

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Synthesis and antibacterial activity of novel levofloxacin derivatives containing a substituted thienylethyl moiety

Negar Mohammadhosseini^{1,2}, Zahra Alipanahi¹, Eskandar Alipour¹, Saeed Emami³, Mohammad Ali Faramarzi⁴, Nasrin Samadi⁴, Nika Khoshnevis⁴, Abbass Shafiee² and Alireza Foroumadi^{2*}

Abstract

Background and the purpose of the study: Piperazinyl quinolones such as ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin and levofloxacin are an important group of quinolone antimicrobials which are widely used in the treatment of various infectious diseases. In the present study, we synthesized a new series of levofloxacin derivatives and evaluated their antibacterial activities.

Methods: The *N*-substituted analogs of levofloxacin **6a–j** were prepared by nucleophilic reaction of *N*-desmethyl levofloxacin **11** with thienylethyl bromide derivatives **8** or **9**. All target compounds were tested using conventional agar dilution method in comparison to levofloxacin and *N*-desmethyl levofloxacin and their MIC values were determined against a panel of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

Results: All compounds showed significant antibacterial activities against Gram-positive bacteria (MIC = 0.04-6.25 μ g/mL); however, the activity against Gram-negative bacteria was lower (MIC = 1.56–100 μ g/mL). As is evident from the data, oxime derivatives **6e**, **6h** and **6i** are superior in inhibiting the growth of Gram-positive bacteria (MIC = 0.04–0.19 μ g/mL), and their activities were found to be 5–25 times better than *N*-desmethyl levofloxacin **11** and equal or better than levofloxacin **4**.

Conclusion: We have designed and synthesized novel quinolone derivatives bearing functionalized thienylethyl moiety on the piperazine ring of levofloxacin. The results of antibacterial screening against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria revealed that the introduction of functionalized thienylethyl moiety on the piperazine ring of levofloxacin can improve the activity against Gram-positive bacteria. Gram-positive bacteria are responsible for a wide range of infectious diseases, and rising resistance in this group is causing increasing concern. Thus, this study introduces structural features of levofloxacin scaffold for development of new candidates in the field of anti-Gram positive chemotherapy

Keywords: Antibacterial activity, Quinolones, Levofloxacin, Thiophene derivatives, Oximes

Introduction

Fluoroquinolones which are synthetic antibacterial agents are useful for the treatment of urinary tract infections, soft tissue infections, respiratory infections, typhoid fever, sexually transmitted diseases, bone-joint infections, communityacquired pneumonia, acute bronchitis, and sinusitis [1].

* Correspondence: aforoumadi@yahoo.com

Fluoroquinolones consist of a bicyclic ring structure in which there is a substitution at position *N*-1; most of the current agents have a carboxyl group at position 3, a keto group at position 4, a fluorine atom at position 6 and a nitrogen heterocyclic moiety at the C-7 position [2]. Piperazine substitution at C-7 position has resulted in a wide range of clinically useful fluoroquinolone antibacterial agents namely norfloxacin (1), ciprofloxacin (2), ofloxacin (3) and levofloxacin (4) [3,4]. Fluoroquinolones with 7-piperazinyl moiety have been reported to possess potent antibacterial activity [5,6]. Levofloxacin, a chiral



© 2012 Mohammadhosseini et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

²Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

version of the earlier drug ofloxacin, is a successful fluoroquinolone antibacterial that departs from the typical quinolones substructure by having a fused ring connecting the N_1 to C_8 position [7]. This ring is connected with an ether moiety at C_8 [8]. Levofloxacin has been reported to be active in vitro against both Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria. Two major targets have been identified for levofloxacin activity, topoisomerase II (DNA-gyrase) in Gram-negative bacteria and topoisomerase IV in Grampositive bacteria [9]. According to the inhibition mechanisms of the quinolones, the site near the C-7 substituent is regarded as drug-enzyme interaction domain and controls the spectrum and selectivity of guinolone molecule [10]. Over the past few years, we were particularly interested in the synthesis and evaluation of N-substituted 7piperazinyl quinolones [5] for their antibacterial activities [11-16]. Although the nature of the C-7 substituent was known to influence quinolone activity in bacteria, we identified that addition of a certain bulky group as a particular chemical modification is permitted at the C-7 position of the piperazine ring and allows manipulation of selectivity and potency. Previously, we described the synthesis and antibacterial activity of certain piperazinyl quinolones (Figure 1), with 2-(thiophen-3-yl)-2-oxoethyl or 2-(thiophen-3-yl)-2-oxyiminoethyl moieties attached to the piperazine ring at the C-7 position [12]. In the present study, we have aimed to achieve new quinolone antibacterial, by preparing the levofloxacin derivatives 6a-j carrying a 2-thienyl-2oxoethyl or a 2-thienyl-2-oxyiminoethyl moiety attached to the piperazine ring at C-10 position (Figure 1).

