brought to you by 🐰 CORE

Christidis *et al. The Journal of Headache and Pain* (2015) 16:104 DOI 10.1186/s10194-015-0588-3 The Journal of Headache and Pain a SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH ARTICLE





Repeated tender point injections of granisetron alleviate chronic myofascial pain - a randomized, controlled, doubleblinded trial

Nikolaos Christidis^{1,2*}, Shahin Omrani², Lars Fredriksson², Mattias Gjelset¹, Sofia Louca¹, Britt Hedenberg-Magnusson² and Malin Ernberg¹

Abstract

Background: Serotonin (5-HT) mediates pain by peripheral 5-HT₃-receptors. Results from a few studies indicate that intramuscular injections of 5-HT₃-antagonists may reduce musculoskeletal pain. The aim of this study was to investigate if repeated intramuscular tender-point injections of the 5-HT₃-antagonist granisetron alleviate pain in patients with myofascial temporomandibular disorders (M-TMD).

Methods: This prospective, randomized, controlled, double blind, parallel-arm trial (RCT) was carried out during at two centers in Stockholm, Sweden. The randomization was performed by a researcher who did not participate in data collection with an internet-based application (www.randomization.com). 40 patients with a diagnose of M-TMD according to the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD) were randomized to receive repeated injections, one week apart, with either granisetron (GRA; 3 mg) or isotonic saline as control (CTR).

Results: The median weekly pain intensities decreased significantly at all follow-ups (1-, 2-, 6-months) in the GRA-group (Friedman test; P < 0.05), but not in the CTR-group (Friedman-test; P > 0.075). The numbers needed to treat (NNT) were 4 at the 1- and 6-month follow-ups, and 3.3 at the 2-month follow-up in favor of granisetron.

Conclusions: Repeated intramuscular tender-point injections with granisetron provide a new pharmacological treatment possibility for myofascial pain patients with repeated intramuscular tender-point injections with the serotonin type 3 antagonist granisetron. It showed a clinically relevant pain reducing effect in the temporomandibular region, both in a short- and long-term aspect.

Trial registration: European Clinical Trials Database 2005-006042-41 as well as at Clinical Trials NCT02230371.

Keywords: Granisetron, 5-HT₃-receptor, Myofascial pain, Temporomandibular disorders, Human

Background

Musculoskeletal pain is the most common cause of reduced work capacity and sick leave, and as result a significant health problem. Already in 2003, the annual yearly costs in Europe were estimated to be 34 billion Euro [1]. The impact of musculoskeletal pain is not only

¹Department of Dental Medicine, Section for Orofacial Pain and Jaw Function, Karolinska Institutet, and the Scandinavian Center for Orofacial Neurosciences (SCON), Box 4064, Huddinge SE-141 04, Sweden ²Department of Clinical Oral Physiology at the Eastman Institute, Stockholm Public Dental Health, Dalagatan 11, Stockholm SE-113 24, Sweden the unpleasant sensory experience but also an emotional experience with feelings of failure, misery, guilt, alienation, and co-morbid depression [2, 3]. Temporomandibular disorders (TMD) is a collective term embracing chronic pain conditions affecting the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) or the masticatory muscles (myofascial TMD; M-TMD) and their associated structures [4]. TMD has a prevalence of approximately 10–20 % and is 1.5 to 2 times more prevalent in women [5–7]. It is often associated with restricted mouth opening capacity, pain upon chewing, muscle soreness and headache, thus affecting quality of life considerably although it is not life threatening.



© 2015 Christidis et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

^{*} Correspondence: Nikolaos.christidis@ki.se

The neurotransmitter serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine; 5-HT) is an important component of the chemical milieu during inflammation [8]. It is found in high concentrations in platelets, enterochromaffin cells, and in certain regions of the brain [9]. Peripherally, it is released from platelets and mast cells due to tissue damage or ischemia. 5-HT concentrations have been found to be significantly elevated in painful muscles of patients with chronic myalgia [10–12]. Evidence suggests that 5-HT activates the 5-HT₃-receptor to mediate muscle pain and mechanical sensitivity [13-15]. In a recent study it was shown that the 5-HT_{3A}-receptor is highly expressed in human masseter muscles and that more nerve fibers express 5-HT_{3A}-receptors in women with M-TMD compared to healthy women [16]. Therefore, targeting peripheral 5-HT₃-receptors with drugs that block these receptors could be an interesting therapeutic approach for chronic muscle pain.

Indeed, several specific 5-HT₃-antagonists have been tested experimentally and clinically for treatment of muscle pain. Experimentally, systemic administration of granisetron increased the pressure pain threshold (PPT) over healthy muscles [17], while local administration reduced experimental pain and allodynia [14, 18]. Systemic administration of tropisetron had a positive analgetic effect in fibromyalgia [19, 20], whereas ondansetron reduced postoperative pain and enhanced the postoperative analgesic effect of paracetamol [21]. However, systemic administration of 5-HT₃-antagonists is often associated with bothersome constipation which may affect treatment compliance. For localized pain conditions local administration has therefore been used with positive results [22, 23]. Even if these studies were not placebo-controlled, the combined results indicate that 5-HT₃-antagonists may be effective to use as a new, additional therapeutic approach for both acute and chronic muscle pain. In our knowledge, no randomized controlled study (RCT) has yet investigated the long-term effect of local administration of 5-HT₃-antagonists in chronic pain-conditions.

Consequently, this study was designed to investigate if local treatment with repeated intramuscular tender-point injections of granisetron could be effective in alleviating pain in patients with chronic M-TMD. The hypothesis was that local treatment with granisetron would be superior to isotonic saline (control-substance) regarding the outcome domains recommended for pain trials by the Initiative on Methods, Measurement, and Pain Assessment in Clinical Trials (IMMPACT) [24, 25].

Methods

Patients

Based on the information given on 1753 referrals for TMD, 437 patients with a main complaint M-TMD pain were subjected to a screening by the principal investigator (N.C.) who enrolled the patients. Forty patients (37

women and 3 men) were found eligible and included in this study; none declined participation (Fig. 1). According to the power calculation based on a previous study [26], inclusion of 17 patients in each group would be sufficient to detect a statistically significant difference of 30 % (SD 30 %), between interventions at a significance level of 5 % with a power of 80 %. In order to compensate for dropouts three additional patients were included in each group.

The inclusion criteria for the patients were; 1) age \geq 18 years, 2) a diagnosis of myofascial pain according to the Research Diagnostic Criteria for TMD Axis I (RDC/TMD) [27], 3) duration of TMD-pain \geq 3 months, 4) self-assessed average M-TMD pain intensity of \geq 30 mm on a 100-mm visual analogue scale (VAS) during one week prior to examination, and 5) pain upon digital palpation of the masseter and/or the temporalis muscles. The patients remained included with one or several co-diagnoses of; a) disc displacement with or without reduction [27], b) osteoarthrosis [27] in the any of the TMJs, and c) tension type headache [28].

