

**The kernel and cokernel of a differential operator
in several variables. II**

by Arno van den Essen

Mathematisch Instituut, Budapestlaan 6, 3508 TA Utrecht, the Netherlands

Communicated by Prof. T.A. Springer at the meeting of June 20, 1983

We fix the following notations. Let $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and let k be a field of characteristic zero. Put $\mathcal{O}_n = k$ if $n=0$ and \mathcal{O}_n is the ring of formal power series in n variables x_1, \dots, x_n over k if $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Instead of \mathcal{O}_n we write A . Furthermore $B := A[[t]]$ is the ring of formal power series in t with coefficients in A . Instead of the derivation $\partial/\partial t$ we write ∂ . If R is a ring, $\underline{\underline{M}}(R)$ denotes the category of left R -modules of finite type.

Let $P: B \rightarrow B$ be a differential operator of the form

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^r p_i \partial^i, \text{ with } r \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}, p_i \in B.$$

In [2] we showed that if p_r is t -regular i.e. $p_r(0, \dots, 0, t) \neq 0$, both $\ker P$ and $\text{coker } P (= B/PB)$ belong to $\underline{\underline{M}}(A)$.

In this paper we give a much simpler proof of this result, based on work of Malgrange in [3]. Moreover, the theorems we obtain below generalize the previous results of [2] and are complete in the sense that they clarify under what conditions on P the kernel and cokernel are finitely generated A -modules.

THEOREM 1. Put $P(0) := \sum_{i=0}^r p_i(0, \dots, 0, t) \partial^i$. Then $\text{coker } P \in \underline{\underline{M}}(A)$ iff $P(0) \neq 0$.

REMARK 1. This result can be viewed as a generalization of Weierstrass' division theorem, by taking $r=0$.

PROOF. i) Assume $\text{coker } P \in \underline{\underline{M}}(A)$. Since A is noetherian the A -submodule $\sum A\bar{t}^i$ of $\text{coker } P$ is in $\underline{\underline{M}}(A)$ where $\bar{t}^i = t^i + PB$. Hence

$$t^N + a_{N-1}t^{N-1} + \dots + a_0 = Pb \text{ for some } N \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in A, b \in B.$$

Now substitute $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$ and we get $P(0) \neq 0$.

ii) Conversely, let $P(0) \neq 0$. We use induction on n . The case $n=0$ is proved by Malgrange in [3]. So let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$B_0 = \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[[t]], P_0 = \sum p_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0, t)\delta^i.$$

We have the following isomorphism of B_0 -modules

$$B/PB + x_n B = B/x_n B + P_0 B \simeq B_0/P_0 B_0.$$

By the induction hypothesis $B_0/P_0 B_0 \in \underline{\underline{M}}(\mathcal{O}_{n-1})$, so we get

$$B/PB + x_n B \in \underline{\underline{M}}(\mathcal{O}_{n-1}).$$

Hence there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}, e_1, \dots, e_s \in B$ satisfying

$$B \subset \sum \mathcal{O}_{n-1} e_i + PB + x_n B.$$

Let $b \in B$. Then

$$(1) \quad b = \sum c_i e_i + x_n g + Ph, \text{ some } c_i \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}, g, h \in B.$$

Similarly

$$(2) \quad g = \sum c'_i e_i + x_n g' + Ph', \text{ some } c'_i \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}, g', h' \in B.$$

Substituting (2) in (1) gives

$$(3) \quad b = \sum (c_i + c'_i x_n) e_i + x_n^2 g' + P(h + x_n h').$$

Again we can substitute a formula for g' in (3) and so on.

Since A and B are complete local rings the process above gives

$$b \in \sum A e_i + PB, \text{ all } b \in B.$$

Hence $B \subset \sum A e_i + PB$, which implies $\text{coker } P \in \underline{\underline{M}}(A)$, as desired.

From the proof above we immediately conclude:

COROLLARY 2. Assume $P(0) \neq 0$. If $\text{coker } P(0) (= k[[t]]/P(0)k[[t]])$ is generated as a k -module by the residue classes of some elements $e_1, \dots, e_d \in k[[t]]$, then $\text{coker } P$ is generated as an A -module by the residue classes of e_1, \dots, e_d .

In general $\text{coker } P$ is not a free A -module, as can be seen as follows.

Let $n=1$. So $A = k[[x]], B = k[[x, t]]$. Put $P := t\delta + (x-1)$. So $P(0) = t\delta - 1$. It is easily seen that $\text{coker } P(0) \simeq k\bar{t}$, where the residue class $\bar{t} := t + P(0)k[[t]]$ is non-zero. By Cor. 2 we get $\text{coker } P \simeq A\bar{t}$, with $\bar{t} := t + PB \neq 0$. Finally, since $xt = Pt$ we get $x\bar{t} = 0$. So $\text{coker } P$ is not a free A -module. Observe that $\ker P(0) \neq 0$, since $P(0)t = 0$. However, the following general result completely clarifies the situation when $\ker P(0) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 3. If $\ker P(0) = 0$, then $\text{coker } P$ is a free A -module of rank d , where $d := \dim_k \text{coker } P(0)$. More precisely, for every d -tuple e_1, \dots, e_d in $k[[t]]$ such that $(\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_d)$ is a k -basis of $\text{coker } P(0)$, the elements $e_i + PB$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ form an A -basis of $\text{coker } P$.

