

Instanton operators and symmetry enhancement in 5d supersymmetric quiver gauge theories

Kazuya Yonekura

*School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study,
1 Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ, 08540 U.S.A.*

E-mail: yonekura@ias.edu

ABSTRACT: We consider general 5d $SU(N)$ quiver gauge theories whose nodes form an ADE Dynkin diagram of type G . Each node has $SU(N_i)$ gauge group of general rank, Chern-Simons level κ_i and additional w_i fundamentals. When the total flavor number at each node is less than or equal to $2N_i - 2|\kappa_i|$, we give general rules under which the symmetries associated to instanton currents are enhanced to $G \times G$ or a subgroup of it in the UV 5d superconformal theory. When the total flavor number violates that condition at some of the nodes, further enhancement of flavor symmetries occurs. In particular we find a large class of gauge theories interpreted as S^1 compactification of 6d superconformal theories which are waiting for string/F-theory realization. We also consider hypermultiplets in (anti-)symmetric representation.

KEYWORDS: Supersymmetric gauge theory, Field Theories in Higher Dimensions, Solitons Monopoles and Instantons

ARXIV EPRINT: [1505.04743](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04743)

Contents

1	Introduction and summary	1
2	Preliminary	4
2.1	Single $SU(N)$	4
2.2	$N_f > 2N$	7
3	Symmetry enhancement in general quivers	9
3.1	$N_f \leq 2N$ and $G \times G$ symmetry enhancement	9
3.2	$N_f > 2N$ and a large class of possible 6d SCFTs	11
3.3	Inclusion of $SU(2)$ gauge group	13
3.4	Mass deformation of SCFT and gauge couplings	16
4	Other matters	17
4.1	Anti-symmetric representation	17
4.2	Symmetric representation	20

1 Introduction and summary

By now, overwhelming evidence has been accumulated that there exist nontrivial superconformal field theories (SCFTs) in five and six dimensions, despite the lack of renormalizable interacting Lagrangians (other than ϕ^3) in these dimensions. In five dimensions, it was pioneered in [1] and continued to be studied in [2–7]. Recently, by using more modern techniques such as localization computation of partition functions, properties of 5d SCFTs are studied in much more detail, see e.g., [8–28].

One of the main focus of these works is on enhancement of global symmetry. Let us see this from a top-down point of view in the sense of renormalization group (RG) flows. Suppose that we have a 5d SCFT with a global symmetry G . We can deform the theory by mass parameters. The theory has 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry (i.e., 8 supercharges), and hence its mass deformation m takes values in the Cartan subalgebra of the flavor symmetry group G . After the mass deformation, conformal invariance is broken and a nontrivial RG flow is triggered. If the mass deformation is generic enough, we typically get a weakly coupled low energy effective field theory which is a supersymmetric gauge theory.¹ Now, if the mass deformation is taken in the Cartan part of a non-abelian flavor symmetry,

¹Let us make the point of view a little more clear. We regard 5d supersymmetric gauge theories as low energy effective field theories of mass-deformed 5d (or sometimes 6d) SCFTs. The relation between 5d gauge theories and UV mass-deformed SCFTs is somewhat analogous to the relation between the effective Lagrangian of pions and QCD. For example, the fact that some of the BPS states of the mass-deformed SCFTs are realized as instanton particles in 5d gauge theories is analogous to the fact that the pion effective Lagrangian can, surprisingly, realize baryons as skyrmions [29]. A nontrivial point of 5d supersymmetric

the symmetry G is broken down to a subgroup H at low energies. This means that the symmetry visible in the low energy effective gauge theory H is smaller than the symmetry G of the UV SCFT. Following the renormalization group flow in the inverse direction, it looks like that the symmetry H of the low energy gauge theory is enhanced to G in the UV limit.

Therefore, it is important to see the symmetry enhancement in the study of “inverse RG flows” from low energy gauge theories to UV SCFTs. Various methods have been used to see the symmetry enhancement in the above references.

Recently, a particularly simple method of determining the symmetry enhancement was proposed [31] and further investigated in [32]. One of the visible global symmetries of the low energy gauge theory is the one associated to the current $j \sim * \text{tr} F^2$ whose charge is the instanton number, where F is the field strength of the gauge group.² This, by itself, is a U(1) symmetry. Now we can consider instanton operators in five dimensions, which are defined by the property that the instanton number on a small S^4 surrounding this operator is nonzero. If there is an instanton operator which is also a (broken) current multiplet, this current multiplet is charged under the U(1). Then the U(1) current and the instanton operator must form a non-abelian global symmetry. The operator spectrum of the instanton operators are determined just by a simple semi-classical quantization of zero modes around instantons. In this way, we can determine the enhanced symmetry of the UV SCFT involving instanton currents.³ Furthermore, if the Dynkin diagram of the enhanced symmetry is of affine type, that means the theory is actually an S^1 compactification of a 6d SCFT [31].

Other than its simplicity, the method also has the advantage that it does not require any string theory realization of the theory. We just need the low energy gauge theory. Of course, we do not know whether a given non-renormalizable gauge theory can be UV-completed by an SCFT or not unless the theory is explicitly realized by string theory. However, we will see that the method of [31] might even be used to see whether a given gauge theory has UV completion or not; if the symmetry enhancement gives an inconsistent (i.e., neither finite nor affine) Dynkin diagram, that is an indication that the theory does not actually exist. For example, in the case of $SU(N)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors, this argument suggests a bound $N_f \leq 2N + 4$ which was also found in [27] by a different method.

In this paper we study symmetry enhancement in general $SU(N)$ quiver gauge theories whose nodes form an ADE Dynkin diagram of type G . Each node labeled by i has $SU(N_i)$ gauge group, Chern-Simons level κ_i and fundamental flavors w_i in addition to the bifun-

gauge theories is that they seem to be able to realize all the BPS states of the UV mass-deformed SCFTs. This is one of the reasons that 5d gauge theories are often regarded as Lagrangians by dropping the adjective “effective”. However, we do not expect that 5d gauge theories themselves are well-defined up to arbitrary high energy including non-BPS information, because of nonrenormalizability. See, e.g., [30].

²Again, this is analogous to the fact [29] that the baryon number in the pion effective Lagrangian is given by the charge associated to the Maurer-Cartan 3-form $\text{tr}(U^{-1}dU)^3$, where U is the unitary matrix representing pions as Nambu-Goldstone bosons. Pursuing the analogy, Chern-Simons terms of 5d gauge theories are also analogous to Wess-Zumino-Witten terms in the pion theory.

³Actually, this method is more general than just determining the enhanced symmetry. We can also find other BPS operators as realized as instanton operators. See [25, 32].

damentals dictated by the quiver diagram. We denote the Cartan matrix of the Dynkin diagram corresponding to the quiver as A_{ij} . Then the usual combination $2N - N_f$ of the gauge group rank and flavor number at each node i is given by $\sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i$. We would like to summarize main results.

In section 3.1, we study the case in which $2|\kappa_i| \leq \sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i$ is satisfied at each node. The rules for the symmetry enhancement is as follows. We prepare two copies of the Dynkin diagram of the quiver and denote them as Dyn_+ and Dyn_- . Then we remove the node i from Dyn_+ (reps. Dyn_-) if the Chern-Simons level is such that $+2\kappa_i \neq \sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i$ (resp. $-2\kappa_i \neq \sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i$). After this process, we get Dynkin diagrams which define a subalgebra of $G \times G$. This subalgebra gives the enhanced symmetry. One instanton operator of $\text{SU}(N_i)$ gives the generator corresponding to the simple root at the node i of Dyn_\pm when $\pm 2\kappa_i = \sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i$. In particular, if $\kappa_i = 0$ and $\sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i = 0$ at all the nodes, we get the full $G \times G$ flavor symmetry as already discussed in [31].

In section 3.2, we study the case in which the condition $2|\kappa_i| \leq \sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i$ is violated at some of the nodes. We can get further enhancement of the symmetry. In particular, if $\kappa_i = 0$ and $\sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i = -2$ at a node i , the Dynkin diagrams of Dyn_+ , Dyn_- and the flavor $\text{SU}(w_i)$ are combined into a larger symmetry. The rule is that the node i of Dyn_+ and the node i of Dyn_- at which $\sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i = -2$ is satisfied are connected by the Dynkin diagram of $\text{SU}(w_i)$, and we get a larger Dynkin diagram. If we get an affine Dynkin diagram, the theory should be interpreted as an S^1 compactification of a 6d SCFT, and if we get an inconsistent Dynkin diagram, we might exclude that theory as mentioned above.

In section 4, we also study the case in which hypermultiplets in symmetric and anti-symmetric representations are introduced. Very similar story happens also in this case.

Due to the lack of conditions similar to the asymptotic free condition in 4d or anomaly free condition in 6d and 4d, we do not know what theories are allowed in 5d. (See [33, 34] for this direction in 4d and 6d.) However, the author believes that the theories studied in this paper cover all the “generic” $\text{SU}(N)$ quiver theories in which the rank of the $\text{SU}(N)$ gauge groups are not too small. The fact that we find symmetry enhancement of finite/affine/inconsistent Dynkin diagrams depending on the flavor numbers supports this idea. It would be interesting to refine this point further. It would also be interesting to study the cases of non-generic (i.e., small rank) theories with non-generic representations, and quiver theories with other gauge groups [32]. Instanton operators have been proposed and studied in [35–37] with a different focus from that of [31, 32] and this paper, and it would be interesting to study connections between these works.

Note added. A paper [38] appeared on the same day with this paper in arXiv.⁴ There, the theories with $N_f > 2N - |\kappa|$ are explicitly constructed by brane constructions, and the symmetry enhancement is derived by a different method from that of this paper, with completely the same results.

⁴We thank Futoshi Yagi for informing us of the day of submission of their paper to arXiv.

2 Preliminary

Let us briefly recall the procedure of [31]. In 5d gauge theories, instanton currents $j \sim * \text{tr} F^2$ define global U(1) symmetries whose charges are the instanton numbers. We want to see that they are enhanced to non-abelian symmetries whose Cartan part is given by the instanton symmetries (and other U(1) symmetries). The non-Cartan part must be charged under the Cartan U(1) symmetries, and hence they must have nontrivial instanton numbers. In the UV limit the theory is conformal, so by using the state-operator correspondence, the non-Cartan part is identified with states on $S^4 \times \mathbb{R}$ with nonzero instanton numbers on S^4 .

In theories with 8 supercharges, a flavor symmetry current is in a supermultiplet whose bottom component is a space-time scalar operator in the triplet of $SU(2)_R$ R-symmetry. We want to identify these operators with the states obtained by quantizing fermion zero modes of instantons on S^4 . We will see that one instanton is enough for the purpose of this paper. Focusing on one instanton, the procedure is as follows (see [31] for more details).

1. Consider one instanton configuration on S^4 which preserves $SO(5)$ rotational symmetry of S^4 .
2. Quantize fermion zero modes of the instanton and obtain states on S^4 .
3. Pick up states which are $SO(5)$ singlet, $SU(2)_R$ triplet and gauge singlet. Identify them as the states corresponding to the lowest components of current supermultiplets of enhanced symmetries.

2.1 Single $SU(N)$

As a preparation of the analysis of more general quivers, we first review the case of a single $SU(N)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors and Chern-Simons level κ . We decompose the $SU(N)$ as $SU(N) \supset SU(2) \times SU(N-2) \times U(1)$, and embed one instanton into $SU(2)$. In the following analysis, we assume that $N \geq 3$ so that nothing non-generic happens.

Gauginos. The gauginos are in the adjoint representation, and the adjoint representation is decomposed into (i) the adjoint of $SU(2)$, (ii) two bifundamentals of $SU(2) \times SU(N-2)$, and (iii) singlets of $SU(2)$. All of them are also doublets of $SU(2)_R$.

From the adjoint of $SU(2)$, we get complex-4 or real-8 gaugino zero modes. They are in the 4-dimensional representation of $Sp(2) \cong SO(5)$ and hence these zero modes are written as $\lambda_{i\alpha}$, where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is for $Sp(2) \cong SO(5)$ rotational symmetry and $i = 1, 2$ is for $SU(2)_R$. The reality condition $(\lambda_{i\alpha})^* = \epsilon^{ij} J^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{j\beta}$ is imposed. The anti-commutation relation is $\{\lambda_{i\alpha}, \lambda_{j\beta}\} = \epsilon_{ij} J_{\alpha\beta}$. This algebra gives states

$$|(ij)\rangle, \quad |i\alpha\rangle, \quad |[\alpha\beta]\rangle \tag{2.1}$$

where $|(ij)\rangle$ represents a triplet of $SU(2)_R$, $|i\alpha\rangle$ is a bifundamental of $SU(2)_R \times Sp(2)$, and $|[\alpha\beta]\rangle$ is an anti-symmetric traceless representation of $Sp(2)$ that is a vector of $SO(5)$. They have the correct quantum numbers to be a current supermultiplet.

From the bifundamentals of $SU(2) \times SU(N-2)$, we get complex $2(N-2)$ or real $4(N-2)$ zero modes B_{ia} and $(B_{ia})^*$ where $a = 1, 2, \dots, N-2$ is for $SU(N-2)$ and $i = 1, 2$ is for $SU(2)_R$ as before. The B_{ia} has $U(1)$ charge $N/(N-2)$ if we normalize the $U(1)$ generator as

$$Q = \frac{1}{N-2} \text{diag}(N-2, N-2, -2, -2, \dots, -2). \quad (2.2)$$

The anti-commutation relation is just $\{B_{ia}, (B_{jb})^*\} = \delta_i^j \delta_a^b$.

When the Chern-Simons level is κ , the one instanton has $U(1)$ charge κ in the above normalization of $U(1)$. Taking this into account, the state annihilated by all of $(B_{ia})^*$ has $U(1)$ charge $(-N + \kappa)$, and we denote this state as $|(-N + \kappa)\rangle_g$. Other states are obtained by acting B_{ia} to this state.

We are only interested in states which are $SU(N-2) \times U(1)$ singlet, $SU(2)_R$ triplet and $SO(5) \cong Sp(2)$ singlet. As we will see, zero modes from hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation are always singlet of $SU(N-2) \times SU(2)_R \times SO(5)$. Thus, we only need to concentrate on the states

$$|(ij)\rangle \otimes |(\pm N + \kappa)\rangle_g, \quad (2.3)$$

where $|(+N + \kappa)\rangle = (B_{ia})^{2(N-2)}|(-N + \kappa)\rangle_g$.

Fundamental hypers. Hypermultiplets are in the fundamental representation of $SU(N)$, and the fundamental representation is decomposed as a doublet of $SU(2)$ and singlets of $SU(2)$. From the doublets of $SU(2)$, we get complex N_f zero modes C_s and $(C_s)^*$, where $s = 1, 2, \dots, N_f$ and $\{C_s, (C_t)^*\} = \delta_s^t$. The C_s has $U(1)$ charge 1. There is also a baryon number symmetry acting on the hypermultiplets. If we take the hypermultiplet baryon charge to be 1, the states are obtained by acting C_s to the state $|(-N_f/2, -N_f/2)\rangle_h$ which has $U(1)$ charge $-N_f/2$ and baryon charge $-N_f/2$,

$$|\wedge^\ell, (-N_f/2 + \ell), (-N_f/2 + \ell)\rangle_h := C_{s_1} \cdots C_{s_\ell} |(-N_f/2, -N_f/2)\rangle_h \quad (\ell = 0, 1, \dots, N_f), \quad (2.4)$$

where \wedge^ℓ means the ℓ -th anti-symmetric representation of the flavor $SU(N_f)$ group.

Symmetry enhancement. We need to combine (2.3) and (2.4) to get $U(1)$ invariant states. There are at most two states given by

$$|E_+\rangle := |(ij)\rangle \otimes |(-N + \kappa)\rangle_g \otimes |\wedge^{+N-\kappa+N_f/2}, (+N - \kappa), (+N - \kappa)\rangle_h$$

(only if $|+N - \kappa| \leq N_f/2$).

$$(2.5)$$

$$|E_-\rangle := |(ij)\rangle \otimes |(+N + \kappa)\rangle_g \otimes |\wedge^{-N-\kappa+N_f/2}, (-N - \kappa), (-N - \kappa)\rangle_h$$

(only if $|-N - \kappa| \leq N_f/2$).

$$(2.6)$$

For the moment, let us restrict ourselves to the case where the condition $|\kappa| + N_f/2 \leq N$ is satisfied. Then, combined with $|\pm N - \kappa| \leq N_f/2$, the only allowed possibilities are

$$|E_+\rangle = |(ij)\rangle \otimes | -N_f/2 \rangle_g \otimes |\wedge^{N_f}, N_f/2, N_f/2 \rangle_h$$

(only if $\kappa = N - N_f/2$). (2.7)

$$|E_-\rangle = |(ij)\rangle \otimes | N_f/2 \rangle_g \otimes |\wedge^0, -N_f/2, -N_f/2 \rangle_h$$

(only if $\kappa = -N + N_f/2$). (2.8)

In this case, $|E_\pm\rangle$ is $SU(N_f)$ singlet and has baryon charge $\pm N_f/2$.

We denote the instanton number as I , the baryon number as B . Then we define

$$H_\pm = \frac{1}{2}I \pm \frac{B + \kappa I}{2N}. \tag{2.9}$$

The baryon charge of $|E_\pm\rangle$ is $B = \pm N - \kappa$, and hence the states $|E_\pm\rangle$, if they exist, have charges (H_+, H_-) given by $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, respectively. Anti-instanton should give states $|E_\pm\rangle^*$ whose charges are opposite of those of $|E_\pm\rangle$.

Therefore the $U(1)_+$ symmetry is enhanced to $SU(2)_+$ if $\kappa = N - N_f/2$, and the same is true for $U(1)_-$ if $\kappa = -N + N_f/2$. Note that if $N - N_f/2 = 0$ and $\kappa = 0$, both of $SU(2)_\pm$ are enhanced.

What is actually shown by the above argument is that H_\pm is the Cartan part of the $SU(2)_\pm$ symmetry up to addition of flavor $U(1)$ charges such that the charges of $|E_\pm\rangle$ remain to be $+1$. When $\kappa = 0 = N - N_f/2$, both the baryon $U(1)$ and the instanton $U(1)$ are incorporated into the non-abelian symmetry $SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_-$, so there is no ambiguity if the symmetry is $SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_-$. However, when only e.g. $SU(2)_+$ is enhanced, the Cartan of this $SU(2)_+$ still has an ambiguity of the form $H_+ + cH_-$, where c is the yet undetermined constant. This is because the charge of $|E_+\rangle$ is still $+1$ for arbitrary c . We want to fix this ambiguity.

