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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the global existence and the asymptotic stability of weak solutions for a hyperbolic differential inclusion with nonlinear damping and source terms:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{t t}-\Delta y_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla y|^{p-2} \nabla y\right)+\Xi=\lambda|y|^{m-2} y \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. }(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.1}\\
y=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
y(x, 0)=y_{0}(x), \quad y_{t}(x, 0)=y_{1}(x) \quad \text { in } x \in \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, p \geq 2, \lambda>0$, and $\varphi$ is a discontinuous and nonlinear set-valued mapping by filling in jumps of a locally bounded function $b$.

Recently, a class of differential inclusion problems is studied by many authors [2, 6, 7, $11,14-16,19]$. Most of them considered the existence of weak solutions for differential inclusions of various forms. Miettinen [6] Miettinen and Panagiotopoulos [7] proved the existence of weak solutions for some parabolic differential inclusions. J. Y. Park et al. [14] showed the existence of a global weak solution to the hyperbolic differential inclusion
(1.1) with $\lambda=0$ by making use of the Faedo-Galerkin approximation, and then considered asymptotic stability of the solution by using Nakao lemma [8]. The background of these variational problems are in physics, especially in solid mechanics, where nonconvex, nonmonotone, and multivalued constitutive laws lead to differential inclusions. We refer to $[11,12]$ to see the applications of differential inclusions.

On the other hand, it is interesting to mention the existence and nonexistence of global solutions for nonlinear wave equations with nonlinear damping and source terms [4, 5, $10,13,18]$ in the past twenty years. Thus, in this paper, we will deal with the existence and the asymptotic behavior of a global weak solution for the hyperbolic differential inclusion (1.1) involving $p$-Laplacian, a nonlinear, discontinuous, and multivalued damping term and a nonlinear source term. The difficulties come from the interaction between the $p$ Laplacian and source terms. As far as we are concerned, there is a little literature dealing with asymptotic behavior of solutions for differential inclusions with source terms.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, the main results besides notations and assumptions are stated. In Section 3, the existence of global weak solutions to problem (1.1) is proved by using the potential-well method and the Faedo-Galerkin method. In Section 4, the asymptotic stability of the solutions is investigated by using Nakao lemma.

## 2. Statement of main results

We first introduce the following abbreviations: $Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T), \Sigma_{T}=\partial \Omega \times(0, T)$, $\|\cdot\|_{p}=\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)},\|\cdot\|_{k, p}=\|\cdot\|_{W^{k, p}(\Omega)}$. For simplicity, we denote $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ by $\|\cdot\|$. For every $q \in(1, \infty)$, we denote the dual of $W_{0}^{1, q}$ by $W^{-1, q^{\prime}}$ with $q^{\prime}=q /(q-1)$. The notation $(\cdot, \cdot)$ for the $L^{2}$-inner product will also be used for the notation of duality pairing between dual spaces.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $p$ and $m$ are positive real numbers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \leq p<m<\frac{N p}{2(N-p)}+1 \quad(2 \leq p<m<\infty \text { if } p \geq N) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the potential well

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{W}=\left\{y \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \mid I(y)=\|\nabla y\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda\|y\|_{m}^{m}>0\right\} \cup\{0\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathscr{W}$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Indeed, Sobolev imbedding (see [1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{m}(\Omega) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Poincare's inequality yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\|y\|_{m}^{m} \leq \lambda c_{*}^{m}\|\nabla y\|_{p}^{m} \leq \lambda c_{*}^{m}\|\nabla y\|_{p}^{m-p}\|\nabla y\|_{p}^{p}, \quad \forall y \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{*}$ is an imbedding constant from $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ to $L^{m}(\Omega)$. From this, we deduce that $I(y)>0$ (i.e., $y \in \mathscr{W}$ ) as $\|\nabla y\|_{p}<\left(\lambda^{-1} c_{*}^{-m}\right)^{1 /(m-p)}$.

For later purpose, we introduce the functional $J$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(y):=\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla y\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{m}\|y\|_{m}^{m} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(y)=\frac{1}{m} I(y)+\frac{m-p}{m p}\|\nabla y\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the operator $A: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A y=-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla y|^{p-2} \nabla y\right), \quad \forall y \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $A$ is bounded, monotone, hemicontinuous (see, e.g., [3]), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A y, y)=\|\nabla y\|_{p}^{p}, \quad\left(A y, y_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t}\|\nabla y\|_{p}^{p} \quad \text { for } y \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we formulate the following assumptions.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ Let $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally bounded function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(s) s \geq \mu_{1} s^{2}, \quad|b(s)| \leq \mu_{2}|s|, \quad \text { for } s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are some positive constants.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) y_{0} \in \mathscr{W}, y_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<E(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla y_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{m}\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{m}^{m}<\frac{m-p}{2 m p}\left(\frac{m-p}{\lambda c_{*}^{m} 2(m-1) p}\right)^{p /(m-p)} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multivalued function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained by filling in jumps of a function $b$ : $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by means of the functions $\underline{b}_{\epsilon}, \bar{b}_{\epsilon}, \underline{b}, \bar{b}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{b}_{\epsilon}(t)=\text { ess } \inf _{|s-t| \leq \epsilon} b(s), \quad \bar{b}_{\epsilon}(t)=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{|s-t| \leq \epsilon} b(s), \\
\underline{b}(t)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} b_{\epsilon}(t), \quad \bar{b}(t)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \bar{b}_{\epsilon}(t),  \tag{2.11}\\
\varphi(t)=[\underline{b}(t), \bar{b}(t)] .
\end{gather*}
$$

We will need a regularization of $b$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{n}(t)=n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(t-\tau) \rho(n \tau) d \tau \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho \in C_{0}^{\infty}((-1,1)), \rho \geq 0$ and $\int_{-1}^{1} \rho(\tau) d \tau=1$. It is easy to show that $b^{n}$ is continuous for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\underline{b}_{\epsilon}, \bar{b}_{\epsilon}, \underline{b}, \bar{b}, b^{n}$ satisfy the same condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ with a possibly different constant if $b$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$. So, in the sequel, we denote the different constants by the same symbol as the original constants.

Definition 2.1. A function $y(x, t)$ is a weak solution to problem (1.1) if for every $T>0$, $y$ satisfies $y \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right), y_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), y_{t t} \in L^{2}(0, T$; $\left.W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$, there exists $\Xi \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(y_{t t}(t), z\right)+\left(\nabla y_{t}(t), \nabla z\right)+\left(|\nabla y(t)|^{p-2} \nabla y(t), \nabla z\right)+(\Xi(t), z)\right\} d t \\
=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda|y(t)|^{m-2} y(t), z\right) d t, \quad \forall z \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega),  \tag{2.13}\\
\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. }(x, t) \in Q_{T}, \\
y(0)=y_{0}, \quad y_{t}(0)=y_{1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Under the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$, problem (1.1) has a weak solution.
Theorem 2.3. Under the same conditions of Theorem 2.2, the solutions of problem (1.1) satisfy the following decay rates.

If $p=2$, then there exist positive constants $C$ and $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq C \exp (-\gamma t) \quad \text { a.e. } t \geq 0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $p>2$, then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-p /(p-2)} \quad \text { a.e. } t \geq 0, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(t)=(1 / 2)\left\|y_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+(1 / p)\|\nabla y(t)\|_{p}^{p}-(\lambda / m)\|y(t)\|_{m}^{m}$.
In order to prove the decay rates of Theorem 2.3, we need the following lemma by Nakao (see [8, 9] for the proof).

Lemma 2.4. Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded nonincreasing and nonnegative function for which there exist constants $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \leq s \leq t+1}(\phi(s))^{1+\beta} \leq \alpha(\phi(t)-\phi(t+1)), \quad \forall t \geq 0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following hold.
(1) If $\beta=0$, there exist positive constants $C$ and $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t) \leq C \exp (-\gamma t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $\beta>0$, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-1 / \beta}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

In this section, we are going to show the existence of solutions to problem (1.1) using the Faedo-Galerkin approximation and the potential method. To this end let $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ which are orthogonal in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Let $V_{n}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$.

