Evidence for Widespread Reticulate Evolution within Human Duplicons
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Approximately 5% of the human genome consists of segmental duplications that can cause genomic mutations and may play a role in gene innovation. Reticulate evolutionary processes, such as unequal crossing-over and gene conversion, are known to occur within specific duplicon families, but the broader contribution of these processes to the evolution of human duplications remains poorly characterized. Here, we use phylogenetic profiling to analyze multiple alignments of 24 human duplicon families that span >8 Mb of DNA. Our results indicate that none of them are evolving independently, with all alignments showing sharp discontinuities in phylogenetic signal consistent with reticulation. To analyze these results in more detail, we have developed a quartet method that estimates the relative contribution of nucleotide substitution and reticulate processes to sequence evolution. Our data indicate that most of the duplications show a highly significant excess of sites consistent with reticulate evolution, compared with the number expected by nucleotide substitution alone, with 15 of 30 alignments showing a >20-fold excess over that expected. Using permutation tests, we also show that at least 5% of the total sequence shares 100% sequence identity because of reticulation, a figure that includes 74 independent tracts of perfect identity >2 kb in length. Furthermore, analysis of a subset of alignments indicates that the density of reticulation events is as high as 1 every 4 kb. These results indicate that phylogenetic relationships within recently duplicated human DNA can be rapidly disrupted by reticulate evolution. This finding has important implications for efforts to finish the human genome sequence, complicates comparative sequence analysis of duplicon families, and could profoundly influence the tempo of gene-family evolution.

Introduction

Sequence evolution can be described as reticulate (or concerted) if it results in a network of relationships between distinct sequences rather than simple ancestor-descendant relationships. Although such relationships can be caused by recombination between two or more genomes, which is common among lentiviruses such as HIV-1 (Rhodes et al. 2005), they are most commonly associated with paralogous sequence families (e.g., see Newman and Trask 2003; Rozen et al. 2003), where both nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR) and gene conversion between alleles or paralogues can occur within a single genome. Our understanding of these processes in humans has improved dramatically over the last few years. Rates of gene conversion have been estimated within specific loci by use of techniques such as small-pool PCR (Jeffreys et al. 2004) or repeat-specific PCR within well-characterized repeat pairs (Bosch et al. 2004). Some specific NAHR events that lead to clinical phenotypes as a result of the deletions/duplications they promote have also been dissected in detail (Hurles 2001; Saitta et al. 2004).

Despite these advances, the frequency and patterns of reticulation events within the vast majority of human duplicons are difficult to analyze, because of the lack of associated phenotypes and the number and complexity of sequence repeats. Comparative analysis of sequence data is therefore often used to infer these events, and specific NAHR and conversion events are being identified at a growing number of human genes, including Opsin, Complement C4, and HLA genes (Jakobsen et al. 1998; Jaatinen et al. 2002; Verrelli and Tishkoff 2004). With the near-completion of the human genome sequence, there is now an opportunity to initiate more-extensive analyses of reticulate evolution within our genome. This is particularly important within the ~5% of the finished human genome sequence that consists of recently formed segmental duplications (Bailey et al. 2002; She et al. 2004a). These
regions contain >6% of all human RefSeq exons (Bailey et al. 2002) and harbor examples of both novel chimeric transcripts and genes of unknown function that have undergone rapid positive selection (Johnson et al. 2001; Crosier et al. 2002; Ruault et al. 2003; She et al. 2004a; Ciccarelli et al. 2005). These sequences are also of clinical importance: a large number of duplication/deletion syndromes—such as Charcot-Marie-Tooth, velocardiofacial (VCFS), DiGeorge, William, and Prader-Willi syndromes—are due to recombination between non-allelic copies of specific dupilon families (reviewed by Shaw and Lupski [2004]), whereas structural variation associated with duplons (Iafrate et al. 2004; Sebat et al. 2004) can predispose to pathological rearrangements in specific cases (Gimelli et al. 2003). Knowledge of the patterns of reticulation is, therefore, central to our understanding both of genomic mutations that cause disease and of gene evolution. Furthermore, many of the remaining gaps in the human sequence lie within duplicated DNA, and a significant amount of duplicated sequence remains to be integrated into the overall reference sequence (International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium [IHGSC] 2004). If reticulation is common within this sequence, it may pose a further obstacle to the completion of the human sequence map. It is clear, therefore, that patterns of reticulate evolution within human DNA must be assessed.

Many methods routinely used to identify patterns of reticulate evolution require multiple sequence alignments, rather than pairwise alignments, and are complicated by the fact that the products of unequal crossing-over and gene conversion are often indistinguishable at the sequence level (reviewed by Drouin et al. [1999]). Most use sliding-window analyses and/or deviations from trees of sequence relationships to define discontinuities in phylogenetic signal (e.g., Sawyer 1989; Guttman and Dykhuizen 1994; Maynard Smith and Smith 1998; Proutski and Holmes 1998; Weißler 1998; McGuire and Wright 2000; Hurles 2001; Husmeier and McGuire 2003). The fact that these analyses vary extensively in their power, sensitivity, and computational cost has led to the suggestion that multiple methods should be employed in a coordinated fashion (Wiuf et al. 2001). Here, we use a combination of phylogenetic profiling (Weißler 1998), permutation tests (Sawyer 1989; Hurles 2001), and a novel quartet method to assess the relative contribution of reticulation and nucleotide substitution to the evolution of >8 Mb of recently duplicated human DNA. Our results show that reticulation is endemic within these closely related human duplications and, in many instances, has led to a 20-fold excess of sites consistent with reticulation relative to the expected value. This indicates that completion of the human sequence map will be even more difficult than is currently realized and that the contribution of reticulation events to the evolution of gene families may be greater than is currently appreciated.

Material and Methods

Generation of Multiple Alignments

Sequences of individual BAC clones that map to regions rich in segmental duplications (see the “Results” section) were analyzed using NIX (Williams et al. 1998). Paralogues were identified through inspection of BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) output, and individual clones that maximized the overlap of distinct paralogues were identified using Pairwise FLAG (see Web Resources). Sequences were aligned using the Clustal V implementation within the Lasergene suite of software (DNASTAR) by use of a gap-creation penalty of 10 and a gap-extension penalty of 3, to favor gap extension in regions with high mutation rates, such as di- and tetrancleotide repeats. Terminal regions of nonalignment were subsequently trimmed, and each alignment was manually edited to ensure that regions of poor/arbitrary alignment were optimized through the introduction of gap characters. All alignments were then stripped of all positions containing one or more gaps, and PAUP version 4.0 b10 (Swofford 2003) was used to construct neighbor-joining (NJ) trees under a Felsenstein 84 (F84) model of evolution with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. To remove ambiguity in tree topology, if any tree contained a node with <90% bootstrap support, then one sequence at that node was removed from the alignment and the tree was reconstructed. If necessary, this process was repeated until all nodes had >90% bootstrap support. Two alignments were retained within the data set despite the presence of one weak node (Morph-1 and SMA-1), because removal of further sequences did not improve the bootstrap values of the reduced trees. Trees were also generated from these alignments by use of maximum parsimony, and, in all cases, the topology was identical to the NJ trees.

A total of 30 alignments generated in this way were used for subsequent analysis. The criteria for inclusion of an alignment in the data set were the presence of a minimum of four distinct sequences (to allow the use of quartet methods) and sequence identity across >15 kb. These alignments therefore only represent duplicon families with ≥4 copies that have been sequenced as part of the human genome project. When necessary because of uneven clone overlaps or duplications extending over multiple clones, a single duplicon was represented by more than one nonoverlapping alignment. In addition, two alignments (chAB4 and Morpheus) were each split into two separate alignments because of the presence of two diverged clades, which effectively removed one long internal branch (see generation of alignment data set in the “Results” section).
These alignments and their NJ trees represent the primary data (referred to as “CpG-positive data”). A parallel set of data consisting of all alignments in which CpG dinucleotides had been removed was also generated, and NJ trees were developed as described above (referred to as “CpG-negative data”). The CpG-negative alignments are only 1.4%–3.8% shorter than the CpG-positive alignments but contain 18%–47% fewer variable positions (not shown), which illustrates the high frequency of mutations within CpG dinucleotides. In several cases, the bootstrap values within the CpG-negative trees fell below 90%. However, in only one case (Morph-1) was the topology of the CpG-negative tree different from that of the CpG-positive tree.

In addition to the test data, 13 multiple alignments were generated using primate sequences from nine genes within Target 1 of the National Institutes of Health Intramural Sequencing Center (NISC) comparative vertebrate sequencing initiative (see NISC Comparative Vertebrate Sequencing Web site). This encompasses ∼1.5 Mb of DNA surrounding the CFTR locus in 7q31.2 and includes the WNT2, CAV1, MET, and ST7 genes. These alignments, which exceed 270 kb in total length and include >1.8 Mb of sequence from up to seven primate species, are control alignments because the sequence is single copy and levels of reticulation are assumed to be low. However, it is noteworthy that the mean pairwise distances within these trees are larger than those of the test data set (0.042 vs. 0.01) because of the evolutionary relationships between the species used.

**Generation of Simulated Data**

One hundred simulated alignments for each CpG-positive and CpG-negative alignment were artificially evolved with Seq-Gen (Rambaut and Grassly 1997) by use of the appropriate alignment length, tree topology, and branch lengths from each NJ tree. In all cases, a F84 model of evolution was used, and both the nucleotide frequencies and the transition/transversion rates were taken from the observed data.

**Phylogenetic Profiling and Network Analysis**

Phylogenetic profiling was performed using PhylPro (Weiller 1998). This method correlates local pairwise distance measures between sequence windows on both sides of a single position within a multiple alignment. By use of sliding windows of fixed size, putative recombination sites can be identified as sharp changes in correlation between distance measures in the two windows. In all cases, a range of window sizes from 15 to 80 parsimony-informative sites and 40–120 variable sites were analyzed because the optimal window size is influenced by the level of divergence between sequences in the alignment and by the nature of reticulation within the data. In each case, a simulated data set (see the “Generation of Simulated Data” section) was used to generate a control profile and was analyzed using the same parameters. All network analyses were performed using SplitsTree 4 (D. H. Huson and D. Bryant, unpublished data; see Algorithms in Bioinformatics: SplitsTree4 Web site), with parsimony splits and equal angle display.

**Quartet Analysis**

A method to identify evidence of reticulation was developed that examines the patterns of character states at positions within a multiple alignment that do not support the best tree generated from that alignment. Unlike other methods that adopt this approach, such as the homoplasy test used to assess horizontal gene transfer in bacteria (Maynard Smith and Smith 1999), this method does not require the estimation of alternative trees between taxa and only analyzes specific subsets of quartets within the data. Consider the alignment of four sequences that evolved with Seq-Gen (Rambaut and Grassly 1997) by use of the appropriate alignment length, tree topology, and branch lengths from each NJ tree. In all cases, a F84 model of evolution was used, and both the nucleotide frequencies and the transition/transversion rates were taken from the observed data.

**Figure 1** Examples of reticulate and bimutational quartets. See description of quartet classification in the “Material and Methods” section.
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Quartet Analysis of Tract Length

We can use quartet analysis to establish the length of tracts that are consistent with reticulation between two sequences. We confine our analysis to tracts that contain at least one reticulate quartet. We designate a tract beginning at position \( l_1 \) and ending at \( l_s \) as consistent with reticulation between the sequences \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) if \( s_{1j} = s_{2j} \) for \( l_1 < l < l_s \), \( s_{1b} \neq s_{2b} \), and \( s_{1l} \neq s_{2l} \) and if there is at least one position \( l_s < l < l_{s'} \), for which there is a pair of sequences \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) that fulfill the phylogeny \( (s_{1j}, s_{2j}), (s_{1b}, s_{2b}), (s_{1l}, s_{2l}) \) and where \( s_{1s} = s_{2s} \) and \( s_{1l} \neq s_{2l} \). The length of such a tract is \( L = l_{s'} - l_s - 1 \).

The average tract length overall for sequence pairs of a data set was determined and was compared with the distribution derived from 1,000 control data sets generated by random permutation of the alignment positions. An average tract length longer than expected is consistent with reticulation. Tracts longer than the 99th percentile tract in the control data sets were retained for further analysis. To generate a minimal set of tracts, overlapping pairwise tracts with one sequence in common were assumed to be due to the same event, and the shorter one was discarded.

Partition Analysis of Reticulation Event Number

In a subset of alignments, the expected frequency of reticulate quartets is negligible (see the “Results” section), which makes it possible to assume that all of the reticulate quartets within the observed data are due to reticulation events. In these cases, it is possible to examine the distribution of reticulate quartets and to estimate the minimum number of reticulation events required to produce the observed data. This analysis was performed on the CpG-negative data by analyzing the output from the Partimatrix program (Jakobsen et al. 1997). This displays the consistency of all partitions within the data set. Each parsimony-informative site with two character states supports a single partition within the data, consisting of two groups of taxa, each with a single character at that position. The tree that describes the phylogenetic relationship between the sequences in the alignment will be supported by one partition for each internal branch. Partitions that do not support the tree are interpreted as being due to homoplasious substitutions (i.e., independent substitutions to the same character state) and/or reticulation events. When the expected frequency of reticulate quartets is negligible under a model of evolution by nucleotide substitution, it means that few or no homoplasious changes are expected to be observed, given the tree topology, branch length, and sequence length. Under these exceptional circumstances, it follows that observed partitions that do not support the tree are due to reticulation events.

As an example of this method, the cladogram for one alignment (c9orf36) is shown in figure 2A. All the partitions supported by parsimony-informative sites within the alignment are represented in the partition matrix shown in figure 2B. The three partitions compatible with the tree are to the left of the vertical dotted line, those incompatible with the tree are to the right. The minimum number of events can be defined by counting the number of independent runs of sites that are incompatible with the tree. For the example shown here, there are a minimum of four reticulation events, calculated as follows. Position 1 (352 bp) is incompatible with the tree and so is defined as a reticulation event. The six sites between 1648 bp and 1861 bp (inclusive) represent a second event because they are incompatible with the tree but are all compatible with each other (i.e., partition 1A and 1B can be present on the same tree). However, the adjacent site (position 1935) is incompatible both with the tree and with partition 1B and so is indicative of a third reticulation event. The fourth and final reticulation is defined by positions 5904 bp and 7427 bp, which are incompatible with the tree. Although they are separated by two positions in partition 1D (6496 bp and 6801 bp), all three partitions (1D, 5, and 1A) are compatible and so could be the product of a single event encompassing positions 5904–7427 bp. By traversing all positions within the alignment in this manner, one can define a minimal number of reticulation events. This can be divided by the total length of all sequences in the alignment to give a minimum estimate of the density of reticulation events. These estimates are only valid for alignments for which the expected frequency of reticu-
late quartets is negligible, and they are minimal estimates only (see the “Discussion” section).

