Raphael et al. Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance 2014, **16**(Suppl 1):P147 http://www.jcmr-online.com/content/16/S1/P147



POSTER PRESENTATION



Use of contrast enhanced magnetic resonance angiography in assessment of anatomic suitability for renal denervation in the hypertensive population

Claire E Raphael^{1*}, Aamir Ali¹, Vassilis Vassiliou¹, Hitesh Patel², Arun J Baksi¹, Sanjay K Prasad¹, Carlo Di Mario², Dudley J Pennell¹, Raad Mohiaddin¹

From 17th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions New Orleans, LA, USA. 16-19 January 2014

Background

Renal denervation (RDN) is an effective treatment for resistant hypertension with expanding indications in the hypertensive population [1]. The European Society of Hypertension (ESH) guidelines [2], largely based on the Symplicity trial inclusion criteria, state RDN should not be performed if the patient has multiple renal arteries, renal artery stenosis or renal arteries with a diameter of less than 4 mm or length of less than 20 mm. Imaging prior to consideration of RDN is recommended but has not been included in all RDN trial protocols. The proportion of hypertensive patients with anatomy suitable for RDN using the current guidelines is not known.

Methods

A series of 112 consecutive contrast enhanced magnetic resonance angiograms performed at our institution for investigation of hypertension over a period of one year (September 2012-2013) were retrospectively analysed for anatomic suitability for RDN. Images were acquired using a dedicated 1.5T scanner with an eight-channel phased-array receiver coil (Siemens Magnetom Avanto). Multiplanar reconstruction and raw images were assessed for the presence of accessory renal arteries and renal artery stenosis. Renal artery dimensions were measured by two operators blinded to clinical results.

Results

The population was 60% male with a mean age of 48 ± 17 years. 38% (n = 42) of patients had multiple renal arteries, including 5% with bilateral dual renal artery

¹Department of CMR, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK Full list of author information is available at the end of the article supply. 5% (6 patients) had significant renal artery stenosis. Agreement between operators for vessel dimensions was good (weighted kappa 0.6). The mean main renal artery calibre was 6.3 ± 3.5 mm. 25 patients (22%) had at least one main renal artery with a diameter less than 4 mm. While accessory renal arteries were smaller than main renal arteries, with a mean diameter of 3.3 ± 1.1 mm, 28% had a diameter of 4 mm or greater. One patient (0.8%) had a renal artery length less than 20 mm. Overall, 30% of patients did not meet the current anatomic criteria for RDN.

Conclusions

Under the current ESH guidelines, 30% of the hypertensive patients studied had anatomy unsuitable for RDN under the current guidelines, most commonly due to the presence of accessory renal arteries. Our data support the use of non-invasive renal angiography prior to consideration of RDN and may prompt further studies of safety and efficacy in populations with anatomy outside of current guidelines. While accessory arteries were usually small, a significant proportion (28%) were of sufficient calibre for RDN and in these cases, an approach targeting accessory as well as main renal arteries may be suitable.

Funding

This project was supported by the NIHR Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit of Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust.

Authors' details

¹Department of CMR, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK. ²Department of Cardiology, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK.



© 2014 Raphael et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http:// creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Published: 16 January 2014

References

- 1. Leong KT, Krum H: How does renal denervation lower blood pressure and when should this technique be considered for the treatment of hypertension? *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2013, **15**:414.
- Schmieder RE, Redon J, Grassi G, Kjeldsen SE, Tsioufis C, *et al*: ESH position paper: renal denervation - an interventional therapy of resistant hypertension. J Hypertens 2012, 30:837-41.

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-16-S1-P147

Cite this article as: Raphael *et al.*: **Use of contrast enhanced magnetic** resonance angiography in assessment of anatomic suitability for renal denervation in the hypertensive population. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2014 **16**(Suppl 1):P147.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

BioMed Central

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit