

ACADEMIC
PRESSAvailable online at www.sciencedirect.com

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276 (2002) 642–653

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Asymptotic properties of isometries

Soon-Mo Jung¹*Mathematics Section, College of Science and Technology, Hong-Ik University,
339-701 Chochiwon, South Korea*

Received 18 December 2001

Submitted by Th.M. Rassias

Abstract

In this paper, we prove two theorems on the local stability of isometries in connection with (ε, p) -isometries. These theorems reveal that a large class of (ε, p) -isometries, defined on various restricted domains, are stable.

© 2002 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Keywords: Hyers–Ulam stability; Isometry; Restricted domain; Asymptotic property

1. Introduction

Let (E, d_E) and (F, d_F) be metric spaces. A mapping $I : E \rightarrow F$ is called an isometry if I satisfies the equation

$$d_F(I(x), I(y)) = d_E(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in E$.

Extending the definition by Hyers and Ulam [11], we may call a mapping $f : E \rightarrow F$ an ε -isometry if f satisfies the inequality

$$|d_F(f(x), f(y)) - d_E(x, y)| \leq \varepsilon \quad (*)$$

E-mail address: smjung@wow.hongik.ac.kr.

¹ This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-DP0031).

for all $x, y \in E$. If in this case there exist an isometry $I: E \rightarrow F$ and a constant $k \geq 0$ such that $d_F(f(x), I(x)) \leq k\varepsilon$ for all $x \in E$, then we may say that the isometry from E to F is stable (in the sense of Hyers and Ulam).

Hyers and Ulam proved in the same paper [11] the stability of isometries between real Hilbert spaces. In fact, they proved that if a surjective mapping $f: E \rightarrow E$, where E is a real Hilbert space, satisfies $f(0) = 0$ as well as the inequality (*) for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and for all $x, y \in E$, then there exists a surjective isometry $I: E \rightarrow E$ such that $\|f(x) - I(x)\| \leq 10\varepsilon$ for all $x \in E$.

This result of Hyers and Ulam was the first one concerning the stability of isometries and was further generalized by Bourgin [4]. Indeed, Bourgin proved the following theorem: Assume that E is a Banach space and that F belongs to a class of uniformly convex spaces. If a mapping $f: E \rightarrow F$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ as well as the inequality (*) for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and for all $x, y \in E$, then there exists a linear isometry $I: E \rightarrow F$ such that $\|f(x) - I(x)\| \leq 12\varepsilon$ for each $x \in E$.

Subsequently, Hyers and Ulam [12] studied a stability problem for spaces of continuous mappings: Let S_1 and S_2 be compact metric spaces and $C(S_i)$ denote the space of real-valued continuous mappings on S_i equipped with the metric topology with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. If a homeomorphism $T: C(S_1) \rightarrow C(S_2)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \|T(f) - T(g)\|_\infty - \|f - g\|_\infty \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad (**)$$

for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and for all $f, g \in C(S_1)$, then there exists an isometry $U: C(S_1) \rightarrow C(S_2)$ such that $\|T(f) - U(f)\|_\infty \leq 21\varepsilon$ for every $f \in C(S_1)$.

This result of Hyers and Ulam was significantly generalized by Bourgin again (see [5]): Let S_1 and S_2 be completely regular Hausdorff spaces and let $T: C(S_1) \rightarrow C(S_2)$ be a surjective mapping satisfying the inequality (**) for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and for all $f, g \in C(S_1)$. Then there exists a linear isometry $U: C(S_1) \rightarrow C(S_2)$ such that $\|T(f) - U(f)\|_\infty \leq 10\varepsilon$ for any $f \in C(S_1)$.

The study of stability problems for isometries on finite-dimensional Banach spaces was continued by Bourgin [6].