We choose $y_{0}^{n}$ and $y_{1}^{n}$ in $V_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}^{n} \longrightarrow y_{0} \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}, \quad y_{1}^{n} \longrightarrow y_{1} \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y^{n}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j n}(t) w_{j}$ be the solution to the approximate equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(y_{t t}^{n}(t), w_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla y_{t}^{n}(t), \nabla w_{j}\right)+\left(A y^{n}(t), w_{j}\right)+\left(b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(t)\right), w_{j}\right)=\left(\lambda\left|y^{n}(t)\right|^{m-2} y^{n}(t), w_{j}\right), \\
y^{n}(0)=y_{0}^{n}, \quad y_{t}^{n}(0)=y_{1}^{n} . \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

By standard methods of ordinary differential equations, we can prove the existence of a solution to (3.2) on some interval $\left[0, t_{m}\right)$. Then this solution can be extended to the closed interval $[0, T]$ by using the a priori estimate below.

Step 1 (a priori estimate). Equation (3.1) and the condition $y_{0} \in \mathscr{W}$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(y_{0}^{n}\right)=\left\|\nabla y_{0}^{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda\left\|y_{0}^{n}\right\|_{m}^{m} \longrightarrow I\left(y_{0}\right)>0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, without loss of generality, we assume that $I\left(y_{0}^{n}\right)>0$ (i.e., $y_{0}^{n} \in \mathscr{W}$ ) for all $n$. Substituting $w_{j}$ in (3.2) by $y_{t}^{n}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E^{n}(t)+\left\|\nabla y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left(b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(t)\right), y_{t}^{n}(t)\right)=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{n}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(t)\right\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{m}\left\|y^{n}(t)\right\|_{m}^{m}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+J\left(y^{n}(t)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.4) over ( $0, t$ ) and using assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+J\left(y^{n}(t)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla y_{t}^{n}(\tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leq E^{n}(0) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E^{n}(0) \rightarrow E(0)$ and $E(0)>0$, without loss of generality, we assume that $E^{n}(0)<2 E(0)$ for all $n$. Now, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{n}(t) \in \mathscr{W}, \quad t>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists a constant $T>0$ such that $y^{n}(t) \in \mathscr{W}$ for $t \in[0, T)$ and $y^{n}(T) \in$ $\partial W$, that is, $I\left(y^{n}(T)\right)=0$. From (2.6), (3.4), and (3.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(y^{n}(T)\right)=\frac{m-p}{p m}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(T)\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq E^{n}(T) \leq E^{n}(0)<2 E(0) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla y^{n}(T)\right\|_{p}<\left(\frac{2 p m}{m-p} E(0)\right)^{1 / p} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Combining this with (2.4) and using (2.10), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda\left\|y^{n}(T)\right\|_{m}^{m} & <\lambda c_{*}^{m}\left(\frac{2 p m}{m-p} E(0)\right)^{(m-p) / p}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(T)\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{3.10}\\
& <\frac{m-p}{2(m-1) p}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(T)\right\|_{p}^{p}<\left\|\nabla y^{n}(T)\right\|_{p}^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the fact that $(m-p) / 2(m-1) p<1$. This gives $I\left(y^{n}(T)\right)>0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore (3.7) is valid. From (2.6), (3.6), and (3.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{m-p}{p m}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(t)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|^{2} d s<2 E(0) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and (3.11), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(t)\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \mu_{2}^{2}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq c E(0) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

here and in the sequel we denote by $c$ a generic positive constant independent of $n$ and $t$.
It follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(y^{n}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right), \\
\left(y_{t}^{n}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{3.13}\\
\left(b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}\right)\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

