

Discrete Mathematics 23 (1978) 229–233.

© North-Holland Publishing Company

## AN EDGE-COLORATION THEOREM FOR BIPARTITE GRAPHS WITH APPLICATIONS

Ram Prakash GUPTA

Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, U.S.A.

Received 2 February 1978

An edge-coloration theorem for bipartite graphs, announced in [4], is proved from which some well-known theorems due to König [5] and the author [2, 3] are deduced. The theorem is further applied to prove the “dual” of a theorem due to Lovász [6].

### 1. Bipartite graphs

All graphs considered below are non-null, finite and have no loops. Multiple edges are permitted.

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex-set  $V(G)$  and edge-set  $E(G)$ . A chain in  $G$  is a sequence

$$\mu = [x_0, \lambda_1, x_1, \lambda_2, \dots, x_{r-1}, \lambda_r, x_r] \quad (1.1)$$

where (i)  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r \in V(G)$ , (ii)  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r \in E(G)$ , and (iii)  $\lambda_i$  joins  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . If  $x_r = x_0$ , then  $\mu$  is called a cycle in  $G$  of length  $r$ . A graph is *bipartite* if it has no cycle of odd length.

Let  $G$  be any graph. Let  $k$  be any non-negative integer and let  $C_k = \{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$  denote a set of  $k$  distinct elements called “colors”. Any mapping

$$\sigma: E(G) \rightarrow C_k \quad (1.2)$$

is called a  $k$ -coloration of  $G$ . If  $\lambda \in E(G)$  and  $\sigma(\lambda) = \alpha$ , then  $\lambda$  is called an  $\alpha$ -edge.

Let  $\sigma$  be any  $k$ -coloration of  $G$ . For  $x \in V(G)$ , let  $\nu(x, \sigma)$  denote the number of distinct colors  $\alpha$  such that there is at least one  $\alpha$ -edge incident with  $x$ . Obviously,  $\nu(x, \sigma) \leq k$ . Also,  $\nu(x, \sigma) \leq d_G(x)$  where  $d_G(x)$ , called the degree of  $x$ , is the number of edges incident with  $x$  in  $G$ . Hence, we have

$$\nu(x, \sigma) \leq \min \{k, d_G(x)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in V(G). \quad (1.3)$$

We shall prove the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $G$  is a bipartite graph, then, for every non-negative integer  $k$ , there exists a  $k$ -coloration  $\sigma$  of  $G$  such that*

$$\nu(x, \sigma) = \min \{k, d_G(x)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in V(G). \quad (1.4)$$

**Proof.** If  $k=0$  or  $1$ , then any  $k$ -coloration  $\sigma$  of  $G$  satisfies (1.4). It is, therefore, enough to consider  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a  $k$ -coloration of  $G$  such that  $\sum_{x \in V(G)} \nu(x, \sigma)$  is largest possible. Since  $G$  is finite, such a  $\sigma$  exists. We shall prove that  $\sigma$  satisfies (1.4).

Let, if possible,  $\sigma$  not satisfy (1.4). Then, there is a vertex  $x_0 \in V(G)$  for which  $\nu(x_0, \sigma) < \min\{k, d_G(x_0)\}$ . Since  $\nu(x_0, \sigma) < d_G(x_0)$ , there is a color  $\alpha$  such that there are at least two  $\alpha$ -edges incident with  $x_0$ ; also, since  $\nu(x_0, \sigma) < k$ , there is a color  $\beta$  such that there is no  $\beta$ -edge incident with  $x_0$ . Choose  $\alpha, \beta$  as above and let

$$\mu = [x_0, \lambda_1, x_1, \lambda_2, \dots, x_{r-1}, \lambda_r, x_r], \quad r \geq 1,$$

be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -alternating chain where  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}$  are distinct vertices,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \dots$  are  $\alpha$ -edges,  $\lambda_2, \lambda_4, \dots$  are  $\beta$ -edges and which satisfies at least one of the following two conditions:

(i)  $\lambda_r$  is an  $\alpha$ -edge [resp.  $\beta$ -edge] and there is no  $\beta$ -edge [resp.  $\alpha$ -edge] incident with  $x_r$ ;

(ii)  $\lambda_r$  is an  $\alpha$ -edge [resp.  $\beta$ -edge] and there is another  $\alpha$ -edge [resp.  $\beta$ -edge] incident with  $x_r$ .

