ , there are exactly  $b_i$  vertices adjacent to *w* at distance i + 1 from *v* and exactly  $c_i$  vertices adjacent to *w* at distance i - 1 from *v* (with the convention that  $b_d = c_0 = 0$ ). Distance-regular graphs occur in many areas of discrete mathematics, including coding theory, design theory and finite geometry. See, for example, the encyclopaedic reference [2] by Brouwer et al. The present paper is a contribution to the problem of determining the distance-regular graphs with a given intersection array.

We shall investigate the antipodal distance-regular covers of the Goethals-Seidel graph [9], the unique (up to isomorphism) distance-regular graph  $\Delta$  with intersection array {32, 27; 1, 12} [4,5]. The graph  $\Delta$  can be constructed as the second subconstituent of the second subconstituent of the famous McLaughlin graph, the unique distance-regular graph with intersection array {112, 81; 1, 56} (see [3]).

A distance-regular antipodal triple cover  $\hat{\Delta}$  of  $\Delta$  was constructed by the author in [14], but its uniqueness was not determined. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that, up to isomorphism,  $\hat{\Delta}$  is the unique distance-regular graph with intersection array

$$\{32, 27, 8, 1; 1, 4, 27, 32\}.$$

In the process of doing this, we confirm an unpublished result of Steve Linton which shows that there is no distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27, 6, 1; 1, 6, 27, 32}. We also prove there is no distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27, 9, 1; 1, 3, 27, 32}, and that there is no distance-regular antipodal 6-cover of  $\Delta$  that is a double cover of the distance-regular triple cover  $\hat{\Delta}$ .

We classify distance-regular antipodal *r*-covers of  $\Delta$  by studying the *r*-fold topological covers of the 2-dimensional simplicial complex whose 0-, 1-, and 2-simplices are respectively the vertices, edges, and triangles of  $\Delta$ . Our main tool is version 2.0 of the author's GAP program described in [12] for the computation of fundamental groups, certain quotients of fundamental groups, and covers of finite abstract 2-dimensional simplicial complexes. This program is freely available from [16], where we also provide a GAP/GRAPE [15,17] logfile of all the computations related to this paper. It is hoped that [16] and the methods of this paper will be useful in other classifications of covers of graphs. In particular, Theorem 3.1 should be of independent interest.

All graphs in this paper are finite and undirected, with no loops and no multiple edges, and have at least one vertex. Throughout, we follow [2] for graph-theoretical concepts and notation. An important new reference for distance-regular graphs, covering developments since [2] was published, is Van Dam et al. [18]. See also Brouwer et al. [1]. A good reference for the group-theoretical concepts used in this paper is Robinson [13]. We denote the commutator subgroup of a group G by [G, G], the cyclic group of order n is denoted by  $C_n$ , and where p is a prime, the elementary abelian group of order  $p^k$  is denoted by  $C_p^k$ .

# 2 The fundamental group and covers

Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected graph. We also consider  $\Gamma$  to be an abstract 2-dimensional simplicial complex (or 2-complex) whose 0-, 1-, and 2-simplices are respectively the vertices, edges, and triangles of  $\Gamma$ . With this in mind, throughout this paper, by a *cover* of  $\Gamma$ , we mean a pair  $(\tilde{\Gamma}, \theta)$ , where  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a graph and  $\theta : V(\tilde{\Gamma}) \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$  is a surjection, called a *covering map*, such that the following hold:

- For every  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , the *fibre*  $v\theta^{-1}$  of v is a coclique (independent set) of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ ,
- The union of any two distinct fibres mapping under  $\theta$  to a non-edge of  $\Gamma$  is a coclique of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ ,
- The induced subgraph on any two fibres mapping under θ to an edge of Γ is a perfect matching in Γ,
- The induced subgraph on any three fibres mapping under θ to a triangle of Γ consists of pairwise disjoint triangles in Γ.

Note that, as defined here,  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a cover of  $\Gamma$  precisely when  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a topological cover of  $\Gamma$  when they are both viewed as 2-complexes as described above.