The exclusion criteria for the patients were; 1) diagnosed systemic muscular or joint diseases (e.g. fibromyalgia, rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, psoriatic arthritis), 2) whiplash associated disorder, 3) neuropathic pain or neurological disorders (e.g. myasthenia gravis, orofacial dystonia), 4) history of psychiatric disorders, 5) pain of dental origin, 6) use of muscle relaxants or any medication that might influence the response to pain, 7) pregnancy or lactation, and 8) known hypersensitivity to granisetron.

The study was approved by the regional ethical review board in Stockholm, Sweden (2006/192-31/4) and by the Medical Products Agency in Uppsala, Sweden (151:2006/7947), registered in the European Clinical Trials Database (2005-006042-41) as well as at Clinical-Trials.gov (NCT02230371). The study was carried out in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki as well as the International Conference on Harmonisation Guideline for Good Clinical Practice. All participants received both written and verbal information prior to inclusion and gave their verbal and written consent.

Study protocol

This prospective, randomized, controlled, double blind, parallel-arm trial (RCT) follows the consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) statement [29, 30] and was carried out during the period of May 2006 to December 2014 at two centers, the specialist clinics for Orofacial Pain and Jaw Function, Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden and Clinical Oral Physiology at the Eastman Institute, Stockholm Public Dental Health (Folktandvården Stockholms län AB), Stockholm, Sweden.



The patients were divided randomly into two groups that received either granisetron or control substance. The randomization was performed by a researcher (ME) who did not participate in data collection with an internet-based application (www.randomization.com). The program generated a numbered list with the substances in random order in blocks of four. The list was kept hidden to the examiners during the entire study and the block size as well as substances not revealed until the last patient had undergone the last follow-up. The study substances have identical appearance, smell and viscosity so both the patients and examiners were blinded to group assignment. A research assistant assigned the patients to treatment substance in consecutive order according to the randomization list, prepared the syringes and marked them with patient number. Three orofacial pain specialists (NC, LF, BHM) and two DDS undergoing specialist training in orofacial pain (SO, SL), who were repeatedly calibrated to a gold standard examiner (ME) in the RDC/TMD examination during one day, performed the study.

The study comprised seven visits; V1) Screening for study participation and inclusion, V2-V4), Injection of

study substances, and V5) Follow-ups at 1-month. Those patients in any group that still reported more than 30 % improvement at the 1-month visit follow-up were scheduled for follow-ups also after 2- (V6) and 6-months (V7), Fig. 1.

Treatment

Treatment substances

Granisetron (KYTRIL°; 1 mg/mL, Roche, Stockholm, Sweden) was used as active treatment (GRA-group) and isotonic saline (NaCl; 0.9 mg/mL, Fresenius Kabi, Uppsala, Sweden) was used as control treatment (CTR-group). Granisetron is used to treat chemotherapy-induced and postoperative nausea and vomiting and is among the drugs that exhibit the most selective binding to the 5-HT₃-receptor, with an affinity to the 5-HT₃-receptor that is up to 40,000-fold of any other receptor. Granisetron is not dependent on the isoenzyme cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6), possibly leading to a good efficacy also in ultrarapid metabolizers and has, unlike the other 5-HT₃-receptor antagonists, a long half-life [31-33]. It is considered efficacious and safe with only few, passing side-effects. The chosen solution was slowly injected into a maximum of six muscle sites in each patient. The most painful tender-points to palpation of the masticatory muscles were chosen, maximum 3 per muscle. The injected volume into each tender-point was 0.5 mL. Thus the maximum dose of granisetron a patient could receive was 3 mg per treatment. The dose is equivalent to the dose of the 5-HT₃-receptor antagonist tropisetron used for trigger point injections in similar studies [23, 26, 34].

The injections were made perpendicular to the skin surface over the chosen tender-point with an angle of 90° using a 19-mm long needle (diameter 0.4 mm) from a 2-mL syringe. The solution was administered into each tender-point as a single shot during 10 s. In order to ensure intramuscular administration, during the study, the injections were guided by electromyography (Allergan AB, Stockholm, Sweden) in order to ensure intramuscular administration. The injections were repeated after 1- and 2-weeks in the most painful tender-points at that time.

Follow-ups

Follow-ups were performed by questionnaires, pain assessment, clinical examination and recordings of PPT. Patients who did not respond to treatment were offered additional treatment at the 1-month follow-up and were excluded from further analyses. They were thus regarded as non-responders in the intention-to-treat-analyses (ITT-analyses), Fig. 1.

Treatment outcome measures

The IMMPACT recommends six core domains to be reported in RCTs; participant disposition, pain intensity, physical functioning, emotional functioning, participant ratings of overall improvement and adverse events [24, 25]. The change in weekly pain intensity from baseline was the primary outcome measure. The other domains recommended by IMMPACT served as secondary outcome measures.

Additional outcome measures were changes in PPT, 5-HT platelet poor plasma (P-5-HT), as well as pain distribution.

Pain characteristics

Pain intensity was retrieved from the 1-week pain diary. This was composed of seven 0–100 mm visual analogue scales (VAS) with the endpoints marked "no pain" (0) and "worst experienced pain" (100) for daily assessments of the average orofacial pain intensity the week preceding each visit. For each patient the average pain intensity during that week was calculated (called weekly pain intensity). Several repeated assessments are shown to be a more valid measure of pain intensity than a single assessment [35]. The present study reports both 30 % and 50 % pain reduction, since \geq 30 % and \geq 50 % reductions of chronic pain respectively reflect a clinically relevant pain reduction and substantial improvement [36]. The patients further marked their pain distribution on two lateral views of the head, one for each side separately.

Physical functioning

Physical functioning was classified using the Graded Chronic Pain Scale (GCPS) [37] and the Jaw Disability Checklist (JDC), both included in the RDC/TMD Axis II questionnaire [27]. The GCPS severity scale is divided into two parts. The first part is used to assess characteristic pain intensity and the second part limitations in physical functioning due to pain. When assessing physical functioning a disability score (DP 0–6) is combined with pain intensity (0–100) as follows: Grade 0 = no TMD-pain in the previous 6 months; Grade I = low disability (<3 DP) and low intensity pain (<50); Grade II = low disability (<3 DP) and high intensity pain (>50); Grade III = high disability, moderately limiting (3–4 DP regardless of pain intensity); Grade IV = high disability, severely limiting (5–6 DP regardless of pain intensity).

JDC was used for assessment of limitation in jaw function. The JDC consists of twelve questions assessing to which extent TMD interferes with activities specifically related to the mandibular function. It has a maximum of 12 points where 0 = no limitation in any mandibular function and 12 = limitations in all mandibular functions.