In 1978, Gruber [10] obtained an elegant result as follows: Let E and F be real normed spaces. Suppose that $f: E \rightarrow F$ is a surjective mapping and it satisfies the inequality (*) for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and for all $x, y \in E$. Furthermore, assume that $I: E \rightarrow F$ is an isometry with $f(p) = I(p)$ for some $p \in E$. If $\|f(x) - I(x)\| = o(\|x\|)$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly, then I is a surjective linear isometry and $\|f(x) - I(x)\| \leq 5\varepsilon$ for all $x \in E$. If in addition f is continuous, then $\|f(x) - I(x)\| \leq 3\varepsilon$ for all $x \in E$.

Gevirtz [9] established the stability of isometries between arbitrary Banach spaces: Given real Banach spaces E and F , let $f: E \rightarrow F$ be a surjective mapping satisfying the inequality (*) for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and for all $x, y \in E$. Then there exists a surjective isometry $I: E \rightarrow F$ such that $\|f(x) - I(x)\| \leq 5\varepsilon$ for each $x \in E$. Later, the upper bound 5ε could be improved to the sharp one, 2ε , by Omladić and Šemrl [15].

It is surprising that Dolinar [7] recently proved the superstability of isometries. Indeed, he proved that for $p > 1$ every surjective (ε, p) -isometry $f : E \rightarrow F$ between finite-dimensional real Banach spaces is an isometry, where a mapping $f : E \rightarrow F$ is called an (ε, p) -isometry if f satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \|f(x) - f(y)\| - \|x - y\| \right| \leq \varepsilon \|x - y\|^p$$

for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and for all $x, y \in E$. (One can further refer to [7,19] for more exact definition of (ε, p) -isometry.)

On the other hand, Swain [22] considered the stability of isometries on bounded metric spaces and proved the following result: Let M be a subset of a compact metric space (E, d) and let $\delta > 0$ be given. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $f : M \rightarrow E$ satisfies the inequality (*) for all $x, y \in M$, then there exists an isometry $I : M \rightarrow E$ with $d(f(x), I(x)) \leq \delta$ for any $x \in M$.

The stability problem of isometries on bounded subsets of \mathbf{R}^n was studied by Fickett [8]: For $t \geq 0$, let us define $K_0(t) = K_1(t) = t$, $K_2(t) = 3\sqrt{3t}$, $K_i(t) = 27t^{m(i)}$, where $m(i) = 2^{1-i}$ for $i \geq 3$. Let S be a bounded subset of \mathbf{R}^n with diameter $d(S)$, and suppose that $3K_n(\varepsilon/d(S)) \leq 1$ for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$. If a mapping $f : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ satisfies the inequality (*) for all $x, y \in S$, then there exists an isometry $I : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $|f(x) - I(x)| \leq d(S)K_{n+1}(\varepsilon/d(S))$ for each $x \in S$.

Recently, the author and Kim [13] investigated the stability of isometries on restricted domains. For more general information on the stability of isometries and related topics, one can refer to [17,18] (see also [1,3,7,14,16,20,21]).

In this paper, we will prove the local stability of a class of asymptotic isometries. We refer the reader to the paper [21] of Skof for the exact definition of asymptotic isometries. Indeed, Skof [21] has investigated many interesting properties of a large class of asymptotic isometries. It may be interesting to compare our main results with those of [21].

2. Local stability of isometries on unbounded domains

Let $(G, +)$ be an abelian metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfying

$$d(x + z, y + z) = d(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad d(2x, 2y) = 2d(x, y) \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Furthermore, we assume that for each given $y \in G$ the equation

$$x + x = y$$

is uniquely solvable. We here promise that $2^{-1}y$ or $y/2$ stands for the unique solution of the above equation and we inductively define $2^{-(n+1)}y = 2^{-1}(2^{-n}y)$ for each given $y \in G$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We may usually write $x/2^n$ instead of $2^{-n}x$ for each $x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The second condition in (1) also implies that

$$d\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$$

for $x, y \in G$.