Material and methods Chemistry

Chemicals and all solvents used in this study were purchased from Merck AG and Aldrich Chemical. Melting points were determined on a Kofler hot stage apparatus and are uncorrected. The IR spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu 470 spectrophotometer (potassium bromide disks). ¹H-NMR spectra were measured using a Bruker 400 spectrometer, and chemical shifts are expressed as δ (ppm) with tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates were used for analytical TLC. Yields are of purified product and were not optimized. The intermediate compounds 9 were prepared according to the literature [12,14]. N-Desmethyl levofloxacin was prepared as previously described method [17]. The synthetic route for achieving the target compounds 6a-j was depicted in Figure 2.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 6a-j

A mixture of thienylethyl bromide derivatives 8 or 9 (0.55 mmol), N-desmethyl levofloxacin 11 (174 mg, 0.5 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (42 mg, 0.5 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 6–10 h. After consumption of N-desmethyl levofloxacin 11 (monitored by TLC), water (20 mL) was added and the precipitate was filtered, washed with water and crystal-lized from methanol-chloroform (9:1) to give compound 6a-j.





10-(4-(2-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2-oxoethyl)piperazin-1-yl)-9-fluoro-3-methyl-7-oxo-3,7-dihydro-2H-[1,4]oxazino[2,3,4-Ij]quinoline-6-Carboxylic acid (6a)

Yield: 31%; mp 165–166°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1622, 1721 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6): 1.45 (d, 3H, CH₃, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 3.26–3.33 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.38–3.42 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.49 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.75 (s, 1H, CH₂), 4.39 (d, 1H, CH₂, *J* = 10.9 Hz), 4.60 (d, 1H, CH₂, *J* = 10.9 Hz), 4.87 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 7.53 (d, 1H, thiophene, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 7.58–7.65 (m, 1H, thiophene), 7.81 (d, 1H, H₈, *J* = 11.9 Hz), 8.99 (s, 1H, H₅), 15.17 (s, 1H, COOH).

10-(4-(2-(5-chlorothiophen-2-yl)-2-oxoethyl)piperazin-1-yl)-9fluoro-3-methyl-7-oxo-3,7-dihydro-2H-[1,4]oxazino[2,3,4-Ij] quinoline-6-Carboxylic acid (6b)

Yield: 26%; mp 156–157°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1620, 1719 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): 1.43 (d, 3H, CH₃, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 3.27–3.34 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.36–3.41 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.47 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.77 (s, 1H, CH₂), 4.40 (d, 1H, CH₂, *J* = 11.0 Hz), 4.59 (d, 1H, CH₂, *J* = 11.0 Hz), 4.85 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 7.52 (d, 1H, thiophene, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 7.56–7.64 (m, 1H, thiophene), 7.79 (d, 1H, H₈, *J* = 12.1 Hz), 8.98 (s, 1H, H₅), 15.21 (s, 1H, COOH).

10-(4-(2-(5-chlorothiophen-2-yl)-2-(methoxyimino)ethyl) piperazin-1-yl)-9-fluoro-3-methyl-7-oxo-3,7-dihydro-2H-[1,4] oxazino[2,3,4-Ij]quinoline-6-Carboxylic acid (6c)

Yield: 24%; mp 180–181°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1626, 1717 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6): 1.62 (d, 3H, CH₃, J = 5.3 Hz),

2.47–2.70 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.34–3.42 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.51 and 3.96 (two s, 2H, CH₂, *E*- and *Z*-isomers), 4.04 and 4.07 (two s, 3H, OMe, *E*- and *Z*-isomers), 4.31–4.37 (m, 1H, CH₂), 4.42–4.52 (m, 1H, CH₂), 4.47–4.51 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 7.04 and 7.08 (two d, 1H, thiophene, *E*- and *Z*-isomers, J = 4.0 Hz), 7.63 and 7.69 (two d, 1H, thiophene, *E*- and *Z*-isomers, J = 4.0 Hz), 7.73 (d, 1H, H₈, J = 12.3 Hz), 8.61 (s, 1H, H₅), 15.01 (s, 1H, COOH).

10-(4-(2-(benzyloxyimino)-2-(5-chlorothiophen-2-yl) ethyl) piperazin-1-yl)-9-fluoro-3-methyl-7-oxo-3, 7-dihydro-2H-[1, 4]oxazino [2, 3, 4-ij]quinoline-6-carboxylic acid (6d)

Yield: 15%; mp 143–144°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): 1.62 (d, 3H, CH₃, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 2.47–2.72 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.28–3.43 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.50 and 3.65 (two s, 2H, CH₂, *E*- and *Z*-isomers), 4.34 (d, 1H, CH₂, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 4.47 (d, 1H, CH₂, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 5.19 and 5.26 (two s, 2H, OCH₂, *E*- and *Z*-isomers), 5.32 (s, 1H, CH-Me), 6.82 and 6.84 (two d, 1H, thiophene, *E*- and *Z*-isomers, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 6.91 and 6.94 (two d, 1H, thiophene, *E*- and *Z*-isomers, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 7.36–7.40 (m, 5H, phenyl), 7.74 (d, 1H, H₈, *J* = 10.0 Hz), 8.61(s, 1H, H₅), 15.00 (s, 1H, COOH).

9-fluoro-10-(4-(2-(hydroxyimino)-2-(thiophen-2-yl)ethyl) piperazin-1-yl)-3-methyl-7-oxo-3,7-dihydro-2H-[1,4]oxazino [2,3,4-lj]quinoline-6-Carboxylic acid (6e)

Yield: 16%; mp 314–315°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1623 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6): 1.40–1.58 (m, 3H, CH₃), 2.45-78 (m, 4H, piperazine), 2.85–3.00 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.51 (s,

2H, CH₂), 4.35 (d, 1H, CH₂, J = 10.4 Hz), 4.57 (d, 1H, CH₂, J = 10.4 Hz), 4.58–4.49 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 7.20–7.40 (m, 1H, thiophene), 7.56 (d, 1H, H₈, J = 11.6 Hz), 7.66–7.76 (m, 1H, thiophene), 7.82-7.90 (m, 1H, thiophene), 8.96 (s, 1H, H₅), 11.95 (s, 1H, NOH), 15.20 (s, 1H, COOH).

9-fluoro-10-(4-(2-(methoxyimino)-2-(thiophen-2-yl)ethyl) piperazin-1-yl)-3-methyl-7-oxo-3,7-dihydro-2H-[1,4]oxazino [2,3,4-Ij]quinoline-6-Carboxylic acid (6f)

Yield: 19%; mp 224–225°C; IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 1719 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6): 1.43 (d, 3H, CH₃, J = 4.3 Hz), 2.53-2.63 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.23-3.31(m, 4H, piperazine), 3.50 and 3.66 (two s, 2H, CH₂, E- and Z-isomers), 3.89 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.36 (d, 1H, CH₂, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.57 (d, 1H, CH₂, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.90–4.94 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 7.08-7.23 (m, 1H, thiophene), 7.54 and 7.76 (two d, 1H, thiophene, E- and Z-isomers, J = 4.2 Hz), 7.79 and 7.83 (two d, 1H, thiophene, *E*- and *Z*-isomers, J = 4.2 Hz), 7.91 (d, 1H, H_8 , J = 10.0 Hz), 8.96 (s, 1H, H_5), 15.00 (s, 1H, COOH).

10-(4-(2-(benzyloxyimino)-2-(thiophen-2-yl)ethyl)piperazin-1yl)-9-fluoro-3-methyl-7-oxo-3,7-dihydro-2H-[1,4]oxazino [2,3,4-Ij]quinoline-6-Carboxylic acid (6g)

Yield: 17%; mp 157–158°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1720 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6): 1.44(d, 3H, CH₃, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.53-2.61 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.23-3.38 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.50 and 3.69 (two s, 2H, CH₂, E- and Z-isomers), 4.65-4.80 (m, 1H, CH₂), 4.90-4.97 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 5.17 and 5.28 (two s, 2H, OCH₂, E- and Z-isomers), 7.06-7.22(m, 1H, thiophene), 7.30-7.42 (m, 5H, phenyl), 7.52 and 7.59 (d, 1H, thiophene, *J* = 4.4 Hz, *Z*-isomer), 7.77 and 7.89 (two d, 1H, thiophene, E- and Z-isomers, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.94 (d, 1H, H₈, J = 10.0 Hz), 8.96 (s, 1H, H₅), 15.01 (s, 1H, COOH).