To do so, notice that the theory with $\kappa = N - N_f/2$ can be achieved from the theory with $2N$ flavors by adding $2N - N_f$ flavors the masses of positive sign. (The sign depends on convention. The only important point here is that the masses of all the massive quarks have the same sign. If all the signs are reversed, we get a theory with opposite sign of κ .) Integrating out the massive quarks, we get the theory with N_f flavors and the desired Chern-Simons level $\kappa = N - N_f/2$. Now, integrating out the massive quarks has the effect that it produces a Chern-Simons coupling between the baryon flavor gauge field A_B and the $SU(N)$ gauge field. It is just a one-loop computation⁵ and is given as

$$-\frac{2N - N_f}{4(2\pi)^2} \int A_B \text{tr} F^2 = \frac{\kappa}{2(2\pi)^2} \int A_B \text{tr} F^2, \tag{2.10}$$

where the trace is in the fundamental representation of the gauge group $SU(N)$. This means the following. Let B_{UV} be the baryon symmetry of the theory with $2N$ flavors, and

⁵A simpler way to check this (see e.g., [39]) is that the zero modes (up to quark masses) of these $n_f = 2N - N_f$ massive quarks around one instanton give states with baryon charges $-n_f/2, -n_f/2 + 1, \dots, n_f/2$, and only the state with the charge $-n_f/2$ (which has the lowest energy) contributes to the low energy theory with $N_f = 2N - n_f$ flavors.

let B_{IR} be the one in the theory with N_f flavors without the term (2.10). Then, they are related as $B_{\text{UV}} = B_{\text{IR}} + \kappa I$ because, in the instanton background, the term (2.10) gives instantons additional baryon charge κI . Now, we have argued above that the Cartan of $\text{SU}(2)_+$ is unambiguously given by $H_+ = I/2 + B_{\text{UV}}/2N$ in the theory with $2N$ flavors. Presumably this $\text{SU}(2)_+$ is unchanged in the RG flow from the theory with $2N$ flavors to the theory with N_f flavors. (One might wonder about the $\text{SU}(2)_-$ symmetry which is present in the theory with $2N$ flavors but is absent in the theory with N_f flavors. The point is that the above masses of quarks is not traceless, so it is in the Cartan of the $\text{U}(2N)$ flavor symmetry but not in $\text{SU}(2N)$. However, the $\text{U}(1)$ part of the $\text{U}(2N)$ flavor symmetry is actually a part of the $\text{SU}(2)_+ \times \text{SU}(2)_-$ symmetry of the UV superconformal theory, and hence the addition of masses in the $\text{U}(1)$ part must break at least one of the $\text{SU}(2)_+$ or $\text{SU}(2)_-$. We need to tune the mass deformation associated to the instanton charge such that $\text{SU}(2)_+$ is preserved. Then $\text{SU}(2)_-$ must be broken to its Cartan.)

Therefore the Cartan of $\text{SU}(2)_+$ in the N_f flavor theory is given by

$$H_+ = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{B_{\text{UV}}}{2N} = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{B_{\text{IR}} + \kappa I}{2N}. \tag{2.11}$$

This confirms our claim that the Cartan of $\text{SU}(2)_+$ is really given by H_+ in (2.9). The same is true for $\text{SU}(2)_-$ when $\kappa = -N + N_f/2$. Note that gauge invariant baryon chiral operators have charge $H_+ = \pm 1/2$, so they are a doublet of $\text{SU}(2)_+$.

2.2 $N_f > 2N$

It is very interesting to consider the case $N_f > 2N$. The restriction $2N - N_f - 2|\kappa| \geq 0$ was obtained in [4] under the condition that the whole Coulomb branch can be described as an $\text{SU}(N)$ gauge theory. However, if we allow the possibility of phase transitions on the Coulomb branch, we may still have theories with $2N - N_f - 2|\kappa| < 0$.

What values of N_f and κ can be consistent? The instanton operators are supposed to correspond to generators of the symmetry G_{UV} of the UV superconformal theory which contains $\text{SU}(N_f)$ as a subgroup. Then, we should never get representations $\wedge^3, \dots, \wedge^{N_f-3}$ since there is no symmetry breaking $G_{\text{UV}} \rightarrow \text{SU}(N_f)$ under which the adjoint representation of G_{UV} produces these representations (when $N_f > 8$). Therefore, the parameter region $|\pm N - \kappa| \leq N_f/2 - 3$ is excluded by using (2.5) and (2.6). Assuming that the Chern-Simons level is not too large, e.g., $|\kappa| \leq N$, the excluded region is $N_f \geq 2N - 2|\kappa| + 6$. However, there is a possibility that theories with

$$N_f \leq 2N - 2|\kappa| + 4 \tag{2.12}$$

might exist. (Recall that $N_f \equiv 2\kappa \pmod{2}$ due to parity anomaly, so $N_f = 2N - 2|\kappa| + 5$ cannot occur.) The existence of such theories is really argued recently [27].

As illustrative examples, let us consider the cases $\kappa = 0$, $N_f = 2N + 2$ or $N_f = 2N + 4$. As before, $|E_{\pm}\rangle$ has charge $(H_+, H_-) = (1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ respectively, so $(H_{\pm}, E_{\pm}, (E_{\pm})^*)$ form $\text{SU}(2)_{\pm}$ sub-algebras. However, they also transform in nontrivial representations of $\text{SU}(N_f)$. The Dynkin diagram of $\text{SU}(N_f)$ is

$$\circ - \circ - \circ - \dots - \circ - \circ - \circ \tag{2.13}$$

	$N_f = 2N + 1$	$N_f = 2N + 2$	$N_f = 2N + 3$	$N_f = 2N + 4$
$ \kappa = 0$		$SU(N_f + 2)$		$\widehat{SO}(2N_f)$
$ \kappa = 1/2$	$SU(N_f + 1) \times SU(2)$		$SO(2N_f + 2)$	
$ \kappa = 1$		$SO(2N_f) \times SU(2)$		
$ \kappa = 3/2$	$SO(2N_f)$			

Table 1. Symmetry enhancement in $N_f > 2N$ theories. The conditions $N_f = 2\kappa \bmod 2$ and $N_f \leq 2N - 2|\kappa| + 4$ are imposed. The hat on $SO(2N_f)$ means that the corresponding Dynkin diagram is affine.

where there are $N_f - 1$ nodes. When $N_f = 2N + 2$, the E_+ and E_- transform in the fundamental and anti-fundamental representation of $SU(N_f)$, respectively as one can check by (2.5) and (2.6). This means that we get a new Dynkin diagram

$$\bullet - \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ - \dots - \circ - \circ - \circ - \bullet \tag{2.14}$$

where the two black nodes come from the $SU(2)$ subalgebras of the instanton operators $(H_+, E_+, (E_+)^*)$ and $(H_-, E_-, (E_-)^*)$, respectively. Therefore the symmetry is enhanced to $SU(N_f + 2)$.

When $N_f = 2N + 4$, it was predicted [27] that the theory has a UV completion as S^1 compactification of a 6d SCFT. In this case we get an affine Dynkin diagram

$$\circ - \overset{\bullet}{\underset{|}{\circ}} - \circ - \dots - \circ - \overset{\bullet}{\underset{|}{\circ}} - \circ \tag{2.15}$$

As discussed in [31], when the Dynkin diagram becomes affine, the theory is in fact S^1 compactification of a 6d SCFT. Thus the symmetry enhancement is consistent with the claim of [27] that this is indeed a 6d SCFT. The symmetry corresponding to this affine Dynkin diagram is $SO(2N_f) = SO(4N + 8)$, so this should be the symmetry of the 6d SCFT.

Other cases of N_f and κ are listed in the table 1. The argument of this paper alone does not guarantee the existence of these theories, but at least their Dynkin diagrams are consistent.

All the 6d SCFTs are conjectured to be realized by F-theory on elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds [40–43]. There is actually a candidate for the above 6d theory. In the terminology of those papers, it is a theory supported on a single curve of self-intersection -1 with $Sp(N - 2)$ gauge group which is coupled to $\frac{1}{2}(4N + 8)$ fundamental hypermultiplets. It is also the same as the minimal $(SO(2N + 4), SO(2N + 4))$ conformal matter [41]. The global symmetry of this theory is $SO(4N + 8)$, and the dimension of the Coulomb branch, after compactification to 5 dimensions, is $+1 + (N - 2) = N - 1$ where the first $+1$ comes from a tensor multiplet in six dimensions. This is the same as the rank of $SU(N)$. It would be interesting to check this conjecture in more detail. Note that when $N = 2$, the conjecture reduces to the well-known fact about rank 1 E-string theory.

3 Symmetry enhancement in general quivers

Let us consider 5d quiver gauge theories whose nodes form a simply laced (possibly affine) Dynkin diagram of type G with Cartan matrix A_{ij} . Gauge group at the node labelled by an index i is $SU(N_i)$, and we consider the case that this is coupled to w_i additional flavors in the fundamental representation and has Chern-Simons level κ_i . We want to study the symmetry enhancement of this class of theories.

3.1 $N_f \leq 2N$ and $G \times G$ symmetry enhancement

In this subsection, we study the case that the parameters of the theory satisfy

$$\sum_j A_{ij} N_j - w_i - 2|\kappa_i| \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where A_{ij} is the Cartan matrix associated to the ADE Dynkin diagram defined as $A_{ii} = 2$, $A_{ij} = -1$ if i and j are adjacent, and zero otherwise. There are of course bifundamentals between the nodes i and j if $A_{ij} = -1$. The above condition means that the total flavor number at each node is not larger than $2N_i - 2|\kappa_i|$. We also assume that the ranks of the gauge groups satisfy $N_i \geq 3$. The case with $SU(2)$ gauge groups will be treated in section 3.3. In this class of theories, we want to identify enhanced symmetries in the UV SCFT in addition to the visible symmetries.