and since $A: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is a bounded operator, it follows from (3.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A y^{n}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we will obtain an estimate for $y_{t t}^{n}$. Since the imbedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{m}(\Omega)$ is continuous, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\left|y^{n}(t)\right|^{m-2} y^{n}(t), z\right)\right| \leq\left\|y^{n}(t)\right\|_{m}^{m-1}\|z\|_{m} \leq c\left\|y^{n}(t)\right\|_{1, p}^{m-1}\|z\|_{1, p} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(y_{t t}^{n}(t), z\right) d t\right| \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mid & -\left(A y^{n}(t), z\right)-\left(\nabla y_{t}^{n}(t), \nabla z\right) \\
& -\left(b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(t)\right), z\right)+\lambda\left(\left|y^{n}(t)\right|^{m-2} y^{n}(t), z\right) \mid d t, \quad \forall z \in V_{m} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence we obtain from (3.13)-(3.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|y_{t t}^{n}(t)\right\|_{-1, p^{\prime}}^{2} d t \leq c \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2 (passage to the limit). From (3.13), (3.14), and (3.17), we can extract a subsequence from $\left\{y^{n}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{y^{n}\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{n} \longrightarrow y \quad \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \\
y_{t}^{n} \longrightarrow y_{t} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right) \\
y_{t}^{n} \longrightarrow y_{t} \quad \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \\
y_{t t}^{n} \longrightarrow y_{t t} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
A y^{n} \longrightarrow \zeta \quad \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) \\
b^{n}\left(y^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \Xi \quad \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Considering that the imbeddings $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ are compact and using the Aubin-Lions compactness lemma [3], it follows from (3.18) that

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
y^{n} \longrightarrow y & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
y_{t}^{n} \longrightarrow y_{t} & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{array}
$$

Using the first convergence result in (3.18) and the fact that the imbedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{2(m-1)}(\Omega)(p<m<N p / 2(N-p)+1$ if $N>p$ and $p<m<\infty$ if $p \geq N)$ is continuous, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|y^{n}\right|^{m-2} y^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|y^{n}(x, t)\right|^{2(m-1)} d x d t \leq c \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{n}\right|^{m-2} y^{n} \longrightarrow \xi \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have from (3.19) that $y^{n}(x, t) \rightarrow y(x, t)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$, and thus $\mid y^{n}(x$, $t)\left.\right|^{m-2} y^{n}(x, t) \rightarrow|y(x, t)|^{m-2} y(x, t)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$. Therefore, we conclude from (3.22) that $\xi(x, t)=|y(x, t)|^{m-2} y(x, t)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$.

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2) and using the convergence results above, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(y_{t t}(t), z\right)+\left(\nabla y_{t}(t), \nabla z\right)+(\zeta(t), z)+(\Xi(t), z)\right\} d t \\
=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda|y(t)|^{m-2} y(t), z\right) d t, \quad \forall z \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Step $3\left((y, \Xi)\right.$ is a solution of (1.1)). Let $\phi \in C^{1}[0, T]$ with $\phi(T)=0$. By replacing $w_{j}$ by $\phi(t) w_{j}$ in (3.2) and integrating by parts the result over $(0, T)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(y_{t}^{n}(0), \phi(0) w_{j}\right) & +\int_{0}^{T}\left(y_{t}^{n}(t), \phi_{t}(t) w_{j}\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla y_{t}^{n}(t), \phi(t) \nabla w_{j}\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(A y^{n}(t), \phi(t) w_{j}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(t)\right), \phi(t) w_{j}\right) d t  \tag{3.24}\\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda\left|y^{n}(t)\right|^{m-2} y^{n}(t), \phi(t) w_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly from (3.23), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(y_{t}(0), \phi(0) w_{j}\right) & +\int_{0}^{T}\left(y_{t}(t), \phi_{t}(t) w_{j}\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla y_{t}(t), \phi(t) \nabla w_{j}\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(\zeta(t), \phi(t) w_{j}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Xi(t), \phi(t) w_{j}\right) d s  \tag{3.25}\\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda|y(t)|^{m-2} y(t), \phi(t) w_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing between (3.24) and (3.25), we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(y_{t}^{n}(0)-y_{t}(0), w_{j}\right)=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $y_{t}^{n}(0) \rightarrow y_{t}(0)$ weakly in $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. By the uniqueness of limit, $y_{t}(0)=$ $y_{1}$. Analogously, taking $\phi \in C^{2}[0, T]$ with $\phi(T)=\phi^{\prime}(T)=0$, we can obtain that $y(0)=y_{0}$.

