- 4. Hiramatsu K, Hanaki H, Ino T, Yabuta K, Oguri T, Tenover FC. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus clinical strain with reduced vancomycin susceptibility. J Antimicrob Chemother 1997; 40: 135–136.
- 5. Anonymous. First US case of vancomycin resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection reported in a patient with chronic renal failure. Dial Transplant 2002; 31: 602– 603.
- 6. El Solh N, Davi M, Morvan A et al. Characteristics of French methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates with decreased susceptibility or resistance to glycopeptides. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003; 52: 691–694.
- 7. Bonora MG, Solbiati M, Stepan E et al. Emergence of linezolid resistance in the vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium multilocus sequence typing C1 epidemic lineage. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44: 1153–1155.
- 8. Burleson BS, Ritchie DJ, Micek ST, Dunne WM. Enterococcus faecalis resistant to linezolid: case series and review of the literature. Pharmacotherapy 2004; 24: 1225–1231.
- 9. Malbruny B, Canu A, Bozdogan B et al. Resistance to quinupristin–dalfopristin due to mutation of L22 ribosomal protein in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002; 46: 2200–2207.
- 10. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 15th informational supplement, M100-S15. Wayne, PA: CLSI, 2005.
- 11. Chevalier P, Rey J, Pasquier O, Rouzier-Panis R, Harding N, Montay G. Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics and safety of two regimens of quinupristin/dalfopristin (Synercid) in healthy volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 2001; 41: 404–414.
- 12. Healy DP, Polk RE, Garson ML, Rock DT, Comstock TJ. Comparison of steady-state pharmacokinetics of two dosage regimens of vancomycin in normal volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1987; 31: 393–397.
- 13. Stalker DJ, Jungbluth GL. Clinical pharmacokinetics of linezolid, a novel oxazolidinone antibacterial. Clin Pharmacokinet 2003; 42: 1129–1140.
- 14. Wilson APR. Clinical pharmacokinetics of teicoplanin. Clin Pharmacokinet 2000; 39: 167–183.
- 15. Michèa Hamzehpour M, Kahr A, Pechère JC. In vitro stepwise selection of resistance to quinolones, β -lactams and amikacin in nosocomial Gram-negative bacilli. Infection 1994; 22 (suppl 2): S105–S110.
- 16. De Vecchi E, Nicola L, Zucchetti E, Drago L. In vitro induction of resistance by tissue concentrations of azithromycin, clarithromycin, cefixime and amoxicillin/clavulanate in clinical isolates of Streptococcus pyogenes. J Chemother 2006; 18: 379–388.
- 17. Olofsson SK, Cars O. Optimizing drug exposure to minimize selection of antibiotic resistance. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45: S129–S136.
- 18. McCallum N, Karauzum H, Getzmann R et al. In vivo survival of teicoplanin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and fitness cost of teicoplanin resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006; 50: 2352–2360.
- 19. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 16th informational supplement, M100-S16. Wayne, PA: CLSI, 2006.
- 20. Cunha BA. Principles of antimicrobial formulary selection for P&T Committees. Part 2: Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. P&T 2003; 28: 468–470.

RESEARCH NOTE

spa typing directly from a mecA, spa and pvl multiplex PCR assay—a cost-effective improvement for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus surveillance

A. R. Larsen, M. Stegger and M. Sørum

National Centre for Antimicrobials and Infection Control, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

ABSTRACT

Rapid detection and typing of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus are important components of infection control programmes. A protocol is described that enables sequencing of the spa gene fragment directly from a multiplex PCR targeting the clinically relevant mecA, pvl and spa genes, resulting in high-throughput characterisation of S. aureus. Implementation of the method in the Danish national reference laboratory has markedly reduced the use of reagents and the requirement for hands-on time, and has also provided fast typing results. In addition, the method reduces the risk of sample mishandling.