Since  $G$  is finite, such a chain  $\mu$  can always be found. Now, interchange colors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  on all edges belonging to  $\mu$ , leaving the colors of the rest of the edges unchanged and let  $\rho$  be the  $k$ -coloration of  $G$  so obtained. Since the chain  $\mu$  satisfies (i) or (ii), it is easily seen that  $\nu(x, \rho) \geq \nu(x, \sigma)$  for all vertices  $x$  except possibly when  $x = x_0$ . We now observe that  $x_r$  cannot coincide with  $x_0$ . In fact if  $x_r = x_0$ , then, since there was no  $\beta$ -edge incident with  $x_0$  with respect to  $\sigma$ ,  $\mu$  would be a cycle of odd length in  $G$  contradicting the assumption that  $G$  is bipartite. Hence, since there were two  $\alpha$ -edges incident with  $x_0$  with respect to  $\sigma$ ,  $\nu(x_0, \rho) > \nu(x_0, \sigma)$ . But, then  $\sum_{x \in V(G)} \nu(x, \sigma) < \sum_{x \in V(G)} \nu(x, \rho)$  which is contradictory to the choice of  $\sigma$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  must satisfy (1.4) and the theorem is proved.

Let  $G$  be any graph and  $k$  be any non-negative integer. A  $k$ -coloration  $\sigma$  of  $G$  may be called "good" if  $\nu(x, \sigma) = \min\{k, d_G(x)\}$  for all  $x \in V(G)$ . Theorem 1.1, then, states that a bipartite graph always has good  $k$ -colorations for all  $k \geq 0$ .

The above theorem had been discovered by the author several years ago but was first announced in [4].

In the following sections, we apply Theorem 1.1 to derive some well-known results in the theory of graphs.

## 2. Theorems of König and Gupta

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex-set  $V(G)$  and edge-set  $E(G)$ . Let  $F \subseteq E(G)$ .  $F$  is called a *matching* [resp. *cover*] if for all  $x \in V(G)$ ,  $F$  contains at most [resp. at least] one edge incident with  $x$ . The *chromatic index* of  $G$ , denoted  $\chi_1(G)$ , is the smallest number  $k$  such that the edge-set  $E(G)$  can be partitioned into  $k$

matchings. The *cover index*  $\kappa(G)$  of  $G$  is the largest number  $k$  such that  $E(G)$  can be partitioned into  $k$  covers. If  $\Delta(G) = \max_{x \in V(G)} d_G(x)$  and  $\delta(G) = \min_{x \in V(G)} d_G(x)$  are the maximum and minimum degrees in  $G$  respectively, then, clearly

$$\chi_1(G) \geq \Delta(G), \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\kappa(G) \leq \delta(G). \quad (2.2)$$

Now, let  $G$  be a bipartite graph. Let  $k = \Delta(G)$ . By Theorem 1.1, there exists a  $k$ -coloration  $\sigma: E(G) \rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  of  $G$  such that  $\nu(x, \sigma) = \min\{k, d_G(x)\} = d_G(x)$  for all  $x \in V(G)$ . Let  $E_i$  be the set of all  $\alpha_i$ -edges,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then, each  $E_i$  must be a matching so that  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k$  form a partition of  $E(G)$  into  $k = \Delta(G)$  matchings. Hence,  $\chi_1(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ . Since  $\chi_1(G) \geq \Delta(G)$  always, we obtain the following theorem due to König [5].