9-fluoro-10-(4-(2-(hydroxyimino)-2-(thiophen-3-yl) ethyl) piperazin-1-yl)-3-methyl-7-oxo-3,7-dihydro-2H-[1,4]oxazino [2,3,4-ij]Quinoline-6-carboxylic Acid (6h)

Yield: 22%; mp 354-356°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1631, 1719 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): 1.40–1.48 (m, 3H, CH₃), 2.53-2.60 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.37-3.43 (m, 4H, piperazine), 4.31–4.38 (m, 1H, CH₂), 4.52–4.60 (m, 1H, CH₂), 4.84-4.92 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 7.50-7.60 (m, 2H, thiophene), 7.72 (d, 1H, thiophene, J = 4.5 Hz), 8.38-8.43 (m, 1H, H₈), 8.94 (s, 1H, H₅), 11.37 (s, 1H, NOH), 15.19 (s, 1H, COOH).

9-fluoro-10-(4-(2-(methoxyimino)-2-(thiophen-3-yl)ethyl) piperazin-1-yl)-3-methyl-7-oxo-3,7-dihydro-2H-[1,4]oxazino [2,3,4-Ij]quinoline-6-Carboxylic acid (6i)

Yield: 18%; mp 156–157°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1630, 1721 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): 1.42 (d, 3H, CH₃, *J* =

4.8 Hz), 2.51-2.65 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.24-3.32 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.52 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.91 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.37 (d, 1H, CH₂, J = 8.7 Hz), 4.59 (d, 1H, CH₂, J = 8.7 Hz), 4.91-4.95 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 7.07-7.13 (m, 1H, thiophene), 7.54 (d, 1H, thiophene, J = 4.0 Hz), 7.85 (d, 1H, thiophene, J = 4.0 Hz), 7.90 (d, 1H, H₈, J = 10.3 Hz), 8.98 (s, 1H, H₅), 15.20 (s, 1H, COOH).

10-(4-(2-(benzyloxyimino)-2-(thiophen-3-yl) ethyl) piperazin-1-yl)-9-fluoro-3-methyl-7-oxo-3, 7-dihydro-2H-[1,4]oxazino [2,3,4-ij]quinoline-6-carboxylic acid (6j)

Yield: 17%; mp 162–163°C; IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 1621, 1716 (C = O). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6): 1.43 (d, 3H, CH₃, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.40-2.67 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.17-3.44 (m, 4H, piperazine), 3.54 and 3.65 (two s, 2H, CH₂, E- and Z-isomers), 4.54-4.58 (m, 1H, CH₂), 4.90-4.93 (m, 1H, CH-Me), 5.14 and 5.28 (two s, 2H, OCH2, E- and Z-isomers), 7.06-7.20 (m, 1H, thiophene), 7.39 (d, 1H, thiophene, J = 4.0 Hz, Zisomer), 7.44 (d, 1H, thiophene, J = 4.0 Hz, Z-isomer), 7.48–7.68 (m, 5H, phenyl), 7.68 (d, 1H, thiophene, J= 4.0 Hz, E-isomer), 8.31 (d, 1H, thiophene, J = 4.0 Hz, Zisomer), 8.38 (d, 1H, thiophene, *J* = 4.4 Hz, *E*-isomer), 8.01 (s, 1H, H₈), 8.96 (s, 1H, H₅), 15.01 (s, 1H, COOH).

Antimicrobial activity

Compounds 6a-j were screened for their antibacterial activity against Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538, Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 12228, Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633) and Gram-negative (E. coli ATCC 8739, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 9027, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC 10031) bacteria by the conventional agar dilution method [18]. Two-fold serial dilutions of the compounds and reference drugs (levofloxacin and N-desmethyl levofloxacin) were prepared in Mueller-Hinton agar. Drugs (10.0 mg) were dissolved in DMSO (1 mL) and the solution was diluted with water (9 mL). Further progressive double dilution with melted Mueller-Hinton agar was performed to obtain the required concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.12, 1.56, 0.78, 0.39, 0.19, 0.09, 0.04, 0.02 and 0.01 μ g/mL. Petri-dishes were inoculated with $1-5 \times 10^4$ colony-forming units (cfu) and incubated at 37°C for 18 h. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest concentration of the test compound, which resulted in no visible growth on the plate. To insure that the solvent had no effect on bacterial growth, a control test was performed with test medium supplemented with DMSO at the same dilutions as used in the experiment.