When we do not need to specify the covering map explicitly, we may denote a cover  $(\tilde{\Gamma}, \theta)$  simply by  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . If each fibre of a cover  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$  has the same positive integer cardinality *r*, then we call  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  an *r*-cover of  $\Gamma$ . A 2-cover is also called a *double cover*, and a 3-cover is also called a *triple cover*. If  $\Gamma$  is a non-complete graph, then an *antipodal r*-cover  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$  is a connected *r*-cover of  $\Gamma$  with the property that being equal or at maximum distance in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is an equivalence relation on  $V(\tilde{\Gamma})$ , whose equivalence classes are the fibres.

The connected *r*-covers of  $\Gamma$  correspond to the transitive permutation representations of degree *r* of the fundamental group of  $\Gamma$  (regarded as a 2-complex), defined with respect to a fixed, but arbitrary, spanning tree of  $\Gamma$ . We shall explain this further in this section. For a more general, fuller and detailed exposition, see [12], on which our explanation is based.

Now, fix a spanning tree T of  $\Gamma$ . The choice of T does not matter, and in particular, does not affect the isomorphism class of the fundamental group of  $\Gamma$ . Then, for each arc (ordered edge) (v, w) of  $\Gamma$ , define an abstract group generator  $g_{v,w}$ . For our purposes, the fundamental group G of  $\Gamma$  is the finitely presented group whose generators are these  $g_{v,w}$  and whose defining relations are:

- $g_{s,t} = 1$  for each arc (s, t) of T;
- $g_{v,w}g_{w,v} = 1$  for each edge  $\{v, w\}$  of  $\Gamma$ ;
- $g_{x,y}g_{y,z}g_{z,x} = 1$  for each triangle  $\{x, y, z\}$  of  $\Gamma$ .

Suppose  $(\tilde{\Gamma}, \theta)$  is any connected *r*-cover of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $T\theta^{-1}$  consists of *r* disjoint copies  $T_1, \ldots, T_r$  of *T*, with  $T_i\theta = T$ . We may thus label the vertices of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  by ordered pairs (v, i), with  $v \in V(\Gamma)$  and  $i \in I = \{1, \ldots, r\}$ , so that the fibre of a vertex v of  $\Gamma$  is  $\{(v, 1), \ldots, (v, r)\}$  and  $(v, i) \in V(T_i)$  for each *i*. In particular, note that a different choice for the fixed spanning tree *T* would only result in a relabelling of vertices within the fibres of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .

Now for each arc (v, w) of  $\Gamma$ , let  $\rho_{v,w}$  be the permutation of I defined by  $i\rho_{v,w} = j$  if and only if  $\{(v, i), (w, j)\}$  is an edge of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . If  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a triangle of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\{x, y, z\}\theta^{-1}$  is a set of disjoint triangles in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ , and so  $\rho_{x,y}\rho_{y,z}\rho_{z,x}$  is the identity permutation. It now follows that the map  $\rho$  defined on the generators of G by  $(g_{v,w})\rho = \rho_{v,w}$  extends to a transitive permutation representation from G to the symmetric group  $S_r$ . The *r*-cover  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is completely defined by this representation.

Conversely, every transitive permutation representation  $\rho : G \to S_r$  defines a connected r-cover, denoted  $\Gamma_{\rho}$ , of  $\Gamma$ . For each  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , the fibre of v in  $\Gamma_{\rho}$  consists of the ordered

pairs (v, i), for  $i \in I$ , and (v, i) and (w, j) are joined by an edge in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  if and only if  $\{v, w\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$  and  $j = i((g_{v,w})\rho)$ . The preimage in G of the stabilizer in  $G\rho$  of a point  $i \in I$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of  $\Gamma_{\rho}$  (see [12]).

We consider two covers  $(\Gamma_1, \theta_1)$  and  $(\Gamma_2, \theta_2)$  of  $\Gamma$  to be *isomorphic* if there is a graph isomorphism from  $\Gamma_1$  to  $\Gamma_2$  which maps the fibres of  $\Gamma_1$  to those of  $\Gamma_2$ . Thus, isomorphic covers differ only by a relabelling of the fibres and of the vertices within each fibre. In particular, every connected *r*-cover of  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a cover of the form  $\Gamma_{\rho}$ , for some transitive permutation representation  $\rho : G \to S_r$ , corresponding to the preimage in *G* of the stabilizer in  $G\rho$  of the point 1.

Isomorphism of covers can be checked as follows using nauty [11], which can be called from within GRAPE [15]. Given the cover  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\Gamma$ , we make a {red, blue}-vertex-coloured graph  $\Gamma_1^+$ . The red-coloured vertices of  $\Gamma_1^+$  are the vertices of  $\Gamma_1$ , with two red vertices joined in  $\Gamma_1^+$  precisely when those vertices are joined in  $\Gamma_1$ . The blue-coloured vertices of  $\Gamma_1^+$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the fibres of  $\Gamma_1$ , and a blue vertex is joined in  $\Gamma_1^+$  only to the red vertices in the corresponding fibre. Similarly, we make  $\Gamma_2^+$  from the cover  $\Gamma_2$  of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are isomorphic as covers of  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $\Gamma_1^+$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_2^+$  by a colour-preserving graph isomorphism.

We are usually interested in classifying covers up to isomorphism. Note that if  $(\Gamma_1, \theta_1)$ and  $(\Gamma_2, \theta_2)$  are isomorphic covers of  $\Gamma$ , and  $(\tilde{\Gamma}_1, \tilde{\theta}_1)$  is any cover of  $\Gamma_1$ , then  $(\tilde{\Gamma}_1, \tilde{\theta}_1 \theta_1)$  is a cover of  $\Gamma$  isomorphic to  $(\tilde{\Gamma}_2, \tilde{\theta}_2 \theta_2)$  for some cover  $(\tilde{\Gamma}_2, \tilde{\theta}_2)$  of  $\Gamma_2$ .

#### 3 Imprimitivity and covers

We may sometimes be interested in a cover of a cover.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected graph, let  $(\Gamma_1, \theta_1)$  be a connected *m*-cover of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $(\Gamma_2, \theta_2)$ be a connected *n*-cover of  $\Gamma_1$ . Then clearly,  $(\Gamma_2, \theta_2\theta_1)$  is a connected *mn*-cover of  $\Gamma$ . Given a spanning tree *T* of  $\Gamma$ , we take a spanning tree *T*<sub>1</sub> of  $\Gamma_1$  containing the forest  $T\theta_1^{-1}$ , and define the fundamental group *G* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to *T* and the fundamental group *G*<sub>1</sub> of  $\Gamma_1$ with respect to *T*<sub>1</sub>. Then  $(\Gamma_1, \theta_1)$  corresponds to a transitive representation  $\rho_1 : G \to S_m$ , with the fibre of *v* in  $\Gamma_1$  being labelled  $\{(v, i) : 1 \le i \le m\}$ , as described previously, and  $(\Gamma_2, \theta_2)$  corresponds to a transitive representation  $\rho_2 : G_1 \to S_n$ , with the fibre of (v, i) being labelled  $\{(v, i, j) : 1 \le j \le n\}$ . Now  $(\Gamma_2, \theta_2\theta_1)$  corresponds to a transitive representation  $\rho : G \to S_{mn}$ , and if m, n > 1, then  $G\rho$  acts imprimitively on the indices (i, j) of the fibre of a vertex of  $\Gamma$ , the blocks of imprimitivity being  $\{(i, j) : 1 \le j \le n\}$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ .

Conversely, suppose that m, n > 1 and  $\rho : G \to S_{mn}$  is a transitive permutation representation of the fundamental group G of  $\Gamma$  such that  $G\rho$  is an imprimitive group having m blocks of size n. Then, if  $\sigma : G \to S_m$  is the transitive permutation action of  $G\rho$  on the blocks of imprimitivity, we see that  $\Gamma_{\rho}$  is an *n*-cover of the *m*-cover  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$  of  $\Gamma$ .