Theorem 1. Let E be a subset of G with the property that

$$0 \in E \quad \text{and} \quad 2^k x \in E \quad (\text{for } x \in E \text{ and } k \in \mathbf{N})$$

and let F be a real Hilbert space with the associated inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. If a mapping $f : E \rightarrow F$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \|f(x) - f(y)\| - d(x, y) \right| \leq \varepsilon d(x, y)^p$$

for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$, $0 \leq p < 1$ and for all $x, y \in E$, then there exists an isometry $I : E \rightarrow F$ that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - I(x) - f(0)\| \\ & \leq \frac{2^{(1-p)/2}}{2^{(1-p)/2} - 1} \max\{\sqrt{4.5\varepsilon}, 2\varepsilon\} \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in E$. For $0 < p < 1$, the isometry I is uniquely determined.

Proof. If we define a mapping $g : E \rightarrow F$ by $g(x) = f(x) - f(0)$, then we have

$$\left| \|g(x) - g(y)\| - d(x, y) \right| \leq \varepsilon d(x, y)^p \quad (3)$$

for any $x, y \in E$. With $y = 0$ and $y = 2x$ separately, the inequality (3) together with (1) yields

$$\begin{cases} \left| \|g(x)\| - d(x, 0) \right| \leq \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p, \\ \left| \|g(x) - g(2x)\| - d(x, 0) \right| \leq \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

respectively.

It follows from (4) that

$$A(x)^2 \leq \|g(x)\|^2 \leq [d(x, 0) + \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p]^2 \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x) - g(2x)\|^2 &= \|g(x)\|^2 + \|g(2x)\|^2 - 2\langle g(x), g(2x) \rangle \\ &\leq [d(x, 0) + \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where we set

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } d(x, 0) \leq \varepsilon^{1/(1-p)}, \\ d(x, 0) - \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p & \text{for } d(x, 0) > \varepsilon^{1/(1-p)}. \end{cases}$$

If $d(x, 0) > 2^{-1}\varepsilon^{1/(1-p)}$ then $A(2x) = d(2x, 0) - \varepsilon d(2x, 0)^p$ and $A(2x)^2 \leq \|g(2x)\|^2$. Hence, it follows from (1), (5) and (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{2}g(2x) \right\|^2 \\ &= 2 \|g(x)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|g(2x)\|^2 - 2\langle g(x), g(2x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \|g(x)\|^2 + \{\|g(x)\|^2 + \|g(2x)\|^2 - 2\langle g(x), g(2x) \rangle\} - \frac{1}{2}\|g(2x)\|^2 \\
 &\leq 2[d(x, 0) + \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p]^2 - \frac{1}{2}[d(2x, 0) - \varepsilon d(2x, 0)^p]^2 \\
 &= 4\varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{1-p}}\right) d(x, 0)^{1+p} + 2\varepsilon^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2(1-p)}}\right) d(x, 0)^{2p} \\
 &\leq \left(4 + 2^p + \frac{4}{2^p}\right) \varepsilon d(x, 0)^{1+p} \leq 9\varepsilon d(x, 0)^{1+p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $d(x, 0) \leq 2^{-1} \varepsilon^{1/(1-p)}$, it analogously follows from (5) and (6) that

$$2 \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{2} g(2x) \right\|^2 \leq 2[d(x, 0) + \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p]^2 \leq 8\varepsilon^2 d(x, 0)^{2p}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{2} g(2x) \right\| \leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \tag{7}$$

for all $x \in E$, where we set $C = \max\{\sqrt{4.5\varepsilon}, 2\varepsilon\}$.