Results

Generation of Alignment Data Set

To investigate patterns of reticulate evolution within recently duplicated human DNA, we have generated a data set consisting of 30 multiple alignments encompassing >8 Mb of DNA (see the “Material and Methods” section) from regions of the genome rich in recent segmental duplications (table 1). Eleven alignments are from the pericentromeric region of human chromosome 9 (Humphray et al. 2004), and 13 come from six previously characterized duplgon clusters, including the Morpheus genes on chromosome 16 (Johnson et al. 2001), the creatine transporter–related genes in 16p11 (Eichler et al. 1996), the DiGeorge/VCFS region in 22q11 (Saitta et al. 2004), the site of an ancestral centromere in 15q25 (Ventura et al. 2003), the spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) region in 5q13.2 (Courseaux et al. 2003), and the chAB4 sequence family (Cserpan et al. 2002). A further six consist predominantly of sequences from subtelomeric regions of the genome and include RPL23A-, COB-W–, and PGM5-related sequences (Fan et al. 2002). These alignments were generated from individual clones to avoid the incorporation of potential assembly errors into the data set (Bailey et al. 2002) and to include chAB4 sequences that have not been integrated into the current genome assembly. The resulting alignments vary in length from 14.1 to 108.3 kb, with the length constrained by clone overlap and the extent of contiguous sequence identity (see the “Material and Methods” section). All sequences within the alignments show very high sequence identities, with mean percentage identity within alignments that varies from 96% (chAB4-1) to 99.6% (15q25).

Application of Phylogenetic Profiling to Human Duplgon Alignments

As a preliminary analysis of reticulation, we used phylogenetic profiling (Weiller 1998) to investigate the consisteny of the phylogenetic signal within all 30 multiple alignments. Examples of the resulting profiles are shown in figures 3 and 5. All profiles show sharp changes in correlation, with most of the alignments producing a small number (1–7) of clearly defined changes consistent with NAHR events. For example, the phylogenetic profile of the alignment from the ancestral centromere region in 15q25 (fig. 3A) has a dip in correlation, with a minima at −0.3 that lies within sequence related to the chondroitin sulphate gene. The simulated control profile shows no dips in correlation <0.7. The source of this change in correlation is clear from the phylogenetic trees.
of the two regions it defines (fig. 3B), which differ in the position of a single sequence: ac044860. The movement of this sequence between two distinct clades is consistent with it being a recombination product between two sequences, one related to the sequences AC135735, AC127482A, and AC127482B, the other to AC005630 and AC010725.

In contrast, the alignment from the 22q11 and SMA regions gives more complex results in which the profile of each sequence overlaps to produce a more chaotic signal (figs. 3C–3E and 5C). This is most striking in the SMA-1 alignment that spans ∼85 kb of the repeat and includes sequence from two alleles of this genome region (Schmutz et al. 2004). The basic repeat structure of this region is shown in figure 3C, with the repeats in each allele numbered according to physical position. The phylogenetic profile of this alignment (fig. 3D) shows multiple overlapping troughs (>15), with all sequences affected by changes in correlation, which is indicative of a very complex evolutionary history throughout the length of the alignment. The profile also identifies a putative 7-kb recombination hotspot defined by two tandem repeats in which sequence identities rise to 99.96% (see fig. 6). To illustrate the complexity of the sequence relationships further, the phylogenetic networks of all the repeats present in both alleles are also shown (fig. 3E). The network of repeats from allele 1 is relatively simple, with a single major split in the data. The split between V1.2/V1.5 and all other repeats is favored by ~60 parsimony-informative positions, but ~15 positions place V1.2 with V1.6/V1.4 and V1.5 with V1.1/V1.3. Four repeats (V1.1, V1.3, V1.4, and V1.6) are independent of the network. However, when the three repeats from allele 2 are included, a further five splits are introduced into the network, which makes it clear that there is no simple relationship between the position of each repeat within alleles 1 and 2 and their phylogeny. Only repeats 1 and 2 of allele 1 (V1.1/V1.3) share a common origin independent of the network.

Application of a Quartet Test for Reticulation to Human Duplicon Alignments

The phylogenetic profiling confirms both that reticulate evolution is extremely common within recent duplications—with all 30 alignments showing evidence of such events—and that the patterns of reticulation vary enormously, from well-defined exchange events consistent with small numbers of unequal crossovers to extremely complex patterns likely to involve extensive gene conversion events (fig. 3). To assess the relative contributions of reticulation and nucleotide substitution to the evolution of these sequences, we have developed a method that analyzes the extent and nature of phylogenetic signal that contradicts the trees generated from our multiple alignments (see the “Material and Methods” section and fig. 1 for details). This analyzes the frequency of quartets within an alignment in which either two independent mutations to the same nucleotide have occurred or one mutation and one reticulation event have occurred (reticulate quartets; see fig. 1B). An excess of these quartets relative to the expectation provides evidence of reticulate evolution within the alignment. As a control, we analyze the frequency of quartets in which we can infer that two mutations to different nucleotides have occurred (bimutational quartets; see fig. 1C).