To prove this result we also generalise [2], Th. II.1). Observe that if $P = 0$, then $\ker P = B \notin \underline{\underline{M}}(A)$. In fact this is the only case where $\ker P \notin \underline{\underline{M}}(A)$. This follows from

THEOREM II. $\ker P \in \underline{\underline{M}}(A)$ iff $P \neq 0$.

PROOF. i) Write $P = \sum P_j x_n^j$, $P_j \in B_0[\delta]$. Assume $P \neq 0$. Then there exists some minimal $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $P_{j_0} \neq 0$. Put $P = x_n^{j_0} Q$. Obviously $\ker P = \ker Q$ and $Q_0 = P_{j_0} \neq 0$. So we may assume $P_0 \neq 0$.

ii) Let $b \in \ker P$. Write $b = \sum b_j x_n^j$, $b_j \in B_0$. Then $b_0 \in \ker P_0$. So we get a map

$$\phi: \ker P \ni b \rightarrow b_0 \in \ker P_0.$$

If $b_0 = 0$, then $b = x_n b'$, some $b' \in B$. Since $0 = Pb = x_n P b'$ and B has no zero-divisors, $b' \in \ker P$ follows. Hence $\ker \phi = x_n \ker P$. So ϕ induces an injective \mathcal{O}_{n-1} -module homomorphism

$$\bar{\phi}: \ker P / x_n \ker P \rightarrow \ker P_0.$$

iii) Now we prove our theorem by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is proved by Malgrange in [3]. So let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\ker P_0 \in \underline{\underline{M}}(\mathcal{O}_{n-1})$. So by ii) $\ker P / x_n \ker P \in \underline{\underline{M}}(\mathcal{O}_{n-1})$, say

$$\ker P / x_n \ker P = \sum_{i=1}^q \mathcal{O}_{n-1} (e_i + x_n \ker P), \text{ some } e_i \in \ker P.$$

Then, arguing as in the second part of the proof of TH. I we find $\ker P = \sum A e_i \in \underline{\underline{M}}(A)$, which concludes the proof.

COROLLARY 4. If $\ker P(0) = 0$, then $\ker P = 0$.

PROOF. Induction on n . So we may assume $\ker P_0 = 0$. Then by ii) above $\ker P / x_n \ker P = 0$, whence $\ker P = 0$ by the result of iii) above.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3. Let $e_1, \dots, e_d \in k[[t]]$ be such that $(\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_d)$ is a k -basis of $\text{coker } P(0)$.

Using Cor. 2 it remains to verify that the elements $e_i + PB$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ are A -linearly independent. So let $\sum a_i e_i = Pb$, some $a_i \in A$, $b \in B$. We use induction on n . Therefore we write as before

$$a_i = \sum a_{ij} x_n^j, \quad b = \sum b_j x_n^j, \quad P = \sum P_j x_n^j.$$

We get

$$(*) \quad \sum a_{ij}e_i = P_j b_0 + P_{j-1} b_1 + \dots + P_0 b_j, \text{ all } j \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}.$$

By induction on j we shall prove: $a_{ij} = 0$, all i , $b_0 = \dots = b_j = 0$. From this we deduce $a_i = 0$, all i as desired.

The case $j=0$. Put $j=0$ in (*). This gives

$$\sum a_{i0}e_i = P_0 b_0.$$

So by our induction on n we get $a_{i0} = 0$, all i . Whence $P_0 b_0 = 0$ implying $b_0 = 0$ (Cor. 4). Now let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $b_0 = \dots = b_{j-1} = 0$.

Then (*) gives

$$\sum a_{ij}e_i = P_0 b_j.$$

Whence, by induction on n , $a_{ij} = 0$, all i , implying $b_j = 0$ by Cor. 4 as desired.

FINAL REMARK. An application of TH. I is given in [1] where it is shown that the cokernel of a holonomic \mathcal{D}_n -module under the operator $\partial/\partial x_n$ is a holonomic \mathcal{D}_{n-1} -module if M satisfies some generic condition. The importance of this last result for the theory of \mathcal{D} -modules will be pointed out in a forthcoming paper.

REFERENCES

1. Essen, A. van den – Le conoyau de l'opérateur $\partial/\partial x_n$ agissant sur un \mathcal{D}_n -module holonome, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 296, Série I, p. 903-906 (1983).
2. Essen, A. van den – The kernel and cokernel of a differential operator in several variables. Indagationes Mathematicae, **45**, 1, 67-76 (1983).
3. Malgrange, B. – Sur les points singuliers des équations différentielles, l'Enseignement Math. **20**, 147-176 (1974).