The last inequality implies the validity of the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x) \right\| \\
 &\leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-i(1-p)/2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for $n = 1$. Assume now that the inequality (8) is true for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. It then follows from (1), (7) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} g(2^{n+1} x) \right\| \\
 &\leq \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x) \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} g(2^{n+1} x) \right\| \\
 &\leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-i(1-p)/2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2^n} C \max\{d(2^n x, 0)^p, d(2^n x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \\
 &\leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \sum_{i=0}^n 2^{-i(1-p)/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies the validity of (8) for all $x \in E$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

For given $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$, we use (1) and (8) to verify

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{2^m} g(2^m x) - \frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^m} \left\| g(2^m x) - \frac{1}{2^{n-m}} g(2^{n-m} \cdot 2^m x) \right\| \\ &\leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} 2^{-i(1-p)/2} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{2^{-n} g(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for any $x \in E$. Let us define a mapping $I: E \rightarrow F$ by

$$I(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x). \tag{9}$$

If we substitute $2^n x$ and $2^n y$ for x and y in (3) and divide the resulting inequality by 2^n , and if we consider the case that n goes to the infinity, then we see that I is an isometry. The inequality (8), together with (9), shows that the inequality (2) holds true for any $x \in E$.

Now, assume that $0 < p < 1$ and $J: E \rightarrow F$ is an isometry satisfying the inequality (2). Because of our assumption $p > 0$, it then follows from (2) that $J(0) = 0$. Since

$$\|J(x) - J(y)\| = d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in E$, it follows from (1) that

$$\|J(2x) - J(x)\| = d(2x, x) = d(x, 0) = \|J(x)\|$$

and

$$\|J(2x)\| = d(2x, 0) = 2d(x, 0) = 2\|J(x)\|.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|J(2x) - J(x)\|^2 = \|J(2x)\|^2 - 2\langle J(2x), J(x) \rangle + \|J(x)\|^2 = \|J(x)\|^2.$$

Thus, we get

$$\|J(2x)\| \|J(x)\| = \langle J(2x), J(x) \rangle,$$

i.e.,

$$J(2x) = 2J(x) \tag{10}$$

for any $x \in E$. Assume that

$$\frac{1}{2^k} J(2^k x) = J(x) \tag{11}$$

for all $x \in E$ and some $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then, by (10) and (11), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} J(2^{k+1}x) = \frac{1}{2^k} J(2^k x) = J(x),$$

which implies that, for $0 < p < 1$, the equality (11) is true for all $x \in E$ and all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

For some $0 < p < 1$ and for an arbitrary $x \in E$, it follows from (2) and (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(x) - J(x)\| &= \frac{1}{2^k} \|I(2^k x) - J(2^k x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{2 \cdot 2^{(1-p)/2}}{2^{(1-p)/2} - 1} C \max\{d(2^k x, 0)^p, d(2^k x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \\ &\leq 2^{-k(1-p)/2} \frac{2^{(3-p)/2}}{2^{(1-p)/2} - 1} C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the uniqueness of I for the case $0 < p < 1$. \square

It would be interesting to compare the previous theorem with Theorem 1 of [21] because the mapping f involved in the former theorem is a kind of asymptotic isometry. The following corollary may be proven by use of Theorem 1 or in a straight forward manner. Indeed, it is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4 in [21].

Corollary 1. *Let G be a real normed space and let F be a real Hilbert space. Assume that a mapping $f : G \rightarrow F$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and $f(2^k x) = 2^k f(x)$ for all $x \in G$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$. The mapping f is a linear isometry if and only if there exists a $0 < p < 1$ such that*

$$\left| \|f(x) - f(y)\| - \|x - y\| \right| = O(\|x - y\|^p)$$

as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|y\| \rightarrow \infty$.

According to a theorem of Baker [1], F may be assumed to be real normed space which is strictly convex.

3. Local stability of isometries on bounded domains

Let $(G, +)$ be the abelian metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ which satisfies all the conditions given in the previous section.

Theorem 2. *Let E be a subset of G with the property that*

$$0 \in E \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{-k}x \in E \quad (\text{for } x \in E \text{ and } k \in \mathbf{N})$$

and let F be a real Hilbert space with the associated inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. If a mapping $f : E \rightarrow F$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \|f(x) - f(y)\| - d(x, y) \right| \leq \varepsilon d(x, y)^p$$

for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and for all $x, y \in E$, then there exists a unique isometry $I : E \rightarrow F$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - I(x) - f(0)\| \\ & \leq \frac{2^{(p-1)/2}}{2^{(p-1)/2} - 1} \max\{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}, 2\varepsilon\} \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for any $x \in E$.