**Theorem 2.1.** For any bipartite graph  $G$ ,

$$\chi_1(G) = \Delta(G).$$

Just as above, by taking  $k = \delta(G)$ , from Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following theorem due to the author [2, 3].

**Theorem 2.2.** For any bipartite graph  $G$ ,

$$\kappa(G) = \delta(G).$$

### 3. Digraphs

We consider below digraphs which are non-null and finite. Parallel arcs and loops are to be permitted.

Let  $D = (X, A)$  be a digraph with vertex-set  $X$  and arc-set  $A$ . Let  $F \subseteq A$ . For any vertex  $x \in X$ , the out-degree  $d_F^+(x)$  of  $x$  in  $F$  is the number of arcs in  $F$  with initial vertex  $x$  and the in-degree  $d_F^-(x)$  is the number of arcs in  $F$  with terminal vertex  $x$ .  $F$  is called a *matching* of  $D$  if  $\max\{d_F^+(x), d_F^-(x)\} \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ ;  $F$  is called a *cover* of  $D$  if  $\min\{d_F^+(x), d_F^-(x)\} \geq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . The chromatic index  $\chi_1(D)$  of  $D$  is the minimum number  $k$  such that  $A$  can be partitioned into  $k$  matchings; the cover index  $\kappa(D)$  of  $D$  is the maximum number  $k$  such that  $A$  can be partitioned into  $k$  covers. Let  $\Delta(D) = \max_{x \in X} \max\{d_A^+(x), d_A^-(x)\}$  and  $\delta(D) = \min_{x \in X} \min\{d_A^+(x), d_A^-(x)\}$ . Then, obviously,

$$\chi_1(D) \geq \Delta(D), \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\kappa(D) \leq \delta(D). \quad (3.2)$$

In fact, we shall prove that equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) always hold. To this end, we associate to the digraph  $D=(X, A)$  a graph  $G$  as follows:  $V(G)=\{x', x'' : x \in X\}$  and for each arc in  $A$  with initial vertex  $x$  and terminal vertex  $y$ , there is an edge joining  $x'$  and  $y''$  in  $E(G)$ . It is easy to see that  $G$  is a bipartite graph with  $\Delta(G)=\Delta(D)$  and  $\delta(G)=\delta(D)$ . Also, a set of edges  $F$  of  $G$  is a matching [resp. cover] of  $G$  if and only if the corresponding set of arcs is a matching [resp. cover] of  $D$ . Hence, from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we easily obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any digraph  $D$ ,*

$$\chi_1(D) = \Delta(D). \quad (3.3)$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *For any digraph  $D$ ,*

$$\kappa(D) = \delta(D). \quad (3.4)$$

A digraph  $D=(X, A)$  is said to be regular of degree  $n$  if  $d_A^+(x) = d_A^-(x) = n$  for all  $x \in X$ . The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $D=(X, A)$  is a digraph which is regular of degree  $n$ , then  $A$  can be partitioned into  $n$  sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  such that each of the digraphs  $D_i=(X, A_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , is regular of degree 1.*

It may be noted (see, e.g. [1, p. 230]) that the above theorem implies the well-known theorem due to Petersen [8].

Theorems 3.2 and 2.2 were motivated by a problem suggested by Ore [7, Problem 4, p. 210]. The results contained in this section and the previous section were announced in [2] and are included in [3].

#### 4. A theorem of Lovász and its dual

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex-set  $V(G)$  and edge-set  $E(G)$ . A graph  $H$  is called a factor (spanning subgraph) of  $G$  if  $V(H) = V(G)$  and  $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$ . If  $H$  and  $K$  are factors of  $G$  such that  $E(H) \cap E(K) = \emptyset$ ,  $E(H) \cup E(K) = E(G)$ , then we write  $G = H + K$  and call it a decomposition of  $G$ .

