Hindawi Publishing Corporation Advances in Difference Equations Volume 2007, Article ID 35378, [21](#page-19-0) pages doi:10.1155/2007/35378

# *Research Article* **Variationally Asymptotically Stable Difference Systems**

Sung Kyu Choi, Yoon Hoe Goo, and Namjip Koo

Received 3 January 2007; Revised 10 May 2007; Accepted 9 August 2007

Recommended by Leonid E. Shaikhet

We characterize the *h*-stability in variation and asymptotic equilibrium in variation for nonlinear difference systems via *n*∞-summable similarity and comparison principle. Furthermore we study the asymptotic equivalence between nonlinear difference systems and their variational difference systems by means of asymptotic equilibria of two systems.

Copyright © 2007 Sung Kyu Choi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **1. Introduction**

Conti [\[1\]](#page-19-1) introduced the notion of  $t_{\infty}$ -similarity in the set of all  $m \times m$  continuous matrices  $A(t)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and showed that  $t_{\infty}$ -similarity is an equivalence relation preserving strict, uniform, and exponential stability of linear homogeneous differential systems. Choi et al. [\[2\]](#page-19-2) studied the variational stability of nonlinear differential systems using the notion of *t*∞-similarity. Trench [\[3\]](#page-19-3) introduced a definition called *t*∞-quasisimilarity that is not symmetric or transitive, but still preserves stability properties. Their approach included most types of stability.

As a discrete analog of Conti's definition of *t*∞-similarity, Trench [\[4\]](#page-19-4) defined the notion of summable similarity on pairs of  $m \times m$  matrix functions and showed that if *A* and *B* are summably similar and the linear system  $\Delta x(n) = A(n)x(n), n = 0, 1, \ldots$ , is uniformly, exponential or strictly stable or has linear asymptotic equilibrium, then the linear system  $\Delta y(n) = B(n)y(n)$  has also the same properties. Also, Choi and Koo [\[5\]](#page-19-5) introduced the notion of  $n_{\infty}$ -similarity in the set of all  $m \times m$  invertible matrices and showed that two concepts of global *h*-stability and global *h*-stability in variation are equivalent by using the concept of  $n_{\infty}$ -similarity and Lyapunov functions. Furthermore, they showed that *h*-stability of the perturbed system can be derived from *h*-stability in variation of the nonlinear system in [\[6](#page-19-6)]. Note that the  $n_{\infty}$ -similarity is not symmetric or transitive

relation but still preserves *h*-stability which included the most types of stability. For the variational stability in difference systems, see [\[6](#page-19-6)]. Also, see [\[7](#page-19-7)[–9\]](#page-19-8) for the asymptotic property of difference systems and Volterra difference systems, respectively.

In this paper, we study the variational stability for nonlinear difference systems using the notion of  $n_{\infty}$ -summable similarity and show that asymptotic equilibrium for linear difference system is preserved by *n*∞-summable similarity. Furthermore, we obtain the asymptotic equivalence between nonlinear difference system and its variational difference system using the comparison principle and asymptotic equilibria.

# **2. Preliminaries**

Let  $\mathbb{N}(n_0) = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \ldots, n_0 + k, \ldots\}$ , where  $n_0$  is a nonnegative integer and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  the *m*-dimensional real Euclidean space. We consider the nonlinear difference system

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = f(n, x(n)),
$$
\n(2.1)

where  $f : \mathbb{N}(n_0) \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $f(n,0) = 0$ . We assume that  $f_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$  exists and is continuous and invertible on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0) \times \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, x_0)$  be the unique solution of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) satisfying the initial condition  $x(n_0, n_0, x_0) = x_0$ . Also, we consider its associated variational systems

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\nu(n+1) = f_x(n,0)\nu(n),
$$
\n(2.2)

$$
z(n+1) = f_x(n, x(n, n_0, x_0)) z(n).
$$
 (2.3)

The fundamental matrix solution  $\Phi(n, n_0, 0)$  of [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) is given by

$$
\Phi(n, n_0, 0) = \frac{\partial x(n, n_0, 0)}{\partial x_0} \tag{2.4}
$$

and the fundamental matrix solution  $\Phi(n, n_0, x_0)$  of [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) is given by Lakshmikantham and Trigiante [\[10](#page-19-9)],

$$
\Phi(n, n_0, x_0) = \frac{\partial x(n, n_0, x_0)}{\partial x_0}.
$$
\n(2.5)

The symbol |·| will be used to denote any convenient vector norm in <sup>R</sup>*m*. <sup>Δ</sup> is the forward difference operator with unit spacing, that is,  $\Delta u(n) = u(n+1) - u(n)$ . Let  $V : N(n_0) \times$  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function with  $V(n,0) = 0$ , for all  $n \ge n_0$ , and continuous with respect to the second argument. We denote the total difference of the function *V* along the solutions *x* of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) by

$$
\Delta V_{(2,1)}(n,x) = V(n+1,x(n+1,n,x)) - V(n,x(n,n,x)). \tag{2.6}
$$

When we study the asymptotic stability, it is not easy to work with nonexponential types of stability. Medina and Pinto [\[11](#page-19-10)[–13](#page-19-11)] extended the study of exponential stability to a variety of reasonable systems called *h*-systems. They introduced the notion of *h*-stability for difference systems as well as for differential systems. To study the various stability notions of nonlinear difference systems, the comparison principle [\[10\]](#page-19-9) and the variation of constants formula by Agarwal [\[14](#page-19-12), [15\]](#page-19-13) play a fundamental role.

Now, we recall some definitions of stability notions in [\[12](#page-19-14)[–14](#page-19-12)].

*Definition 2.1.* The zero solution of system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) (or system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0)) is said to be

(SS) *strongly stable* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a corresponding  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that any solution  $x(n, n_0, x_0)$  of system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) which satisfies the inequality  $|x(n_1, n_0, x_0)| < \delta$ for some *n*<sub>1</sub> ≥ *n*<sub>0</sub> exists and satisfies the inequality  $|x(n, n_0, x_0)| < ε$ , for all *n* ∈ N(*n*<sub>0</sub>).

*Definition 2.2.* Linear system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) with  $f(n,x(n)) = A(n)x(n)$  is said to be

(RS) *restrictively stable* if it is stable and its adjoint system  $y(n) = A<sup>T</sup>(n)y(n+1)$  is also stable.

Strong stability implies uniform stability which, in turn, leads to stability. For linear homogeneous systems, restrictive stability and strong stability are equivalent. Thus restrictive stability implies uniform stability which, in turn, gives stability [\[14](#page-19-12)].

<span id="page-2-0"></span>*Definition 2.3.* System [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) is called an *h-system* if there exist a positive function  $h: \mathbb{N}(n_0) \to \mathbb{R}$  and a constant  $c \geq 1$ , such that

$$
|x(n, n_0, x_0)| \le c |x_0| h(n) h^{-1}(n_0), \quad n \ge n_0
$$
 (2.7)

for  $|x_0|$  small enough (here  $h^{-1}(n) = 1/h(n)$ ).

Moreover, system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) is said to be

(hS) *h-stable* if *h* is a bounded function in the definition of *h*-system,

(GhS) *globally h*-stable if system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) is hS for every  $x_0 \in D$ , where  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is a region which includes the origin,

(hSV) *h-stable in variation* if system [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) is hS,

(GhSV) *globally h-stable in variation* if system [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) is GhS.

The various notions about *h*-stability given by [Definition 2.3](#page-2-0) include several types of known stability properties such as uniform stability, uniform Lipschitz stability, and exponential asymptotic stability. See [\[5,](#page-19-5) [11](#page-19-10)[–13](#page-19-11)].

*Definition 2.4.* One says that [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) has *asymptotic equilibrium* if

(i) there exist  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $r > 0$  such that any solution  $x(n, n_0, x_0)$  of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) with  $|x_0| < r$  satisfies

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
x(n) = \xi + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty,
$$
 (2.8)

(ii) corresponding to each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , there exists a solution of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) satisfying [\(2.8\)](#page-2-1), and [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) has *asymptotic equilibrium in variation* if system [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) has asymptotic equilibrium.

Two difference systems  $x(n+1) = f(n,x(n))$  and  $y(n+1) = g(n,y(n))$  are said to be *asymptotically equivalent* if, for every solution  $x(n)$ , there exists a solution  $y(n)$  such that

$$
x(n) = y(n) + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty,
$$
 (2.9)

and conversely, for every solution  $y(n)$ , there exists a solution  $x(n)$  such that the above asymptotic relation holds.

The problem of asymptotic equivalence in difference equations has been initiated by H. Poincaré (1885) and O. Perron (1921), and it shows an asymptotic relationship between equations. In [\[16](#page-20-0)], Pinto studied asymptotic equivalence between difference systems by using the concept of dichotomy. Also, Medina and Pinto in [\[17\]](#page-20-1) investigated this problem by replacing the dichotomy conditions and the Lipschitz condition by a global domination of the fundamental matrix of the linear difference system and a general majoration on the perturbing term, respectively. Moreover, Medina in [\[18](#page-20-2)] established asymptotic equivalence by using the general discrete inequality combined with the Schauder's fixed point theorem. Also, Galescu and Talpalaru [\[8\]](#page-19-15), Morchało [\[19](#page-20-3)], and Zafer [\[20\]](#page-20-4) studied the asymptotic equivalence for difference systems.

Conti [\[1](#page-19-1)] defined two  $m \times m$  matrix functions *A* and *B* on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to be  $t_{\infty}$ -similar if there is an  $m \times m$  matrix function *S* defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $S'(t)$  is continuous,  $S(t)$  and  $S^{-1}(t)$ are bounded on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and

$$
\int_0^\infty |S' + SB - AS| dt < \infty. \tag{2.10}
$$

Now, we introduce the notion of  $n_{\infty}$ -summable similarity which is the corresponding *t*∞-similarity for the discrete case.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  denote the set of all  $m \times m$  invertible matrix-valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ and let  $\mathfrak S$  be the subset of  $\mathfrak M$  consisting of those nonsingular bounded matrix-valued functions *S* such that  $S^{-1}(n)$  is also bounded.

*Definition 2.5.* A matrix-valued function  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$  is  $n_{\infty}$ -summably similar to a matrixvalued function  $B \in \mathfrak{M}$  if there exists an  $m \times m$  matrix  $F(n)$  absolutely summable over  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ , that is,

$$
\sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} |F(l)| < \infty,\tag{2.11}
$$

such that

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
S(n+1)B(n) - A(n)S(n) = F(n)
$$
\n(2.12)

for some  $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ .

*Example 2.6.* Let *A* and *B* be matrix-valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{N}(0)$  by

$$
A(n) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-n}}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (2.13)

If we put

$$
S(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} e^{-l(l+1)}}{2 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-3} e^{-l(l+1)}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(0),
$$
 (2.14)

where  $\sum_{l=0}^{-3} = \sum_{l=0}^{-2} = -1$  and  $\sum_{l=0}^{-1} = 0$ , then *S*(*n*) and *S*<sup>-1</sup>(*n*) are bounded nonsingular matrices.

Moreover, we have

$$
S(n+1)B(n) - A(n)S(n)
$$
  
=  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2+\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}e^{-l(l+1)}}{2+\sum_{l=0}^{n-2}e^{-l(l+1)}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-n}}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2+\sum_{l=0}^{n-2}e^{-l(l+1)}}{2+\sum_{l=0}^{n-3}e^{-l(l+1)}} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   
=  $\begin{pmatrix} p(n) & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = F(n),$  (2.15)

where

$$
p(n) = \left(\frac{2 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} e^{-l(l+1)}}{2 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} e^{-l(l+1)}}\right) \frac{e^{-n}}{2\sqrt{2}} - \left(\frac{2 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} e^{-l(l+1)}}{2 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-3} e^{-l(l+1)}}\right) e^{-n},
$$
  
(2.16)

Thus we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |F(n)| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n} \left| \left( 1 + \frac{e^{-n(n-1)}}{2 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} e^{-l(l+1)}} \right) - \left( 1 + \frac{e^{-(n-1)(n-2)}}{2 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-3} e^{-l(l+1)}} \right) \right|
$$
\n
$$
\le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n(n-2)} < \infty.
$$
\n(2.17)

This implies that *A* and *B* are *n*∞-summably similar.

*Remark 2.7.* We can easily show that the  $n_{\infty}$ -summable similarity is an equivalence relation by the same method of Trench in [\[4\]](#page-19-4). Also if *A* and *B* are *n*∞-summably similar with *F*(*n*) = 0, then we say that they are *kinematically similar*.

# **3.** *h***-stability in variation for nonlinear difference systems**

For the linear difference systems, Medina and Pinto [\[13](#page-19-11)] showed that

$$
GhSV \Longleftrightarrow GhS \Longleftrightarrow hSV. \tag{3.1}
$$

Also, the associated variational system inherits the property of hS from the original nonlinear system. That is, [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) is hS when [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) is hS in [\[13,](#page-19-11) Theorem 2]. Our purpose is to characterize the global stability in variation via *n*∞-summable similarity and Lyapunov functions. To do this, we need the following lemmas.

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Lemma 3.1 [\[13\]](#page-19-11). *The linear difference system*

$$
y(n+1) = A(n)y(n)
$$
,  $y(n_0) = y_0$ , (3.2)

*where*  $A(n)$  *is an*  $m \times m$  *matrix, is an h-system if and only if there exist a constant*  $c \ge 1$  *and a positive function h defined on*  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$  *such that for every*  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ *,* 

<span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
|\Phi(n, n_0, y_0)| \le ch(n)h^{-1}(n_0), \qquad (3.3)
$$

<span id="page-5-4"></span>*for*  $n \geq n_0$ *, where*  $\Phi$  *is a fundamental matrix solution of [\(3.2\)](#page-5-0).* 

Lemma 3.2. *If two matrix-valued functions A and B in the set* M *are n*∞*-summably similar, then for*  $n \geq n_0$ *, one has* 

$$
X^{-1}(n)S(n)Y(n) = X^{-1}(n_0)S(n_0)Y(n_0) + \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} X^{-1}(l+1)F(l)Y(l),
$$
 (3.4)

*where X and Y are fundamental matrix solutions of the linear homogeneous difference system [\(3.2\)](#page-5-0) with the coefficient matrix functions A*(*n*) *and B*(*n*)*, respectively.*

*Proof.* Note that  $A(n) = X(n+1)X^{-1}(n)$  and  $B(n) = Y(n+1)Y^{-1}(n)$ . Since *A* and *B* are  $n_{\infty}$ -summably simliar, we can rewrite [\(2.12\)](#page-3-0) as

$$
F(n) = S(n+1)Y(n+1)Y^{-1}(n) - X(n+1)X^{-1}(n)S(n),
$$
\n(3.5)

for some  $S \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $m \times m$  matrix  $F(n)$  with an absolutely summable property over  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ . Thus we easily obtain

$$
X^{-1}(n+1)F(n)Y(n)
$$
  
=  $X^{-1}(n+1)S(n+1)Y(n+1) - X^{-1}(n)S(n)Y(n) = \Delta(X^{-1}(n)S(n)Y(n)).$  (3.6)

Summing this difference equation [\(3.6\)](#page-5-1) from *l* = *n*<sub>0</sub> to *l* = *n* − 1 yields the difference equation (3.4). This completes the proof.  $\Box$ tion [\(3.4\)](#page-5-2). This completes the proof. -

<span id="page-5-5"></span>LEMMA 3.3. Assume that  $f_x(n,0)$  is  $n_\infty$ -summably similar to  $f_x(n,x(n,n_0,x_0))$  for  $n \geq n_0 \geq$  $0$  *and*  $|x_0| \leq \delta$  for some constant  $\delta > 0$  *and*  $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} (h(n)/h(n+1)) |F(n)| < \infty$ . Then [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) is *an h*-system provided [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) *is an h-system with the positive function*  $h(n)$  *defined on*  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ *.* 

*Proof.* It follows from [Lemma 3.1](#page-5-3) that there exist a constant  $c \geq 1$  and a positive function *h* defined on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$  such that for every  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
|\Phi(n, n_0, 0)| \le ch(n)h^{-1}(n_0)
$$
\n(3.7)

for all  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ , where  $\Phi(n, n_0, 0)$  is a fundamental matrix solution of [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1). Let  $\Phi(n, n_0, 0)$ *x*<sub>0</sub>) denote a fundamental matrix solution of [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2). Since  $\Phi(n, n_0, 0)$  and  $\Phi(n, n_0, x_0)$  are fundamental matrix solutions of the variational systems [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) and [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2), respectively, they satisfy

$$
\Phi(n+1, n_0, 0) = f_x(n, 0)\Phi(n, n_0, 0),
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi(n+1, n_0, x_0) = f_x(n, x(n))\Phi(n, n_0, x_0).
$$
\n(3.8)

Note that

$$
\Phi(n, n_0, x_0) = \Phi(n, l, x(l, n_0, x_0)) \Phi(l, n_0, x_0)
$$
\n(3.9)

for all  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ . Then we have

$$
\Phi(n,n_0,x_0) = S^{-1}(n) \bigg[ \Phi(n,n_0,0) S(n_0) + \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \Phi(n,l+1,0) F(l) \Phi(l,n_0,x_0) \bigg], \qquad (3.10)
$$

in view of [Lemma 3.2.](#page-5-4) Then, from [Lemma 3.1](#page-5-3) and the boundedness of  $S(n)$  and  $S^{-1}(n)$ , there are positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$
|\Phi(n,n_0,x_0)| \leq c_1 c_2 h(n) h^{-1}(n_0) + c_1 c_2 \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} h(n) h^{-1}(l+1) |F(l)| |\Phi(l,n_0,x_0)|. \quad (3.11)
$$

It follows that

$$
\left| \Phi(n, n_0, x_0) \left| h^{-1}(n) \le c_1 c_2 h^{-1}(n_0) + c_1 c_2 \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{h(l)}{h(l+1)} \left| F(l) \left| h^{-1}(l) \right| \Phi(l, n_0, x_0) \right| \right| \tag{3.12}
$$

Applying the discrete Bellman's inequality [\[14\]](#page-19-12), we have

$$
|\Phi(n, n_0, x_0)| \le dh(n)h^{-1}(n_0) \prod_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{h(l)}{h(l+1)} |F(l)|\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le dh(n)h^{-1}(n_0) \exp\left(\sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{h(l)}{h(l+1)} |F(l)|\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le ch(n)h^{-1}(n_0), \qquad (3.13)
$$

where  $c = d \exp(\sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} (h(l)/h(l+1)) |F(l)|)$  and  $d = c_1 c_2$ .

Therefore

$$
|\Phi(n, n_0, x_0)| \le ch(n)h^{-1}(n_0), \quad n \ge n_0 \ge 0,
$$
\n(3.14)

for some positive constant  $c \geq 1$ . This implies that [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) is an *h*-system.

Corollary 3.4. *Under the same conditions of [Lemma 3.3,](#page-5-5) [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) is hSV.*

Letting  $h(n)$  be bounded on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ , we obtain the following result [\[13,](#page-19-11) Theorem 4] as a corollary of [Lemma 3.3.](#page-5-5)

COROLLARY 3.5. *If* [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) *is hS and for some*  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$
\sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{h(l)}{h(l+1)} |f_x(l, x(n, n_0, x_0)) - f_x(l, 0)| < \infty, \quad n_0 \ge 0
$$
\n(3.15)

*for*  $|x_0| \leq \delta$ , *holds, then* [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) *is also hS.* 

*Proof.* Setting  $F(n) = f_x(n, x(n, n_0, x_0)) - f_x(n, 0)$  and  $S(n) = I$ , for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ , we can easily see that  $f_x(n, x(n, n_0, x_0))$  and  $f_x(n, 0)$  are  $n_{\infty}$ -summably similar. Thus all conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied, and hence (2.3) is hS of [Lemma 3.3](#page-5-5) are satisfied, and hence  $(2.3)$  is hS.

*Remark 3.6.* If  $h(n)$  is a positive bounded function on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ , then  $h(n)/h(n+1)$  is not bounded in general.

For example, letting  $h(n) = \exp(-\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} s)$ ,  $h(n)$  is a positive bounded function on  $N(n_0)$  but  $\lim_{n\to\infty}(h(n)/h(n+1)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \exp(n) = \infty$ . Thus if  $h(n)/h(n+1)$  is bounded, then the condition  $(h(n)/h(n+1))|F(n)| \in l_1(\mathbb{N}(n_0))$  in [Lemma 3.3](#page-5-5) can be replaced  $\text{by } |F(n)| \in l_1(\mathbb{N}(n_0)).$ 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>THEOREM 3.7. Assume that  $f_x(n,0)$  is  $n_{\infty}$ -summably similar to  $f_x(n,x(n,n_0,x_0))$  for  $n \ge$  $n_0 \geq 0$  *and every*  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  *with*  $(h(n)/h(n+1)) |F(n)| \in l_1(\mathbb{N}(n_0))$ *. Then* [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) *is GhS if and only if there exists a function*  $V(n,z)$  *defined on*  $\mathbb{N}(n_0) \times \mathbb{R}^m$  *such that the following properties hold:*

- (i)  $V(n,z)$  *is defined on*  $\mathbb{N}(n_0) \times \mathbb{R}^m$  *and continuous with respect to the second argument;*
- (ii)  $|x y| \le V(n, x y)| \le c|x y|$ , for  $(n, x, y) \in \mathbb{N}(n_0) \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$ ;
- $\{|V(n, z_1) V(n, z_2)| \leq c |z_1 z_2|, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0), z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m; \}$
- (iv)  $\Delta V(n, x y)/V(n, x y) \leq \Delta h(n)/h(n)$ , for  $(n, x, y) \in N(n_0) \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $x \neq y$ .

*Proof.* Define the function *V* by

$$
V(n, x - y) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{N}(0)} |x(n + \tau, n, x) - x(n + \tau, n, y)| h^{-1}(n + \tau)h(n).
$$
 (3.16)

Then, this theorem can be easily proved by following the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [\[6\]](#page-19-6) and and Theorem 3.2 in [\[12](#page-19-14)].  $\Box$ 

Note that Theorem 3.2 in [\[12](#page-19-14)] was improved by Theorem 2.1 in [\[6\]](#page-19-6) and our Theorem [3.7](#page-7-0) as we replace the fundamental matrix  $\Phi(n+1,n_0,x_0)$  by  $\Phi(n,n_0,x_0)$  in [\[12](#page-19-14), Theorems 3.1 and 3.2]. See [\[6,](#page-19-6) Remark 2.1].

# **4. Asymptotic equilibrium of linear difference systems**

We consider two linear systems

<span id="page-8-4"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n),
$$
 (4.1)

$$
y(n+1) = B(n)y(n),
$$
\n(4.2)

<span id="page-8-1"></span>where *A* and *B* are nonsingular  $m \times m$  matrix-valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ .

Lemma 4.1 [\[4](#page-19-4), Theorem 1]. *Equation [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) has asymptotic equilibrium if and only if* lim<sub>n→∞</sub> *X*(*n*) *exists and is invertible, where X*(*n*) *is a fundamental matrix solution of* [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0)*.* 

<span id="page-8-2"></span>Lemma 4.2. *If [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) has asymptotic equilibrium, then [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) is strongly stable.*

*Proof.* It follows from [Lemma 4.1](#page-8-1) that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} X(n)X^{-1}(n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} X(n) \lim_{n \to \infty} X^{-1}(n) = X_{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} X^{-1}(n) = I,
$$
\n(4.3)

where  $X_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} X(n)$  is invertible. Then we obtain

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} X^{-1}(n) = X_{\infty}^{-1}.
$$
\n(4.4)

Hence there exists a positive constant *M* such that

$$
|X(n)| \le M, \quad |X^{-1}(n)| \le M, \quad n \ge n_0. \tag{4.5}
$$

This implies that  $(4.1)$  is strongly stable by [\[14,](#page-19-12) Theorem 5.5.1].

*Example 4.3.* We give an example which shows the converse of [Lemma 4.2](#page-8-2) is not true in general. We consider the difference system

$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} x(n), \quad n \ge 0,
$$
 (4.6)

where  $A(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the invertible 2 × 2 matrix.

Then we easily see that a fundamental matrix solution  $X(n)$  of [\(4.6\)](#page-8-3) is given by

$$
X(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^n \end{pmatrix} = X^{-1}(n), \quad n \ge 0,
$$
 (4.7)

and there exists a positive constant  $M \geq 2$  such that

$$
|X(n)| \le M, \quad |X^{-1}(n)| \le M, \quad n \ge 0. \tag{4.8}
$$

Thus [\(4.6\)](#page-8-3) is strongly stable. But, since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X(n)$  does not exist, (4.6) does not have asymptotic equilibrium.

<span id="page-8-5"></span>The following lemma comes from [\[4](#page-19-4), Theorem 4].

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that two matrix-valued functions A and B are n*∞*-summably similar. If [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) is strongly stable, then [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) is also strong stable.*

*Proof.* From [\[4,](#page-19-4) Theorem 1], we see that  $|X(n)X^{-1}(m)|$  is bounded for each  $n, m \ge n_0$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $|Y(n)Y^{-1}(m)|$  is also bounded for each  $n, m \ge n_0$ . First, it follows from [Lemma 3.3](#page-5-5) that

$$
|Y(n)Y^{-1}(m)| = |Y(n,m)| \le d \exp \left( \sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{h(l)}{h(l+1)} |F(l)| \right) \le M,
$$
 (4.9)

for each  $n \ge m \ge n_0$  and by letting  $h(n) = 1^n$ . Next, we show that  $|Y(n)Y^{-1}(m)|$  is also bounded for each  $n_0 \le n \le m$ . Summing [\(3.6\)](#page-5-1) from  $l = n$  to  $l = m - 1$  yields

$$
X^{-1}(n)S(n)Y(n) = X^{-1}(m)S(m)Y(m) - \sum_{l=n}^{m-1} X^{-1}(l+1)F(l)Y(l).
$$
 (4.10)

Then we have

$$
Y(n)Y^{-1}(m) = S^{-1}(n)X(n)X^{-1}(m)S(m) - S^{-1}(n)\sum_{l=n}^{m-1}X(n)X^{-1}(l+1)F(l)Y(l)Y^{-1}(m),
$$
\n(4.11)

for each  $n_0 \le n \le m$ . From this and the strong stability of [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0), there exist two positive constants *α* and *β* such that

$$
|S^{-1}(n)X(n)X^{-1}(m)S(m)| \le \alpha, \quad n \le m,
$$
  

$$
|S^{-1}(n)X(n)X^{-1}(l+1)| \le \beta, \quad n \le l \le m-1.
$$
 (4.12)

Thus we obtain

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
|Y(n)Y^{-1}(m)| \le \alpha + \beta \sum_{l=n}^{m-1} |F(l)| |Y(l)Y^{-1}(m)|
$$
  
=  $\nu_{m,n}$ ,  $n_0 \le n \le m$ , (4.13)

 $v_{m,n} = \alpha + \beta \sum_{l=n}^{m-1} |F(l)| |Y(l)Y^{-1}(m)|$ . Since

$$
\nu_{m,n+1} - \nu_{m,n} = -\beta |F(n)| |Y(n)Y^{-1}(m)| \geq -\beta |F(n)| \nu_{m,n}, \quad n_0 \leq n \leq m,
$$
 (4.14)

we have

$$
\nu_{m,n+1} \ge (1 - \beta |F(n)|) \nu_{m,n}, \quad n_0 \le n \le m. \tag{4.15}
$$

Since  $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} |F(n)| < \infty$ , we can choose  $m_0 \ge n_0$  so large that  $\beta |F(n)| < 1/2$  for each  $n \ge$  $m<sub>0</sub>$ . Then we have

$$
\frac{1}{1 - \beta |F(n)|} \le 1 + 2\beta |F(n)|, \quad n \ge m_0 \ge n_0.
$$
 (4.16)

Thus [\(4.13\)](#page-9-0) implies that

$$
\nu_{m,n} \leq \nu_{m,n+1} \left( 1 + 2\beta \, |F(n)| \, \right), \quad \nu_{n,n} = \alpha, \ n \geq m_0 \geq n_0. \tag{4.17}
$$

It follows from the easy calculation that

$$
\nu_{m,n} \leq \alpha \prod_{l=n}^{m-1} \left( 1 + 2\beta \left| F(l) \right| \right) \leq \alpha \exp \left( \sum_{l=n}^{m-1} 2\beta \left| F(l) \right| \right) \leq M, \tag{4.18}
$$

where  $M = \alpha \exp(\sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} 2\beta |F(l)|)$ . In view of inequality [\(4.13\)](#page-9-0), we have

$$
|Y(n)Y^{-1}(m)| \le M, \quad n_0 \le m_0 \le n \le m. \tag{4.19}
$$

Also, we can easily see that this estimation holds for each  $n, m \ge n_0$ . This completes the proof. proof.  $\Box$ 

We remark that for linear homogeneous systems, restrictive stability and strong stability are equivalent [\[14,](#page-19-12) Theorem 5.5.2]. Also the linear difference system is restrictively stable if and only if it is reducible to zero [\[14,](#page-19-12) Theorem 5.5.3]. [Lemma 4.4](#page-8-5) can be easily proved by using the notion of reducibility in  $[14]$ . The linear difference system  $(4.1)$ is *reducible (reducible to zero)* if there exists an  $m \times m$  matrix  $L(n)$  which, together with its inverse  $L^{-1}(n)$ , is defined and bounded on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$  such that  $L^{-1}(n+1)A(n)L(n)$  is a constant (identity) matrix on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ .

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that two matrix-valued functions A and B are n*∞*-summably similar with*  $F(n) = 0$ . If [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) *is strongly stable, then* [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) *is also strongly stable.* 

*Proof.* Since [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) is strongly stable, there exists an  $m \times m$  matrix  $L(n)$  which, together with its inverse  $L^{-1}(n)$ , is defined and bounded on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$  such that  $L^{-1}(n+1)A(n)L(n)$ is the identity matrix on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$  by Theorem 5.5.5 in [\[14](#page-19-12)]. Putting  $T(n) = S^{-1}(n)L(n)$ , we obtain

$$
T^{-1}(n+1)B(n)T(n) = L^{-1}(n+1)S(n+1)B(n)S^{-1}(n)L(n)
$$
  
= L<sup>-1</sup>(n+1)S(n+1)S<sup>-1</sup>(n+1)L(n+1)L<sup>-1</sup>(n)S(n)S<sup>-1</sup>(n)L(n)  
= I, (4.20)

by the definition of  $n_{\infty}$ -similarity between *A* and *B*. Thus [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) is reducible to zero. It follows from [14. Theorems 5.5.2 and 5.5.3] that (4.2) is strongly stable follows from  $[14,$  Theorems 5.5.2 and 5.5.3] that  $(4.2)$  is strongly stable.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>The following theorem means that asymptotic equilibrium for linear system is preserved by the notion of *n*∞-summable similarity.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that two matrix-valued functions A and B are n*∞*-summably similar with*  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(n) = S_\infty < \infty$ . If [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) has asymptotic equilibrium, then [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) also has *asymptotic equilibrium.*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemmas [4.2](#page-8-2) and [4.13](#page-9-0) that [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) is strongly stable. In particular, *Y*<sup> $-1$ </sup>(*n*) is bounded. Also, our assumption on *S*(*n*) implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(n) = S_\infty$  is invertible and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S^{-1}(n) = S_{\infty}^{-1}$ . Since  $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} |F(n)| < \infty$ , we easily see that *Y*(*n*) is Cauchy. It follows from the boundedness of  $Y^{-1}(n)$  that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Y(n) = Y_{\infty}$  is invertible. Therefore  $(4.2)$  has asymptotic equilibrium by [Lemma 4.1.](#page-8-1)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-11-3"></span>By using asymptotic equilibria of linear difference systems, we obtain the asymptotic equivalence between two linear difference systems [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) and [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4).

THEOREM 4.7. In addition to the assumption of [Theorem 4.6](#page-10-0) assume that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X(n) =$ *X*<sup>∞</sup> *exists and*  $|det(X(n))| > α > 0$  *for each*  $n ≥ n<sub>0</sub>$  *and some positive constant*  $α$ *. Then* [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) *and [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) are asymptotically equivalent.*

*Proof.* We easily see that [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) and [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) have asymptotic equilibria by the assumption and [Theorem 4.6.](#page-10-0) Let  $x(n, n_0, x_0)$  be any solution of [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0). Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x(n) = x_\infty$  exists. For  $x_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , the condition on asymptotic equilibrium for [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) implies that there exists a solution  $y(n, n_0, y_0)$  of [\(4.2\)](#page-8-4) such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y(n) = x_\infty$ . This implies that

$$
y(n) = x(n) + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.21}
$$

Also, the converse asymptotic relationship holds.  $\Box$ 

Next, we study the asymptotic equivalence between homogeneous linear system and nonhomogeneous system by means of asymptotic equilibrium of homogeneous system. So we consider the perturbation of [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + g(n),
$$
\n(4.22)

<span id="page-11-1"></span>where  $g(n)$  is a vector function on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ .

LEMMA 4.8. *Assume that* [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) has asymptotic equilibrium and  $|g(n)| \in l_1(\mathbb{N}(n_0))$ . Then *[\(4.22\)](#page-11-0) has also asymptotic equilibrium.*

*Proof.* Let  $y(n, n_0, y_0)$  be any solution [\(4.22\)](#page-11-0). Then the solution  $y(n)$  of (4.22) is given by

$$
y(n) = \Psi(n, n_0) y_0 + \Psi(n, n_0) \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Psi^{-1}(s+1, n_0) g(s), \qquad (4.23)
$$

where  $\Psi(n, n_0)$  is a fundamental matrix solution of [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0). Putting  $p(n) = \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Psi^{-1}(s+n_0)$  $(1, n_0)g(s)$ , we easily see that  $p(n)$  is Cauchy by the fact that  $|g(n)| \in l_1(\mathbb{N}(n_0))$  and the boundedness of Ψ<sup>-1</sup>(*n*). Thus *y*(*n*) converges to a vector *ξ* ∈ ℝ<sup>*m*</sup>.

Conversely, let  $\xi$  be any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Then there exists a solution  $y(n, n_0, y_0)$  of [\(4.22\)](#page-11-0) with the initial point  $y_0 = \Psi_\infty^{-1} \xi - p_\infty$  such that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} y(n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \Psi(n, n_0) y_0 + \Psi(n, n_0) \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Psi^{-1}(s+1, n_0) g(s) \right]
$$
  
=  $\Psi_{\infty} [y_0 + p_{\infty}]$   
=  $\Psi_{\infty} [\Psi_{\infty}^{-1} \xi - p_{\infty} + p_{\infty}]$   
=  $\xi$ , (4.24)

where  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(n) = p_\infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Psi(n) = \Psi_\infty$ . This completes the proof.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>As a consequence of [Lemma 4.8,](#page-11-1) we easily obtain the following result.

THEOREM 4.9. *Suppose that* [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) *has asymptotic equilibrium and*  $|g(n)| \in l_1(\mathbb{N}(n_0))$ *. Then [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0) and [\(4.22\)](#page-11-0) are asymptotically equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $x(n)$  be any solution of [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0). Then we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x(n) = x_{\infty}$  by means of asymptotic equilibrium of [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0). Setting  $y_0 = \Psi_{\infty}^{-1} x_{\infty} - p_{\infty}$  as in [Lemma 4.8,](#page-11-1) there exists a solution  $y(n, n_0, y_0)$  of [\(4.22\)](#page-11-0) such that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} [y(n) - x(n)] = \Psi_{\infty} [y_0 + p_{\infty} - x_{\infty}]
$$
  
=  $\Psi_{\infty} [(\Psi_{\infty}^{-1} x_{\infty} - p_{\infty}) + p_{\infty} - x_{\infty}]$   
= 0. (4.25)

Conversely, we easily see that the asymptotic relationship also holds by setting  $x_0 = y_0 +$ <br> $h_{\infty}$ . This completes the proof. *p*∞. This completes the proof.

*Remark 4.10.* Note that we can obtain the same result as [Theorem 4.9](#page-11-2) by putting  $y_0 =$  $x_0 - p_\infty$  in the process of the proof. Also, note that the difference system does not have asymptotic equilibrium even though it is asymptotically stable.

We give an example to illustrate [Theorem 4.9.](#page-11-2)

*Example 4.11.* Consider the homogeneous difference equation

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) = (1 + an)x(n)
$$
\n(4.26)

and nonhomogeneous difference equation

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
y(n+1) = A(n)y(n) + g(n) = (1 + a^n)y(n) + \alpha^n,
$$
\n(4.27)

where  $A(n) = 1 + a^n$  with the constant  $a(0 < a < 1)$  and  $g(n) = a^n$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then [\(4.26\)](#page-12-0) and [\(4.27\)](#page-12-1) are asymptotically equivalent.