Keywords Detection, direct sequencing, MRSA, multiplex PCR, spa typing, typing

Original Submission: 26 September 2007; Revised Submission: 4 February 2008; Accepted: 15 February 2008

Clin Microbiol Infect 2008; 14: 611–614 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.01995.x

The emergence and spread of strains of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a major healthcare concern in many parts of the world. MRSA surveillance should include, as a minimum, detection of the mecA gene and typing of the isolates, and detection of the pvl

© 2008 The Authors Journal Compilation © 2008 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, CMI, 14, 605–624

Corresponding author and reprint requests: A. R. Larsen, National Centre for Antimicrobials and Infection Control, Statens Serum Institut, Artillerivej 5, 2300 Copenhagen S, Denmark E-mail: arl@ssi.dk

genes encoding Panton–Valentine leukocidin is often of interest [1,2]. In Denmark, all MRSA isolates are referred to the Statens Serum Institut (SSI), Copenhagen, for typing. In 2007, spa typing replaced pulsed-field gel electrophoresis at SSI as the primary typing method for MRSA because of its high discriminatory power and other advantages with respect to speed, interpretation and communicability. The present study describes a method that, in addition to conventional spa typing, detects the presence of the *mecA* and *pvl* genes in a single multiplex PCR. As spa typing involves DNA sequencing, the method also introduces the concept of sequencing one PCR fragment directly from among a mixture of PCR amplicons. Direct sequencing from the multiplex PCR minimises the number of PCRs required and the risk of sample mishandling.

DNA templates were prepared according to Kumari et al. [3]. Each PCR contained 0.45 µM mecA primers (mecA P4, 5'-TCCAGATTACA ACTTCACCAGG; mecA P7, 5'-CCACTTCA TATCTTGTAACG) [4], $0.18 \mu M$ spa primers (spa-1113f, 5¢-TAAAGACGATCCTTCGGTGAGC; spa-1514r, 5'-CAGCAGTAGTGCCGTTTGCTT) [5], 1 μ M *pvl* primers (*pvl-FP*, 5'-GCTGGACAAA ACTTCTTGGAATAT; pvl-RP, 5'-GATAGGAC ACCAATAAATTCTGGATTG) [6], $1 \times$ Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), $0.5 \times Q$ -Solution (Qiagen) and 1 µL of DNA template preparation. Amplification was performed in a DNA Engine DYAD (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), with 15 min at 94° C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94 \degree C, 1 min at 59 \degree C and 1 min at 72 \degree C, with a final 10 min at 72° C. PCR products were visualised on E-Gels 2% w/v (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The PCR products were vacuum-purified using NucleoFast 96 PCR plates (Macherey-Nagel, Easton, PA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing of the amplicons with the PCR primers was performed as described previously using an ABI 3130 sequencer [5]. Ridom StaphType (Ridom, Münster, Germany) and BioNumerics v.4.6 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) software, together with the multilocus sequence typing database (http://www.MLST.net), were used for analysis and annotation of the sequences generated from the isolates.

The protocol was validated against 70 known MRSA isolates, 25 isolates of coagulase-negative staphylococci, and the three reference strains ATCC 6538 (methicillin-susceptible S. aureus), ATCC 33591 (MRSA) and ATCC 51625 (methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis). Thirty-five of the 70 MRSA isolates had previously been confirmed as pvl-positive according to the PCR method described by Lina et al. [7]. The multiplex assay was initially optimised as a duplex PCR containing the *mecA* and *spa* primers, and was later expanded to include the pvl primers. Both PCRs were validated with the collection of isolates described above.

The MRSA isolates had been assigned previously to clonal complexes (CCs) by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, spa typing and, in some instances, multilocus sequence typing. The isolates belonged to 11 CCs (CC5, CC8, CC9, CC22, CC30, CC45, CC59, CC72, CC78, CC80 and CC152) and harboured SCCmec types I–VI. The known pvl-positive isolates belonged to nine different CCs, including the USA300-0114 (ST8- MRSA-IV), European CA-MRSA (ST80-MRSA-IV) and Southwest Pacific (ST30-MRSA-IV) clones (Fig. 1). Of the coagulase-negative staphylococcus isolates tested (11 S. epidermidis, seven Staphylococcus hominis, two Staphylococcus lugdunensis, two Staphylococcus capitis, two Staphylococcus haemolyticus and one Staphylococcus warneri), 11 were mecA-positive. The mecA status and species identifications were confirmed using the EVIGENE MRSA detection kit (SSI, Copenhagen, Denmark), and the RapidStaph32 system (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France).