First, we need to define baryon symmetries appropriately. Let us focus on the node labelled by i . We define a baryon symmetry B_i associated to this node as follows. The B_i baryon charges of w_j fundamentals are defined to be δ_{ij} . Next, let us consider a bifundamental Q_{ij} of $SU(N_i) \times SU(N_j)$ for $A_{ij} = -1$. We use a convention that Q_{ij} is in the fundamental representation of $SU(N_i)$ and in the anti-fundamental representation of $SU(N_j)$. Then Q_{ji} is the CPT conjugate of Q_{ij} . The charge of Q_{ij} under B_i is defined to be 1. Note that in this convention, the charge of Q_{ij} under B_j is -1 because of the CPT conjugation. Thus, the charge under B_i is $+1$ if the field is in the fundamental representation of $SU(N_i)$, -1 if it is in the anti-fundamental representation of $SU(N_i)$, and zero otherwise.

We denote the instanton number associated to the gauge group $SU(N_i)$ as I_i . Define

$$H_{i,\pm} = \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_j A_{ij} I_j \right) \pm \left(\frac{B_i + \kappa_i I_i}{2N_i} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

When $I_i = 1$ and $I_j = 0$ ($j \neq i$), we get

$$H_{i,\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{B_i + \kappa_i}{2N_i}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$H_{j,\pm} = -\frac{1}{4} \pm \frac{B_j}{2N_j} \quad (A_{ij} = -1) \quad (3.4)$$

$$H_{k,\pm} = \pm \frac{B_k}{2N_k} \quad (A_{ik} = 0). \quad (3.5)$$

Now let us consider one instanton of the gauge group $SU(N_i)$. All the fields charged under this gauge group has B_i charge 1, if these fields are considered to be in the fundamental (i.e., not anti-fundamental) of $SU(N_i)$ by using appropriate CPT conjugation if necessary. Therefore, by using the result of the single $SU(N)$ discussed above, we get states

$$|E_{i,+}\rangle \quad \text{if} \quad 2\kappa_i = + \left(\sum_j A_{ij} N_j - w_i \right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$|E_{i,-}\rangle \quad \text{if} \quad 2\kappa_i = - \left(\sum_j A_{ij} N_j - w_i \right). \quad (3.7)$$

These states $|E_{i,\pm}\rangle$ have the baryon charge $\pm N_i - \kappa_i$ as seen in the previous section, and hence the charges of these states under $(H_{i,+}, H_{i,-})$ is given by $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ respectively as can be seen from (3.3). In fact, the result of the single $SU(N)$ case implies that $H_{i,\pm}$, $E_{i,\pm}$ and $(E_{i,\pm})^*$ satisfy $SU(2)$ algebra.

Strictly speaking, the result of the previous section only shows that $H_{i,\pm}$ is the Cartan part of these $SU(2)$ algebras up to corrections of the form $\sum_{j \neq i} (c_j \pm \kappa_j d_j) I_j$ where c_j and d_j are constants, because we are setting $I_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ in the analysis. The specific form $c_j \pm \kappa_j d_j$ is dictated by a consideration of parity and charge conjugation (spurious) symmetries. When $\kappa_i = 0$ and $\sum_j A_{ij} N_j - w_i = 0$ at each node, the only consistent possibility seems to be that (3.2) is actually the Cartan generators. More general values of κ_i and w_i may be argued along the lines of the argument given in section 2.1 by adding masses to some of the w_i flavors.

Now, the crucial point is that $|E_{i,\pm}\rangle$ are charged under $H_{j,\pm}$ for j such that $A_{ij} = -1$. Remember that the bifundamental Q_{ij} has B_j charge -1 . Noting that there are N_j zero modes coming from this bifundamental Q_{ij} in the $SU(N_i)$ instanton, the B_j charge of $|E_{i,\pm}\rangle$ is given as $\mp N_j/2$. Using (3.4), we get the charges of $|E_{i,+}\rangle$ and $|E_{i,-}\rangle$ as $(H_{j,+}, H_{j,-}) = (-1/2, 0)$ and $(0, -1/2)$, respectively. It is also obvious that we get $H_{k,\pm} = 0$ for k such that $A_{ik} = 0$.

Summarizing the above results, the charges of $|E_{\pm}^i\rangle$ are given as

$$H_{j,\pm} |E_{i,\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} A_{ij} |E_{i,\pm}\rangle, \quad H_{j,\mp} |E_{i,\pm}\rangle = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Now we can clearly recognize that the charges of $|E_{i,\pm}\rangle$ under $H_{j,\pm}$ are exactly the same as that of the generator corresponding to the simple root of the node i of the Dynkin diagram. We conclude that *one-instanton operators at the node i give the generator corresponding to the simple root of G_+ (G_-) if the Chern-Simons level satisfies $2\kappa_i = (\sum_j A_{ij} N_j - w_i)$ ($2\kappa_i = -(\sum_j A_{ij} N_j - w_i)$). We have not analyzed multi-instanton operators, but the existence of (some of) the other roots are ensured once we have (some of) the simple roots.*

We summarize the rules of the symmetry enhancement.

1. Take two copies of the Dynkin diagram of type G which we denote as Dyn_{\pm} .
2. At a node i , if the Chern-Simons level is such that $2\kappa_i \neq (\sum_j A_{ij} N_j - w_i)$, we eliminate the node i from Dyn_+ . The same is true for Dyn_- if $2\kappa_i \neq -(\sum_j A_{ij} N_j - w_i)$.

3. The remaining Dynkin diagrams Dyn_\pm after the above process define some semi-simple Lie algebra which is a subgroup of $G_+ \times G_-$. This is the non-abelian part of the enhanced symmetry.

Our claim is that there is at least the symmetry enhancement described above. It can happen that we obtain a larger symmetry which contains the above one as a subgroup. For example, such further symmetry enhancement can happen when there are $SU(2)$ gauge groups.

A particularly interesting case is when $\kappa_i = 0$ and $\sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i = 0$. Then we get the symmetry $G_+ \times G_-$. This case was already discussed in [31].

3.2 $N_f > 2N$ and a large class of possible 6d SCFTs

In this subsection, we would like to study the cases in which the condition (3.1) is violated at some of the nodes. We will see that the two Dynkin diagrams Dyn_\pm discussed in the previous subsection are connected at those nodes and we get a larger Dynkin diagram. In many cases the theory is lifted to a 6d SCFT. We still assume $N_i \geq 3$.

Suppose that we have a quiver of the form of Dynkin diagram of type G . At most of the nodes, we take w_i to satisfy (3.1). Then we get the Dynkin diagrams Dyn_\pm as discussed before.

Now we choose a node ℓ , and take the flavor number and the Chern-Simons level of that node to be $w_\ell = 2 + \sum_j A_{\ell j}N_j$ and $\kappa_\ell = 0$, in which case the most interesting thing happens. As discussed in section 2.2, the instanton operators $|E_{\ell,\pm}\rangle$ are in a nontrivial representation of the flavor group. Focusing on gauge invariant states, $|E_{\ell,+}\rangle$ is in the fundamental representation of $SU(w_\ell)$, and $|E_{\ell,-}\rangle$ is in the anti-fundamental representation of $SU(w_\ell)$. This means the following. We have two Dynkin diagrams Dyn_\pm as in the previous subsection. However, now *the nodes ℓ of Dyn_+ and Dyn_- are connected by the Dynkin diagram of $SU(w_\ell)$.*

To illustrate the point, let us focus on the case where $\kappa_i = 0$ and $w_i = \sum_j A_{ij}N_j$ at most of the nodes other than ℓ where $w_\ell = 2 + \sum_j A_{\ell j}N_j$. Furthermore, we take the node ℓ to be at the end of the Dynkin diagram. Then we get the following Dynkin diagrams.

When the quiver is of A_n type, the symmetry enhancement gives

$$\bullet - \dots - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ - \bullet - \dots - \bullet, \tag{3.9}$$

where black nodes are from instanton operators and white nodes are from $SU(w_\ell)$. Note that the two Dynkin diagrams of the black nodes are connected by the Dynkin diagram of $SU(w_\ell)$ which consists of the white nodes. Thus we get $SU(2n + w_\ell)$.

When the quiver is of D_n ($n \geq 3$) type, the symmetry enhancement gives

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & \bullet & & & & \bullet & & \\ & & | & & & & | & & \\ \bullet & - & \bullet & - \dots - & \bullet & - \circ - \dots - \circ - & \bullet & - \dots - & \bullet & - & \bullet \end{array}, \tag{3.10}$$

This is affine $D_{2n+w_\ell-2}$. So the theory is actually lifted to a 6d SCFT with $SO(2(2n+w_\ell-2))$ flavor symmetry.

When the quiver is of $E_{6,7,8}$ type, the symmetry enhancement gives

$$\bullet - \bullet - \overset{\bullet}{\underset{|}{\bullet}} - \dots - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ - \bullet - \dots - \overset{\bullet}{\underset{|}{\bullet}} - \bullet - \bullet. \quad (3.11)$$

There are no such (affine) Dynkin diagrams, so probably the theory cannot be UV completed.

There is another way to get affine Dynkin diagrams. Take A_n type quiver and let ℓ and ℓ' be the nodes at the two ends of the A_n quiver. If we take $w_\ell = 2 + \sum_j A_{\ell j} N_j$ and $w_{\ell'} = 2 + \sum_j A_{\ell' j} N_j$ at these two nodes, we get an affine $A_{2n+w_\ell+w_{\ell'}-3}$ diagram

$$- \bullet - \dots - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ - \bullet - \dots - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ - \quad (\text{two ends connected}) \quad (3.12)$$

where the first and the last nodes are supposed to be connected. So this is a 6d theory with $SU(2n + w_\ell + w_{\ell'} - 2)$ flavor symmetry.

In the above, we have focused on the case that most of the nodes have $\kappa_i = 0$ and $w_i = \sum_j A_{ij} N_j$. However, by choosing κ_i and w_i appropriately, we can get arbitrary pairs of Dynkin diagrams ($\text{Dyn}_+, \text{Dyn}_-$) which is a subset of two copies of the original Dynkin diagram. By connecting these Dyn_+ and Dyn_- as described above, we get many more possibilities for consistent Dynkin diagrams.