Proof. Let us define a mapping $g : E \rightarrow F$ by $g(x) = f(x) - f(0)$. Then, the inequality (3) holds for $p > 1$ and for all $x, y \in E$. Put $y = 0$ and $y = 2^{-1}x$ in (3) separately and consider the conditions in (1) to get

$$\begin{cases} \left| \|g(x)\| - d(x, 0) \right| \leq \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p, \\ \left| \|g(x) - g(2^{-1}x)\| - 2^{-1}d(x, 0) \right| \leq 2^{-p}\varepsilon d(x, 0)^p. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

By (13), we have

$$A(x)^2 \leq \|g(x)\|^2 \leq [d(x, 0) + \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p]^2 \tag{14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| g(x) - g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 &= \|g(x)\|^2 + \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 - 2\left\langle g(x), g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2}d(x, 0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^p}d(x, 0)^p \right]^2, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where we define

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } d(x, 0) \geq \varepsilon^{-1/(p-1)}, \\ d(x, 0) - \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p & \text{for } d(x, 0) < \varepsilon^{-1/(p-1)}. \end{cases}$$

If $d(x, 0) < \varepsilon^{-1/(p-1)}$, then it follows from (1), (14) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left\| g(x) - 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|g(x)\|^2 + 2 \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 - 2 \left\langle g(x), g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \|g(x)\|^2 + \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 + \|g(x)\|^2 + \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 - 2 \left\langle g(x), g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq -\frac{1}{2} [d(x, 0) - \varepsilon d(x, 0)^p]^2 + 2 \left[\frac{1}{2} d(x, 0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^p} d(x, 0)^p \right]^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{2^p} + 1 \right) \varepsilon d(x, 0)^{1+p} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4}{2^{2p}} - 1 \right) \varepsilon^2 d(x, 0)^{2p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{2^p} + \frac{2}{2^{2p}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \varepsilon d(x, 0)^{1+p} \leq 2\varepsilon d(x, 0)^{1+p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $d(x, 0) \geq \varepsilon^{-1/(p-1)}$, it analogously follows from (14) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \left\| g(x) - 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|g(x)\|^2 + 2 \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 - 2 \left\langle g(x), g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 + \|g(x)\|^2 + \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|^2 - 2 \left\langle g(x), g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\rangle \\ &\leq 2 \left[\frac{1}{2} d(x, 0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^p} d(x, 0)^p \right]^2 \leq 2\varepsilon^2 d(x, 0)^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\left\| g(x) - 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \tag{16}$$

for any $x \in E$, where we set $C = \max\{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}, 2\varepsilon\}$.

The last inequality means the validity of the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| g(x) - 2^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-i(p-1)/2} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for $n = 1$. Assume that the inequality (17) holds true for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then, by (1), (16) and (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| g(x) - 2^{n+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| g(x) - 2^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| + \left\| 2^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^{n+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-i(p-1)/2} \\ &\quad + 2^n C \max\left\{ d\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, 0\right)^p, d\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, 0\right)^{(1+p)/2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \sum_{i=0}^n 2^{-i(p-1)/2},$$

which implies the validity of (17) for all $x \in E$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ be given with $n > m$. By (1) and (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^m g\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) - 2^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \\ &= 2^m \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) - 2^{n-m} g\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-m}} \frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} 2^{-i(p-1)/2} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\{2^n g(2^{-n}x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for every $x \in E$. Since F is complete, we may define a mapping $I : E \rightarrow F$ by

$$I(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right). \tag{18}$$

Hence, the inequality (3), together with (18), implies that I is an isometry. The inequality (12) is an immediate consequence of (17) and (18).