We have the following useful result.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-complete distance-regular graph and suppose  $\Gamma_{\rho}$  is a distanceregular antipodal mn-cover of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to a transitive permutation representation  $\rho$  of the fundamental group G of  $\Gamma$ , such that  $G\rho$  has m > 1 blocks of imprimitivity of size n > 1. Then  $\Gamma_{\rho}$  must be an n-cover of an antipodal distance-regular m-cover of  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof* As above, we may suppose that  $G\rho$  is a group of permutations of  $\Omega:=\{(i, j) : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$ , with blocks of imprimitivity  $B_i:=\{(i, j) : 1 \le j \le n\}$ , for i = 1, ..., m, and that the fibre of  $\Gamma_\rho$  mapping to the vertex v of  $\Gamma$  is labelled as  $\{(v, i, j) : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$ .

Now consider the partition

$$\pi := \{ \{ (v, i, j) : 1 \le j \le n \} : v \in V(\Gamma), \ 1 \le i \le m \}$$

of  $V(\Gamma_{\rho})$ . Then each part in  $\pi$  is contained in a fibre of  $\Gamma_{\rho}$ , and  $\pi$  is an equitable (also called regular) partition of  $V(\Gamma_{\rho})$  (since each vertex in the part  $\{(v, i, j) : 1 \le j \le n\}$  is joined to exactly one or no vertex in the part  $\{(w, k, \ell) : 1 \le \ell \le n\}$ , with a join to one vertex precisely when  $\{v, w\} \in E(\Gamma)$  and  $\rho_{v,w}$  maps the block  $B_i$  to  $B_k$ ). We may thus apply Godsil and Hensel [8, Theorem 6.2] to deduce that  $\Gamma_{\rho}/\pi$  is an antipodal distance-regular *m*-cover of  $\Gamma$  (see also Theorem 7.3 in [7, Sect. 11], attributed to Brouwer, Cohen and Neumaier).  $\Box$ 

## 4 On the distance-regular antipodal r-covers of $\Delta$

Throughout this section,  $\Delta$  denotes the unique distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27; 1, 12} [equivalently, the unique strongly regular graph with parameters (105, 32, 4, 12)]. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular antipodal *r*-cover of  $\Delta$ . Parameter feasibility conditions (see [2]) imply  $\Gamma$  has diameter 4,  $r \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ , and  $\Gamma$  has intersection array

$$\{32, 27, 12(r-1)/r, 1; 1, 12/r, 27, 32\}.$$
 (1)

Conversely, if  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular graph with intersection array (1), then  $\Gamma$  is an antipodal *r*-cover of a distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27; 1, 12} (see [6]), so  $\Gamma$  is an antipodal distance-regular *r*-cover of (a graph isomorphic to)  $\Delta$ .

To study covers of  $\Delta$ , we explore quotients of the fundamental group of  $\Delta$ , viewed as a 2-complex, with respect to a fixed spanning tree *T* of  $\Delta$ . This fundamental group is denoted throughout this section by *D*. It was shown in [14] that *D* is infinite.

**Theorem 4.1** The abelianised fundamental group D/[D, D] of  $\Delta$  is isomorphic to  $C_2^{16} \times C_3^2$ .

*Proof* We compute a presentation for D/[D, D] using the program [16], and determine the abelian invariants of this group using GAP [17].

We are now in a position to classify the connected double covers of  $\Delta$ , which was done independently by Steve Linton over 20 years ago, using his vector enumeration algorithm [10].

**Theorem 4.2** Up to isomorphism of covers,  $\Delta$  has just 13 connected double covers, with each having its abelianised fundamental group isomorphic to  $C_2^{15} \times C_3^2$ , and none being distance-regular.

**Proof** Each subgroup of index 2 in D contains the commutator subgroup [D, D]. We compute the  $2^{16} - 1$  covers of  $\Delta$  corresponding to the subgroups of index 2 in D/[D, D], and using **GRAPE** calling **nauty**, we determine that, up to isomorphism of covers, there are just 13 such covers. We test each of these covers for distance-regularity using **GRAPE**, and find that none of them are distance-regular. We use the program [16] to compute each of their abelianised fundamental groups.

**Corollary 4.3** *There is no distance-regular graph with intersection array* {32, 27, 6, 1; 1, 6, 27, 32}.

We now consider the triple covers of  $\Delta$ , and start by showing that *D* has no quotient isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_3$ . This is a corollary of the following:

**Proposition 4.4** Let N be a subgroup of D of index 2, and suppose N has a subgroup M, such that M is normal in D and N/M is abelian. Then D/M is abelian.

*Proof* The subgroup N of D is isomorphic to the fundamental group of some connected 2-cover of  $\Delta$ , so by Theorem 4.2, [N, N] has index  $2^{15}3^2$  in N, and so [N, N] has index  $2^{16}3^2$  in D, as does [D, D], by Theorem 4.1. Thus [N, N] = [D, D], and since N/M is abelian, M contains [N, N], and so D/M is abelian.

**Corollary 4.5** The group D has no quotient isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_3$ .

We are now in a position to classify the connected triple covers of  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 4.6** Up to isomorphism of covers,  $\Delta$  has just two connected triple covers,  $\Delta^*$  and  $\hat{\Delta}$ . The cover  $\Delta^*$  has abelianised fundamental group isomorphic to  $C_2^{16} \times C_3^2$  and is not distance-regular. The cover  $\hat{\Delta}$  has abelianised fundamental group isomorphic to  $C_2^{18} \times C_3^2$  and is distance-regular.

**Proof** Each connected triple cover of  $\Delta$  is isomorphic to a cover of the form  $\Delta_{\rho}$ , for some transitive permutation representation  $\rho : D \rightarrow S_3$ . Since D has no quotient isomorphic to  $S_3$ , we must have  $D\rho \cong C_3$ , the cyclic group of order 3, and so each subgroup of index 3 in D is normal and contains [D, D].

We compute the four covers of  $\Delta$  corresponding to the subgroups of index 3 in D/[D, D], and determine that, up to isomorphism of covers, there are just two such covers,  $\Delta^*$  and  $\hat{\Delta}$ , and we calculate that these have the properties as stated in the theorem.

**Corollary 4.7** Up to isomorphism, there is a unique distance-regular graph with intersection array {32, 27, 8, 1; 1, 4, 27, 32}.

Further properties of this distance-regular graph  $\Delta$  are given in [14] (where the graph is called  $\Lambda$ ).

We now show there is no distance-regular antipodal 4-cover of  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 4.8** *There is no distance-regular graph with intersection array* {32, 27, 9, 1; 1, 3, 27, 32}.

**Proof** A distance-regular graph with the intersection array of the theorem would be an antipodal 4-cover of  $\Delta$ . Such a 4-cover would correspond to some transitive permutation representation  $\rho$  of degree 4 of D. The image  $D\rho$  of D cannot be imprimitive, for otherwise, by Theorem 3.1,  $\Delta$  would have a distance-regular antipodal 2-cover. We cannot have  $D\rho \cong S_4$ , since D has no quotient isomorphic to  $S_3$ , which is a quotient of  $S_4$ . This leaves  $D\rho \cong A_4$  as the only possibility.

Suppose now *D* has a quotient isomorphic to  $A_4$ . Then there is a transitive permutation representation  $\alpha : D \to S_6$ , having three blocks of imprimitivity of size 2, with  $D\alpha \cong A_4$ . The corresponding cover  $\Delta_{\alpha}$  is a 2-cover of a 3-cover  $\tilde{\Delta}$  of  $\Delta$ . The 3-cover  $\tilde{\Delta}$  corresponds to a normal subgroup *N* of *D* of index 3, and *N* has a subgroup *M* of index 4 that is normal in *D*, such  $D/M \cong A_4$ .

Suppose now  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is isomorphic (as a cover of  $\Delta$ ) to  $\Delta^*$ . By Theorem 4.6, [N, N] has index  $2^{16}3^2$  in N, and so [N, N] has index  $2^{16}3^3$  in D. By Theorem 4.1, [D, D] has index  $2^{16}3^2$  in D, so [N, N] is a normal subgroup of index 3 in [D, D]. Since  $N/M \cong C_2^2$ , M contains [N, N], and so either D/M is abelian or D/M has a normal subgroup of order 3, neither of which holds.

Thus, we must have  $\tilde{\Delta}$  isomorphic to  $\hat{\Delta}$ . We computed all  $2^{18} - 1$  connected 2-covers of  $\hat{\Delta}$ as covers of the form  $\Delta_{\rho}$  of  $\Delta$ , for  $\rho$  a transitive permutation representation from D to  $S_6$ . Just three of these covers  $\Delta_{\rho}$  have  $D\rho$  isomorphic to  $A_4$ , corresponding to the three permutation isomorphic transitive representations of degree 6 of one quotient of D isomorphic to  $A_4$ . Now given a connected 6-cover  $\Delta_{\rho}$  with  $D\rho \cong A_4$ , we construct the cover  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  of  $\Delta$  defined by the representation of  $D\rho$  acting by right multiplication on the four (right) cosets of a Sylow 3-subgroup. Up to isomorphism of covers of  $\Delta$ , there is only one such  $\Delta_{\sigma}$ , which we find is not distance-regular.

Finally, we can prove the following:

**Theorem 4.9** There is no distance-regular antipodal 6-cover of  $\Delta$  that is a double cover of  $\hat{\Delta}$ .

*Proof* When we determined all  $2^{18} - 1$  connected 2-covers of  $\hat{\Delta}$ , we found that none is distance-regular.

Combining this result with Theorems 3.1, 4.2 and 4.6, we see that there is no distanceregular antipodal 6-cover of  $\Delta$  corresponding to an imprimitive degree 6 permutation representation of *D*, but this still leaves open the possibility of a distance-regular antipodal 6-cover of  $\Delta$  corresponding to a primitive such representation.

Acknowledgments I thank Andries Brouwer, Edwin van Dam, Alexander Gavrilyuk, Chris Godsil, Aleksandar Jurišić, and Jack Koolen for their interest in this work and their comments.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

# References

- Brouwer A.E., Cohen A.M., Neumaier A.: Additions and Corrections to the Book Distance-Regular Graphs. Springer, New York (1989). http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/drg/.
- 2. Brouwer A.E., Cohen A.M., Neumaier A.: Distance-Regular Graphs. Springer, New York (1989).
- Cameron P.J., Goethals J.-M., Seidel J.J.: Strongly regular graphs having strongly regular subconstituents. J. Algebra 55, 257–280 (1978).
- 4. Coolsaet K.: The uniqueness of the strongly regular graph srg(105, 32, 4, 12). Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **12**, 707–718 (2006).
- Degraer J., Coolsaet K.: Classification of some strongly regular subgraphs of the McLaughlin graph. Discret. Math. 308, 395–400 (2008).
- 6. Gardiner A.: Antipodal covering graphs. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B, 16, 255-273 (1974).
- 7. Godsil C.D.: Algebraic Combinatorics. Chapman and Hall, New York (1993).
- Godsil C.D., Hensel A.D.: Distance regular covers of the complete graph. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 56, 205–238 (1992).
- Goethals J.-M., Seidel J.J.: Strongly regular graphs derived from combinatorial designs. Can. J. Math. 22, 597–614 (1970).
- 10. Linton S.A.: On vector enumeration. Linear Algebra Appl. 192, 235-248 (1993).
- 11. McKay B.D., Piperno A.: Practical graph isomorphism, II. J. Symb. Comput. 60, 94–112 (2014).
- Rees S., Soicher L.H.: An algorithmic approach to fundamental groups and covers of combinatorial cell complexes. J. Symb. Comput. 29, 59–77 (2000).
- 13. Robinson D.J.S.: A Course in the Theory of Groups, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (1995).
- 14. Soicher L.H.: Three new distance-regular graphs. Eur. J. Comb. 14, 501–505 (1993).

- 15. Soicher L.H.: The GRAPE package for GAP, Version 4.6.1 (2012). http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/ ~leonard/grape/.
- Soicher L.H.: Program to compute fundamental groups and covers, Version 2.0 (2015). http://www.maths. qmul.ac.uk/~leonard/fundamental/.
- 17. The GAP Group. GAP—Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.7 (2015). http://www.gap-system.org.
- 18. van Dam E.R., Koolen J.H., Tanaka H.: Distance-regular graphs. Electron. J. Comb. 156 (2016).