In addition, the pain-free mouth opening and the maximum voluntary mouth opening (MVO), including the vertical overbite, was assessed in millimeters with a ruler.

Emotional functioning

The changes in emotional functioning were assessed using the modified SCL-90-R instrument in the RDC/ TMD Axis II questionnaire [27]. This includes twenty questions indicating depression and 12 questions indicating nonspecific physical symptoms (NSPhS). The total score is calculated (graded: 0–4). The degree of depression was classified as normal (<0.535), moderate (0.535-1.105) or severe (>1.105), while the classification for NSPhS is: normal (<0.5), moderate (0.5-1) and severe (>1).

Overall improvement

The patients overall improvement was assessed on a 6-point rating scale: 0 = symptom-free; 1 = much better; 2 = better; 3 = unchanged; 4 = worse; 5 = much worse. This scale is commonly used and validated [36, 38].

Adverse events

In order to estimate any adverse events the patients were asked to list any adverse event during the week following each injection. If any adverse event occurred they were asked to describe the event and to grad it as mild, moderate or severe.

Assessment of pressure pain threshold

To assess the PPT an electronic pressure algometer (Somedic Sales AB, Hörby, Sweden) was used. This device consists of a grip with a pressure-sensitive strain gauge at the tip and a display unit. The 1 cm² probe tip was covered with a 1 mm thick rubber pad to minimize the risk of irritation of the skin. The algometer was held perpendicular to the skin surface over the muscles and the pressure was increased at a standardized rate of 50 kPa per s. The patients were instructed to press a signal button when the sensation of "pressure" changed into "pain". This was first performed over the soft tissue close to the base of the thumb on the dorsal side of the right hand, in order to accustom the subject to the procedure. Recordings of PPT were made bilaterally over the most prominent points of the masseter muscles as well as over a reference point on the tip of the right index finger. An extra-cranial reference point was chosen to investigate any possible systemic effects by the study treatments. When assessing the PPT the mean value of 3 measurements with an interval of 2 min was used.

Blood sampling

A blood sample (5 mL) was collected from the decubital vein in EDTA-containing tubes for P-5-HT. The sample was immediately cooled and centrifuged (1700 G) for 30 min. Approximately 200 μ L of the supernatant was then collected with a pipette into polystyrene tubes and kept frozen at -80° until the analysis. The plasma samples were analyzed in our laboratory with a commercial

EIA-Serotonin kit (No IM1749, Immunotech, Beckman Coulter, Marseille, France). The kit has a sensitivity of 0.5 nM, and according to the manufacturer it has an intra-assay coefficient of variation between 8.9 % and 14.5 %, and an inter-assay coefficient variation between 9.9 % and 11.5 %.

The patients were asked to avoid tryptophan-rich food (e.g. eggs, milk, chicken, turkey, tomato, banana, pineapple, and chocolate) for 24 h before the examination to exclude any risk of interference with the analysis of 5-HT.

Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot software version 13.0 (Systat software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Normality of the data was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Descriptive data are reported as frequencies, means and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR). For analyses of group differences in frequencies χ^2 -test was used. For analyses of group differences of variables on a nominal scale t-test was used, while the Mann-Whitney U-test was used for variables on an ordinal scale. The Friedman's analysis of variance (ANOVA) on ranks with Dunn's test as post hoc test was used for analyses of changes between baseline data and follow-up measurements regarding pain variables and emotional functioning, while 2-way repeated measures ANOVA with Holm-Sidak as post hoc test was used for physical functioning and changes in PPT. The Pearson correlation-test with Bonferroni-correction for multiple comparisons was used to correlate the P-5-HT levels with baseline and outcome variables. The significance level was set at P < 0.05.

Results

The results are presented for both patient centers combined (Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden and Eastman Institute, Stockholm Public Dental Health (Folktandvården Stockholms län AB), Stockholm, Sweden) since there were no significant differences for any of the study outcomes between them.

There were no differences between the active treatment group (GRA-group) or the control group (CTRgroup) regarding demographic data (Table 1).

Further, there were no significant differences between the groups regarding baseline pain variables or selfreported clenching/grinding (Table 2). All patients reported moderate to severe tenderness to palpation of the masseter and/or temporalis muscles. The patients' distribution of diagnoses according to RDC/TMD Axis I are presented in Table 2. All patients had a diagnosis of myofascial pain. Most patients (87 %) also had other TMD diagnoses. No patient used any kind of centrally acting medication prior to or during the study.

active substance (GRA) or control substance (CTR)				
	GRA (<i>n</i> = 20)	CTR (n = 20)		
Sex				
Female	18	19		
Male	2	1		
Age				
Mean (SD)	38.3 (15.1)	39.1 (16.1)		
<20	1	1		
20-40	11	12		
>40	8	7		
Ethnic origin				
Scandinavia	14	15		
Other European countries	2	3		
Africa	1	0		
Asia	2	1		
South America	1	1		
Marital status				
Never married	8	6		
Married	9	12		
Divorced	3	2		
Highest level of education				
Elementary school	2	0		
High school	9	10		
College	9	10		

Table 1 Demographic data of 40 patients with myofascialtemporomandibular disorders randomized to treatment withactive substance (GRA) or control substance (CTR)

GRA Granisetron (Kytril[®]; 1 mg/mL, Roche, Stockholm, Sweden), *CTR* control substance (isotonic saline (NaCl); 0.9 mg/mL, Fresenius Kabi, Uppsala, Sweden). There were no significant group differences

There were no significant differences in mean (SD) P-5-HT levels between the groups at baseline (GRA: 43.5 (66.3); CTR-group: 97.4 (131.4) nmol/L).

Finally, no differences in demographic data, pain intensity, physical or emotional functioning and P-5-HT values were found between patients who completed the study and the drop-outs, and there were no differences in baseline variables between responders and non-responders to treatment.

Primary treatment outcome

The median weekly pain intensities are presented in Table 3. The median weekly pain intensities had decreased significantly at all follow-ups in the GRA-group (Friedman test; P < 0.05), but not in the CTR-group (Friedman-test; P > 0.075).