*Proof.* A fundamental matrix solution  $\Psi(n, n_0)$  of [\(4.26\)](#page-12-0) is given by  $\prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + a^s)$ . Note that  $\Psi(n, n_0)$  is bounded since  $1 + a^n \leq \exp a^n$  for  $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$  and is nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ . Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Psi(n,n_0) = \Psi_\infty$  exists and is a nonzero constant. In fact, this implies that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi^{-1}(n, n_0) = \Psi_{\infty}^{-1}.
$$
\n(4.28)

Hence it follows from [Lemma 4.1](#page-8-1) that [\(4.26\)](#page-12-0) has asymptotic equilibrium. Also, the solution  $y(n, n_0, y_0)$  of [\(4.27\)](#page-12-1) is given by

$$
y(n) = \prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} (1+a^s) y_0 + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left[ \prod_{\tau=s+1}^{n-1} (1+a^{\tau}) \alpha^s \right], \quad n \ge n_0 \ge 0. \tag{4.29}
$$

Since  $\alpha^n \in l_1(\mathbb{N}(n_0))$  and all conditions of [Lemma 4.8](#page-11-1) are satisfied, we see that [\(4.27\)](#page-12-1) has asymptotic equilibrium. Therefore two systems [\(4.26\)](#page-12-0) and [\(4.27\)](#page-12-1) are asymptotically equivalent by [Theorem 4.9.](#page-11-2) This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### **5. Variationally asymptotic equilibrium of nonlinear difference systems**

In this section, we study the asymptotic equilibrium of nonlinear difference system by using *n*∞-summable similarity. Furthermore, we show that two concepts of asymptotic equilibrium and asymptotic equilibrium in variation for nonlinear difference systems are equivalent.

Setting  $f_x(n,0) = A(n)$  and using the mean value theorem, the nonlinear difference system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) can be written as

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + f(n, x(n)) - f_x(n, 0)x(n)
$$
  
=  $A(n)x(n) + G(n, x(n)), x(n_0) = x_0,$  (5.1)

where  $G(n, x) = \int_0^1 [f_x(n, \theta x) - f_x(n, 0)] d\theta x$ .

We show that the associated variational difference system [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) inherits the property of asymptotic equilibrium from the original nonlinear difference system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) in the following theorem.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Theorem 5.1. *If [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) has asymptotic equilibrium, then [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) has also asymptotic equilibrium.*

*Proof.* We begin by showing that a fundamental matrix  $\Phi(n, n_0, 0)$  of [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) given by ( $\partial$ /  $\partial x_0$ )*x*(*n*,*n*<sub>0</sub>,0) is convergent as *n* → ∞. Let *x*<sub>0</sub> be a vector of length  $\delta$  in the *j*th coordinate direction for each  $j = 1,...,m$ . Then the hypothesis implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x(n,n_0,x_0) = x_\infty$ exists for fixed nonzero *δ*. For any given *ε >* 0, there exists a positive integer *N* such that  $|x(n, n_0, x_0) - x(m, n_0, x_0)| < |\delta|^2$  for any  $n, m \ge N$  and  $j = 1,..., m$ , since  $x(n, n_0, x_0)$  is Cauchy for each  $j = 1, \ldots, m$ . Then we obtain for each  $j = 1, \ldots, m$ ,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0j}}x(n,n_{0},0)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0j}}x(m,n_{0},0)\right| \\
&= \left|\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{x(n,n_{0},x_{0})-x(n,n_{0},0)}{\delta}-\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{x(m,n_{0},x_{0})-x(m,n_{0},0)}{\delta}\right| \\
&= \left|\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{x(n,n_{0},x_{0})-x(m,n_{0},x_{0})}{\delta}\right| < \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{|\delta^{2}|}{|\delta|} < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } n,m \geq N.\n\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}
$$

This implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi(n, n_0, 0) = \Phi_\infty$  exists.

Now, by using [Lemma 4.1,](#page-8-1) it suffices to prove that limit  $\Phi_{\infty}$  is invertible. Given linearly independent vectors  $\hat{x}_{0j} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  in the *j*-coordinate direction for each  $j = 1, \ldots, m$ , it fol-lows from the asymptotic equilibrium of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) that there exist the solutions  $x_j(n, n_0, x_0)$  of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) which are convergent to  $\delta \hat{x}_{0i}$  for each  $j = 1, \ldots, m$  and fixed  $\delta \neq 0$ . Then we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(n, n_0, 0)
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{01}} x_1(n, n_0, x_{01}), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0m}} x_m(n, n_0, x_{0m}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{x_1(n, n_0, x_{01}) - x_1(n, n_0, 0)}{\delta}, \dots, \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{x_m(n, n_0, x_{0m}) - x_m(n, n_0, 0)}{\delta} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \left[ \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} x_1(n, n_0, x_{01})}{\delta}, \dots, \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} x_m(n, n_0, x_{0m})}{\delta} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \left[ \hat{x}_{01}, \dots, \hat{x}_{0m} \right] = \Phi_{\infty}.
$$
\n(5.3)

Since the vectors  $\hat{x}_{01},...,\hat{x}_{0m}$  are linearly independent,  $\Phi_{\infty}$  is invertible. This completes the proof. the proof.  $\Box$ 

Note that the converse of [Theorem 5.1](#page-13-0) does not hold in general. We give the following example.

*Example 5.2.* We consider the nonlinear difference equation

$$
x(n+1) = f(n, x(n)) = x(n) + x2(n), x(n0) = x0 = 1
$$
 (5.4)

and its variational difference equation

$$
\nu(n+1) = f_x(n,0)\nu(n) = \nu(n), \quad \nu(n_0) = \nu_0 \neq 0,
$$
\n(5.5)

where  $f_x(n, x) = 1 + 2x$ .

Since the fundamental solution  $\phi(n) = 1$  of [\(5.5\)](#page-14-0) is nonzero, (5.5) has asymptotic equilibrium. But [\(5.4\)](#page-14-1) does not have asymptotic equilibrium because of the unboundedness of the solution  $x(n, n_0, x_0)$  of [\(5.4\)](#page-14-1). In fact, there exists a solution  $x(n, 0, 1)$  of (5.4) such that

<span id="page-14-1"></span><span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
x(n,0,1) = x(n) > n,
$$
\n(5.6)

for each  $n \geq 1$ .

Now, for the converse of [Theorem 5.1,](#page-13-0) we examine the asymptotic equilibrium for the perturbed system of linear difference system [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) by using the comparison principle. To do this we need the following comparison principle in [\[5\]](#page-19-5) which is a slight modification of [\[10](#page-19-9)].

<span id="page-14-2"></span>LEMMA 5.3 [\[5,](#page-19-5) Lemma 9]. Let  $\iota(n,r)$  be a nondecreasing function in r for any fixed  $n \in$  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ *. Suppose that for*  $n \geq n_0$ *,* 

$$
\nu(n) - \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \iota(l, \nu(l)) < u(n) - \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \iota(l, u(l)). \tag{5.7}
$$

*If*  $v(n_0) < u(n_0)$ *, then*  $v(n) < u(n)$ *, for all*  $n \ge n_0$ *.* 

#### <span id="page-15-1"></span>Theorem 5.4. *Assume that*

- (i) *equation [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) has asymptotic equilibrium,*
- (ii) *for each*  $n \ge s \ge n_0$  *and*  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ *,*

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
|G(n,x)| \le \omega(n,|x|), \tag{5.8}
$$

*where*  $\omega$ :  $\mathbb{N}(n_0) \times \mathbb{R}_+$   $\rightarrow$   $\mathbb{R}_+$  *and*  $\omega(n, u)$  *is continuous and nondecreasing in u for*  $n \geq n_0$ .

*Also, we consider the scalar difference equation*

$$
u(n+1) = u(n) + M^2 \omega(n, u(n)), \quad u(n_0) = u_0 > 0,
$$
\n(5.9)

*where M is a positive constant, and suppose that*

(iii) *all solutions of [\(5.9\)](#page-15-0)* are bounded on  $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$ *.* 

*Then* [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) has asymptotic equilibrium provided  $d < u_0$  with  $d = M|x_0|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x(n, n_0, x_0)$  be any solution of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0). From the variation of constants formula in [\[14\]](#page-19-12) and conditions (i) and (ii), we obtain

$$
|x(n)| = |\Psi(n, n_0) \left[ x_0 + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Psi^{-1}(s+1, n_0) G(s, x(s)) \right] |
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |\Psi(n, n_0)| |x_0| + |\Psi(n, n_0)| \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |\Psi^{-1}(s+1, n_0)| |G(s, x(s))|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq M |x_0| + M^2 \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \omega(s, |x(s)|)
$$
  
\n
$$
= d + M^2 \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \omega(s, |x(s)|),
$$
\n(5.10)

where *M* is a bounded constant of  $\Psi(n,m)$  for each  $n,m \ge n_0$  and  $d = M|x_0|$ . Then we have the following summable inequality:

$$
|x(n)| - M^2 \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \omega(s, |x(s)|) = d < u_0 = u(n) - M^2 \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \omega(s, u(s)).
$$
 (5.11)

By letting  $\iota(n, u) = M^2 \omega(s, u)$  and using [Lemma 5.3,](#page-14-2) we obtain

$$
|x(n)| \le u(n), \quad \text{for each } n \ge n_0,
$$
 (5.12)

provided  $d < u_0$ .

Now, we prove that the solution  $x(n)$  of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) which can be written as [\(5.1\)](#page-13-1) is convergent. Consider the sequence

$$
\nu(n) = \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Psi^{-1}(s+1, n_0) G(s, x(s)).
$$
\n(5.13)

By using the monotonicity of the function  $\omega$  and asymptotic equilibrium of [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1), we obtain

$$
|v(n) - v(m)| \leq \sum_{s=m}^{n-1} |\Psi^{-1}(s+1, n_0)| |G(s, x(s))|
$$
  

$$
\leq M \sum_{s=m}^{n-1} \omega(s, |x(s)|) \leq M \sum_{s=m}^{n-1} \omega(s, u(s))
$$
  

$$
= M (u(n) - u(m)), \qquad (5.14)
$$

for any  $n \ge m \ge n_0$ . Since  $u(n)$  is convergent,  $v(n)$  is Cauchy. Thus  $v(n)$  is also convergent. Hence there exist a vector  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $r > 0$  such that any solution  $x(n, n_0, x_0)$  of [\(5.1\)](#page-13-1) with  $|x_0|$  < *r* satisfies the following asymptotic relationship:

$$
x(n) = \xi + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{5.15}
$$

Conversely, let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be any vector. Setting  $x_0 = \Psi_\infty^{-1} \xi - \nu_\infty$  with  $\nu_\infty = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(n)$ , any solution  $x(n)$  of [\(5.1\)](#page-13-1) equivalent to [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) satisfies

$$
x(n, n_0, x_0) = \Psi(n, n_0) \left[ x_0 + \sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} \Psi^{-1} (s+1, n_0) G(s, x(s)) \right]
$$
  
-  $\Psi(n, n_0) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \Psi^{-1} (s+1, n_0) G(s, x(s))$   
=  $\xi + o(1)$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , (5.16)

since

$$
\Psi(n,n_0) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \Psi^{-1}(s+1,n_0) G(s,x(s)) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.
$$
 (5.17)

This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

As a consequence of [Theorem 5.4](#page-15-1) we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 5.5. *Instead of the condition (i) of [Theorem 5.4,](#page-15-1) one assumes that*  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\Phi(n)$  =  $\Psi_{\infty}$  *exists and*  $|\det(\Phi(n))| > \alpha > 0$ , for each  $n \ge n_0$  *and some positive constant*  $\alpha$ *. Then* [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) *has asymptotic equilibrium.*

*Proof.* Since det  $\Psi_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \det \Phi(n) \ge \alpha$ ,  $\Psi_{\infty}$  is invertible. It follows from [Lemma 4.1](#page-8-1) that [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) has asymptotic equilibrium. Hence [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) has also asymptotic equilibrium by [Theorem 5.4.](#page-15-1)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Theorem 5.6. *Let the assumptions be the same as in [Theorem 5.4.](#page-15-1) Then [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) are asymptotically equivalent.*

*Proof.* We can prove this by the same method as in [Theorem 4.9.](#page-11-2)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-17-0"></span>COROLLARY 5.7. *Assume that [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0)* has asymptotic equilibrium and for some  $\delta > 0$ , one has

$$
\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} |f_x(n,0) - f_x(n,x(n,n_0,x_0))| < \infty,
$$
\n(5.18)

*for some*  $|x_0| \leq \delta$ . *Then* [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) *has asymptotic equilibrium in variation.* 

*Proof.* It follows from [Theorem 5.1](#page-13-0) that [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) has asymptotic equilibrium. Letting  $F(n)$  =  $|f_x(n, n_0, 0) - f_x(n, x(n, n_0, x_0))|$  with  $S(n) = I$  for each  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ , we obtain that  $F(n)$  is absolutely summable. Thus  $f_x(n, x(n, n_0))$  and  $f_x(n, 0)$  are  $n_\infty$ -summably similar. This implies that (2.3) has also asymptotic equilibrium by Theorem 4.6 implies that  $(2.3)$  has also asymptotic equilibrium by [Theorem 4.6.](#page-10-0)

Corollary 5.8. *Let the assumptions except the condition (i) be the same as in [Theorem 5.4.](#page-15-1) Suppose that* [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) *has asymptotic equilibrium in variation and for some*  $\delta > 0$ 

$$
\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} |f_x(n,0) - f_x(n,x(n,n_0,x_0))| < \infty,
$$
\n(5.19)

*for some*  $|x_0| \leq \delta$ . *Then* [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) *has also asymptotic equilibrium. Furthermore* (2.1) *and* [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) *are asymptotically equivalent.*

*Remark 5.9.* We see that two concepts of asymptotic equilibrium and asymptotic equilibrium in variation for nonlinear difference system [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) are equivalent by means of *n*∞-similarity of the associated variational difference systems and the results of Theorem [5.4](#page-15-1) and [Corollary 5.7.](#page-17-0)

*Example 5.10.* To illustrate [Theorem 5.6,](#page-16-0) we consider the nonlinear difference equation

$$
x(n+1) = f(n, x(n)) = x(n) + \frac{a^n x(n)}{\sqrt{1 + 2x^2(n)}}\tag{5.20}
$$

and its associated variational difference equation

<span id="page-17-2"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
v(n+1) = f_x(n,0)v(n) = (1+a^n)v(n),
$$
\n(5.21)

where  $f(n,x) = x + a^n x / \sqrt{1 + 2x^2}$  and  $f_x(n,x) = 1 + a^n / (1 + 2x^2)^{3/2}$  with  $0 < a < 1$ . Then [\(5.20\)](#page-17-1) and [\(5.21\)](#page-17-2) are asymptotically equivalent. Furthermore, [\(5.20\)](#page-17-1) has asymptotic equilibrium in variation.