We do not know whether theories which give consistent (affine) Dynkin diagrams really have UV completion or not. Assuming many of them do exist, they might give a large class of 6d theories in the following way. For each set of integers $\{N_i\}$ such that $\sum_j A_{ij} N_j \geq 0$, we can tune w_i and κ_i appropriately to get affine Dynkin diagrams. So we get a 6d SCFT (or several 6d SCFTs) for each such set $\{N_i\}$. Then we face a big challenge. In [40–43] it was conjectured that all 6d SCFTs are realized by F-theory. If that is the case, the theories found here must be identified with some of the theories classified there.

Probably a little more safe (but still not completely rigorously proved) thing to say is that we exclude theories which give an inconsistent Dynkin diagram. Basically, we need to satisfy $w_i + 2|\kappa_i| \leq \sum_j A_{ij} N_j$ at most of the nodes, and only a few nodes can violate this condition by only a few extra flavors. This is reminiscent of the asymptotic free/conformal condition in 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories.

Example. As an example, let us consider A_n type quiver

$$[w_\ell = N + 2] - SU(N) - \dots - SU(N) - [w_{\ell'} = N + 2], \quad (3.13)$$

where the two ends have $w = N + 2$ flavors. This is the type discussed around (3.12). The enhanced symmetry is $SU(2n + 2N + 2)$. The dimension of the Coulomb branch is $n(N - 1)$.

When $n = 2m + 1$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and $N \geq 2m + 2 = n + 1$, a candidate for this theory is the one realized in F-theory as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & & 2 & & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ \mathfrak{sp}_{N-2m-2} & \mathfrak{su}_{2N-4m+4} & \mathfrak{su}_{2N-4m+12} & \cdots & \mathfrak{su}_{2N+4m-4} & [\mathfrak{su}_{2N+4m+4}] \end{array} \quad (3.14)$$

where the notation means that the \mathfrak{sp} is supported on a -1 curve, \mathfrak{su} 's are supported on -2 curves, and the last $[\mathfrak{su}_{2N+4m+4}]$ is the flavor symmetry supported on a noncompact

curve. This type of theories is first constructed by brane setups in [44]. This is an anomaly free valid F-theory model (see section 6 of [42]), and has the flavor $SU(2N + 4m + 4) = SU(2N + 2n + 2)$ symmetry. The dimension of the Coulomb branch after compactification to 5d is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 r &= 1 + (N - 2m - 2) + \sum_{p=1}^m (1 + (2N - 4m - 4 + 8p - 1)) \\
 &= (2m + 1)(N - 1) = n(N - 1),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.15}$$

where tensor multiplet contributions are also taken into account. This dimension is the same as the above quiver (3.13). This may be a natural generalization of the conjecture discussed in section 2.2 about the $SU(N)$ $N_f = 2N + 4$ theory.

In the same way, when $n = 2m$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) and $N \geq 2m = n$, a candidate for the F-theory model is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & & 2 & & \dots & & 2 \\
 \mathfrak{su}_{2N-4m+2} & & \mathfrak{su}_{2N-4m+10} & & \dots & & \mathfrak{su}_{2N+4m-6} \left[\mathfrak{su}_{2N+4m+2} \right].
 \end{array}
 \tag{3.16}$$

The $\mathfrak{su}_{2N-4m+2}$ is supported on a -1 curve and hence must be coupled to a hypermultiplet in the anti-symmetric representation (see [44] and section 6 of [42]). This has the $SU(2N + 4m + 2) = SU(2N + 2n + 2)$ flavor symmetry, and the Coulomb branch dimension is $r = 2m(N - 1) = n(N - 1)$.

The above guess is valid only if $N \geq n + 1$ (for odd n) and $N \geq n$ (for even n). What about the opposite case? In this case, we propose that there is a duality $N - 1 \leftrightarrow n$, in the sense that two 5d theories flows from the same UV 6d theory with different deformation by flavor Wilson loops on S^1 . At the most naive level, this duality may be seen by the brane web construction [6], and more precise argument might be given along the lines of [20, 27]. Note that the flavor symmetry $SU(2N + 2n + 2)$ and the Coulomb branch dimension $r = n(N - 1)$ are invariant under the change of $N - 1$ and n . By using this duality, the case of smaller N can also be described by the F-theory models described above. Note that when $N = 2$, the correspondence of the F-theory model and the 5d quiver is the novel 5d duality of [41] for the $(SO(2n + 6), SO(2n + 6))$ minimal conformal matter. Note also that even though there are dualities of 5d gauge theories, the 6d tensor branch has no such duality as discussed in [43].

3.3 Inclusion of $SU(2)$ gauge group

The gauge group $SU(2)$ is special in several points. First, it does not have Chern-Simons level. Instead it has a discrete theta angle⁶ $\theta = 0, \pi$ associated with $\pi_4(SU(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

⁶Actually, the discrete theta angle may be regarded as Chern-Simons level in the following sense. Consider an $SU(2)$ gauge theory in a five dimensional space X . If the gauge configuration is such that the transition function defines a nontrivial element of $\pi_4(SU(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, it cannot be extended to a six dimensional manifold Y whose boundary is X , $\partial Y = X$. However, we can consider $SU(2)$ bundle as a subbundle of $SU(3)$. Then, because $\pi_4(SU(3)) = 0$, the gauge field on X may be extended to Y as an $SU(3)$ bundle. In this case we can define Chern-Simons invariant as $\frac{1}{3!(2\pi)^3} \int_Y \text{tr } F^3$. It is actually a topological invariant

Second, in the instanton analysis, it does not have unbroken gauge symmetries other than the center of $SU(2)$, $-1 \in SU(2)$. Then all the states (2.4) which are invariant under the center of $SU(2)$ (including the effect of the discrete theta angle) are allowed. Finally, the fundamental representation of $SU(2)$ is pseudo-real and hence N_f flavors of quarks have $SO(2N_f)$ flavor symmetry. In particular, the baryon symmetry is actually a part of the non-abelian symmetry; $U(1)_B \times SU(N_f) \subset SO(2N_f)$.

In this subsection we want to see what changes are necessary to the results of the previous sections when the $SU(2)$ is included in a large generic quiver which contains gauge groups $SU(N_i)$ with $N_i \geq 3$.

When the $SU(2)$ gauge group appears in a quiver along with $SU(N_i \geq 3)$ gauge groups, it is located at the end of the quiver as

$$[w] - SU(2) - SU(M) - \dots \tag{3.17}$$

where $[w]$ means that the $SU(2)$ is coupled to w fundamentals. If the condition $\sum_j A_{ij} N_j \geq 0$ is satisfied, the group $SU(M)$ has $3 \leq M \leq 4$ unless all the gauge groups in the quiver are $SU(2)$. We restrict our attention to this case.

Consider one instanton of $SU(2)$. For the moment, we assume that $w > 0$, and denote the spinor representations of $SO(2w)$ as S_{\pm} . If we neglect the conditions imposed by the invariance under $-1 \in SU(2)$, we get gauge invariant states

$$|-M/2, S_+\rangle, \quad |M/2, S_+\rangle, \quad |-M/2, S_-\rangle, \quad |M/2, S_-\rangle \tag{3.18}$$

where $\mp M/2$ is the baryon charge associated to the $SU(M)$ gauge group.

We need to impose the condition under $-1 \in SU(2)$. Under the assumption $w > 0$, the parity of $O(2w)$ can exchange the two values of the discrete theta angle, so we just set $\theta = 0$ without loss of generality. Then the surviving states are the ones on which the center of $SU(2)$ gauge group acts trivially. Depending on whether M is odd or even, the surviving state is

$$|\mp M/2, S_{\pm}\rangle \quad (M = \text{odd}), \tag{3.19}$$

$$|\mp M/2, S_+\rangle \quad (M = \text{even}). \tag{3.20}$$

Now let us study it case-by-case.

When M is odd and $w = 1$, we get two states $|\mp M/2, \pm 1/2\rangle$, where $\pm 1/2$ is the $U(1) \cong SO(2)$ charge. In this case, the $SU(2)$ instanton gives two subalgebras $SU(2)_+$ and

as long as the gauge field on the boundary $X = \partial Y$ is restricted to be in $SU(2)$. In the case of $X = S^4 \times S^1$ and $Y = S^4 \times D_2$ where D_2 is a 2-dimensional disk such that $\partial D_2 = S^1$, the relevant computation is essentially performed in [29], although the computation there is done in the context of the pion effective theory with a Wess-Zumino-Witten term. Let I be the instanton number on S^4 . We twist the gauge field by the center of $SU(2)$ around S^1 as $A \rightarrow h(t)^{-1} A h(t) + h(t)^{-1} d h(t)$ where $h(t=0) = 1$ and $h(t=2\pi) = -1$, in such a way that the transition function between the north and south hemispheres of S^4 is given as $\hat{g}(y, t) = h(t)^{-1} g(y) h(t)$, where y is the coordinate of the equator of S^4 and $g(y)$ is the usual transition function for the instanton on S^4 . Note that the gauge field and the transition function are periodic in $t \in S^1$, even though $h(t)$ is not. In this case the Chern-Simons invariant defined above is $I/2 \bmod \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore the discrete theta angle θ may be regarded as Chern-Simons level κ as $\theta/\pi = \kappa \bmod 2\mathbb{Z}$.

$SU(2)_-$ which are part of Dyn_+ and Dyn_- , respectively. When $M = 3$, the $SU(2)$ node has $N_f = M + w = 4$ and the result here is exactly the same as in section 3.1.