At the 1-month follow-up 80 % of the patients in GRA-group and 55 % in CTR-group reported a reduction of 30 % in weekly pain intensity (ITT-analysis). At the 2-month follow-up these frequencies were 60 % in the GRA-group and 30 % in the CTR-group, and at the

Table 2 Baseline pain variables, awareness of parafunctions anddiagnoses according to RDC/TMD Axis I in 40 patients withmyofascial temporomandibular disorders randomized to treatmentwith active substance (GRA) or control substance (CTR)

		y
	GRA (<i>n</i> = 20)	CTR (<i>n</i> = 20)
RDC/TMD Axis II		
Duration of myofascial pain (months)		
Mean (SD)	80 (54)	82 (66)
6-12 months	1	1
≥12 months	19	19
Frequency of myofascial pain		
Recurrent	5	8
Persistent	15	12
Current myofascial pain intensity (NRS 0–10)		
Median (IQR)	6.0 (4.0)	6.0 (4.0)
Worst myofascial pain intensity last 6 months (NRS 0–10)		
Median (IQR)	8.0 (2.0)	8.0 (2.0)
Average myofascial pain intensity last 6 months (NRS 0–10)		
Median (IQR)	5.0 (3.0)	6.0 (5.0)
Pain area (AU)		
Median (IQR)	5930 (5059)	3861 (13596)
Awareness of clenching/grinding		
Daytime	1	2
Nighttime	2	1
Both day- and nighttime	15	15
RDC/TMD Axis I		
Myofascial pain (la)	10	13
Myofascial pain (Ib)	10	7
Disc displacement with reduction (IIa)		
One side	5	5
Both sides	7	7
Arthralgia (IIIa)		
One side	1	0
Both sides	4	3
Osteoarthrosis (IIIc)		
One side	0	1
Both sides	1	1

GRA Granisetron (Kytril[®]; 1 mg/mL, Roche, Stockholm, Sweden), *CTR* control substance (isotonic saline (NaCl); 0.9 mg/mL, Fresenius Kabi, Uppsala, Sweden), *RDC/TMD* Research Diagnostic Criteria for temporomandibular disorders, *SD* Standard deviation, *IQR* Interquartile range (the 75th percentile minus the 25th percentile), *NRS* Numeric Rating Scale, *AU* Arbitrary units; There were no significant differences between groups

6-month follow-up 35 % and 10 %, respectively. All these frequencies differed significantly between the groups (Table 3).

	Baseline		1 month		2 months		6 months	
	GRA	CTR	GRA	CTR	GRA	CTR	GRA	CTR
Pain variables	n = 20	n = 20	n = 20	<i>n</i> = 18	n = 16	n = 8	<i>n</i> = 10	n = 5
Pain intensity	52 (29)	57 (24)	29 (41) ^a	29 (40)	32 (30) ^{ab}	36 (25)	24 (35) ^{ab}	34 (31)
Responders; ≥30 %	-	-	16 ^c	11	12 ^c	6	7 ^c	2
Responders; ≥50 %	-	-	8	8	7 ^d	4	5 ^d	1
Pain area	100	100	62.4 (95.9) ^e	76.5 (99.9)	62.9 (105.6) ^e	74.8 (149.1)	44.5 (141.3) ^e	81.2 (189.3)
Physical functioning	n = 19	<i>n</i> = 19	n = 20	<i>n</i> = 17	<i>n</i> = 16	n = 8	<i>n</i> = 10	n = 5
GCPS								
Grade 0	5	12	8	11	7	6	6	2
Grade I	4	1	6	1	1	1	1	2
Grade II	9	4	4	2	3	1	1	1
Grade III	0	1	1	3	3	0	2	0
Grade IV	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
JDC								
Score (0–12)	3 (5)	2 (4)	3 (5)	2 (4.5)	3 (4)	2 (5.5)	3 (5)	1 (3.5)
MVO								
Without pain (mm)	41.1 (9.3)	44.0 (10.9)	42.9 (9.3)	47.6 (9.4)	43.3 (9.4)*	46.9 (9.4)	47.2 (10.8)*	46.1 (6.2)
With pain (mm)	49.7 (7.5)	52.8 (10.0)	47.9 (8.2)	52.8 (9.5)	49.2 (7.5)	51.3 (9.8)	49.3 (10.5)	50.4 (7.5)

Table 3 Pain and physical functioning before (baseline) and after (1-, 2- and 6-month follow-ups) repeated tender-point injections with active substance (GRA) or control substance (CTR), in 40 patients with myofascial temporomandibular disorders

The table presents median (IQR) weekly pain intensity (VAS; 0–100 mm), number of responders to treatment (\geq 30 % and \geq 50 % decrease in pain intensity), median (IQR) pain area (%) normalized to baseline, distribution of physical functioning assessed with the Graded Chronic Pain Scale (GCPS) and median (IQR) limitation in jaw function assessed with the Jaw Disability Checklist (JDC) as well as the mean (SD) maximum voluntary mouth opening capacity (MVO) with and without pain

GRA Granisetron (Kytril[®]; 1 mg/mL, Roche, Stockholm, Sweden), CTR control substance (isotonic saline (NaCl); 0.9 mg/mL, Fresenius Kabi, Uppsala, Sweden), IQR Interquartile range (the 75th percentile minus the 25th percentile), SD Standard deviation, VAS Visual Analogue Scale, AU Arbitrary units

Physical functioning: GCPS: Grade 0 = no disability; Grade I = low disability and low intensity pain; Grade II = low disability and high intensity pain; Grade III = high disability and severely limiting.

^aSignificant decrease compared to baseline (Dunn's test: 1 month: P = 0.031; 2 months: P = 0.008; 6 months: P = 0.007. ^bSignificant difference compared to the CTR-group (Mann–Whitney *U*-test; 2-months: P = 0.009; 6-months: P = 0.031). ^cSignificant difference compared to the CTR-group (χ^2 -test; P < 0.001) ^dSignificant difference compared to the CTR-group (χ^2 -test; 2 months: P = 0.027; 6 months: P < 0.001). ^cSignificant difference (Holm Sidak's test; 1 month:

P = 0.039; 2 months: P = 0.039; 6 months: P = 0.042). *Significant difference compared to baseline (Holm Sidak's test; P < 0.001), but not in the CTR-group

The frequencies for a reported reduction of 50 % in weekly pain intensity were 40 % in both groups at the 1-month follow-up, 35 % in the GRA-group and 20 % in the CTR-group at the 2-month follow-up, and at the 6-month follow-up 25 % in the GRA-group and 5 % in the CTR-group. These frequencies differed significantly between the groups at the 2- and 6-month follow-ups (Table 3).

The numbers needed to treat (NNT) for a weekly pain reduction of 30 % were 4 at the 1-month follow-up, 3.3 at the 2-month follow-up and 4 at the 6-month follow-up in favor of granisetron (ITT-analysis). The NNT, for a weekly pain reduction of 50 % were 6.7 at the 2-month follow-up and 5 at the 6-month follow-up. The 1-month NNT could not be calculated because of an equal numbers of responders in both groups.

The pain area had decreased significantly with approximately 40 % at the 1- and 2-months follow-ups, and with 55 % at the 6-month follow-up in the GRA-group. There were no significant changes in the CTR-group (Table 3 and Fig. 2).

Secondary treatment outcomes Physical functioning

There were no significant differences between the groups in physical functioning according to the GCPS and the JDC, neither at baseline, nor at any of the follow-ups (Table 3).

The MVO increased significantly after treatment in the GRA-group (RM ANOVA; P < 0.001, but not in the CTR-group (RM ANOVA; P = 0.051). There were no significant differences between the groups at any time point (Table 3). There were no significant changes in MVO with pain within or between groups.