Now, we show that $\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$. Indeed, since $y_{t}^{n} \rightarrow y_{t}$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\left(\right.$ see (3.20)), $y_{t}^{n}(x, t) \rightarrow y_{t}(x, t)$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$. Let $\eta>0$. Using the theorem of Lusin and Egoroff, we can choose a subset $\omega \subset Q_{T}$ such that $|\omega|<\eta, y_{t} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T} \backslash \omega\right)$, and $y_{t}^{n} \rightarrow$ $y_{t}$ uniformly on $Q_{T} \backslash \omega$. Thus, for each $\epsilon>0$, there is an $M>2 / \epsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{t}^{n}(x, t)-y_{t}(x, t)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text { for } n>M,(x, t) \in Q_{T} \backslash \omega . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, if $\left|y_{t}^{n}(x, t)-s\right|<1 / n$, we have $\left|y_{t}(x, t)-s\right|<\epsilon$ for all $n>M$ and $(x, t) \in Q_{T} \backslash \omega$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{b}_{\epsilon}\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \leq b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(x, t)\right) \leq \bar{b}_{\epsilon}\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right), \quad \forall n>M,(x, t) \in Q_{T} \backslash \omega . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \phi \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{T \backslash \omega}} \underline{b}_{\epsilon}\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d x d t & \leq \int_{Q_{Q} \backslash \omega} b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d x d t  \tag{3.29}\\
& \leq \int_{Q_{T} \backslash \omega} \bar{b}_{\epsilon}\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this inequality and using the last convergence result in (3.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{T} \backslash \omega} \underline{b}_{\epsilon}\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d x d t & \leq \int_{Q_{T \backslash \omega}} \Xi(x, t) \phi(x, t) d x d t  \tag{3.30}\\
& \leq \int_{Q_{T} \backslash \omega} \bar{b}_{\epsilon}\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$in this inequality, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} \backslash \omega, \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and letting $\eta \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(y_{t}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to show that $\zeta=A y$. From the approximated problem and the convergence results (3.18)-(3.22), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left(A y^{n}(t), y^{n}(t)\right) d t \leq & \left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right)-\left(y_{t}(T), y(T)\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(y_{t}(t), y_{t}(t)\right) d t-\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla y(T)\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla y_{0}\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{T}(\Xi(t), y(t)) d t  \tag{3.33}\\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda|y(t)|^{m-2} y(t), y(t)\right) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.23) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T}(\zeta(t), y(t)) d t= & \left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right)-\left(y_{t}(T), y(T)\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(y_{t}(t), y_{t}(t)\right) d t \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla y(T)\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla y_{0}\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{T}(\Xi(t), y(t)) d t  \tag{3.34}\\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(\lambda|y(t)|^{m-2} y(t), y(t)\right) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.33) and (3.34), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left(A y^{n}(t), y^{n}(t)\right) d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}(\zeta(t), y(t)) d t \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is a monotone operator, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left(A y^{n}(t)-A z(t), y^{n}(t)-z(t)\right) d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}(\zeta(t)-A z(t), y(t)-z(t)) d t, \quad \forall z \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

By Mintiy's monotonicity argument (see, e.g., [17]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=A y \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed.

