ERRATUM Open Access



Erratum to: Mammographic texture and risk of breast cancer by tumor type and estrogen receptor status

Serghei Malkov^{1*}, John A. Shepherd¹, Christopher G. Scott², Rulla M. Tamimi⁴, Lin Ma³, Kimberly A. Bertrand⁵, Fergus Couch², Matthew R. Jensen², Amir P. Mahmoudzadeh¹, Bo Fan¹, Aaron Norman², Kathleen R. Brandt², V. Shane Pankratz², Celine M. Vachon^{2†} and Karla Kerlikowske^{3†}

Erratum

After publication of the original article [1], the author noticed some errors to the article [1] which are included in this erratum. All errors reported in this erratum have been updated in the original article [1].

In the 'Results' section of the article Abstract, the sentence "Entropy was associated with a decreased risk of breast cancer" should be "Entropy was associated with an increased risk of breast cancer". In the same section, the variables "FD_TH10", "FD_TH15", "FD_TH60", "FD_TH85", "FD_TH75", and "FD_TH75" should include an additional underscore so they are presented as: "FD_TH_10", "FD_TH_15", "FD_TH_60", "FD_TH_85", "FD_TH_75", and "FD_TH_75", respectively. All variables should be italicized.

In the section entitled 'Breast texture measurements', the sentence "the image with only constant grayscale pixels has *Energy* equal to 0" should state "the image with only constant grayscale pixels has *Energy* equal to 1".

In the 'Results' section of the article [1], the variable "FD_TH_75" included in sentence: "The top left and bottom left images show a top 20th percent tile value of FD_TH_75" should be included in italics.

In the 'Abbreviations' section, "BGTDM" should be "NGTDM". The correct version of the 'Abbreviations' is included in this erratum and has been updated in the original article [1].

In Table 1, in the column "Texture feature name", the names of variables should be in italics. In addition in Table 5, in the column "Feature", all variables should also be included in italics. The revised versions of Tables 1 and 5 are included in this erratum and have been updated in the original article [1].

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abbreviations

AUC: Area under the curve; Bl-RADS: Breast Imaging-Reporting and Data System; BMI: Body mass index; cc: Craniocaudal; Cl: Confidence interval; DCIS: Ductal carcinoma in situ; ER: Estrogen receptor; FD: fractal dimension; FT: Fourier transform; GLCM: Gray-level co-occurrence matrix; MCMAM: Mayo Clinic Mammography Study; MMHS: Mayo Mammography Health Study; NGTDM: Neighborhood gray-tone difference matrix; NHS: Nurses' Health Study; OR: Odds ratio; PD: Percent density; SD: Standard deviation; SFMR: San Francisco Bay Area Breast Cancer SPORE and San Francisco Mammography Registry; UCSF: University of California San Francisco

Author details

¹Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, UCSF School of Medicine, San Francisco, CA, USA. ²Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. ³UCSF Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology/Biostatistics, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁴Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ⁵Slone Epidemiology Center at Boston University, Boston, MA, USA.

Received: 14 December 2016 Accepted: 14 December 2016 Published online: 04 January 2017

References

 Malkov S, et al. Mammographic texture and risk of breast cancer by tumor type and estrogen receptor status. Breast Cancer Research. 2016;18:122. doi:10.1186/s13058-016-0778-1.



^{*} Correspondence: serghei.malkov@ucsf.edu

[†]Equal contributors

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Department}$ of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, UCSF School of Medicine, San Francisco, CA, USA

Table 1 Image texture features that are currently defined for all study participants

Analysis Groups	Texture Features	Texture feature name	Reference	
Gray-level Histogram	Standard deviation	STD	[7, 22, 24–26]	
	Skewness	Skewness		
	Kurtosis	Kurtosis		
	Balance	Balance		
Grey-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM)	GLCM Energy	Energy	[24, 25, 27, 29]	
	GLCM Entropy	Entropy		
	GLCM Dissimilarity	Dissimilarity		
	GLCM Contrast	Contrast		
	GLCM Homogeneity	Homogeneity		
	GLCM Correlation	Correlation		
	GLCM Mean	GLCM Mean		
	GLCM Variance	GLCM Variance		
Neighborhood Gray-tone Difference Matrix (NGTDM)	NGTDM Coarseness	NGTDM Coarseness	[24, 28, 29]	
	NGTDM Contrast	NGTDM Contrast		
	NGTDM Complexity	Complexity		
	NGTDM Strength	Strength		
	NGTDM Busyness	Busyness		
Edge Frequency Analysis	Mean Gradient	Mean_Gradient	[29]	
Fourier Transform Analysis, Power Spectrum	RMS	FT_RMS	[29]	
	FMP (first moment of power spectrum)	FT_FMP		
	SMP (second moment of power spectrum)	FT_SMP		
	FD from power spectrum exponent	FT_FD		
Fractal Analysis	Intercept of the plot of the standard deviation of the high frequency image as a function of the size the kernel	CD_Yint	[29–31]	
	Continuous Dimension (CD), slope and intercept	CD_Slope		
	HZ_PROJ	HZ_PROJ		
	FD of the standard deviation	FD_Sigma		
	FD of image using thresholds from 5%-85%	FD_TH_5: FD_TH_85		
	FD of the surface of thebreast considering the gray value represent the height			
	FD, Minkowski method	FD_Minkowski		