Emotional functioning

At baseline, most patients had moderate to severe scores for depression and NSPhS without group differences.



None of the scores differed significantly between responders (30 %, 50 % reduction in pain intensity) and non-responders to any treatment at baseline. The depression-scores decreased significantly over time, in both groups. The NSPhS-scores decreased significantly at the 2-, and 6-month follow-ups in the GRA-group only (Fig. 3).

Overall improvement

At the 1-month follow-up the majority of the patients in both groups (GRA-group: 80 % and CTR-group: 78 %) reported an overall improvement of "better", "much better" or "symptom-free" (ITT-analysis). At the 2-month follow the corresponding frequencies were 81 % and 62 % respectively, and at the 6-month follow-up 90 % in the GRA-group and 60 % in the CTR-group. The differences between groups were significant at the 2- and 6-month follow-ups (Table 4).

Adverse events

Four patients in both groups reported mild, short lasting adverse events, such as nausea, constipation, dizziness, hematoma and itching after the first injection of substance. These adverse events did not occur after the second and third injections. In the CTR-group one patient reported hives to the first injection and ended the participation, due to assumed allergic reaction.

Additional treatment outcomes

Changes in pressure pain threshold

The PPT over the masseter muscles and the reference point did not differ between groups at baseline and there were no significant differences between the right and left masseter muscles at baseline. Further, PPT did not change over time and there were no differences between substances at any time point (Fig. 4).

Correlations between outcome measures and P-5-HT

There were no significant correlations between P-5-HT values and pain or emotional function at baseline. Neither were there any significant correlations between treatment effects regarding pain characteristics or depression- and NSPhS-scores and P-5-HT values at baseline.

Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first RCT to show that local treatment with a 5-HT₃ blocker is effective for chronic muscle pain. It was shown that, compared to control, repeated intramuscular tender-point injections with granisetron into jaw muscles of patients with M-TMD had a clinically significant effect on pain intensity and pain area. The effect was still evident 6 months after treatment, when 35 % of the patients in the GRA-group reported a 30 % improvement of pain intensity.

The pain relieving results from this study support previous results that intramuscular injections of 5-HT₃-receptor antagonists are effective for a number of muscular pain conditions [23, 34]. Human experimental studies have shown that granisetron reduces acute experimental muscle pain intensity, pain area and allodynia induced by 5-HT, hypertonic saline and acidic saline [14, 18, 39]. In patients with fibromyalgia systemic administration of tropisetron (5 mg), reduced pain intensity, tender-point count and improved sleep [19, 20], indicating that 5-HT₃-receptor antagonists might even be efficacious in more generalized pain



conditions, such as fibromyalgia. Although recent studies suggest that peripheral nociceptive inputs, acting as "pain generators", play an important in maintaining the muscle sensitization in patients with fibromyalgia, indicating that local treatment of these "pain generators" to some extent could decrease pain in patients with fibromyalgia [40], a previous study with a local injection of granisetron into the masseter muscle of patients with fibromyalgia did not show any significant effect on pain or hyperalgesia [41]. However, this study only used a single injection and not repeated tender-point injections as the present and the pain reducing effect was only assessed during 30 min. Thus, there is a need to further investigate if repeated tender-point injections of 5-HT₃-receptor antagonists might have a pain reducing effect in generalized pain conditions such as fibromyalgia.

Table 4 Overall improvement at 1 month, 2 as well as 6 months after repeated tender-point injections with active substance (GRA) or control substance (CTR) in 40 patients with myofascial temporomandibular disorders

or control substance (em) in to patients with myoldscal temporomandibular disorders								
	1 month		2 months		6 months			
	GRA (<i>n</i> = 20)	CTR (<i>n</i> = 18)	GRA (<i>n</i> = 16)	CTR (n = 8)	GRA (<i>n</i> = 10)	CTR (<i>n</i> = 5)		
Overall improvement								
"No change" to "Worse"	4	4	3	3	1	2		
"Better" to "Symptom-free"	16	14	13*	5	9*	3		
"Better"	9	8	6	2	3	1		
"Much better" or "Symptom-free"	7	9	7	3	6	2		

GRA Granisetron (Kytril[®]; 1 mg/mL, Roche, Stockholm, Sweden), *CTR* control substance (isotonic saline (NaCl); 0.9 mg/mL, Fresenius Kabi, Uppsala, Sweden); *Significant difference compared to the CTR-group (χ^2 -test; 2 months: P = 0.005, 6-months: P < 0.001)



5-HT does not only evoke pain directly by 5-HT_3 -receptors, but also interacts with other substances in the chemical milieu around nociceptors. Thus, blocking of 5-HT_3 -receptors may inhibit pain by different mechanisms, which may explain the good analgesic effects. For example, tropisetron is reported to inhibit 5-HT-induced PGE₂ release from macrophage-like synovial cells in vitro [42] and to have an immunomodulatory function on cytokines [43, 44]. Another reason for its good long-term efficacy might be its route of metabolism by the liver, and that it unlike the other 5-HT₃-receptor antagonists has a long half-life, and is not dependent on the isoenzyme cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6), possibly leading to a good efficacy also in ultrarapid metabolizers [31–33].

As many as 81 % (2-month follow-up) and 90 % (6month follow-up) of the patients in the GRA-group reported an overall improvement of "better", "much better" or "symptom-free", which was significantly higher than the CTR-group with 62 % at the 2-month follow-up and 60 % at the 6-month follow-up, which is in line with previous intervention studies with other treatment modalities in chronic M-TMD [45–49].

The NNT in the GRA-group was 3.3 at the 2-month follow-up which is comparable to commonly used analgesics for acute orofacial pain ranging from NNT 1.8 (naproxen, ibuprofen) to NNT 4.5 (aspirin) [50], or topical NSAIDs for acute musculoskeletal conditions ranging from NNT 1.8 (diclofenac) to far over NNT 4 (the majority of topical NSAIDs) [51]. The NNT in the GRA-group was 4.0 at the long-term follow-up, which is better than salicylate-containing topical analgesics for chronic musculoskeletal pain (NNT 6.2) [52] It is also better than botulinum toxin type A for treatment of M-TMD pain that showed NNT values of 11 and 7, after 3 months and 6 months, respectively [53]. Hence, taken together one can consider intramuscularly administrated granisetron as an effective treatment modality for M-TMD. Previous studies in M-TMD patients have reported improved physical functioning after treatment, shown as lower GCPS severity and JDC-scores [45, 46]. This was not found in this study, although seemingly there was a change towards a lower severity grade in GCPS in the GRA-group. The lack of statistical significance might be due to low statistical power for the secondary outcomes as power calculation was based on pain intensity. However, there was a significant and clinically relevant increase in mouth opening capacity at the 6-months follow-up in the GRA-group indicating an improvement in jaw functioning.