The following theorem is due to Lovász [6].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be any graph with maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ . For any non-negative integers  $h$  and  $k$  with  $h+k = \Delta(G)+1$ , there exists a decomposition  $G = H + K$  such that  $\Delta(H) \leq h$  and  $\Delta(K) \leq k$ .*

The original proof of Theorem 4.1 seems complicated. A simpler proof, using the König's Theorem 2.1, was shown to the author by Berge. We shall apply Theorem 1.1 to prove the following "dual" of Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be any graph with minimum degree  $\delta(G)$ . For any non-negative integers  $h$  and  $k$  with  $h+k=\delta(G)-1$ , there exists a decomposition  $G=H+K$  such that  $\delta(H)\geq h$  and  $\delta(K)\geq k$ .*

**Proof.** The theorem is proved by induction on  $h$ . If  $h=0$ , then, we may define  $H$  and  $K$  so that  $E(H)=\emptyset$ ,  $E(K)=E(G)$  and the theorem is true. Assume, as induction hypothesis, that the theorem holds for some integer  $h=l$ ,  $0\leq l<\delta(G)-1$ . We shall prove the theorem for  $h=l+1$ .

Now, by hypothesis, there exists a decomposition  $G=H+K$  such that  $\delta(H)\geq l$ ,  $\delta(K)\geq\delta(G)-l-1$ . We choose the decomposition  $G=H+K$  such that  $K$  has the smallest number of edges possible.

Let  $S=\{x:d_H(x)=l\}$  and  $\bar{S}=V(G)-S$ . If  $S=\emptyset$ , then the present decomposition  $G=H+K$  meets our requirement with  $h=l+1$ . Let  $S\neq\emptyset$ .

Now, define a graph  $B$  as follows:  $V(B)=V(K)=V(G)$ ,  $E(B)$  consists of precisely those edges of  $K$  which have exactly one endpoint in  $S$  and the other in  $\bar{S}$ . Clearly,  $B$  is a bipartite graph which is a factor of  $K$ . Now, we observe that, by our choice of  $K$ ,  $S$  must be independent in  $K$  so that for all  $x\in S$ ,

$$d_B(x)=d_K(x)=d_G(x)-d_H(x)\geq\delta(G)-l.$$

By Theorem 1.1, there exists a  $(\delta(G)-l)$ -coloration  $\sigma$  of  $B$  such that  $\nu(x,\sigma)=\min\{\delta(G)-l,d_B(x)\}$  for all  $x\in V(B)=V(G)$ . Let  $E_1$  be the set of edges of  $B$  (and hence of  $K$ ) of one of the colors with respect to  $\sigma$ . Define factors  $H_1$  and  $K_1$  of  $G$  as follows:  $E(H_1)=E(H)\cup E_1$ ,  $E(K_1)=E(K)-E_1$ . It is now easy to verify that the decomposition  $G=H_1+K_1$  meets our requirement with  $h=l+1$ .

Hence, the theorem is proved.

## References

- [1] C. Berge, *Graphs and Hypergraphs* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973).
- [2] R.P. Gupta, A decomposition theorem for bipartite graphs, in (P. Rosenstiehl, Ed.) *Theorie des Graphes Rome I.C.C.* (Dunod, Paris, 1967) 135-138.
- [3] R.P. Gupta, *Studies in the theory of graphs*, Thesis, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay (1967) mimeographed.
- [4] R.P. Gupta, On decompositions of a multigraph into spanning subgraphs, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 80 (3) (1974) 500-502.
- [5] D. König, *Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen Graphen* (Leipzig, 1935).
- [6] L. Lovász, Subgraphs with prescribed valencies, *J. Combinatorial Theory* 8 (1970) 391-416.
- [7] O. Ore, *Theory of Graphs*, Am. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 38 (A.M.S., Providence, RI, 1962).
- [8] J. Petersen, Die Theorie der regulären Graphen, *Acta Math.* 15 (1891) 193-220.