## 4. Asymptotic behavior of solutions

In this section, we will prove the decay rates (2.14) and (2.15) in Theorem 2.3 by applying Lemma 2.4. To prove the decay property, we first obtain uniform estimates for the approximated energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(t)\right\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{m}\left\|y^{n}(t)\right\|_{m}^{m} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then pass to the limit. Note that $E^{n}(t)$ is nonnegative and uniformly bounded. Let us fix an arbitary $t>0$. From the approximated problem (3.2) and $w_{j}=y_{t}^{n}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E^{n}(t)+\left\|\nabla y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}=-\left(b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(t)\right), y_{t}^{n}(t)\right) \leq-\mu_{1}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $E^{n}(t)$ is a nonincreasing function. Setting $F_{n}^{2}(t)=E^{n}(t)-E^{n}(t+1)$ and integrating (4.2) over $(t, t+1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{2}(t) \geq \int_{t}^{t+1}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|^{2}+\mu_{1}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) d s \geq\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{t+1}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}>0$ is the constant $\lambda_{1}\|v\|^{2} \leq\|\nabla v\|^{2}, \forall v \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. By applying the mean value theorem, there exist $t_{1} \in[t, t+1 / 4]$ and $t_{2} \in[t+3 / 4, t+1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{t}^{n}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}}} F_{n}(t), \quad i=1,2 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, replacing $w_{j}$ by $y^{n}(t)$ in the approximated problem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A y^{n}(t), y^{n}(t)\right)-\lambda\left(\left|y^{n}(t)\right|^{m-2} y^{n}(t), y^{n}(t)\right) \\
& \quad=-\left(y_{t t}^{n}(t), y^{n}(t)\right)-\left(\nabla y_{t}^{n}(t), \nabla y^{n}(t)\right)-\left(b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(t)\right), y^{n}(t)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating this over $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and using (4.2) and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(s)\right\|_{p}^{p} d s-\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|y^{n}(s)\right\|_{m}^{m} d s \\
& \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(s)\right\|_{p}^{p} d s-\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|y^{n}(s)\right\|_{m}^{m} d s \\
&=-\left(y_{t}^{n}\left(t_{2}\right), y^{n}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)+\left(y_{t}^{n}\left(t_{1}\right), y^{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \quad-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(\nabla y_{t}^{n}(s), \nabla y^{n}(s)\right) d s-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(b^{n}\left(y_{t}^{n}(s)\right), y^{n}(s)\right) d s  \tag{4.6}\\
& \leq\left\|y_{t}^{n}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|\left\|y^{n}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|+\left\|y_{t}^{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|\left\|y^{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
&+c \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|\nabla y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|\left(\sup _{t \leq s \leq t+1}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(s)\right\|_{p}\right) d s+\mu_{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|\left\|y^{n}(s)\right\| d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Holder's inequality, Poincaré inequality, and (4.3)-(4.6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} E^{n}(s) d s= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|y_{t}^{n}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& +\frac{1}{p} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(s)\right\|_{p}^{p} d s-\frac{\lambda}{m} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|y^{n}(s)\right\|_{m}^{m} d s \leq c F_{n}^{2}(t)  \tag{4.7}\\
& +c F_{n}(t)\left\{\left\|\nabla y^{n}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{p}+\left\|\nabla y^{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{p}+\sup _{t \leq s \leq t+1}\left\|\nabla y^{n}(s)\right\|_{p}\right\} \\
& +\lambda\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|y^{n}(s)\right\|_{m}^{m} d s,
\end{align*}
$$

and hence we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} E^{n}(s) d s \leq c F_{n}^{2}(t)+c F_{n}(t) E^{n}(t)^{1 / p}+C_{1} E^{n}(t), \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=\lambda(1-(1 / m)) c_{*}^{m}(2 m p /(m-p) E(0))^{(m-p) / p}(m p /(m-p))$ and we used the fact that $\left\|\nabla y^{n}(t)\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq(m p /(m-p)) E^{n}(t), E^{n}(t)$ is a nonincreasing function, and (2.4).

Young's inequality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} E^{n}(s) d s \leq c F_{n}^{2}(t)+C_{\eta} F_{n}(t)^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{1}{\eta} E^{n}(t)+C_{1} E^{n}(t) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $E^{n}(t+1) \leq 2 \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} E^{n}(s) d s$ and $E^{n}(t+1)=E^{n}(t)-F_{n}^{2}(t)$, we have from (4.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2}-C_{1}-\frac{1}{\eta}\right) E^{n}(t) \leq\left(c+\frac{1}{2}\right) F_{n}^{2}(t)+C_{\eta} F_{n}(t)^{p /(p-1)} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption (2.10), $1 / 2-C_{1}>0$, and hence taking $\eta>0$ sufficiently small such that $1 / 2-C_{1}-1 / \eta>0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}(t) \leq c F_{n}^{2}(t)+c F_{n}(t)^{p /(p-1)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p=2$ then $E^{n}(t) \leq c F_{n}^{2}(t)$, and since $E^{n}(t)$ is decreasing from Lemma 2.4 there exist positive constants $C$ and $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}(t) \leq C \exp (-\gamma t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p>2$, then (4.11) and the boundedness of $F_{n}(t)$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}(t) \leq c F_{n}(t)^{p /(p-1)}, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}(t)^{2(p-1) / p} \leq c^{2(p-1) / p}\left(E^{n}(t)-E^{n}(t+1)\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 to $\beta=(p-2) / p$, we obtain a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-p /(p-2)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.12) and (4.15), we get (2.14) and (2.15). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.
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