TMD-pain is associated with high levels of depression and NSPhS, even higher than the other TMD diagnoses [54], as was also found in this study. In both groups depression- and NSPhS-scores decreased after treatment and the scores remained decreased over time. This is similar to results from cognitive-behavioral interventions [55] and occlusal appliance therapy [46]. The finding that also the CTR-group showed lower depression-scores is probably due to the decreased pain-intensity.

In both groups serious adverse events were absent, only a few patients reported mild and short-lasting adverse events after the first injections of test substances. Granisetron is considered efficacious and safe. However, systemic administration of 5-HT₃-blockers is commonly associated with bothersome side effects such as constipation, headache, and dizziness [9, 56]. Intramuscular tender-point injections therefore offers a tempting alternative since no side effects have been reported after local administration [14, 18, 26, 39, 41, 57, 58].

In contrast to several previous experimental studies [17, 18, 41, 57] there was no effect on PPT in this study. One explanation could be that the PPT was assessed the first time one week after each treatment session, whereas the previous studies assessed PPT during 30 min after injection, indicating that any possible effect on PPT is

short-lasting. Another reason might be related to sex. In the previous studies increased PPT was mainly found in men [10, 13, 17, 18, 59]. In this study the vast majority of the participants were women, indicating that there might be sex dependent differences.

Although there was a large difference between the GRA-group and CTR-group in mean P-5-HT levels this difference was not significant. Further, there were no correlations between P-5-HT levels and any of the outcome measures or differences between responders and non-responders to treatment. This contrasts a previous study using systemic ondansetron in 21 patients with fibromyalgia, in which the serum 5-HT levels seem to be lower at baseline in the responders [60].

The strengths with this study are the study design, i.e. a prospective, controlled and double-blind RCT performed in a well-characterized patient sample, that the outcome measures recommended by IMMPACT [24, 25] to be used in clinical pain trials were used, and that descriptive data is reported. Hence, data may also be included in future metaanalysis studies. However, even if the results are robust a limited number of patients participated, why the study should be replicated with a larger patient sample. It is also an efficacy study, i.e. conducted in tertiary care. Therefore future studies designed as effectiveness studies in general practice are warranted. The generalizability of the findings should also be investigated by studies in other chronic pain disorders both local and generalized, such as work-related trapezius myalgia or fibromyalgia. Further, there were technical problems with the blood samples. The first 14 (seven from each treatment group) were missing and therefore the results regarding P-5-HT levels must be considered cautiously. Also, one could argue that a limitation of this study is that no consideration was taken regarding the phase of menstrual cycle or the use of contraceptives during the treatment and follow-ups. However, the patients had four consecutive visits one week apart, i.e. during all phases of the menstrual cycle. Further, neither this nor our previous studies have taken the menstrual cycle into consideration since it has been shown that the intra-individual variability in the pain response is greater than the influence of estrogen [61]. Finally, one can discuss the inclusion criteria where chronic pain was defined as pain lasting for more than 3 months and not 6 months which some consider as normal healing phase. However, both 3 and 6 months pain duration is used as time frame in the definition of chronic pain [62, 63], and since no included patient had a duration for less than 12 months this did not affect the outcome of this study.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the results from the present study show that granisetron has a clinically relevant pain reducing effect, both in a short- and long-term aspect, in patients with chronic M-TMD. Thus, repeated intramuscular injections with granisetron may offer a novel and additional treatment approach for patients with chronic myalgia.

Abbreviations

TMD: Temporomandibular disorders; M-TMD: Myofascial temporomandibular disorders; TMJ: Temporomandibular joint; 5-HT: Serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine); PPT: Pressure pain threshold; IMMPACT: the Initiative on Methods, Measurement, and Pain Assessment in Clinical Trials; RDC/TMD: Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular disorders; VAS: Visual analogue scale; RCT: Randomized, controlled trial; GRA: Granisetron; CTR: Control; ITT: Intention-to-treat; NNT: Numbers-Needed-to-treat; P-5-HT: Serotonin platelet poor plasma; GCPS: Graded Chronic Pain Scale; JDC: Jaw Disability Checklist; DP: Disability score; MVO: Maximum voluntary mouth opening; NSPhS: Nonspecific physical symptoms; ANOVA: Analysis of variance.

Competing interests

The authors declared no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Author contributions

NCh wrote the manuscript, designed and performed the research, and analyzed the data. SO performed the research, analyzed the data, and participated in manuscript editing. LF performed the research, and participated in manuscript editing. MG analyzed the data, and participated in figure editing. SL performed the research, and participated in manuscript editing. BHM performed the research, and participated in manuscript editing. ME designed the research, and participated in manuscript editing.

Author information

NCh has a PhD and is an assistant professor as well as a senior dental officer, specialist in orofacial pain and jaw function, at the Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden. Further, chairman of Stockholm Dental Association and vice chairman of the Swedish Odontological Teachers at Karolinska Institutet.

SO is a DDS undergoing specialist training program in orofacial pain and jaw function, at the Eastman Institute, Stockholm Public Dental Health, Sweden. LF is has a PhD and is a senior dental officer, specialist in orofacial pain and jaw function, at the Eastman Institute, Stockholm Public Dental Health, Sweden. MG is an undergraduate student in dentistry at the Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden.

SL is a PhD-student and a DDS undergoing specialist training program in orofacial pain and jaw function, at the Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden.

BHM has a PhD, is a senior dental officer, specialist in orofacial pain and jaw function, and head of the department at the Eastman Institute, Stockholm Public Dental Health, Sweden.

ME is a professor as well as a senior dental officer, specialist in orofacial pain and jaw function, and head of the section for Orofacial Pain and Jaw Function at the Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Dental Society; the Swedish Research Council (K2009-52X-20944-01-1); the Stockholm County Council (ALF-project); the Karolinska Institutet/Stockholm County Council (SOF-project); the Swedish Rheumatism Association, and the Department of Dental Medicine at Karolinska Institutet.

