

Note

The Number of Dense Arrangements

J. GLAZ

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Case Western Reserve University,
Cleveland, Ohio 44106*

Communicated by Gian-Carlo Rota

Received March 1, 1979

Consider arrangements of N elements of two kinds, A and B , such that any segment of length m contains at least k type A elements. We evaluate the number of such arrangements.

1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The purpose of this paper is to illustrate the use of Karlin-McGregor's Theorem [6], on coincidence probabilities, to an enumeration problem with N elements of two kinds.

Suppose we have M_1 type A elements and M_2 type B elements, $M_1 + M_2 = N$. Consider arrangements that have at least k A elements in any segment of length m , where by a segment of length m we mean a consecutive string of m elements. In the next section we evaluate the number of such arrangements.

Karlin and McGregor's result has been applied to solve various probabilistic problems: L -candidate ballot problems—Barton and Mallows [1] and Naus [8]; generalized birthday probabilities—Huntington [3], Naus [8], and Saperstein [9], [10]; clustering probabilities—Huntington and Naus [7], Wallenstein and Naus [11], [12]; multiple coverage probabilities—Glaz and Naus [2].

It is our hope that this method could be applied to other enumeration problems.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Represent each of the $\binom{N}{M_1}$ possible arrangements of the N given elements by a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$, where $x_i = 1$ (respectively, $x_i = 0$) if the i th element in the arrangement is an A (respectively, B). Let $S_{k,m}$ (respectively,

$T_{h,m}$) be the set of all arrangements in which any segment of length m contains at least k (respectively, at most h) A (respectively, B) elements. Then,

$$S_{k,m} = \left\{ \mathbf{x}; \inf_{1 \leq n \leq N-m+1} \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} x_i \geq k \right\} \tag{1}$$

and

$$T_{h,m} = \left\{ \mathbf{x}; \sup_{1 \leq n \leq N-m+1} \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} (1 - x_i) \leq h \right\}. \tag{2}$$

LEMMA 1. For $1 \leq k \leq m \leq N$ integers, $S_{k,m} = T_{m-k,m}$.

Proof. Follows from Eqs. (1) and (2).

Let $\partial_{k,m}$ and $\eta_{k,m}$ denote the cardinalities of the sets $S_{k,m}$ and $T_{k,m}$, respectively. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\partial_{k,m} = \eta_{m-k,m}$.

Let $b = N - Lm$, where L is the largest integer in N/m . Subdivide the N positions in the arrangements into $L + 1$ parts, I_i , $i = 1, \dots, L + 1$: $I_i = \{(i - 1)m + 1, (i - 1)m + 2, \dots, im\}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$; and $I_{L+1} = \{Lm + 1, Lm + 2, \dots, Lm + b = N\}$. Let n_i denote the number of B elements in the i th part.

THEOREM 1. For $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $M_1 \geq kL + \max(0, k + b - m)$ integers,

$$\partial_{k,m} = \sum_{P1} \det(c_{ij}), \tag{3}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq L + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij} &= \sum_{t=\beta_{L+1}+1}^{\beta_j} \binom{b}{t - \alpha_i} \binom{m - b}{\beta_j - t}, & j = 1, \dots, L \\ &= \binom{b}{\beta_{L+1} - \alpha_i}, & j = L + 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= (L + 1 - i)(m - k + 1) - \sum_{r=i}^L n_r, & i = 1, \dots, L \\ &= 0, & i = L + 1, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\beta_j = \alpha_j + n_j, \tag{6} \quad j = 1, \dots, L + 1.$$

$P1$ is the set of all partitions of M_2 into $L + 1$ integers n_i satisfying $n_i \leq m - k$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$; and $n_{L+1} \leq \min(b, m - k)$.

Proof. Let n_i be the number of B elements in I_i for $i = 1, \dots, L + 1$ and $\{n_1, \dots, n_{L+1}\} \in P1$. Following Huntington [3], Naus [8], and Saperstein [10]

associate $L + 1$ paths that start at $(0, \alpha_i)$ and end at (m_i, β_i) and have n_i moves up and $m_i - n_i$ horizontal moves, where α_i and β_i are given by equations (5) and (6), respectively; $m_i = m$ for $i = 1, \dots, L$ and $m_{L+1} = b$. Then $\eta_{m-k,m}$ is equal to the number of realizations of the $L + 1$ paths defined above, such that none of the paths ever coincide. Saperstein [10] shows that this is equal to the $\det(c_{ij})$, where c_{ij} is given by Eq. (4). It follows from Lemma 1, that for fixed $\{n_1, \dots, n_{L+1}\} \in P1$, $\partial_{k,m} = \det(c_{ij})$. Summing over all possible $\{n_1, \dots, n_{L+1}\} \in P1$ we obtain the result given by Eq. (3).

For the case $M/m = L$, $L \geq 2$ an integer it follows from Naus [8] and Lemma 1,

THEOREM 2. For $2 \leq k$, $M/m = L$, L an integer, $L \geq 2$

$$\partial_{k,m} = (m!)^L \sum_{P_2} \det[1/d_{ij}! (m - d_{ij})!],$$

where

$$d_{ij} = (j - i)(m - k + 1) - \sum_{t=i}^{j-i} n_t + n_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq L,$$

$$= (j - i)(m - k + 1) + \sum_{t=j}^i n_t, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq i \leq L.$$

P_2 is the set of all partitions of M_2 into L integers n_i satisfying $n_i \leq m - k$, $i = 1, \dots, L$.

Further simplification is obtained from Naus [8] for the special case of $N/m = L$, L an integer greater than one, and $M_1 > N - 2(m - k)$.

THEOREM 3. For $k \geq 2$, $N/m = L$, $L \geq 2$ and $M_1 \geq N - 2(m - k + 1)$ integers,

$$\partial_{k,m} = \binom{N}{M_2} - 2 \sum_{t=m-k+1}^{M_2} \binom{m}{t} \binom{N-m}{M_2-t}$$

$$+ [L(m - k + 1) - M_2 - 1] \binom{m}{m-k+1} \binom{N-m}{M_2-m-k-1}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. It follows from Naus [8, Corollary 2] that for $M_2 < 2h$

$$\eta_{h,m} = \binom{N}{M_2} - 2 \sum_{t=k+1}^{M_2} \binom{m}{t} \binom{N-m}{M_2-t}$$

$$+ [L(k + 1) - M_2 - 1] \binom{m}{k+1} \binom{N-m}{M_2-k-1}. \tag{8}$$

Using the identity $\partial_{k,m} = \eta_{m-k,m}$ and Eq. (8), we obtain the result given by Eq. (7).

REFERENCES

1. D. E. BARTON AND C. L. MALLOWS, Some aspects of the random sequence, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** (1965), 236–260.
2. J. GLAZ AND J. NAUS, Multiple coverage of the line, *Ann. Probability* **7** (1979), in press.
3. R. J. HUNTINGTON, “Distributions and expectations for clusters in continuous and discrete cases, with Applications,” Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1974.
4. R. J. HUNTINGTON AND J. I. NAUS, A simpler expression for k -th nearest neighbour coincidence probabilities, *Ann. Probability* **3** (1975), 894–896.
5. F. K. HWANG, A generalization of the Karlin–McGregor theorem on coincidence probabilities and an application to clustering, *Ann. Probability* **5** (1977), 814–817.
6. S. KARLIN AND G. MCGREGOR, Coincidence probabilities, *Pacific J. Math.* **9** (1959), 1141–1164.
7. J. I. NAUS, The distribution of the size of the maximum cluster of points on a line, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **60** (1965), 532–538.
8. J. I. NAUS, Probabilities for the generalized birthday problem, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **69** (1974), 810–815.
9. B. SAPERSTEIN, The generalized birthday problem, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **67** (1972), 425–428.
10. B. SAPERSTEIN, Note on a clustering problem, *J. Appl. Probability* **12** (1975), 629–632.
11. S. R. WALLENSTEIN AND J. I. NAUS, Probabilities for a k -th nearest neighbour problem on the line, *Ann. Probability* **1** (1973), 188–190.
12. S. R. WALLENSTEIN AND J. I. NAUS, Probabilities for the size of largest clusters and smallest intervals, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **69** (1974), 690–697.