Results and discussion

As summarized in Figure 2, the N-substituted analogs of levofloxacin 6a-j were prepared by nucleophilic reaction of *N*-desmethyl levofloxacin **11** with thienylethyl bromide derivatives 8 or 9 employing reaction sequences previously described by us for the preparation of other N-substituted

Table 1 In vitro antibacterial activities (MICs in μ g/mL) of compounds 6a-j



Compound	R	Y	S. aureus	S. epidermidis	B. subtilis	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	K. pneumoniae
ба	Br	0	0.19	0.19	0.19	12.5	100	100
6b	CI S	0	0.39	0.19	0.09	1.56	6.25	1.56
6с	ci s	NOCH ₃	0.78	0.39	0.19	50	50	12.5
6d	ci s	NOBn	3.125	1.56	0.78	50	100	100
бе		NOH	0.19	0.19	0.19	3.125	25	3.125
6f	\sqrt{s}	NOCH ₃	0.39	0.19	0.09	50	100	25
6g		NOBn	6.25	3.125	0.78	100	100	50
6h		NOH	0.19	0.09	0.04	3.125	12.5	3.125
6i		NOCH ₃	0.19	0.19	0.09	100	100	50
6j	,	NOBn	6.25	3.125	0.39	50	100	25
Levofloxacin			0.25	0.25	0.5	0.03	4	0.25
N-Desmethyl levofloxacin			4	1	1	0.012	>4	0.25

piperazinyl quinolones. Thus, the oxime derivatives **9** were synthesized by the reaction of ketone **8** with hydroxylamine hydrochloride or *O*-methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride or *O*-benzylhydroxylamine hydrochloride [12,14]. On the other hand, the piperazinyl quinolone (**11**, *N*-desmethyl levofloxacin) was prepared according to the known method

[17], by the reaction of piperazine with 9,10-difluoro-2,3dihydro-3-methyl-7-oxo-7H-pyrido[1,2,3-de][1,4]benzoxazine-6-carboxylic acid **10**. Reaction of *N*-desmethyl levofloxacin **11** with thienyl ethyl bromide derivatives **8** or **9** in DMF, in the presence of NaHCO₃ at room temperature afforded corresponding ketones **6a,b** and oxime derivatives **6c–j**, respectively.

The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs, µg/mL) obtained for compounds 6a-j are presented in Table 1. In general, Table 1 reveals that higher susceptibilities were observed with Gram-positive and poorer susceptibilities with Gram-negative bacteria. The MIC values of ketones 6a,b and oximes 6c-i against Gram-positive strains indicate that most compounds possessed a comparable or better activity (MIC = $0.04-3.12 \ \mu g/mL$) with respect to Ndesmethyl levofloxacin 11 and levofloxacin 4 (MIC = 0.25-4 μg/mL). As is evident from the data, oximes **6e**, **6h** and **6i** followed by ketone **6a** are superior in inhibiting the growth of Gram-positives (MIC = $0.04-0.19 \mu g/mL$), and their activities were found to be 5-25 times better than N-desmethyl levofloxacin 11 and equal or better than levofloxacin 4. In general, most compounds showed weak to good activity (MIC = $1.56-100 \ \mu g/mL$) against Gramnegative bacteria. Compound 6b was the most potent against E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumonia (MIC = $1.56-6.25 \mu g/mL$). Comparison between MIC values of 2-thienyl drivatives 6e-g and 3thienyl compounds 6h-j revealed that the corresponding regioisomers have a same antibacterial activity and this modification cannot improve the activity. Also, etherification of oximes by methyl or benzyl groups dramatically diminished the activity against Gram-negatives. However, by O-methylation, the activity against Gram-positive bacteria was maintained. In addition, by comparison of 5chlorothiophene derivatives 6c,d and corresponding unsubstituted analogs 6f,g it could be concluded that halogen substituent is not important for activity.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution

NM: Synthesis of some target compounds (15%). ZA: Synthesis of the intermediates and some target compounds (10%). EA: Collaboration in identifying of the structures of target compounds (10%). SE: Collaboration in design and identifying of the structures of target compounds, manuscript preparation (10%). MAF: Evaluation of the antibacterial activities (10%). NS: Evaluation of the antibacterial activities (10%). NK: Evaluation of the antibacterial activities (5%). AS: Collaboration in identifying of the structures of target compounds and management of the synthetic and pharmacological parts (20%). All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from Research Council of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and Iran National Science Foundation (INSF).

Author details

¹Department of Chemistry, North Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. ²Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ³Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran. ⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 4 May 2012 Accepted: 17 May 2012 Published: 30 August 2012

References

- Efthimiadou EK, Katsaros N, Karaliota A, Psomas G: Synthesis, characterization, antibacterial activity, and interaction with DNA of the vanadyl-enrofloxacin complex. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2007, 17:1238–1242.
- Emami S, Shafiee A, Foroumadi A: Structural features of new quinolones and relationship to antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 2006, 6:375–386.
- Sarro AD, Sarro GD: Adverse reactions to fluoroquinolones. An overview on mechanistic aspects. *Curr Med Chem* 2001, 8:371–384.
- Anderson VE, Osheroff N: Type II topoisomerases as targets for quinolone antibacterials. Curr Pharm Des 2001, 7:339–355.
- Fang KC, Chen YL, Sheu JY, Wang TC, Tzeng CC: Synthesis, antibacterial, and cytotoxic evaluation of certain 7-substituted norfloxacin derivatives. J Med Chem 2000, 43:3809–3812.
- Pandeya SN, Sriram DV, Nath G, DeClercq E Synthesis, antibacterial, antifungal and anti-HIV activities of norfloxacin Mannich bases. Eur J Med Chem 2000, 35:249–255.
- Domagala MJ: Structure-activity and structure-side-effect relationships for the quinolone antibacterials. Antimicrob Chemother 1994, 33:685–706.
- Jeffrey LJ, Almstead JK, Gallagher CP, Hu XE, Kim NK, Taylor CJ, Twinem TL, Cynthia D: Synthesis and biological testing of non-fluorinated analogues of levofloxacin. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2003, 13:2373–2375.
- Segatore B, Setacci D, Perilli M, Franceschini N, Marchetti F, Amicosante G: Bactericidal activity of levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin on clinical isolates of different phenotypes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2000, 13:223–226.
- Foroumadi A, Mohammadhosseini N, Emami S, Letafat B, Faramarzi MA, Samadi N, Shafiee A: Synthesis and antibacterial activity of new 7-piperazinylquinolones containing a functionalized 2-(furan-3-yl)ethyl moiety. Arch Pharm 2007, 340:47–52.
- Foroumadi A, Ghodsi S, Emami S, Najjari S, Samadi N, Faramarzi MA, Beikmohammadi L, Shirazi FH, Shafiee A: Synthesis and antibacterial activity of new fluoroquinolones containing a substituted N-(phenethyl) piperazine moiety. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2006, 16:3499–3503.
- Letafat B, Emami S, Mohammadhoseini N, Faramarzi MA, Samadi N, Shafiee A, Foroumadi A: Synthesis and antibacterial activity of new N-[2-(thiophen-3yl)ethyl]piperazinyl quinolones. Chem Pharm Bull 2007, 55:894–898.
- Emami S, Foroumadi A, Faramarzi MA, Samadi N: Synthesis and antibacterial activity of quinolone-based compounds containing a coumarin moiety. Arch Pharm 2008, 341:42–48.
- Shafiee A, Haddad Zahmatkesh M, Mohammadhosseini N, Khalafy J, Emami S, Moshafi MH, Sorkhi M, Foroumadi A: Synthesis and *in-vitro* antibacterial activity of N-piperazinyl quinolone derivatives with 5-chloro-2-thienyl group. *Daru* 2008, 16:189–195.
- Foroumadi A, Emami S, Mansouri S, Javidnia A, Saeid-Adeli N, Shirazi FH, Shafiee A: Synthesis and antibacterial activity of levofloxacin derivatives with certain bulky residues on piperazine ring. *Eur J Med Chem* 2007, 42:985–992.
- Foroumadi A, Emami S, Davood A, Moshafi MH, Sharifian A, Tabatabaiee M, Tarhimi Farimani H, Sepehri G, Shafiee A: Synthesis and in-vitro antibacterial activities of N-substituted piperazinyl quinolones. Arch Pharm 1997, 3:559–563.
- Atarashi S, Yokohama S, Yamazaki KI, Sakano KJ, Imamura M: Synthesis and antibacterial activities of optically active ofloxacin and its fluoromethyl derivative. *Chem Pharm Bull* 1987, 35:1896–1902.
- Baron EJ, Finegold SM: Bailey Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology. 11th edition. St. Louis: The C. V. Mosby Company; 2002:235–236.

doi:10.1186/2008-2231-20-16

Cite this article as: Mohammadhosseini *et al.*: **Synthesis and antibacterial activity of novel levofloxacin derivatives containing a substituted thienylethyl moiety.** *DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2012 **20**:16.