When M is odd and $w = 2$, the flavor group is $SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and we get two states $|\mp M/2, S_\pm\rangle$ where S_+ is the doublet representation of the first $SU(2)$ and S_- is that of the second $SU(2)$. Then, one can see that the instanton operators mix with $SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$ to give subalgebras $SU(3)_+ \times SU(3)_-$. These subalgebras $SU(3)_+$ and $SU(3)_-$ are further connected to the rest of Dyn_+ and Dyn_- , respectively. The result here is simply summarized as follows. We formally consider a quiver

$$SU(1) - SU(2) - SU(M = 3) - \dots \quad (3.21)$$

Then we formally consider ‘‘ $SU(1)$ instanton’’ and naively apply the result of section 3.1. The ‘‘ $SU(1)$ instanton’’ plays the role of supplying one doublet of $SU(2)$ in addition to the bifundamental of $SU(1) - SU(2)$. This was already observed in e.g., [24, 25, 31].

When M is odd and $w = 3$, the flavor group is $SO(6) \cong SU(4)$ and we get two states $|\mp M/2, S_\pm\rangle$ where S_+ and S_- are the fundamental and anti-fundamental representations of $SU(4)$, respectively. When $M = 3$, the $SU(2)$ node has $N_f = 6$ flavors. Here we encounter a slight modification of the result of section 3.2. In that section, the Dynkin diagrams Dyn_+ and Dyn_- are connected by the Dynkin diagram of $SU(w = 3)$. However, here they are connected by $SU(4) \cong SO(6)$. We get one additional node.

The case M is odd and $w \geq 4$ can be analyzed in a similar way. However, generically they give an inconsistent Dynkin diagram when Dyn_\pm are large enough.

Let us turn to the case of even $M(= 4)$. When M is even and $w = 1$, we get two states $|\mp M/2, 1/2\rangle$, where $1/2$ is the $U(1) \cong SO(2)$ charge. In this case we seem to still get Dyn_\pm , but the relation between Cartan subalgebras and the $U(1)$ charges should be changed. We do not work out the detail.

When M is even and $w = 2$, the flavor group is $SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and we get two states $|\mp M/2, S_+\rangle$ where S_+ is the doublet representation of the first $SU(2)$. The two Dynkin diagrams Dyn_\pm are connected by the Dynkin diagram of the first $SU(2)$ in $SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$. When $M = 4$, the $SU(2)$ node has the flavor number $N_f = 6$. Thus, the result here is the same as in section 3.2, except the fact that the $U(1)$ part of $U(w = 2) = SU(2) \times U(1)$ is actually the Cartan of the second $SU(2)$ in $SO(4)$.

The case M is even and $w \geq 3$ can be analyzed in a similar way. However, generically they give an inconsistent Dynkin diagram when Dyn_\pm are large enough.

When $w = 0$, the result will depend on the value of the discrete theta angle. When M is even and $\theta = 0$, the nodes in Dyn_\pm corresponding to the $SU(2)$ gauge node survive, but when $\theta = \pi$, both of them are eliminated. This result is consistent with the results of section 3.1 if we replace $\kappa \rightarrow \theta/\pi \bmod 2$ (see also the above footnote in this subsection). When M is odd, the corresponding node of one of Dyn_+ or Dyn_- is eliminated depending on whether $\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \pi$. This is again consistent with the results of section 3.1 by $\kappa \rightarrow \theta/\pi - 1/2 \bmod 2$.

We also note that when all the nodes are $SU(2)$, more drastic change can happen. For example, the theory (3.13) actually has affine $SO(2(2n+6))$ symmetry when $N = 2$ instead

of $SU(2n + 6) \subset SO(2(2n + 6))$. Thus the corresponding 6d theory has the $SO(4n + 12)$ symmetry. This can be analyzed in the same way [31]. We leave the detailed analysis to the reader.

3.4 Mass deformation of SCFT and gauge couplings

In section 3.1, we obtained the relation between instanton numbers I_i , baryon numbers B_i and the Cartan generators $H_{i,\pm}$ of the symmetry group G_{\pm} . This gives us the relation between mass deformation of $G_+ \times G_-$ in the UV SCFT and the low energy gauge couplings of the gauge groups $SU(N_i)$.

Let us focus our attention to the case that $\kappa_i = 0$ and $\sum_j A_{ij}N_j - w_i = 0$ at each node so that the full $G \times G$ symmetries are enhanced. In this case we have

$$H_{i,\pm} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_j A_{ij} I_j \pm \frac{B_i}{2N_i}. \tag{3.22}$$

Now, in the UV SCFT, let us add mass terms in the Cartan of $G_+ \times G_-$ as $\sum_i 2(m_{i,+}H_{i,+} + m_{i,-}H_{i,-})$, where the factor 2 is introduced just for convenience. From the above equation, we get

$$\sum_i 2(m_{i,+}H_{i,+} + m_{i,-}H_{i,-}) = \sum_i \left(\frac{8\pi^2}{g_i^2} I_i + m_{B,i} B_i \right), \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\frac{8\pi^2}{g_i^2} = \sum_j \frac{1}{2} A_{ij} (m_{i,+} + m_{i,-}), \quad m_{B,i} = \frac{m_{i,+} - m_{i,-}}{N_i}. \tag{3.24}$$

This $8\pi^2/g_i^2$ is the gauge coupling constant of the low energy gauge theory.

For example, let us consider the case of A_{n-1} quiver

$$SU(N_1) - SU(N_2) - \dots - SU(N_{n-1}), \tag{3.25}$$

which can be constructed by brane webs. In this case, we can take the Cartan generators as $H_{i,\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(0, \dots, 0, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where i -th and $i + 1$ -th components are 1 and -1 , respectively. If we define $\sum_i 2m_{i,\pm}H_{i,\pm} = \mathbf{m}_{\pm} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{m}_{1,\pm}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{n,\pm})$, we get $\mathbf{m}_{i,\pm} - \mathbf{m}_{i+1,\pm} = \sum_j A_{i,j} m_{j,\pm}$ where we used the formula $4 \text{tr}(H_{i,\pm} H_{j,\pm}) = A_{ij}$. Combining this with the above result, we get

$$\mathbf{m}_{i,\pm} - \mathbf{m}_{i+1,\pm} = \frac{8\pi^2}{g_i^2} \pm \left(\frac{N_{i+1}}{2} (m_{B,i} - m_{B,i+1}) - \frac{N_{i-1}}{2} (m_{B,i-1} - m_{B,i}) + \frac{w_i}{2} m_{B,i} \right) \tag{3.26}$$

where $w_i = 2N_i - N_{i+1} - N_{i-1}$, and $N_0 = N_n = 0$ and $m_{B,0} = m_{B,n} = 0$. Note that $(m_{B,i} - m_{B,i+1})$ is the mass of the bifundamental of $SU(N_i) - SU(N_{i+1})$, and $m_{B,i}$ is the mass of the w_i fundamentals at $SU(N_i)$. For example, in the case of the 5d T_N theory [8, 15, 16, 19, 23–25, 28] the gauge groups are $N_i = i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$) where

SU(1) is interpreted as described in section 3.3. Then the formulas for $\mathbf{m}_{i,\pm} - \mathbf{m}_{i+1,\pm}$ above are precisely equivalent to the ones written down in [25] up to sign convention and the treatment of SU(1) explained there.

More generally, if we denote the masses as elements of the Lie algebra of G as \mathbf{m}_{\pm} , the gauge couplings are given as

$$\frac{8\pi^2}{g_i^2} = \text{tr}((\mathbf{m}_+ + \mathbf{m}_-)H_i), \tag{3.27}$$

where H_i are the Cartan generators of G , tr is the inner product on the space of the Lie algebra of G normalized such that $4\text{tr}(H_i H_j) = A_{ij}$ holds, and we used the isomorphism $G_+ \cong G_- \cong G$. This has an application to 6d SCFTs, which will be discussed elsewhere.

4 Other matters

In the previous sections, we have only discussed the case that matter hypermultiplets are in (bi)fundamental representations. Here we consider a hypermultiplet in other representations. For large enough N , presumably the only allowed possibilities are anti-symmetric representation, symmetric representation and adjoint representation. The adjoint representation was already discussed in [31], so we focus on the other cases. We will see that $SU(2)_+$ and $SU(2)_-$ are combined into a larger group $SU(3)$; in other words, the nodes of $SU(2)_+$ and $SU(2)_-$ are connected.

4.1 Anti-symmetric representation

Let us consider the anti-symmetric representation of the gauge group. We assume $N > 3$, since the anti-symmetric representation for $N = 3$ is just an anti-fundamental representation. For the moment, we also assume $N > 4$, since the anti-symmetric representation in the $N = 4$ case is a real representation and hence an additional enhancement occurs.

First, we consider the case of a single $SU(N)$ gauge group with one anti-symmetric representation and N_f fundamental representations. Under the decomposition $SU(N) \supset SU(2) \times SU(N-2) \times U(1)$, the anti-symmetric representation is decomposed into (i) a bifundamental of $SU(2) \times SU(N-2)$ with $U(1)$ charge $(N-4)/(N-2)$ and (ii) singlets of $SU(2)$. Then, as in section 2, it is straightforward to see that the anti-symmetric representation contributes $SU(N-2)$ invariant states given as

$$|\pm(N-4)/2, 0, \pm(N-2)/2\rangle_a \tag{4.1}$$

where $\pm(N-4)/2$ is the $U(1)$ gauge charge, 0 is the $U(1)_B$ baryon charge which assigns charge 1 only to the hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation, and $\pm(N-2)/2$ is the charge under the global symmetry $U(1)_A$ which assigns charge 1 only to the hypermultiplet in the anti-symmetric representation.