The results of this analysis performed on all 30 CpG-positive alignments and the 13 primate control alignments are shown in figure 7A. The mean percentage of reticulate quartets in the simulated data (shown in red) is ∼1% for all alignments, with the exception of chAB4-1 and chAB4-2, which are the two most divergent alignments (table 1). In contrast, the observed frequencies of reticulate quartets (shown in black) vary from 0.1% (VSPA) to 47% (ANKRD20A), and it is clear that there is a significant excess of reticulate quartets, relative to the expected numbers, in virtually every alignment, including the single-copy primate control alignments. The control group shows the most modest excess, with observed values 2–4-fold higher than expected (range 1.15%–4.73% of informative quartets). In contrast, all six telomeric alignments show a 7–10-fold excess, with reticulate quartets accounting for between 4.2% and 9.3% of the total. However, the most extreme excess is observed in the alignments from the pericentromeric region of chromosome 9 and other nontelomeric duplicons, with 16 of 24 having an excess of ≥20 fold. In 15 alignments, reticulate quartets account for >10% of all informative quartets, and, in 11 alignments (Alphoid, ANKRD20A, 22q11-1, 22q11-3, chAB4-1, chAB4-2, both Morpheus alignments, and all three SMA alignments), the frequency is ≥20%. This represents an enormous excess relative to the expectation.

In sharp contrast to the results for reticulate quartets, there is little discernable difference between the observed and simulated results for bimutational quartets (fig. 7B). Both observed and expected quartet frequencies are consistently low in all alignments, and only the two most diverged alignments (chAB4-1 and chAB4-2) have frequencies exceeding 4%. Only the CASPR3 alignment shows a significant excess relative to the expectation. In the 42 remaining alignments, there is extensive overlap between the bootstrap values obtained from the real data (black bars) and the 95% CIs calculated from the simulations (red bars).

It is noteworthy that, in 16 alignments, the frequency of reticulate quartets (in which both changes are to the same nucleotide) is in ≥20-fold excess relative to both the simulated data and the observed frequency of bimutational quartets (in which the changes are to two
### Table 1

#### Details of Multiple Alignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignment Group and Alignment</th>
<th>GC Content</th>
<th>No. of Sequences</th>
<th>Length of Ungapped Alignment</th>
<th>No. of Variable Positions in Alignment</th>
<th>Lowest Bootstrap Value in NJ Tree</th>
<th>Mean F84 Distance between Sequences</th>
<th>Accession Number of Reference Sequence</th>
<th>Cytogenetic Location of Reference Sequence</th>
<th>Position on Specified Chromosome (Mb)</th>
<th>Start Nucleotide&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Stop Nucleotide&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telomeric:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19pter (RPLA)</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16,761</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>AC010507</td>
<td>19p13.3</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>18371</td>
<td>35303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22qter (RPLA)</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14,183</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>AC002055</td>
<td>22q13.33</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>23507</td>
<td>40664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pter (GTFII-I)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23,733</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>AC139136</td>
<td>7p22.3</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>101307</td>
<td>123977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11pter</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22,303</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>AC069287</td>
<td>11p15.5</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>38627</td>
<td>114838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2qfs (COB-W)</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>65,887</td>
<td>1,672</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>AC016745</td>
<td>2q13</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td>81331</td>
<td>148627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9pter (PGM5)</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>51,672</td>
<td>1,621</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>AL449043</td>
<td>9p24.3</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>17976</td>
<td>71624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duplicons 9:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fK506</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>68,980</td>
<td>1,449</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>AL591867</td>
<td>9p12</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>10230</td>
<td>82500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c9orf36</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>61,129</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>AL590491</td>
<td>9p12</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>63624</td>
<td>125701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphoid</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55,146</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>AL512605</td>
<td>9q13</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>16171</td>
<td>73537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR3</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99,373</td>
<td>2,413</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>AL162301</td>
<td>9p12</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>101926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC-SR</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40,151</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>AL592523</td>
<td>9p13.1</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>92656</td>
<td>134714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSPA</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31,345</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>AL354718</td>
<td>9p11.2</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>88333</td>
<td>147110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KGF</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46,239</td>
<td>1,836</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>BX088717</td>
<td>9p13.1</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRP19</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65,495</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>AL953889</td>
<td>9q12</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT5</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47,464</td>
<td>1,727</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>AL669942</td>
<td>9q12</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZNF91</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>57,388</td>
<td>3,839</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.033</td>
<td>AL353626</td>
<td>9q12</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>8194</td>
<td>69485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANKRD20A</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85,750</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>AL355000</td>
<td>9p11.2</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other duplicon:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morph-1</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17,802</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>AC138932</td>
<td>16p13.11</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>84377</td>
<td>102113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morph-2</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16,184</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>AC138904</td>
<td>16p11.2</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>158027</td>
<td>176221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16p11-1</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>108,314</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>AC136613</td>
<td>16p11.2</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>26678</td>
<td>160871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Length (bp)</td>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Accession Number</td>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Length (bp)</td>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Accession Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22q11-1 (POM21)</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60,487</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>AC008132</td>
<td>22q11.21</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>84949</td>
<td>145598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22q11-2</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14,130</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>AC008079</td>
<td>22q11.21</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>117088</td>
<td>131322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22q11-3 (GGT1)</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13,277</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>AC003491</td>
<td>22q11.21</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>80248</td>
<td>93536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q24 (Golgin)</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>46,068</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>AC044860</td>
<td>15q25.3</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>104032</td>
<td>154535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chAB4-1</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>77,693</td>
<td>4,188</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>AC138771</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>78797</td>
<td>157423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chAB4-2</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>76,302</td>
<td>5,506</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>AL355973</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9687</td>
<td>94151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16p11-2 (CT1)</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73,684</td>
<td>2,037</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>AC133561</td>
<td>16p11.2</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>86042</td>
<td>162485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA-1</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>84,843</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>AC140139</td>
<td>5q13.2</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>84843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA-2</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72,614</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>AC145138</td>
<td>5q13.2</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>126437</td>
<td>199372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA-3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58,769</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>AC138827</td>
<td>5q13.2</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>85001</td>
<td>143650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Controls:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Length (bp)</th>
<th>Repeat</th>
<th>Identity</th>
<th>Accession Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASZ1</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20,011</td>
<td>1,987</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPZA2</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30,266</td>
<td>3,063</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAV1</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36,625</td>
<td>3,705</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAV2</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13,334</td>
<td>1,477</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTR</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11,319</td>
<td>1,079</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30,039</td>
<td>2,624</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14,557</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WNT2</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23,124</td>
<td>1,933</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7-1</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19,870</td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7-2</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18,194</td>
<td>1,628</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7-3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15,619</td>
<td>1,397</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7-4</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14,961</td>
<td>1,298</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7-5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22,765</td>
<td>1,671</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Since we have made no assumptions concerning the relationships between sequences, some alignments may contain allelic copies of duplicons. NA = not available.

*Because of variation in alignment length and the number of sequences aligned, the number of variable positions ranges from as few as 138 (22q11-3) to 5506 (chAB4-2).*

*Nucleotide positions of reference sequence in alignment.*
Figure 3  Identification of reticulation events by use of phylogenetic profiling. A, Control and observed profiles of 21-kb section of 15q25 alignment created using a window size of 30 parsimony-informative sites. The extent of gene-related sequences is indicated. The X-axis shows position within alignment (in kb); the Y-axis shows correlation. B, NJ trees generated using subalignments from regions 1 and 2. The clades indicated with an asterisk (*) are supported by bootstrap values of 99%–100%. The scale (F84 distance) is the same for both trees. All sequences are indicated by the last three digits of their accession numbers. Sequences included are AC044860, AC127482, AC135735, AC135995, AC005630, and AC010725. AC127482 contains two copies of the duplication, A and B. C, Schematic structure of both SMA alleles (Var1 and Var 2) adapted from Schmutz et al. (2004). The positions of the SMN1 and SMN2 genes are indicated. The extent of duplicated sequence is shown in gray, with the position of the most abundant duplicated segments (V1.1–V2.3) indicated. The gap in the sequences is represented by a pair of dashed lines. The scale is in megabases. D, Control and observed profiles spanning the ∼85-kb SMA-1 alignment, created using a window of 20 parsimony-informative sites. The X-axes show informative sites; the Y-axes show correlation. E, Parsimony networks of all six repeats within allele 1 (left) and all nine repeats within both alleles (right). Scale is in nucleotide differences. Sequences aligned (in order from V1.1 to V2.3) are AC138957, AC131392, AC138866, AC138959, AC138911, AC140139, AC139500, AC108108, and AC138930. Examples of alignments of informative sites used to generate the profiles are provided in figure 4.
different nucleotides). For instance, the median frequency of reticulate quartets in the SMA-1 simulated alignments is 0.0% (95th percentile 0.82%) compared with an observed value of 28.85% and an observed frequency of bimutational quartets of 1% (fig. 7A and 7B). It is clear from these results that reticulate quartets are in significant excess within the data, often representing >1 in 5 informative quartets but that bimutational quartets are not in excess. This is wholly consistent with the expectation if the sequence evolution of the aligned duplications involves extensive reticulate processes.