Received: 12 September 2015 Accepted: 30 November 2015 Published online: 03 December 2015

References

- Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, Gallacher D (2006) Survey of chronic pain in Europe: prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. Eur J Pain 10(4):287–333. doi:10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.06.009
- Kelley P, Clifford P (1997) Coping with chronic pain: assessing narrative approaches. Soc Work 42(3):266–277

- Thomas SP (2000) A phenomenologic study of chronic pain. West J Nurs Res 22(6):683–699, discussion 699–705
- 4. Okeson JP (2013) Management of temporomandibular disorders and occlusion, 7th edn. Mosby, Elsevier Inc, St. Louis, Missouri
- LeResche L (1997) Epidemiology of temporomandibular disorders: implications for the investigation of etiologic factors. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 8(3):291–305
- Isong U, Gansky SA, Plesh O (2008) Temporomandibular joint and muscle disorder-type pain in U.S. adults: the National Health Interview Survey. J Orofac Pain 22(4):317–322
- Abud MC, dos Santos JF, da Cunha VP, Marchini L (2009) TMD and GOHAI indices of Brazilian institutionalised and community-dwelling elderly. Gerodontology 26(1):34–39. doi:10.1111/j.1741-2358.2008.00250.x
- Zeitz KP, Guy N, Malmberg AB, Dirajlal S, Martin WJ, Sun L, Bonhaus DW, Stucky CL, Julius D, Basbaum AI (2002) The 5-HT3 subtype of serotonin receptor contributes to nociceptive processing via a novel subset of myelinated and unmyelinated nociceptors. J Neurosci 22(3):1010–1019
- Haus U, Spath M, Farber L (2004) Spectrum of use and tolerability of 5-HT3 receptor antagonists. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 119:12–18
- Ernberg M, Hedenberg-Magnusson B, Alstergren P, Kopp S (1999) The level of serotonin in the superficial masseter muscle in relation to local pain and allodynia. Life Sci 65(3):313–325
- Ghafouri B, Larsson BK, Sjors A, Leandersson P, Gerdle BU (2010) Interstitial concentration of serotonin is increased in myalgic human trapezius muscle during rest, repetitive work and mental stress - an in vivo microdialysis study. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 70(7):478–486. doi:10.3109/00365513.2010.511257
- Dawson A, Ghafouri B, Gerdle B, List T, Svensson P, Ernberg M (2014) Effects of Experimental Tooth Clenching on Pain and Intramuscular Release of 5-HT and Glutamate in Patients with Myofascial TMD. Clin J Pain. doi:10.1097/AJP.00000000000154
- Ernberg M, Lundeberg T, Kopp S (2000) Pain and allodynia/hyperalgesia induced by intramuscular injection of serotonin in patients with fibromyalgia and healthy individuals. Pain 85(1–2):31–39
- Ernberg M, Lundeberg T, Kopp S (2000) Effect of propranolol and granisetron on experimentally induced pain and allodynia/hyperalgesia by intramuscular injection of serotonin into the human masseter muscle. Pain 84(2–3):339–346
- Sung D, Dong X, Ernberg M, Kumar U, Cairns BE (2008) Serotonin (5-HT) excites rat masticatory muscle afferent fibers through activation of peripheral 5-HT3 receptors. Pain 134(1–2):41–50. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2007.03.034
- Christidis N, Kang I, Cairns BE, Kumar U, Dong X, Rosen A, Kopp S, Ernberg M (2014) Expression of 5-HT3 receptors and TTX resistant sodium channels (Na(V)1.8) on muscle nerve fibers in pain-free humans and patients with chronic myofascial temporomandibular disorders. J Headache Pain 15:63. doi:10.1186/1129-2377-15-63
- Christidis N, Kopp S, Ernberg M (2005) The effect on mechanical pain threshold over human muscles by oral administration of granisetron and diclofenac-sodium. Pain 113(3):265–270
- Christidis N, Ioannidou K, Milosevic M, Segerdahl M, Ernberg M (2008) Changes of hypertonic saline-induced masseter muscle pain characteristics, by an infusion of the serotonin receptor type 3 antagonist granisetron. J Pain 9(10):892–901. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2008.05.002
- Farber L, Stratz TH, Bruckle W, Spath M, Pongratz D, Lautenschlager J, Kotter I, Zoller B, Peter HH, Neeck G, Welzel D, Muller W, German Fibromyalgia Study G (2001) Short-term treatment of primary fibromyalgia with the 5-HT3-receptor antagonist tropisetron. Results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter trial in 418 patients. Int J Clin Pharmacol Res 21(1):1–13
- Haus U, Varga B, Stratz T, Spath M, Muller W (2000) Oral treatment of fibromyalgia with tropisetron given over 28 days: influence on functional and vegetative symptoms, psychometric parameters and pain. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 113:55–58
- Bhosale UA, Khobragade R, Naik C, Yegnanarayan R, Kale J (2015) Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to investigate the pharmacodynamic interaction of 5-HT3 antagonist ondansetron and paracetamol in postoperative patients operated in an ENT department under local anesthesia. J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol 26(3):217–222. doi:10.1515/jbcpp-2014-0070
- Manna V, Bolino F, Di Cicco L (1994) Chronic tension-type headache, mood depression and serotonin: therapeutic effects of fluvoxamine and mianserine. Headache 34(1):44–49
- 23. Stratz T, Muller W (2004) Treatment of chronic low back pain with tropisetron. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 119:76–78

- Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, Jensen MP, Katz NP, Kerns RD, Stucki G, Allen RR, Bellamy N, Carr DB, Chandler J, Cowan P, Dionne R, Galer BS, Hertz S, Jadad AR, Kramer LD, Manning DC, Martin S, McCormick CG, McDermott MP, McGrath P, Quessy S, Rappaport BA, Robbins W, Robinson JP, Rothman M, Royal MA, Simon L, Stauffer JW, Stein W, Tollett J, Wernicke J, Witter J, Immpact (2005) Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain 113(1–2):9–19. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2004.09.012
- 25. Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Wyrwich KW, Beaton D, Cleeland CS, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, Jensen MP, Kerns RD, Ader DN, Brandenburg N, Burke LB, Cella D, Chandler J, Cowan P, Dimitrova R, Dionne R, Hertz S, Jadad AR, Katz NP, Kehlet H, Kramer LD, Manning DC, McCormick C, McDermott MP, McQuay HJ, Patel S, Porter L, Quessy S, Rappaport BA, Rauschkolb C, Revicki DA, Rothman M, Schmader KE, Stacey BR, Stauffer JW, von Stein T, White RE, Witter J, Zavisic S (2008) Interpreting the clinical importance of treatment outcomes in chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. J Pain 9(2):105–121. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2007.09.005
- Muller W, Stratz T (2004) Local treatment of tendinopathies and myofascial pain syndromes with the 5-HT3 receptor antagonist tropisetron. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 119:44–48
- Dworkin SF, LeResche L (1992) Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. J Craniomandib Disord 6(4):301–355
- Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache S (2004) The International Classification of Headache Disorders: 2nd edition. Cephalalgia 24(Suppl 1):9–160
- Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, Group C (2010) CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. Bmj 340: c332. doi:10.1136/bmj.c332
- Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gotzsche PC, Devereaux PJ, Elbourne D, Egger M, Altman DG, Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials G (2010) CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. J Clin Epidemiol 63(8):e1–37. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.03.004
- Ho KY, Gan TJ (2006) Pharmacology, pharmacogenetics, and clinical efficacy of 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 receptor antagonists for postoperative nausea and vomiting. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 19(6):606–611. doi:10.1097/01.aco.0000247340.61815.38
- 32. Gan TJ (2005) Selective serotonin 5-HT3 receptor antagonists for postoperative nausea and vomiting: are they all the same? CNS Drugs 19(3):225–238
- Neafsey P, Ginsberg G, Hattis D, Sonawane B (2009) Genetic polymorphism in cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6): Population distribution of CYP2D6 activity. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev 12(5–6):334–361. doi:10.1080/10937400903158342
- Stratz T, Farber L, Muller W (2002) Local treatment of tendinopathies: a comparison between tropisetron and depot corticosteroids combined with local anesthetics. Scand J Rheumatol 31(6):366–370
- Jensen MP, McFarland CA (1993) Increasing the reliability and validity of pain intensity measurement in chronic pain patients. Pain 55(2):195–203
- Farrar JT, Young JP Jr, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM (2001) Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. Pain 94(2):149–158
- Von Korff M, Ormel J, Keefe FJ, Dworkin SF (1992) Grading the severity of chronic pain. Pain 50(2):133–149
- McQuay HJ, Moore RA (1998) An evidence-based resource for pain relief. Oxford University Press, USA
- Louca S, Ernberg M, Christidis N (2013) Influence of intramuscular granisetron on experimentally induced muscle pain by acidic saline. J Oral Rehabil 40(6):403–412. doi:10.1111/joor.12046
- 40. Giamberardino MA, Affaitati G, Fabrizio A, Costantini R (2011) Effects of treatment of myofascial trigger points on the pain of fibromyalgia. Curr Pain Headache Rep 15(5):393–399. doi:10.1007/s11916-011-0205-3
- 41. Ernberg M, Lundeberg T, Kopp S (2003) Effects on muscle pain by intramuscular injection of granisetron in patients with fibromyalgia. Pain 101(3):275–282
- Seide MF, Ulrich-Merzenich G, Fiebich B, Candelario-Jalil E, Koch FW, Vetter H (2004) Tropisetron inhibits serotonin-induced PGE2 release from macrophage-like synovial cells in serum-free tissue culture. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 119:33
- Gregory RE, Ettinger DS (1998) 5-HT3 receptor antagonists for the prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. A comparison of their pharmacology and clinical efficacy. Drugs 55(2):173–189

