Granato et al. The Journal of Headache and Pain 2013, 14(Suppl 1):P168 http://www.thejournalofheadacheandpain.com/content/14/S1/P168



# **POSTER PRESENTATION**

**Open Access** 

# Transcranial magnetic stimulation as a new approach in medication overuse headache: a pilot study

A Granato<sup>1\*</sup>, S Musho Ilbeh<sup>1</sup>, F Trovò<sup>1</sup>, M Borelli<sup>2</sup>, G Granello<sup>1</sup>, M Semenic<sup>1</sup>, F Monti<sup>1</sup>, G Pizzolato<sup>1</sup>

From The European Headache and Migraine Trust International Congress London, UK. 20-23 September 2012

# **Background**

Repetitive TMS (rTMS) is effective in migraine prophylaxis.

## Introduction

To study the efficacy of high-frequency rTMS in medication overuse headache (MOH).

#### Methods

A prospective, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial on patients suffering from MOH consecutively presenting in a six-month period in the Headache Centre of Trieste was performed. Patients were randomized into the rTMS or the sham-TMS group. Treatment consisted of 10 consecutively TMS sessions delivered on left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, each session being 10 trains of 2-s duration, separated by 30-s pause, 20 Hz frequency, 100% motor threshold intensity. Demographic and clinical information, MIDAS score, headache days (HD), hours of headache (HH), and symptomatic drugs (SD) in the 3 months before (t1), and in the first (t2) and second month (t3) after stimulation were analysed using SPSS 14.0.

#### Results

We enrolled 8 patients (7 F, 1 M; mean age  $44 \pm 11$ ), four patients undewent rTMS and four sham-TMS. All patients were migraineurs without aura as initial primary headache. We found, in both rTMS and sham-TMS group, no significant difference between the 3 months before and the 2 months after stimulation (rTMS: HD= 22  $\pm$  6 t1 vs 22  $\pm$ 11 t2 vs 19  $\pm$  14 t3, HH= 223  $\pm$  205 t1 vs 219  $\pm$  198 t2 vs  $205 \pm 196 \, t3$ , SD=  $22 \pm 10 \, t1 \, vs \, 18 \pm 7 \, t2 \, vs \, 16 \pm 8 \, t3$ ; sham-TMS: HD=  $22 \pm 5 \text{ t1}$  vs  $12 \pm 6 \text{ t2}$  vs  $13 \pm 8 \text{ t3}$ , HH=  $180 \pm 117 \text{ t1 vs } 99 \pm 73 \text{ t2 vs } 97 \pm 28 \text{ t3, SD} = 22 \pm 10 \text{ t1 vs}$  $16 \pm 3$  t2 vs  $17 \pm 4$  t3). MIDAS score significantly reduced in rTMS group at a three-month evaluation (111  $\pm$  29 vs 42  $\pm$  27; p=0.03).

## **Conclusions**

Our preliminary data suggest that high-frequency rTMS is not useful to treat MOH, however the small sample does not allow to draw safe conclusions.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical, Surgical and Health Sciences, Headache Centre, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Geosciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy

Published: 21 February 2013

#### References

- Brighina F, Piazza A, Vitello G, Aloisio A, Palermo A, Daniele O, Fierro B: rTMS of the prefrontal cortex in the treatment of chronic migraine: a pilot study. J Neurol Sci 2004, 227(1):67-71.
- Lipton RB, Pearlman SH: Transcranial magnetic simulation in the treatment of migraine. Neurotherapeutics 2010, 7(2):204-12.

#### doi:10.1186/1129-2377-14-S1-P168

Cite this article as: Granato et al.: Transcranial magnetic stimulation as a new approach in medication overuse headache: a pilot study. The Journal of Headache and Pain 2013 14(Suppl 1):P168.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Medical, Surgical and Health Sciences, Headache Centre, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy