- Asokananthan N, Graham PT, Stewart DJ et al. (2002) House dust mite allergens induce pro-inflammatory cytokines from respiratory epithelial cells: the cysteine protease allergen, Der p 1, activates protease-activated receptor (PAR)-2 and inactivates PAR-1. J Immunol 169: 4572–8
- Barclay J, Clark AK, Ganju P *et al.* (2007) Role of the cysteine protease cathepsin S in neuropathic hyperalgesia. *Pain* 130:225–34
- Felbor U, Dreier L, Bryant RA et al. (2000) Secreted cathepsin L generates endostatin from collagen XVIII. EMBO J 19:1187–94
- Hachem JP, Houben E, Crumrine D et al. (2006) Serine protease signaling of epidermal permeability barrier homeostasis. J Invest Dermatol 126:2074-86
- Ikoma A, Steinhoff M, Ständer S et al. (2006) The neurobiology of itch. Nat Rev Neurosci 7:535-47
- Johanek LM, Meyer RA, Friedman RM et al. (2008) A role for polymodal C-fiber afferents

in nonhistaminergic itch. *J Neurosci* 28: 7659–69

- Nakagawa TY, Brissette WH, Lira PD et al. (1999) Impaired invariant chain degradation and antigen presentation and diminished collagen-induced arthritis in cathepsin S null mice. Immunity 10:207–17
- Namer B, Carr R, Johanek LM *et al.* (2008) Separate peripheral pathways for pruritus in man. *J Neurophysiol* 100:2062–9
- Oikonomopoulou K, Hansen KK, Saifeddine M et al. (2006) Kallikrein-mediated cell signalling: targeting proteinase-activated receptors (PARs). *Biol Chem* 387:817–24
- Reddy VB, Iuga AO, Shimada S et al. (2008) Cowhage evoked itch is mediated by a novel cysteine protease — a ligand of protease activated receptors. J Neurosci 28:4331-5
- Schwarz G, Boehncke WH, Braun M *et al.* (2002) Cathepsin S activity is detectable in human keratinocytes and is selectively upregulated upon stimulation with interferon-γ. *J Invest Dermatol* 119:44–9

- Shpacovitch V, Feld M, Hollenberg MD et al. (2008) Role of protease-activated receptors in inflammatory responses, innate and adaptive immunity. J Leukoc Biol 83: 1309–22
- Stefansson K, Brattsand M, Roosterman D et al. (2008) Activation of proteinaseactivated receptor-2 by human kallikreinrelated peptidases. J Invest Dermatol 128:18–25
- Steinhoff M, Vergnolle N, Young SH *et al.* (2000) Agonists of proteinase-activated receptor 2 induce inflammation by a neurogenic mechnism. *Nat Med* 6:151–8
- Steinhoff M, Neisius U, Ikoma A *et al.* (2003) Proteinase-activated receptor 2 mediates itch: a novel pathway for pruritus in human skin. *J Neurosci* 23:6176–80
- Tsujii K, Andoh T, Lee JB *et al.* (2008) Activation of proteinase-activated receptors induces itch-associated responses through histaminedependent and independent pathways in mice. *J Pharmacol Sci* 108:385–8

IRF4 Expression without *IRF4* Rearrangement Is a General Feature of Primary Cutaneous Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma, Leg Type

Journal of Investigative Dermatology (2010) 130, 1470-1472; doi:10.1038/jid.2009.418; published online 7 January 2010

TO THE EDITOR

The involvement of the interferon regulatory factor 4 gene (IRF4), also known as multiple myeloma antigen 1 (MUM1), in balanced rearrangement or translocation has been recently observed in a subset of cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCLs), such as cutaneous anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (C-ALCL) and transformed mycosis fungoides (Feldman et al., 2009; Pham-Ledard et al., 2009). IRF4 expression reaches a high level in differentiated plasma cells and is also detectable by immunostaining in some activated T cells and melanocytes, with the latter providing internal positive controls on skin sections (Falini et al., 2000; Lu, 2008). Despite such a restricted immunostaining pattern, IRF4 is an essential regulator at multiple steps of B-cell differentiation, such as pre-B- cell differentiation, germinal center formation, immunoglobulin class switch recombination, and terminal differentiation of B cells to plasma cells, as shown in IRF4-deficient mice (reviewed in Shaffer et al., 2009). IRF4 is also essential for T-helper (Th) cell differentiation and is required for either Th2 or Th17 cell development (Brustle et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2009). An oncogenic role of IRF4 has first been supported by the identification of IRF4 involvement in the t(6;14)(p25;q32) translocation in some cases of multiple myeloma (MM) (lida et al., 1997). In t(6;14)(p25;q32), IRF4 is juxtaposed with the immunoglobulin heavy-chain gene locus leading to IRF4 deregulated expression (lida et al., 1997; Yoshida et al., 1999; Shaffer et al., 2008). Alternatively, IRF4 rearrangements in peripheral T-cell lymphoma do not

Abbreviations: FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; IRF4, interferon regulatory factor 4; MM, multiple myeloma; MUM1, multiple myeloma antigen 1; PCLBCL, leg type, primary cutaneous diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, leg type

commonly involve either the *TCRB* or the *TCRA* gene locus, as shown in eight C-ALCL and two systemic T-cell lymphomas (Feldman *et al.*, 2009).

Among primary cutaneous B-cell lymphomas, primary cutaneous diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, leg type (PCLBCL, leg type) is an original entity with poor prognosis that was first reported in 1996 and that mostly affects the leg(s) in elderly but may also arise at other sites in approximately 10% of cases (Vermeer et al., 1996; Willemze et al., 2005; Meijer et al., 2008). PCLBCL, leg type, differs from primary cutaneous follicle center lymphoma by the presence of confluent sheets of centroblasts and immunoblasts, many with a peculiar round cell morphology, which strongly express B-cell CLL/ lymphoma 2 (BCL2), IRF4, and forkhead box P1 (FOXP1) (Willemze et al., 2005; Meijer et al., 2008). Interestingly, round cell morphology, strong BCL2



Figure 1. Interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4) expression according to *IRF4* fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) pattern in three cases of cutaneous large **B-cell lymphoma**, leg type (scale bar = 5 μ m). (a, b, left panel) Skin sections of first case. (a) IRF4 immunostaining in most tumor cells. (b) Normal two fusion signals pattern showing *IRF4* normal status. (c, d, middle panel) Skin sections of second case. (c) IRF4 immunostaining in approximately 50% of tumor cells. (d) The three fusion signals pattern showing one extra copy of *IRF4* locus. (e, f, right panel) Skin sections of the third case. (e) IRF4 immunostaining in approximately 50% of tumor cells. (f) The one fusion signal pattern showing monoallelic deletion of *IRF4* locus.

expression (>50% of cells), IRF4 expression (>30% of cells), or FOXP1 expression have been used to differentiate PCLBCL, leg type, from primary cutaneous follicle center lymphoma with a diffuse pattern, although 10% of the latter may express BCL2, IRF4, or less frequently FOXP1 (Kodama et al., 2005; Senff et al., 2007). Strong BCL2 expression has been found in 85-100% of PCLBCL, leg type, whereas IRF4 expression was found in 68-90% of cases that may also depend on differences in fixation and antigen retrieval procedures or positivity threshold between series (Kodama et al., 2005; Grange et al., 2007; Senff et al., 2007). With some differences between series, each of these histological criteria has been reported as an independent adverse prognostic factor together with clinical parameters such as location on the leg or multiple skin lesions (Kodama et al., 2005; Grange et al., 2007; Senff et al., 2007). Conversely, IRF4 expression is a rare finding in other primary cutaneous B-cell lymphomas (Kodama et al., 2005; Willemze et al., 2005; Senff et al., 2007).

Owing to the oncogenic role of *IRF4* translocation in MM and the detection of IRF4 rearrangements in a subset of CTCL with IRF4 expression (Pham-Ledard *et al.*, 2009), we decided to analyze *IRF4* expression and

rearrangements in 29 cases of PCLBCL, leg type. Inclusion criteria were a complete clinical staging and followup to exclude lymphoma with secondary skin involvement. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections were used for immunostaining with an anti-MUM-1 antibody and for fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis of IRF4 status with break-apart probes, as reported recently (Pham-Ledard et al., 2009). The 29 patients (mean age 82 years) had a male:female ratio of 10:19 and presented typical lesions of PCLBCL, leg type, located on the leg (n=21), upper limb (n=4), trunk (n=1), or head and neck (n=3) (see Supplementary Table S1 online). Immunostaining was scored positive in 25 out of 29 analyzed cases (86%) with >50% of tumor cells expressing IRF4 (Figure 1a,c). It was scored negative in 4 out of 29 cases (14%) with <30% positive tumor cells. BCL2 immunostaining was scored positive in 26 out of 29 cases (90%) and negative in 3 out of 29 cases (10%) with no overlap with negative IRF4 immunostaining. No case showed a break-apart or split signal. A normal FISH pattern with two fusion signals was observed in 26 out of 29 cases (90%; Figure 1b). In two cases, one extra copy of IRF4 locus with three fusion signals was observed in 64 and 75% of tumor cells, respectively

(Figure 1d). A single case showed a deletion of one *IRF4* allele in 67% of tumor cells (Figure 1f), although this case showed a strong IRF4 immuno-reactivity (Figure 1e).

Our study clearly shows that the typical IRF4 expression by PCLBCL, leg type, is not associated with IRF4 gene rearrangement or amplification. Moreover, extra copy of IRF4 allele was not associated with an increase in IRF4 immunostaining pattern, as previously reported in CTCL (Pham-Ledard et al., 2009). Alternatively, the four PCLBCL, leg type, cases with negative IRF4 immunostaining showed a normal FISH pattern and the single case with IRF4 monoallelic deletion was strongly IRF4 positive. Therefore, IRF4 expression in PCLBCL, leg type, is likely to be the result of several mechanisms, including differentiation stage, epigenetic regulation, or other oncogenes deregulation. Recent data have shown that constitutive activation of the intrinsic-mediated apoptosis pathway with concomitant downstream inhibition of this pathway may support the cellular resistance of PCLBCL, leg type, to chemotherapy (van Galen et al., 2008). Moreover, RNA interference with IRF4 expression is lethal in MM cell lines, irrespective of IRF4 genetic status (Shaffer et al., 2009). Whether PCLBCL, leg type, is addicted to the presence of IRF4 and dependent upon its functions for tumor cell survival or proliferation has to be further analyzed.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Region Aquitaine, Ligue contre le Cancer, and Institut National contre le Cancer (INCa). We thank the following members of the French Study Group of Cutaneous Lymphoma for providing clinical information and/or a sample of their patients: Pr M Bagot and Dr J Wechsler, CHU Henri-Mondor, Créteil, France; Pr L Vaillant and Dr A de Muret, Tours, France; Dr S Dallac, CHU Dijon, Dijon, France; Dr Eve Maubec, CHU Bichat, Paris, France; Pr P Joly, Dr P Courville, CHU Rouen, Rouen, France; Dr B Balme, CHU E Herriot, Lyon, France; and Dr P Guillot, Clinique Wallerstein, Arès, France.

Anne Pham-Ledard¹, Martina Prochazkova-Carlotti¹, Béatrice Vergier², Tony Petrella³, Florent Grange⁴, Marie Beylot-Barry^{1,5} and Jean-Philippe Merlio^{1,2}, for the French Study Group of Cutaneous Lymphoma

¹Equipe 2406, Histology and Molecular Pathology of Tumors, University Bordeaux 2, Bordeaux, France; ²Department of Pathology and Tumor Biology, CHU Bordeaux, Pessac, France; ³Department of Pathology, CHU Dijon, Dijon, France; ⁴Department of Dermatology, CHU Reims, Reims, France and ⁵Department of Dermatology, CHU Bordeaux, Pessac, France E-mail: jp.merlio@u-bordeaux2.fr

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is linked to the online version of the paper at http://www.nature.com/jid

REFERENCES

- Brustle A, Heink S, Huber M et al. (2007) The development of inflammatory T(H)-17 cells requires interferon-regulatory factor 4. Nat Immunol 8:958–66
- Falini B, Fizzotti M, Pucciarini A et al. (2000) A monoclonal antibody (MUM1p) detects expression of the MUM1/IRF4 protein in a subset of germinal center B cells, plasma cells, and activated T cells. Blood 95:2084–92
- Feldman AL, Law M, Remstein ED et al. (2009) Recurrent translocations involving the IRF4 oncogene locus in peripheral T-cell lymphomas. *Leukemia* 23:574–80
- Grange F, Beylot-Barry M, Courville P et al. (2007) Primary cutaneous diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, leg type: clinicopathologic features and prognostic analysis in 60 cases. Arch Dermatol 143:1144–50
- Iida S, Rao PH, Butler M et al. (1997) Deregulation of MUM1/IRF4 by chromosomal translocation in multiple myeloma. Nat Genet 17:226–30
- Kodama K, Massone C, Chott A *et al.* (2005) Primary cutaneous large B-cell lymphomas: clinicopathologic features, classification, and prognostic factors in a large series of patients. *Blood* 106:2491–7
- Lu R (2008) Interferon regulatory factor 4 and 8 in Bcell development. *Trends Immunol* 29:487–92
- Meijer CLJM, Vergier B, Duncan LM *et al. Primary Cutaneous DLBCL, Leg Type.* 4th edn, vol. 1. International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon,, 2008, 242pp

- Pham-Ledard A, Prochazkova-Carlotti M, Laharanne E *et al.* (2009) *IRF4* gene rearrangements define a subgroup of CD30+ cutaneous T cell lymphoma: a study of 54 cases. *J Invest Dermatol*; e-pub ahead of print 8 October 2009
- Senff NJ, Hoefnagel JJ, Jansen PM et al. (2007) Reclassification of 300 primary cutaneous B-cell lymphomas according to the new WHO-EORTC classification for cutaneous lymphomas: comparison with previous classifications and identification of prognostic markers. J Clin Oncol 25:1581–7
- Shaffer AL, Emre NC, Lamy L et al. (2008) IRF4 addiction in multiple myeloma. Nature 454:226–31
- Shaffer AL, Emre NCT, Romesser PB et al. (2009) IRF4: immunity. Malignancy! Therapy? Clin Cancer Res 15:2954–61
- van Galen JC, Hoefnagel JJ, Vermeer MH *et al.* (2008) Profiling of apoptosis genes identifies distinct types of primary cutaneous large B cell lymphoma. *J Pathol* 215:340–6
- Vermeer M, Geelen F, van Haselen C et al. (1996) Primary cutaneous large B-cell lymphomas of the legs. A distinct type of cutaneous large B-cell lymphoma with an intermediate prognosis. Arch Dermatol 1996:1304–8
- Willemze R, Jaffe ES, Burg G et al. (2005) WHO-EORTC classification for cutaneous lymphomas. *Blood* 105:3768–85
- Yoshida S, Nakazawa N, Iida S *et al.* (1999) Detection of MUM1/IRF4-IgH fusion in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* 13:1812-6
- Zheng Y, Chaudhry A, Kas A *et al.* (2009) Regulatory T-cell suppressor program co-opts transcription factor IRF4 to control T(H)2 responses. *Nature* 458:351–6