Hindfoot Arthrodesis for Neuropathic Deformity
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Acquired neurologic disorders of the foot lead to arthrosis, deformities, instabilities, and functional disabilities. Hindfoot arthrodesis is the current option available for irreducible or nonbraceable deformities of neuropathic feet. However, the role of ankle arthrodesis in these patients has been questioned because of high nonunion and complication rates. From 1990 to 2001, 17 cases of acquired neuropathic foot deformities were treated by four tibiotalocalcaneal (TTC) arthrodeses and 13 ankle arthrodeses. TTC arthrodesis was performed on cases with combined ankle and subtalar arthritis or cases whose deformities or instabilities could not be corrected by ankle fusion alone. There was no nonunion of TTC arthrodesis and seven ununited ankle arthrodeses were salvaged by two TTC-attempted arthrodeses and five revision ankle-attempted arthrodeses. Eventually in these cases, there was one nonunion in TTC arthrodesis and one nonunion in revision ankle arthrodesis. The final fusion rate was 88% (15 of 17 cases) with average union time of 6.9 months (range, 2.5–18 months). The American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society ankle hindfoot functional scores were evaluated: one was excellent (5.8%), seven were good (41%), eight were fair (53.3%), and one was poor (5.8%) in terms of total functional outcome. We conclude that TTC arthrodesis is indicated for cases with ankle and subtalar involvement and ankle arthrodesis is an alternative for cases with intact subtalar joint. We recommend revision ankle arthrodesis if the ankle fails to fuse and the bone stock of the talus is adequate. TTC arthrodesis is reserved for ankles with poor bone stock of the talus with fragmentation.
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be achieved, it may be a better option than TTC fusion, which sacrifices the subtalar joint.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

From 1990 to 2001, 17 cases (eight males, nine females; mean age, 54.4 years) of acquired paralytic disabling ankles were treated by TTC or ankle arthrodesis and followed up for at least 1 year. The cases of paralytic foot included four peripheral nerve injuries (three common peroneal nerve and one sciatic nerve); four stroke with foot contractures; two iatrogenic sciatic palsy after spinal surgery; two poliomyelitis with ankle arthropathy; three diabetic neuroarthropathy with two of them having infection, and one case of suspected leprosy. There were 10 cases with arthritic change of ankle. The deformities included seven equinus, two varus, six equinovarus, one valgus and one calcaneovarus.

The goal of treatment for these acquired neuro-pathic deformed feet was the maintenance of functional position to keep patients brace-free with a stable and plantigrade painless ankle and hindfoot. Thirteen ankle arthrodeses and four TTC arthrodeses were performed on these patients. The indications for TTC fusion were: (1) combined ankle and subtalar arthritis; (2) severe deformity or instability that could not be corrected by ankle arthrodesis alone; and (3) inadequate bone stock of talus. Otherwise, ankle arthrodesis was indicated. The 13 ankle arthrodeses and four TTC arthrodeses were all performed with compression cross-screw fixation and the extensors were reefed to maintain the forefoot dorsiflexion through the same anterior incision. The ankle was fused in slight dorsiflexion rather than neutral flexion (because the compensatory Chopart joints motion is mainly plantarflexion rather than dorsiflexion after ankle arthrodesis) [8,9]. The other associated soft tissue procedures included four Achilles lengthening for equinus contracture and three split partial lateralization of anterior tibial tendon (SPLATT) to balance the varus deformities of the forefoot (Table). Short leg cast was applied postoperatively for 8–12 weeks until the regular follow-up X-ray showed callus formation, union of the arthrodesis, or nonunion.

There were seven nonunions in the attempted ankle arthrodeses (no union sign for at least 6 months after primary fusion). These cases received salvage procedures by five revision ankle arthrodeses and two TTC fusion. In these revision cases, the postoperative casting period was prolonged until solid union. One case of malunion underwent salvage operation by revision ankle arthrodesis with corrective osteotomy for the over-corrected valgus deformity. Repeated debridement on a diabetic foot with infection (Table, case 15) resulted in huge bone defect and was filled with allograft when TTC fusion was performed after control of the infection. For the other cases, no bone graft was used.

At follow-up, weight-bearing X-rays were taken to check the union status and fusion alignment. The criterion of union was defined as the trabecular line passing through the attempted fusion site. The ideal fusion alignment is 0° to 5° hindfoot valgus; foot near neutral flexion to have a plantigrade foot when weight bearing. American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS), ankle–hindfoot score comprising 40 points for pain, 50 points for function, and 10 points for alignment were evaluated at final follow-up and classified as the following: excellent (85–100 points), good (70–84 points), fair (45–69 points), and poor (0–44 points) [10].

**RESULTS**

These hindfoot arthrodeses for neurologic disorders of the foot were followed up for an average of 37 months (range, 12–108 months). The two cases of infection were controlled before arthrodesis was performed; there was no deep infection postoperatively but one case had partial skin necrosis with superficial infection. Of the four cases who received TTC arthrodesis, four ankle and three subtalar joints had union (Figure 1), but there were seven nonunions in 13 attempted ankle arthrodeses. There were five cases with under-correction and one case with over-correction of the deformities, which ended up as one plantarflexion, one dorsiflexion, one valgus, and three varus residual deformities. The mean union time for the primary arthrodesis cases was 6.6 months (range, 2.5–10.5 months).

The seven nonunion cases underwent salvage operation, which included five revision ankle arthrodesis (Figure 2) and two TTC arthrodeses. The fusion outcomes of revision arthrodeses were two nonunions.
Table. Management and outcome of neuropathic foot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Sex/age (yr)</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Arthrosis</th>
<th>Deformity</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Salvage op (op time)</th>
<th>Union time (mo)</th>
<th>Residual deformity</th>
<th>Follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>AOFAS score</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/51</td>
<td>Traumatic peroneal palsy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Equinus contracture</td>
<td>TTC fusion and achilles lengthening</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Equinus</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>81 (40 + 36 + 5)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M/70</td>
<td>DM arthropathy with infection</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Varus</td>
<td>TTC fusion</td>
<td>Malunion (hyperdorsal)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Calcaneus</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>67 (40 + 22 + 5)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F/68</td>
<td>Iatrogenic sciatic palsy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Equinovarus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion</td>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>Revision ankle (12 mo)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52 (20 + 22 + 10)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F/50</td>
<td>R/O leprosy sciatic palsy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Calcaneovarus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion and SPLATT</td>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>TTC fusion (6 mo)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Forefoot varus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>63 (30 + 28 + 5)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/47</td>
<td>Traumatic peroneal palsy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Equinus contracture</td>
<td>Ankle fusion and achilles lengthening</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>87 (40 + 37 + 10)</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/40</td>
<td>Stroke sciatic palsy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Equinus spastic</td>
<td>Ankle fusion and SPLATT</td>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>Revision ankle (6 mo)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46 (20 + 16 + 10)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M/34</td>
<td>Poliomyelitis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Equinovarus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion</td>
<td>Malunion over correction</td>
<td>Revision ankle osteotomy (4 mo)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>76 (40 + 26 + 10)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F/54</td>
<td>Traumatic sciatic palsy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Equinus contracture</td>
<td>Ankle fusion and achilles lengthening</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>83 (40 + 33 + 10)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F/60</td>
<td>DM arthropathy with infection</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Varus</td>
<td>TTC fusion</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Varus</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>70 (30 + 35 + 5)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F/65</td>
<td>Iatrogenic sciatic palsy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Equinus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion</td>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>Revision ankle (12 mo)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>68 (30 + 28 + 10)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F/64</td>
<td>Stroke sciatic palsy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Equinovarus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion and CC fusion</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>72 (40 + 22 + 10)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F/73</td>
<td>Stroke peroneal palsy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Equinus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>82 (40 + 32 + 10)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M/32</td>
<td>Head injury hemiplegia</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Equinus contracture</td>
<td>TTC fusion</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>66 (40 + 16 + 10)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F/32</td>
<td>Poliomyelitis arthropathy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Equinovarus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Varus</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52 (30 + 22 + 0)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F/65</td>
<td>DM arthropathy with infection</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Valgus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion</td>
<td>Nonunion subtalar involved</td>
<td>TTC fusion (14 mo)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hindfoot planus</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>64 (30 + 29 + 5)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M/63</td>
<td>Stroke sciatic palsy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Equinovarus spastic</td>
<td>Ankle fusion and SPLATT</td>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>Revision ankle (24 mo)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80 (40 + 30 + 10)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M/35</td>
<td>Traumatic peroneal palsy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Equinovarus</td>
<td>Ankle fusion and achilles lengthening</td>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>Revision ankle (22 mo)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>66 (30 + 26 + 10)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

op = operation; AOFAS = American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society; TTC = tibiotalocalcaneal fusion; DM = diabetes mellitus; SPLATT = split partial lateralization of anterior tibial tendon; CC = calcaneocuboid fusion.
Figure 1. (A) This 60-year-old woman had diabetes mellitus with ankle joint varus deformity. (B) She received tibiotalocalcaneal fusion, and solid union was achieved.