Influence of CpG Distribution on Reticulation Signal

Our method assumes that substitution patterns at different nucleotides within an alignment are independent. However, in eukaryotic genomes, this assumption is violated in CpG dinucleotides in which the high frequency of spontaneous deamination leads to an excess of C→T transitions. Genomewide estimates suggest that this occurs at a frequency ∼1 order of magnitude higher than substitution rates (Fryxell and Moon 2005). Both the high frequency of these events and the specificity of the mutational event could increase the observed frequency of reticulate quartets to above that expected. To establish whether this phenomenon is contributing to the observed excess of reticulate quartets within the data, we removed all position that contained one or more nucleotides within a CpG dinucleotide from our alignments (CpG-negative data) (see the “Material and Methods” section). We then performed simulations and reanalyzed the data, the results of which are shown in figure 7C. Although the confidence intervals associated with both observed and simulated CpG-negative data were larger than those for the CpG-positive data—as a result of the smaller number of variable sites in each alignment (see the “Material and Methods” section)—the observed frequency of reticulate quartets within the test data was not significantly affected. In fact, the results were strikingly similar to those obtained with the CpG-positive data (fig. 7A), with a 7.3–11.4-fold excess within telomeric alignments and a >20-fold excess in 16 alignments of duplicons from the pericentromeric region of chromosome 9 or nontelomeric duplicons. This confirms that CpG mutability is not responsible for the observed excess of reticulate quartets within our data set. However, the removal of CpG dinucleotides reduced the excess of reticulate quartets observed in the 13 primate control alignments by ∼40%, from a mean of 3.36% in the CpG-positive data to 2.07% in the CpG-negative data. This indicates that a large proportion of the excess observed in the CpG-positive primate controls (fig. 7A) can be accounted for by mutability of CpGs. Despite this, the small differences between the observed and simulated primate control data remain significant in 6 of 13 cases (data not shown).

Correlation of Levels of Reticulation with Alignment Identity

Both gene conversion and NAHR are homology-dependent processes, which are promoted by localized regions of near-perfect identity (Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002). We would therefore predict that levels of reticulation are not independent of sequence identity. To investigate this, we plotted the ratios of observed to expected frequencies of reticulate quartets within the CpG-negative data (fig. 7C) against the average pairwise identity within each alignment (table 1). The results are shown in figure 8, and a highly significant correlation between the excess of reticulate quartets and alignment identity is observed (r² = 0.599). This is particularly clear from the fact that 15 of 16 alignments in which the average pairwise identity is ≥99% (table 1) have an observed:expected ratio of >10, compared with only 2 of 14 alignments in which the average identity is <99% (CASPR3 and KGF).

Impact of Reticulation upon Tracts of Sequence Identity

The quartet analysis provides striking evidence that reticulation is common within recently duplicated human DNA. However, it provides no information on the distribution of such events. If reticulation is occurring, we would expect to see clustering of reticulate quartets, because each event may affect multiple nucleotides. To establish whether this expectation is met, we performed permutation tests using two independent methods. We calculated the number of reticulate quartets within each tract of perfect identity between all pairs of sequences within each alignment of the CpG-negative data set, and we compared this to expectations from 1,000 pseudosamples in which alignment position had been randomized (see the “Material and Methods” section). These results, in the form of observed:expected ratios, are pre-
Figure 6  Delineation of putative hotspot in SMA-1 region. The legend is available in its entirety in the online edition of The American Journal of Human Genetics.

sented in figure 9. The 13 primate control alignments yield ratios that vary from 0.92 to 1.11, and in no case are the observed data significantly different from the simulations. This establishes that the small excess of reticulate quartets within these controls shows no significant clustering, which is inconsistent with this excess being due to reticulation. In contrast, 25 of the 30 alignments of human duplicons have an observed mean tract length that exceeds 95% of the 1,000 simulations ($P < .05$), and 19 of the 30 exceed 99% of the simulations ($P < .01$). The ratio of observed to expected frequencies within these alignments varied from 1.3 (chAB4-1) to 3.0 (SMA-3). This means that tracts of perfect identity within the SMA-3 alignment are ~3 times longer than expected given the observed phylogenetic relationships. We also analyzed the CpG-positive data by use of the permutation test method described by Hurles (2001), which corrects directly for the influence of CpG deamination. These analyses, which look at all pairwise alignments (including nearest neighbors), identified a significant excess in the length of tracts of identity in all test alignments, confirming the results obtained with the CpG-negative data (see table 2).

To identify the tracts most likely to be due to reticulation, we established the position of all independent regions of perfect identity within our alignments that are significantly longer than expected (longer than the 99th percentile for regions of perfect identity within the randomized simulations). Despite this stringent cutoff, the alignments contain 187 such tracts, encompassing ~450 kb or ~5% of the total aligned sequence (see table 3). The size of the tracts in each alignment varies enormously, since the expected distribution is a function of branch lengths within each tree. However, 74 of the tracts are ≥2 kb in length, with the largest spanning >18 kb. This confirms that a significant fraction of the very high sequence identity that exists between paralogues within the human genome is a result of gene conversion and unequal crossing-over between existing duplicated sequences rather than recent de novo duplication.