- Schneider EM, Ma X, Stratz T, Muller W, Lorenz I, Seeling WD (2004) Immunomodulatory function of the 5-HT3 receptor antagonist tropisetron. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 119:34–40
- 45. Christidis N, Doepel M, Ekberg E, Ernberg M, Le Bell Y, Nilner M (2014) Effectiveness of a prefabricated occlusal appliance in patients with temporomandibular joint pain: a randomized controlled multicenter study. J Oral Facial Pain Headache 28(2):128–137. doi:10.11607/ofph.1216
- Doepel M, Nilner M, Ekberg E, LE Bell Y (2012) Long-term effectiveness of a prefabricated oral appliance for myofascial pain. J Oral Rehabil 39(4):252–260. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.2011.02261 x
- Nilner M, Ekberg E, Doepel M, Andersson J, Selovuo K, Le Bell Y (2008) Short-term effectiveness of a prefabricated occlusal appliance in patients with myofascial pain. J Orofac Pain 22(3):209–218
- Ekberg E, Nilner M (2002) A 6- and 12-month follow-up of appliance therapy in TMD patients: a follow-up of a controlled trial. Int J Prosthodont 15(6):564–570
- Ekberg E, Nilner M (2004) Treatment outcome of appliance therapy in temporomandibular disorder patients with myofascial pain after 6 and 12 months. Acta Odontol Scand 62(6):343–349. doi:10.1080/00016350410010063
- Derry S, Wiffen PJ, Moore RA (2011) Relative efficacy of oral analgesics after third molar extraction–a 2011 update. Br Dent J 211(9):419–420. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2011.905
- Derry S, Moore RA, Gaskell H, McIntyre M, Wiffen PJ (2015) Topical NSAIDs for acute musculoskeletal pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 6, CD007402. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007402.pub3
- Derry S, Matthews PR, Wiffen PJ, Moore RA (2014) Salicylate-containing rubefacients for acute and chronic musculoskeletal pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 11, CD007403. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007403.pub3
- Ernberg M, Hedenberg-Magnusson B, List T, Svensson P (2011) Efficacy of botulinum toxin type A for treatment of persistent myofascial TMD pain: a randomized, controlled, double-blind multicenter study. Pain 152(9):1988–1996. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2011.03.036
- Lindroth JE, Schmidt JE, Carlson CR (2002) A comparison between masticatory muscle pain patients and intracapsular pain patients on behavioral and psychosocial domains. J Orofac Pain 16(4):277–283
- Dworkin SF, Turner JA, Wilson L, Massoth D, Whitney C, Huggins KH, Burgess J, Sommers E, Truelove E (1994) Brief group cognitive-behavioral intervention for temporomandibular disorders. Pain 59(2):175–187
- Spath M, Stratz T, Farber L, Haus U, Pongratz D (2004) Treatment of fibromyalgia with tropisetron–dose and efficacy correlations. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 119:63–66
- Christidis N, Nilsson A, Kopp S, Ernberg M (2007) Intramuscular injection of granisetron into the masseter muscle increases the pressure pain threshold in healthy participants and patients with localized myalgia. Clin J Pain 23(6): 467–472. doi:10.1097/AJP.0b013e318058abb1
- Samborski W, Stratz T, Mackiewicz S, Muller W (2004) Intra-articular treatment of arthritides and activated osteoarthritis with the 5-HT3 receptor antagonist tropisetron. A double-blind study compared with methylprednisolone. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 119:51–54
- Garcia E, Godoy-Izquierdo D, Godoy JF, Perez M, Lopez-Chicheri I (2007) Gender differences in pressure pain threshold in a repeated measures assessment. Psychol Health Med 12(5):567–579. doi:10.1080/13548500701203433
- Hrycaj P, Stratz T, Mennet P, Muller W (1996) Pathogenetic aspects of responsiveness to ondansetron (5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 receptor antagonist) in patients with primary fibromyalgia syndrome–a preliminary study. J Rheumatol 23(8):1418–1423
- Sherman JJ, LeResche L (2006) Does experimental pain response vary across the menstrual cycle? A methodological review. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 291(2):R245–256. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00920.2005
- 62. Merskey H, Bogduk N (1994) Classification of chronic pain Descriptions of chronic pain synromes and definitions of pain terms, 2nd edn. IASP press, Seattle, USA
- Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, Benoliel R, Cohen M, Evers S, Finnerup NB, First MB, Giamberardino MA, Kaasa S, Kosek E, Lavand'homme P, Nicholas M, Perrot S, Scholz J, Schug S, Smith BH, Svensson P, Vlaeyen JW, Wang SJ (2015) A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. Pain 156(6): 1003–1007. doi:10.1097/j.pain.00000000000160

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com