*Proof.* Setting  $f_x(n,0) = A(n)$  and using the mean value theorem, [\(5.20\)](#page-17-1) can be written as

$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + G(n, x(n)) = (1 + a^n)x(n) + a^n \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2x^2(n)}} - 1 \right] x(n), \quad (5.22)
$$

where  $G(n, x) = \int_0^1 [f_x(n, \theta x) - f_x(n, 0)] d\theta x$ .

# <span id="page-18-0"></span>Sung Kyu Choi et al. 19

Then we obtain

$$
|G(n,x)| \leq \left| a^n \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1+2(\theta x)^2}} - 1 \right] d\theta x \right|
$$
  
= 
$$
\left| a^n \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2x^2}} - 1 \right] x \right|
$$
  
\$\leq a^n |x| = \omega(n, |x|), \qquad (5.23)\$

where  $\omega(n, u) = a^n u$  is nondecreasing in  $u > 0$ . For the scalar difference equation

$$
u(n+1) = u(n) + M^2 \omega(n, u(n)) = u(n) + M^2 a^n u(n), \quad u(0) = u_0 > 0,
$$
 (5.24)

we have

$$
u(n) = u_0 + M^2 \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} a^s = u_0 + M^2 \left( \frac{1 - a^n}{1 - a} \right), \quad n \ge n_0 = 0.
$$
 (5.25)

Thus all solutions  $u(n)$  of [\(5.24\)](#page-18-0) are bounded on  $\mathbb{N}(0)$ . Putting  $d = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 +$  $a^s$ )| $x_0$ |, we easily see that [\(5.21\)](#page-17-2) has asymptotic equilibrium.

Also, all conditions of [Theorem 5.6](#page-16-0) are satisfied. It follows that [\(5.20\)](#page-17-1) and [\(5.21\)](#page-17-2) are asymptotically equivalent by [Theorem 5.6.](#page-16-0)

Next, we consider associated variational difference equation

$$
z(n+1) = f_x(n, x(n, n_0, x_0)) z(n) = \left[1 + \frac{a^n}{\left[1 + 2x^2(n)\right]^{3/2}}\right] z(n). \tag{5.26}
$$

Then  $f_x(n,0)$  and  $f_y(n,x(n))$  are  $n_{\infty}$ -summably similar with  $S(n) = I$  and  $F(n) = f_x(n,0) - I$  $f_x(n, x(n))$ . Note that we have

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |F(n)| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\left[ 1 + 2x^2(n) \right]^{3/2}} \right] \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n < \infty,
$$
 (5.27)

where  $0 < a < 1$ . It follows from [Theorem 4.7](#page-11-3) that [\(5.26\)](#page-18-1) has asymptotic equilibrium. Hence [\(5.20\)](#page-17-1) has asymptotic equilibrium in variation. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 5.11. *Assume that [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) are asymptotically equivalent. If [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) has asymptotic equilibrium, then [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) has also asymptotic equilibrium. Also the converse holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $z(n, n_0, v_0)$  be any solution of [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2). Then there exists a solution  $x(n)$  of [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) such that the following asymptotic relationship holds:

$$
z(n) = x(n) + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty,
$$
 (5.28)

by means of asymptotic equivalence between [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2). Since [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) has asymptotic equilibrium,  $x(n)$  is convergent to  $x_{\infty}$ . This implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z(n) = x_{\infty}$  by the above asymptotic relationship.

For the converse, let  $\xi$  be any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Then there exists a solution  $z(n)$  of [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) such that

$$
z(n) = \xi + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty,
$$
 (5.29)

by the above method. Hence [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) has asymptotic equilibrium. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors are thankful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and corrections to improve this paper. This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant founded by the Korea Government(MOEHRD)(KRF-2005-070-C00015).

# <span id="page-19-0"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[1] R. Conti, "Sulla *t*-similitudine tra matrici e la stabilita dei sistemi di ` fferenziali lineari," *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Rendiconti. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali*, vol. 19, pp. 247–250, 1955.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[2] S. K. Choi, N. Koo, and S. Dontha, "Asymptotic property in variation for nonlinear differential systems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 117–126, 2005.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[3] W. F. Trench, "On *t*<sup>∞</sup> quasisimilarity of linear systems," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, vol. 142, pp. 293–302, 1985.
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>[4] W. F. Trench, "Linear asymptotic equilibrium and uniform, exponential, and strict stability of linear difference systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 36, no. 10–12, pp. 261–267, 1998.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>[5] S. K. Choi and N. Koo, "Variationally stable difference systems by *n*∞-similarity," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 249, no. 2, pp. 553–568, 2000.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>[6] S. K. Choi, N. Koo, and Y. H. Goo, "Variationally stable difference systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 256, no. 2, pp. 587–605, 2001.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>[7] S. K. Choi, N. Koo, and H. S. Ryu, "Asymptotic equivalence between two difference systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 45, no. 6–9, pp. 1327–1337, 2003.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>[8] G. Galescu and P. Talpalaru, "Stability results for perturbed difference equations of Volterra type," *Libertas Mathematica*, vol. 23, pp. 95–109, 2003.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>[9] S. K. Choi and N. Koo, "Asymptotic property of linear Volterra difference systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 321, no. 1, pp. 260–272, 2006.
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>[10] V. Lakshmikantham and D. Trigiante, *Theory of Difference Equations: Numerical Methods and Applications*, vol. 181 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1988.
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>[11] R. Medina, "Asymptotic behavior of nonlinear difference systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 219, no. 2, pp. 294–311, 1998.
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>[12] R. Medina, "Stability of nonlinear difference systems," *Dynamic Systems & Applications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2000.
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>[13] R. Medina and M. Pinto, "Stability of nonlinear difference equations," in *Proceedings of Dynamic Systems and Applications, Vol. 2 (Atlanta, GA, 1995)*, pp. 397–404, Dynamic, Atlanta, Ga, USA, 1996.
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>[14] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, vol. 228 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 2000.
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>[15] Q. Sheng and R. P. Agarwal, "On nonlinear variation of parameter methods for summary difference equations," *Dynamic Systems & Applications*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 227–242, 1993.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[16] M. Pinto, "Discrete dichotomies," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 28, no. 1–3, pp. 259–270, 1994.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[17] R. Medina and M. Pinto, "Asymptotic equivalence and asymptotic behavior of difference systems," *Communications in Applied Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 511–523, 1997.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[18] R. Medina, "Asymptotic properties of solutions of nonlinear difference equations," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 57–66, 1996.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[19] J. Morchało, "Asymptotic equivalence of difference equations," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 57–68, 1998.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[20] A. Zafer, "On asymptotic equivalence of linear and quasilinear difference equations," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 84, no. 9, pp. 899–908, 2005.

Sung Kyu Choi: Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea *Email address*: [skchoi@math.cnu.ac.kr](mailto:skchoi@math.cnu.ac.kr)

Yoon Hoe Goo: Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Seosan, Chungnam 352-820, Korea *Email address*: [yhgoo@hanseo.ac.kr](mailto:yhgoo@hanseo.ac.kr)

Namjip Koo: Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea *Email address*: [njkoo@math.cnu.ac.kr](mailto:njkoo@math.cnu.ac.kr)