The possible gauge invariant states are, using the notation of section 2, given as

$$|E_+\rangle := |(ij)\rangle \otimes |(-N + \kappa)\rangle_g \otimes |\wedge^{+N/2+2-\kappa+N_f/2}, (+N/2 + 2 - \kappa), (+N/2 + 2 - \kappa)\rangle_h \\ \otimes |+(N - 4)/2, 0, +(N - 2)/2\rangle_a \quad (\text{only if } |+N/2 + 2 - \kappa| \leq N_f/2). \quad (4.2)$$

$$|E_-\rangle := |(ij)\rangle \otimes |(+N + \kappa)\rangle_g \otimes |\wedge^{-N/2-2-\kappa+N_f/2}, (-N/2 - 2 - \kappa), (-N/2 - 2 - \kappa)\rangle_h \\ \otimes |-(N - 4)/2, 0, -(N - 2)/2\rangle_a \quad (\text{only if } |-N/2 - 2 - \kappa| \leq N_f/2). \quad (4.3)$$

and also for small N ,

$$|F_+\rangle := |(ij)\rangle \otimes |(-N + \kappa)\rangle_g \otimes |\wedge^{+3N/2-2-\kappa+N_f/2}, (+3N/2 - 2 - \kappa), (+3N/2 - 2 - \kappa)\rangle_h \\ \otimes |-(N - 4)/2, 0, -(N - 2)/2\rangle_a \quad (\text{only if } |+3N/2 - 2 - \kappa| \leq N_f/2). \quad (4.4)$$

$$|F_-\rangle := |(ij)\rangle \otimes |(+N + \kappa)\rangle_g \otimes |\wedge^{-3N/2+2-\kappa+N_f/2}, (-3N/2 + 2 - \kappa), (-3N/2 + 2 - \kappa)\rangle_h \\ \otimes |+(N - 4)/2, 0, +(N - 2)/2\rangle_a \quad (\text{only if } |-3N/2 + 2 - \kappa| \leq N_f/2). \quad (4.5)$$

Denoting the $U(1)_A$ charge as A , the charges of $|E_\pm\rangle$ under $(I, B + \kappa I, A)$ are given as $(1, \pm(N + 4)/2, \pm(N - 2)/2)$, and those of $|F_\pm\rangle$ are given as $(1, \pm 3(N + 4)/2, \mp(N - 2)/2)$. Note that if N is large enough, the states $|F_\pm\rangle$ cannot exist because of the constraint $|\pm(3N/2 - 2) - \kappa| \leq N_f/2$.

In the present case, there are several $U(1)$ symmetries, and hence it is more nontrivial to determine the Cartan generators of enhanced $SU(2)_\pm$. For this purpose, we use the argument of section 2.1 which uses RG flows between different theories. We concentrate our attention to $|E_\pm\rangle$, since $|F_\pm\rangle$ do not generically exist.

First, we take $N + 4 - 2\kappa = N_f$, in which case $|E_+\rangle$ is a singlet of $SU(N_f)$ and hence we get enhanced $SU(2)_+$ symmetry. Second, we give mass to the hypermultiplet in the anti-symmetric representation and integrate it out. We assume that $SU(2)_+$ symmetry is preserved under this RG flow if the sign of the mass is taken appropriately. By integrating out the anti-symmetric matter, the Chern-Simons level is shifted as $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa' = \kappa + (N - 4)/2$ if the sign of the mass is taken appropriately. In the low energy, we get a theory with N_f fundamental flavors and Chern-Simons level $\kappa' = \kappa + (N - 4)/2 = N - N_f/2$. This is the correct Chern-Simons level for the enhancement of $SU(2)_+$ in the low energy theory. Therefore, in the low energy theory the Cartan of $SU(2)_+$ is given by

$$H_+ = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{B + (\kappa + (N - 4)/2)I}{2N} + c \left(A - \frac{1}{2}(N - 2)I \right), \quad (4.6)$$

where we have taken into account the fact that all the states in the low energy theory have $A - \frac{1}{2}(N - 2)I = 0$ and hence we have ambiguity represented by the constant c . This is because all the low energy fields have $A = 0$, and only instantons have charge $A = \frac{1}{2}(N - 2)I$ which can be shown in the same way as (2.10). By doing the same consideration for $SU(2)_-$, we get

$$H_\pm = \frac{1}{2}I \pm \frac{B + (\kappa \pm (N - 4)/2)I}{2N} \pm c \left(A \mp \frac{1}{2}(N - 2)I \right), \quad (4.7)$$

where we have used the fact that the coefficient of A must be $\pm c$ by parity and charge conjugation (spurious) symmetries.

We also use a different type of RG flows. We can go to the subspace of the Higgs branch by giving vevs to two of the fundamental quarks so that the gauge group is broken as $SU(N) \rightarrow SU(N-1)$. We lose two of the fundamental flavors by the Higgs mechanism, but get one additional fundamental from the anti-symmetric matter. Thus we get a theory in which N and N_f are reduced by one, and the condition of the enhanced $SU(2)_+$ is still preserved if this symmetry exists before Higgsing. In terms of gauge invariant operators, this RG flow is triggered just by meson vevs, and hence we can assume that the $SU(2)_+$ is unchanged under this RG flow.

The low energy baryon symmetry is a mixture of the high energy baryon symmetry and $U(1) \subset SU(N)$ charge such that the nonzero vevs of the quarks are neutral under the new baryon symmetry. The $U(1) \subset SU(N)$ charge is given as

$$\text{diag} \left(-1, \frac{1}{N-1}, \frac{1}{N-1}, \dots, \frac{1}{N-1} \right). \tag{4.8}$$

Then the charges under (B, A) of the low energy fields are the following. The $N_f - 2$ quarks have charge $(B, A) = (\frac{N}{N-1}, 0)$. One quark which comes from the anti-symmetric matter has charge $(B, A) = (-\frac{N-2}{N-1}, 1)$. The anti-symmetric matter has charge $(B, A) = (\frac{2}{N-1}, 1)$. Now, we require that the charges of the $N_f - 2$ quarks and one quark are the same under H_+ . This is because H_+ should be insensitive to quark flavors.⁷ This requirement gives $\frac{1}{N-1} = -\frac{N-2}{(N-1)N} + 2c$, so we get $c = 1/N$. Therefore we finally get the Cartan generators

$$H_{\pm} = \frac{1}{4}I \pm \frac{B + \kappa I + 2A}{2N}. \tag{4.9}$$

This is our formula for the Cartan generators. Note that gauge invariant chiral operators constructed from one anti-symmetric matters and two anti-fundamental quarks have charge $H_{\pm} = 0$ by using the above result, so these operators are singlets of $SU(2)_{\pm}$.

Now we can see the difference from the case of theories without an anti-symmetric matter. The charges of $|E_+\rangle$ and $|E_-\rangle$ under (H_+, H_-) are given as $(1, -1/2)$ and $(-1/2, 1)$, respectively. This means the following. If both of $|E_+\rangle$ and $|E_-\rangle$ exist, they form a single $SU(3)$ algebra instead of $SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_-$. In other words, the two nodes of $SU(2)_+$ and $SU(2)_-$ are connected.

Now let us see what happens in some cases. When $N_f < N + 4$, nothing so special happens compared to the case without anti-symmetric matters, because only one of $SU(2)_+$ or $SU(2)_-$ can be enhanced. It is just like that the anti-symmetric matter contributes effectively $N - 4$ flavors of quarks.

When $\kappa = 0$ and $N_f = N + 4$, we get the connection of $SU(2)_+$ and $SU(2)_-$ into $SU(3)$ discussed above. The total non-abelian flavor symmetry is $SU(3) \times SU(N_f)$. When $\kappa = 1/2$ and $N_f = N + 5$, the $|E_+\rangle$ is in the fundamental representation of the flavor group $SU(N_f)$.

⁷If we consider a flow from $N = 4$ to $N = 3$, the low energy $SU(2)_+$ would be mixed with the flavor symmetry and the argument here need modification.

This means that the Dynkin diagrams of $SU(3)$ and $SU(N_f)$ are connected to form a single Dynkin diagram of $SU(N_f + 2)$.

When $\kappa = 0$ and $N_f = N + 6$, we actually get a 6d theory. The $|E_+\rangle$ and $|E_-\rangle$ are in the fundamental and anti-fundamental representations of the flavor group $SU(N_f)$, so we get a Dynkin diagram like (2.14). However, now the two black nodes of (2.14) are also connected, and hence we actually get an affine $SU(N_f + 1)$ diagram. Therefore, this is a 6d theory with $SU(N_f + 1)$ flavor symmetry.

Finally let us briefly comment on the case $N = 4$. In this case, the $U(1)_A$ symmetry of the anti-symmetric matter is enhanced to $SU(2)_A$, since the anti-symmetric representation is a real representation in this case. One can see that $|E_\pm\rangle$ and $|F_\pm\rangle$ form doublets of $SU(2)_A$. Thus the $SU(2)_+ - SU(2)_A - SU(2)_-$ are actually combined into a single $SU(4)$. The rest of the story is similar to the above generic case.

Quivers. Now we consider the case that anti-symmetric matters are introduced into quiver theories. Suppose that a node i has an anti-symmetric matter. When this node satisfies $w_i + (N_i - 4) < \sum_j A_{ij} N_k$, nothing special happens. The anti-symmetric matter is just regarded as contributing effectively $N_i - 4$ flavors as mentioned above. Then the results are similar to those of section 3.1.

An interesting thing happens when $\kappa_i = 0$ and $w_i + (N_i - 4) = \sum_j A_{ij} N_k$. In this case, the nodes in Dyn_+ and Dyn_- corresponding to the node i is connected. This is due to the above phenomenon of connecting $SU(2)_+$ and $SU(2)_-$ into $SU(3)$. The results are thus similar to those of section 3.2.

4.2 Symmetric representation

Next let us consider a hypermultiplet in the symmetric representation when $N \geq 3$. We will be brief.