Fig. 1. Examples of isolates typed by the spa, mecA and pvl multiplex PCR. Lanes: 1 and 12, 100-bp ladder; 2, $H₂O$ control; 3, Staphylococcus epidermidis DK-14; 4, S. epidermidis ATCC 51625; 5, methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) ATCC 6538; 6, MSSA DK-E2211; 7, methicillin-resistant Stapylococcus aureus (MRSA) ATCC 33591; 8, MRSA USA300-0114; 9, MRSA CC80 (282-01); 10, MRSA CC30 (3250-01); 11, MRSA DK-55599 (one spa repeat).

The results of the initial validation showed complete concordance with the results obtained previously according to mecA, spa and pvl PCRs performed individually. The mecA and pvl amplicons from the multiplex PCR were sequenced to confirm the identity of the amplicons and to test the feasibility of direct sequencing of a particular amplicon from a multiplex PCR mixture.

Following the initial validation of the PCR method with only the mecA and spa primers, the duplex protocol was introduced routinely at SSI during January 2007. Testing of 759 routine samples identified 686 MRSA and 73 methicillinsusceptible S. aureus isolates. Sequencing revealed 102 different spa types among the MRSA isolates, of which ten were identified as new types according to the Ridom spa server (www.ridom.de). Of the 102 spa types, 79 were assigned to 16 different CCs, while 23 spa types (42 isolates) could not be assigned to CC groups. Isolates containing only one spa repeat (spa type t693; r07) were still detected correctly (Fig. 1, lane 11). Nine isolates failed to yield the spa gene fragment, but were confirmed as S. aureus by coagulase tests and by detection of the nuc and femA genes by PCR [8-10].

The multiplex method described in this report provides an efficient protocol for detecting three of the most important determinants used in surveillance of MRSA. The method confirms the presence of the mecA gene and detects the pvl genes in the same PCR used for conventional spa typing, which makes the procedure less expensive and laborious than performing separate reactions. Like conventional spa typing, the method is a fast, sequence-based typing method for S. aureus. Typing results are currently available in 2 working days, but could be made available in 1 day if facilities allow. Since January 2007, typing results have been reported twice-weekly from the laboratory, thereby providing timely results for local infection control purposes. Although the pvl genes are not restricted solely to communityacquired MRSA, pvl is present in all major community-acquired MRSA lineages and enables, e.g., distinction of USA300 isolates from other ST8 isolates [1,2,11].

To our knowledge, spa-sequencing directly from the products of a multiplex PCR has not been described previously. Other MRSA sequence typing protocols have involved other genes encoding surface proteins, e.g., clfB, in addition to spa, in order to improve the discriminatory power [12]. The concept presented here of direct sequencing of a single cohort of PCR products from among a mixture of different amplicons can be transferred easily to such protocols, thus enabling, e.g., spa and clfB to be sequenced from the same multiplex PCR.

A CKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors declare that they have no conflicting interests in relation to this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Strommenger B, Braulke C, Pasemann B, Schmidt C, Witte W. Multiplex PCR for the rapid detection of isolates suspected to represent community-acquired Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 2008; 61: 282–285.
- 2. Vandenesch F, Naimi T, Enright MC et al. Communityacquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus carrying Panton–Valentine leukocidin genes: worldwide emergence. Emerg Infect Dis 2003; 9: 978–984.
- 3. Kumari DNP, Keer V, Hawkey PM et al. Comparison and application of ribosome spacer DNA amplicon polymorphisms and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis for differentiation of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains. J Clin Microbiol 1997; 35: 881–885.
- 4. Oliveira DC, de Lencastre H. Multiplex PCR strategy for rapid identification of structural types and variants of the mec element in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002; 46: 2155–2161.
- 5. Harmsen D, Claus H, Witte W et al. Typing of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus in a university hospital setting by using novel software for spa repeat determination and database management. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 5442–5448.
- 6. Deurenberg RH, Vink C, Driessen C et al. Rapid detection of Panton–Valentine leukocidin from clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus strains by real-time PCR. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2004; 240: 225–228.
- 7. Lina G, Piemont Y, Godail-Gamot F et al. Involvement of Panton–Valentine leukocidin-producing Staphylococcus aureus in primary skin infections and pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis 1999; 29: 1128–1132.
- 8. Skov RL, Pallesen LV, Poulsen RL, Espersen F. Evaluation of a new 3-h hybridization method for detecting the mecA gene in Staphylococcus aureus and comparison with existing genotypic and phenotypic susceptibility testing methods. J Antimicrob Chemother 1999; 43: 467–475.
- 9. Mehrotra M, Wang G, Johnson WM. Multiplex PCR for detection of genes for Staphylococcus aureus enterotoxins, exfoliative toxins, toxic shock syndrome toxin 1, and methicillin resistance. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38: 1032–1035.
- 10. Blair JE. The pathogenic staphylococci. Bacteriol Rev 1939; 3: 97–146.
- 11. Larsen A, Goering R, Stegger M et al. Characterisation of an MRSA clone in Denmark similar to USA300 in PFGE pattern but with different properties: a potential pitfall

when identifying USA300 isolates. Clin Microbiol Infect 2007; 13 (suppl 1): P1573.