Now, let $J : E \rightarrow F$ be an isometry satisfying the inequality (12). It then follows from (12) that $J(0) = 0$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1, we may verify

$$2^k J\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) = J(x) \tag{19}$$

for all $x \in E$ and all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Finally, it follows from (12) and (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(x) - J(x)\| &= 2^k \left\| I\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) - J\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq 2^k \frac{2 \cdot 2^{(p-1)/2}}{2^{(p-1)/2} - 1} C \max\left\{d\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, 0\right)^p, d\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, 0\right)^{(1+p)/2}\right\} \\ &\leq 2^{-k(p-1)/2} \frac{2^{(p+1)/2}}{2^{(p-1)/2} - 1} C \max\{d(x, 0)^p, d(x, 0)^{(1+p)/2}\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in E$, which implies the uniqueness of I . \square

The following corollary may be proven by use of Theorem 2. However, the assumptions are so strong that the corollary can easily be proven in a straight forward manner. Hence we omit the proof.

Corollary 2. *Let G be a real normed space and let F be a real Hilbert space. Suppose a mapping $f : G \rightarrow F$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and $f(2^k x) = 2^k f(x)$ for all $x \in G$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$. The mapping f is a linear isometry if and only if there exists a $p > 1$ such that*

$$\left| \|f(x) - f(y)\| - \|x - y\| \right| = O(\|x - y\|^p)$$

as $\|x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y\| \rightarrow 0$.

In the above corollary, we may assume that F is a strictly convex real normed space (see [1]).

Acknowledgment

The author expresses his thanks to the referee for many valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] J.A. Baker, Isometries in normed spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 78 (1971) 655–658.
- [2] W. Benz, H. Berens, A contribution to a theorem of Ulam and Mazur, *Aequationes Math.* 34 (1987) 61–63.
- [3] R. Bhatia, P. Šemrl, Approximate isometries on Euclidean spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 104 (1997) 497–504.
- [4] D.G. Bourgin, Approximate isometries, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52 (1946) 704–714.
- [5] D.G. Bourgin, Approximately isometric and multiplicative transformations on continuous function rings, *Duke Math. J.* 16 (1949) 385–397.
- [6] R.D. Bourgin, Approximate isometries on finite dimensional Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 207 (1975) 309–328.
- [7] G. Dolinar, Generalized stability of isometries, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 242 (2000) 39–56.
- [8] J.W. Fickett, Approximate isometries on bounded sets with an application to measure theory, *Studia Math.* 72 (1981) 37–46.
- [9] J. Gevirtz, Stability of isometries on Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 89 (1983) 633–636.
- [10] P.M. Gruber, Stability of isometries, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 245 (1978) 263–277.
- [11] D.H. Hyers, S.M. Ulam, On approximate isometries, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 51 (1945) 288–292.
- [12] D.H. Hyers, S.M. Ulam, Approximate isometries of the space of continuous functions, *Ann. Math.* 48 (1947) 285–289.
- [13] S.-M. Jung, B. Kim, Stability of isometries on restricted domains, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 37 (2000) 125–137.
- [14] J. Lindenstrauss, A. Szankowski, Nonlinear perturbations of isometries, *Astérisque* 131 (1985) 357–371.
- [15] M. Omladič, P. Šemrl, On nonlinear perturbations of isometries, *Math. Ann.* 303 (1995) 617–628.
- [16] Th.M. Rassias, Properties of isometric mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 235 (1999) 108–121.
- [17] Th.M. Rassias, Isometries and approximate isometries, to appear.
- [18] Th.M. Rassias, C.S. Sharma, Properties of isometries, *J. Natural Geom.* 3 (1993) 1–38.
- [19] P. Šemrl, Hyers–Ulam stability of isometries on Banach spaces, *Aequationes Math.* 58 (1999) 157–162.
- [20] F. Skof, Sulle δ -isometrie negli spazi normati, *Rend. Mat. Appl.* (7) 10 (1990) 853–866.

- [21] F. Skof, On asymptotically isometric operators in normed spaces, *Istit. Lombardo Sci. Lett. A* 131 (1997) 117–129.
- [22] R.L. Swain, Approximate isometries in bounded spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2 (1951) 727–729.