We consider an $SU(N)$ gauge theory with a hypermultiplet in the symmetric representation and N_f fundamental flavors. The difference from the case of anti-symmetric representation is that we have an additional triplet of $SU(2)$ in the decomposition $SU(N) \supset SU(2) \times SU(N-2) \times U(1)$. This triplet has $U(1)$ charge 2. From this triplet, we get complex four zero modes which are in the four dimensional representation of the $Sp(2) \cong SO(5)$ rotation symmetry. This can be quantized similar to the case of $SU(2)$ gauginos. There are three $Sp(2)$ -invariant states,

$$|\pm 4, \pm 2\rangle_s, \quad |0, 0\rangle_s, \tag{4.10}$$

where the first numbers in the kets, ± 4 and 0 are the gauge $U(1)$ charges of these states, and the second numbers in the kets are the $U(1)_S$ charge which assigns charge 1 to the symmetric matter and zero to others. We need to take tensor products of these states with the states obtained above.

As long as the condition $2N - (N_f + N + 4) - 2|\kappa| \geq -2$ is satisfied, we cannot construct an $U(1)$ invariant state using the state $|0\rangle_s$. We have to use $|\pm 4\rangle_s$. Then, the story is very similar to the case of the anti-symmetric matter, if we consider the symmetric matter to be

contributing effectively $N + 4$ flavors instead of $N - 4$. The Cartan generators are given by

$$H_{\pm} = \frac{1}{4}I \pm \frac{B + \kappa I + 2S}{2N}, \quad (4.11)$$

where S is the $U(1)_S$ charge. Embedding into quivers is also the same.

It would also be interesting to consider theories with multiple matters in the symmetric and/or anti-symmetric representations. For example, we can consider a theory with one symmetric representation and one anti-symmetric representation with $\kappa = 0$ and $N_f = 0$. In this case the Cartan generators are $H_{\pm} = \pm(S + A)/N$. Now the instanton number I disappears from these Cartan generators. The nodes of $SU(2)_+$ and $SU(2)_-$ are connected by two lines, and hence instanton operators give an affine A_1 diagram. Therefore this is a 6d theory.

Acknowledgments

The author would very much like to thank Yuji Tachikawa for very helpful discussions and advice. The work of KY is supported in part by DOE Grant No. DE-SC0009988.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] N. Seiberg, *Five-dimensional SUSY field theories, nontrivial fixed points and string dynamics*, *Phys. Lett. B* **388** (1996) 753 [[hep-th/9608111](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] D.R. Morrison and N. Seiberg, *Extremal transitions and five-dimensional supersymmetric field theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **483** (1997) 229 [[hep-th/9609070](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] M.R. Douglas, S.H. Katz and C. Vafa, *Small instantons, Del Pezzo surfaces and type-I-prime theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **497** (1997) 155 [[hep-th/9609071](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] K.A. Intriligator, D.R. Morrison and N. Seiberg, *Five-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories and degenerations of Calabi-Yau spaces*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **497** (1997) 56 [[hep-th/9702198](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] O. Aharony and A. Hanany, *Branes, superpotentials and superconformal fixed points*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **504** (1997) 239 [[hep-th/9704170](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] O. Aharony, A. Hanany and B. Kol, *Webs of (p, q) 5-branes, five-dimensional field theories and grid diagrams*, *JHEP* **01** (1998) 002 [[hep-th/9710116](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] O. DeWolfe, A. Hanany, A. Iqbal and E. Katz, *Five-branes, seven-branes and five-dimensional E_n field theories*, *JHEP* **03** (1999) 006 [[hep-th/9902179](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] F. Benini, S. Benvenuti and Y. Tachikawa, *Webs of five-branes and $N = 2$ superconformal field theories*, *JHEP* **09** (2009) 052 [[arXiv:0906.0359](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] L. Bao, E. Pomoni, M. Taki and F. Yagi, *M5-Branes, Toric Diagrams and Gauge Theory Duality*, *JHEP* **04** (2012) 105 [[arXiv:1112.5228](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [10] H.-C. Kim, S.-S. Kim and K. Lee, *5-dim Superconformal Index with Enhanced E_n Global Symmetry*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 142 [[arXiv:1206.6781](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, *BPS Degeneracies and Superconformal Index in Diverse Dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 105031 [[arXiv:1210.3605](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] D. Bashkirov, *A comment on the enhancement of global symmetries in superconformal SU(2) gauge theories in 5D*, [arXiv:1211.4886](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] O. Bergman, D. Rodríguez-Gómez and G. Zafrir, *5d superconformal indices at large- N and holography*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 081 [[arXiv:1305.6870](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] O. Bergman, D. Rodríguez-Gómez and G. Zafrir, *Discrete θ and the 5d superconformal index*, *JHEP* **01** (2014) 079 [[arXiv:1310.2150](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] L. Bao, V. Mitev, E. Pomoni, M. Taki and F. Yagi, *Non-Lagrangian Theories from Brane Junctions*, *JHEP* **01** (2014) 175 [[arXiv:1310.3841](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] H. Hayashi, H.-C. Kim and T. Nishinaka, *Topological strings and 5d T_N partition functions*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 014 [[arXiv:1310.3854](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] M. Taki, *Notes on Enhancement of Flavor Symmetry and 5d Superconformal Index*, [arXiv:1310.7509](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] O. Bergman, D. Rodríguez-Gómez and G. Zafrir, *5-Brane Webs, Symmetry Enhancement and Duality in 5d Supersymmetric Gauge Theory*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 112 [[arXiv:1311.4199](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] M. Aganagic, N. Haouzi and S. Shakirov, *A_n -Triality*, [arXiv:1403.3657](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] M. Taki, *Seiberg Duality, 5D SCFTs and Nekrasov Partition Functions*, [arXiv:1401.7200](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] C. Hwang, J. Kim, S. Kim and J. Park, *General instanton counting and 5d SCFT*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 063 [[arXiv:1406.6793](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] G. Zafrir, *Duality and enhancement of symmetry in 5d gauge theories*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 116 [[arXiv:1408.4040](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] H. Hayashi and G. Zoccarato, *Exact partition functions of Higgsed 5d T_N theories*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 093 [[arXiv:1409.0571](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] O. Bergman and G. Zafrir, *Lifting 4d dualities to 5d*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 141 [[arXiv:1410.2806](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] H. Hayashi, Y. Tachikawa and K. Yonekura, *Mass-deformed T_N as a linear quiver*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 089 [[arXiv:1410.6868](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] V. Mitev, E. Pomoni, M. Taki and F. Yagi, *Fiber-Base Duality and Global Symmetry Enhancement*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 052 [[arXiv:1411.2450](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] S.-S. Kim, M. Taki and F. Yagi, *Tao Probing the End of the World*, [arXiv:1504.03672](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] H. Hayashi and G. Zoccarato, *Topological vertex for Higgsed 5D T_N theories*, [arXiv:1505.00260](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] E. Witten, *Current Algebra, Baryons and Quark Confinement*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **223** (1983) 433 [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [30] Z. Bern, J.J. Carrasco, L.J. Dixon, M.R. Douglas, M. von Hippel and H. Johansson, *D = 5 maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory diverges at six loops*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 025018 [[arXiv:1210.7709](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] Y. Tachikawa, *Instanton operators and symmetry enhancement in 5D supersymmetric gauge theories*, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2015** (2015) 043B06 [[arXiv:1501.01031](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] G. Zafrir, *Instanton operators and symmetry enhancement in 5d supersymmetric USp, SO and exceptional gauge theories*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 087 [[arXiv:1503.08136](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] L. Bhardwaj and Y. Tachikawa, *Classification of 4d N = 2 gauge theories*, *JHEP* **12** (2013) 100 [[arXiv:1309.5160](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] L. Bhardwaj, *Classification of 6d N = (1, 0) gauge theories*, [arXiv:1502.06594](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] N. Lambert, C. Papageorgakis and M. Schmidt-Sommerfeld, *Instanton Operators in Five-Dimensional Gauge Theories*, *JHEP* **03** (2015) 019 [[arXiv:1412.2789](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] D. Rodríguez-Gómez and J. Schmude, *Supersymmetrizing 5d instanton operators*, *JHEP* **03** (2015) 114 [[arXiv:1501.00927](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] S. Cremonesi, G. Ferlito, A. Hanany and N. Mekareeya, *Instanton Operators and the Higgs Branch at Infinite Coupling*, [arXiv:1505.06302](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] H. Hayashi, S.-S. Kim, K. Lee, M. Taki and F. Yagi, *A new 5d description of 6d D-type minimal conformal matter*, [arXiv:1505.04439](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] K. Ohmori, H. Shimizu, Y. Tachikawa and K. Yonekura, *Anomaly polynomial of general 6D SCFTs*, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2014** (2014) 103B07 [[arXiv:1408.5572](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] J.J. Heckman, D.R. Morrison and C. Vafa, *On the Classification of 6D SCFTs and Generalized ADE Orbifolds*, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 028 [*Erratum ibid.* **06** (2015) 017] [[arXiv:1312.5746](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] M. Del Zotto, J.J. Heckman, A. Tomasiello and C. Vafa, *6D Conformal Matter*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 054 [[arXiv:1407.6359](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] J.J. Heckman, D.R. Morrison, T. Rudelius and C. Vafa, *Atomic Classification of 6D SCFTs*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **63** (2015) 468 [[arXiv:1502.05405](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] J.J. Heckman, D.R. Morrison, T. Rudelius and C. Vafa, *Geometry of 6D RG Flows*, [arXiv:1505.00009](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] A. Hanany and A. Zaffaroni, *Branes and six-dimensional supersymmetric theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **529** (1998) 180 [[hep-th/9712145](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].