Table 5 Risk associated of either DCIS or Invasive Cancer for each feature

Feature	DCIS	Invasive			ER-	ER+		
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value*	p-het**	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value *	p-het**
N case/control	254/1659	908/1659			116/1291	746/1291		
FD_TH_75	0.87 (0.74, 1.01)	0.87 (0.78, 0.96)	0.010	0.98	0.84 (0.67, 1.06)	0.88 (0.79, 0.99)	0.048	0.72
Energy	0.88 (0.76, 1.02)	0.88 (0.80, 0.96)	0.011	0.93	0.85 (0.69, 1.05)	0.86 (0.78, 0.95)	0.009	0.90
Entropy	1.18 (1.02, 1.38)	1.13 (1.03, 1.25)	0.010	0.60	1.16 (0.93, 1.44)	1.15 (1.03, 1.28)	0.024	0.96
FD_TH_70	0.85 (0.72, 1.00)	0.87 (0.79, 0.97)	0.015	0.75	0.84 (0.67, 1.06)	0.89 (0.79, 1.00)	0.085	0.64
FD_TH_80	0.90 (0.77, 1.04)	0.89 (0.81, 0.98)	0.034	0.90	0.85 (0.68, 1.05)	0.89 (0.80, 1.00)	0.066	0.64
FD_TH_10	1.19 (1.04, 1.38)	1.09 (0.99, 1.19)	0.022	0.21	1.03 (0.84, 1.26)	1.06 (0.96, 1.18)	0.479	0.75
Kurtosis	0.86 (0.73, 1.00)	0.90 (0.81, 0.99)	0.032	0.58	0.98 (0.78, 1.22)	0.91 (0.81, 1.01)	0.216	0.53
FD_TH_65	0.84 (0.71, 0.99)	0.89 (0.80, 0.99)	0.035	0.49	0.83 (0.65, 1.06)	0.91 (0.81, 1.03)	0.170	0.46
FD_Minkowski	0.90 (0.74, 1.08)	0.86 (0.77, 0.97)	0.042	0.71	0.77 (0.59, 1.01)	0.89 (0.78, 1.01)	0.063	0.32
Busyness	1.15 (1.00, 1.33)	1.09 (1.00, 1.19)	0.053	0.46	0.92 (0.75, 1.14)	1.09 (0.99, 1.21)	0.128	0.12
Homogeneity	1.05 (0.90, 1.22)	1.13 (1.03, 1.24)	0.042	0.36	1.06 (0.86, 1.31)	1.12 (1.01, 1.24)	0.091	0.62
Dissimilarity	0.96 (0.83, 1.12)	0.89 (0.81, 0.98)	0.057	0.35	0.95 (0.77, 1.17)	0.89 (0.81, 0.99)	0.110	0.61
FD_TH_60	0.85 (0.71, 1.02)	0.9 (0.8, 1.01)	0.077	0.56	0.9 (0.69, 1.15)	0.92 (0.81, 1.04)	0.348	0.85
FD_TH_85	0.92 (0.79, 1.06)	0.91 (0.83, 1)	0.130	0.98	0.89 (0.72, 1.09)	0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.173	0.77
FD_TH_15	1.2 (1.04, 1.39)	1.06 (0.97, 1.16)	0.034	0.09	0.96 (0.78, 1.18)	1.05 (0.95, 1.16)	0.572	0.43

Results presented as OR per 1 SD in normalized feature after adjustment for age, family history, PD, and study *p-value refers to 2 degree of freedom to test for evidence of associated with DCIS or invasive cancer **Heterogeneity p-value to test for differences in effect between tumor subgroups