12. Kuhn G, Francioli P, Blanc DS. Evidence for clonal evolution among highly polymorphic genes in methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 2006; 188: 169–178.

RESEARCH NOTE

Comparison of phenotypic methods for penicillinase detection in Staphylococcus aureus

M. Kaase, S. Lenga, S. Friedrich, F. Szabados, T. Sakinc, B. Kleine and S. G. Gatermann

Department of Medical Microbiology, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, Germany

ABSTRACT

Penicillinase testing is required for Staphylococcus aureus isolates with a penicillin MIC of ≤ 0.12 mg/L. This study compared five phenotypic assays with a PCR for blaZ when testing 197 S. aureus isolates. The starch–iodine plate method and nitrocefin tests had low sensitivities of 42.9% and 35.7%, respectively. The cloverleaf assay and the penicillin zone-edge determination method had sensitivities of 67.8% and 71.4%, respectively, and these methods might be appropriate in many circumstances, but were not as sensitive as blaZ PCR.

Keywords blaZ, PCR, penicillinase, phenotypic assays, sensitivity testing, Staphylococcus aureus

Original Submission: 16 October 2007; Revised Submission: 28 January 2008; Accepted: 4 February 2008

Clin Microbiol Infect 2008; 14: 614–616 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.01997.x

Resistance to penicillin in Staphylococcus aureus is mediated by production of a penicillinase,

encoded by blaZ. Four types of penicillinase (termed A–D) have been described in S. aureus, all of which are Ambler class A b-lactamases [1]. Reliable detection of penicillinase production is important because penicillin is considered to be superior to oxacillin against isolates that do not produce a penicillinase. An erroneus report of penicillin susceptibility could result in potentially inadequate therapy of S. aureus infections. A penicillin MIC of ≤ 0.12 mg/L is formally in the sensitive range, but the CLSI recommends that additional testing should be performed [2]. The aim of the present study was to compare five phenotypic methods for penicillinase detection, i.e., penicillin disk-diffusion, penicillin zone-edge determination, a cloverleaf assay, nitrocefin tests and a starch–iodine plate method, against a PCR assay for blaZ when testing the sub-population of isolates with a penicillin MIC in the formally sensitive range according to the Vitek 2 system (bioMérieux, Durham, NC, USA).

Consecutive non-duplicate isolates of S. aureus $(n = 197)$ were collected if their penicillin MIC according to Vitek 2 was ≤ 0.12 mg/L. Diskdiffusion tests for penicillin were performed according to CLSI recommendations [2] (10-U disk; Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). The penicillin zone-edge was checked for a sharp edge with discrete full-sized colonies right at the edge, or for a tapered edge with a gradual decrease in growth [3]. A sharp edge was considered to be indicative of penicillinase production. Zone inhibition diameters were measured, with a diameter of ≤ 28 mm for penicillin being considered to be penicillinasepositive [2]. The cloverleaf assay was performed as described previously [4], except that S. aureus ATCC 25923 was used as the indicator strain on Mueller–Hinton agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Testing with nitrocefin sticks (Oxoid) was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions, with colonies located at the penicillin zone-edge, and was read after 5, 15 and 60 min. The starch–iodine plate method was performed as described previously [4], except that oxacillin (Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany) 0.2 mg/L was used for penicillinase induction instead of methicillin. Decolourisation under and around the colonies was considered to be a positive result.

Primers for amplification of blaZ, designed according to blaZ sequences published previously, were stau-blaZ-fwd (5¢-CAAAGATGA TATAGTTGCTTATTCTCC) and stau-blaZ-rev

Corresponding author and reprint requests: M. Kaase, Department of Medical Microbiology, Ruhr-University Bochum, Universitätsstr. 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany E-mail: martin.kaase@rub.de