Figure 2. (A) This 65-year-old woman had iatrogenic sciatic palsy with equinus deformity. She received ankle fusion. (B) There was still no sign of solid union 12 months later. (C) Revision ankle arthrodesis was performed and the period of cast immobilization was prolonged. (D) Ankle solid fusion was achieved after revision ankle arthrodesis.
with one in revision ankle and one in TTC arthrodesis at final follow-up, and the average union time was 9 months (range, 4–18 months).

The ultimate union rate of this series was 88% (15 of 17 cases). Of the six cases who finally still had ankle–hindfoot malalignment, only one case was severe enough to cause symptoms. The mean AOFAS ankle–hindfoot functional score was 68.4 (range, 46–87). Results included one excellent, seven good, eight fair, and one poor, according to the functional scores.

**DISCUSSION**

Neuromuscular disorders that result in unstable arthropathy or unbraceable deformities are one of the relative indications for hindfoot arthrodesis. Long-term immobilization with either cast or brace is the mainstay treatment for neuropathic foot but it may not always be feasible [6,11,12], and conservative management is impossible especially in rigid deformities induced by soft tissue contracture. Reconstructive surgeries by arthrodesis, soft tissue procedures, or by both combined may be the preferred options. Foot deformities lead to abnormal foot pressure distribution which may result in callosity, pressure ulceration, and even infections that are difficult to treat. The neuropathic foot does not necessarily exhibit pain but the unbraceable deformities lead to ambulatory disability, and unsuitable bracing or casting may further create ulceration, especially in patients who lack protective sensation.

The goal of surgical treatment is to provide a stable, well-aligned foot which is brace-free and functioning. Successful fusion in the presence of neuroarthropathy has been difficult to achieve, and the rate of complications such as nonunion, malunion, and infection, etc. have been relatively high [4,5]. In our series, there were 10 cases with ankle arthrosis receiving arthrodesis. Of the remaining seven cases, five had contractures and two had floppy foot due to iatrogenic sciatic palsy after spinal surgery. Surgical treatment was indicated in all of these cases.

Hindfoot arthrodesis including ankle, subtalar, TTC, or tibiocalcaneal fusions is used to treat arthritis, to correct deformities and to provide stable painless weightbearing. Several reports of tibiocalcaneal arthrodesis exist in the literature. Three of these studies mentioned neuroarthropathy with a union rate ranging from 36% to 93% [1,3,13]. Since the results are varied and have not been well documented, we consider that arthrodesis is indicated for cases in which the talar body has fragmentation and is eroded. As previous reports of ankle arthrodesis on neuropathic ankle had low success rates (Johnson [6], 33%; Samilson et al [7], 40%; Stuart and Morrey [5], 38%), TTC arthrodesis has been reported in the current decade [2,14]. This is indicated for severe deformity, instability, and arthritis that involve the ankle and/or subtalar joints. As for neuropathic cases, because of the well-documented difficulties in obtaining ankle fusion, they are also included as indications for TTC arthrodesis. However, concomitant fusion of the subtalar with the ankle does potentially alter the biomechanics of the foot and ankle [15]. It not only affects hindfoot but may alter forefoot motion as well. Since the remaining foot motion occurs in the Chopart and Lisfranc joints after hindfoot fusion, the subtalar must be fused in well-aligned eversion to allow normal Chopart joints motion, otherwise the Chopart joints will be locked and thus result in a rigid forefoot [16]. In our opinion, TTC fusion in neuropathic ankle should be indicated for combined subtalar arthritis or severe deformities and instabilities that cannot be corrected by ankle arthrodesis alone. If TTC fusion in neuropathic cases is only to provide a more rigid fixation to enhance ankle fusion, then the reason is not strong enough because in two studies, a nonunion rate of 14% [2] and 26% [17] were still reported. We reserve TTC arthrodesis as a salvage procedure in failed ankle fusion for the neuroarthropathic ankle.

In this series, the four cases of primary TTC arthrodesis achieved union in an average of 8.75 months; the remaining six of the 13 ankle-attempted arthrodeses had primary fusion in an average of 4.1 months (Figure 3). The union rate of ankle arthrodesis was comparable with previous reports [5–7], but with the same method of cross-screw compression, the fusion time was longer than that of our ankle arthrodesis performed for ankle arthrosis from other causes (average, 2.7 months [18]) and was closer to the union time of revision ankle arthrodesis (average, 4.6 months [19]). Our salvage operation for nonunion ankle arthrodesis included five revision ankle arthrodeses and two TTC arthrodeses. The revision arthrodesis was done by complete debridement to refresh the nonunion site till there was cancellous bony contact for fusion. Fixation
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with compression cross screws was applied from tibia to talus in revision ankle arthrodesis and through the subtalar joint in TTC fusion with longer screws. No additional bone graft was used. Union of one TTC fusion and four ankle fusions were achieved in these secondary surgeries with the remaining two cases of nonunion (one TTC and one ankle) at final follow-up.

Excluding the two cases of nonunion (11.7%), the mean overall union time of primary and secondary fusion was 6.9 months. The four cases of primary TTC arthrodesis were successfully fused, but the union time (mean, 8.75 months) was longer than for successful primary ankle arthrodesis (mean, 4.1 months), and the TTC was not 100% successful in salvage operation, with one union and one nonunion. As for the primary ankle-attempted arthrodesis, although the union rate was lower than 50%, the union time was shorter than for TTC fusion. However, revision ankle arthrodesis achieved union in four of five cases which had failed to fuse by primary arthrodesis. With our limited experience of these few cases, we cannot deny the value of ankle arthrodesis and accept TTC arthrodesis as the standard procedure for neuropathic ankle arthrosis, deformities, and instabilities.

Ankle arthrodesis has been criticized in neuropathic cases mainly because of its high nonunion rate, and because it is difficult to achieve fusion between the long-axis tibia and the smaller surface of the talus. The following factors are especially important and must not be overlooked in neuropathic cases: complete denuding of joint cartilage and good cancellous bony contact apposition, fixation with derotation and compression, and additional cast immobilization until solid fusion is achieved.

In our revision procedure for nonunion ankle arthrodesis, the remaining fibrocartilage was generally found in the attempted fusion site. If the fusion site has been well prepared to have a cancellous contact surface with good apposition and has been firmly fixed by internal compression method and prolonged casting for protection, then union can be achieved in revision cases [19] and neuropathic joints [20]. TTC arthrodesis is recommended for cases with subtalar involvement, and ankle arthrodesis is an option for cases with intact subtalar joint because it preserves some subtalar mobility and cushion effect, which is beneficial to hindfoot function. If the ankle arthrodesis fails to fuse, revision ankle arthrodesis can be considered as an alternative to TTC arthrodesis unless the talus is eroded and has fragmentation.
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Figure 3. (A) This 47-year-old man had traumatic peroneal palsy with equinovarus deformity. (B) He received ankle arthrodesis and solid union was achieved 10 weeks after operation.
神經病變併後足畸形的融合手術治療

黃鳴如\textsuperscript{1,2} 傅尹志\textsuperscript{3} 盧政昌\textsuperscript{3} 吳汶蘭\textsuperscript{4} 賈裕民\textsuperscript{2,3}

\textsuperscript{1}高雄市立小港醫院 骨科
\textsuperscript{2}高雄醫學大學附設醫院 骨科
\textsuperscript{3}高雄醫學大學附設醫院 骨科
\textsuperscript{4}運動醫學系

後天性足神經病變會造成關節炎、畸形、關節不穩定和功能受限。現今後足融合手術
仍用來治療這些無法復位而且無法穿戴輔具的神經病變畸形足，雖然高未癒合率與高
併發症有報告過。從 1990 年到 2001 年，共有 17 個後天神經病變畸形足接受治
療，其中 4 個接受距距跟骨融合，13 個接受踝融合。距距跟骨融合用于踝關節與
距下關節皆有關節炎侵犯。所有距距跟骨融合病人都未發生未癒合情形，13 個距距
融合病人則有 7 個發生未癒合，對於這 7 個病人，我們在 2 個病人用距距跟骨融合
治療，在 5 個病人，用再一次踝關節融合治療。最後，2 個距距跟骨融合有一個未癒
合，而踝關節再融合也有一個未癒合。最終融合率是 88\% (15/17)，平均所需癒合
時間是 6—9 個月 (2.5 到 18 個月)，依照美國足踝關節學會踝後足評估表來看，有
一個是優 (excellent)，有 7 個是好 (good)，有 8 個是一般 (fair)，有 1 個是不良
(poor)。後足畸形併神經病變的融合手術治療，若踝關節與距下關節均有侵犯，則適
用距距跟骨融合治療，若距下關節還完好，則適用踝關節融合治療，若踝關節融合失
敗，距距跟骨融合治療並非唯一選擇。可嘗試再一次踝關節融合，但若距骨磨損破裂
變小，則距距跟骨融合會是比較合適的選擇。

關鍵詞：踝融合，神經病變，距距跟骨融合
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