Reticulation Density

Although phylogenetic profiling identifies the products of major NAHR events within most alignments, it is clear that it cannot resolve events when the signal is complex (e.g., fig. 3D). To precisely define events of this

Figure 7  Quartet analysis of multiple alignments. A, Reticulate quartets in CpG-positive data expressed as a percentage of all informative quartets. B, Bimutational quartets in CpG-positive data expressed as a percentage of all informative quartets. The insert shows the same data at a higher resolution. C, Reticulate quartets in CpG-negative data expressed as a percentage of all informative quartets. Bars on observed data show 95% bootstrap values, and bars on simulated data show 95% CIs. In the 22q11.1 CpG-negative alignment, reticulate quartets represent ≥50% of all informative quartets. This is a result of low bootstrap values within the NJ tree.
Reticulation in relation to sequence identity. Linear regression of log-transformed data is shown as a solid line ($r^2 = 0.599$), and 95% CIs are shown as dashed lines.
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nature, or to estimate the frequency of events, is difficult in such data sets because it is unknown whether all sequences within the genome are present in each alignment. Even in cases in which the copy number is known, it is not possible to sample from sequences that have been deleted from ancestral genomes. However, in 11 of our alignments, the expected frequency of reticulate quartets is effectively zero. This implies that virtually all the observed reticulate quartets are the result of reticulation events, as opposed to multiple independent mutational events to the same nucleotide. Under these exceptional circumstances, it is possible to use the distribution of all informative sites within the alignments to define the minimal number of events that is required to generate the observed data (see the “Material and Methods” section and fig. 2). The results of this analysis are summarized in figure 10. A minimum of 189 events are inferred within 11 alignments that span \( \sim 3.54 \text{ Mb} \) of DNA. This is much higher than suggested by the phylogenetic profile analyses and gives a minimal density of 1 reticulation event every 18.7 kb. However, it is clear that there is significant variation in the event frequency between alignments. Most give densities between 0.01 and 0.1 events per kb, but the 22q11-3 alignment has a density of 0.262 events per kb, or \( \sim 1 \) reticulation event every 4 kb. It is noteworthy that VCFS, caused by deletions of 22q11 sequences, is associated with the highest mutation rate of any duplicon-induced pathogenic rearrangement (Shaffer and Lupski 2000), which suggests that the frequency of reticulation events and duplicon-induced mutation may be directly correlated.

Discussion

To date, detailed analyses of reticulate processes, such as unequal crossing-over and gene conversion within nonrepetitive human DNA, have been confined to analyses of duplicons with a stable copy number of 2, which allow donor and acceptor loci to be defined (e.g., Han et al. 2000; Hurles 2001; Bosch et al. 2004; Hurles et al. 2004); analyses of meiotic events between alleles at known hotspots (e.g., Jeffreys et al. 2004); or analyses that take advantage of a phenotype associated with a specific event, such as duplication/deletion (e.g., Saitta et al. 2004; Shaw and Lupski 2004). The analysis presented here therefore represents the first concerted effort to analyze the phylogenetic consistency of a broad cross section of complex human duplicons from a wide variety of genomic locations and with unknown copy number. All 30 alignments analyzed have sharp changes in phylogenetic profile; \( \sim 90\% \) have a significant excess of reticulate quartets relative to the expectation, and a minimum of 5% (450 kb) of the analyzed sequence consists of tracts of perfect identity created by reticulation events. Because the copy number of these duplicons is unknown (and may have varied over time), accurate rate estimates for these events cannot be derived. Despite this, the pattern of sequence variation within a subset of alignments is consistent with an average of one reticulation event every \( \sim 18.7 \text{ kb} \). All these observations provide striking confirmation that human duplicons are not evolving independently but rather are exchanging information at a very high frequency.

The levels of reticulation we have identified are extreme, often affecting \( >20\% \) of the informative positions in an alignment. Although this is surprisingly high, consideration of the methodology suggests that the true levels may be significantly higher. First, in common with many other methods (Hein 1993; McGuire and Wright 2000; Husmeier and McGuire 2003), the quartet method outlined here will only detect reticulation events that have led to a change in tree topology. Exchanges between sequences that only affect branch lengths will not be detected. Second, the method assumes that the tree generated from each alignment is an accurate reflection of the phylogenetic relationships between the sequences involved. We have deliberately simplified some trees to minimize violations of this assumption (see the “Material and Methods” section), and the existence of sequences that cannot be positioned on a tree with confidence is likely the result of further reticulation events. Furthermore, in several alignments, the sites within each partition that support the tree are often clustered together (see fig. 11), indicating that the phylogenetic relationships are not adequately described by the tree. The most plausible explanation for such clustering is reticulation involving sequences that have not been sampled because of either incomplete sequence coverage or deletion from the human genome. It is clear from these considerations that current methods underestimate the true levels of reticulation in these sequences.
Since our alignments include >8 Mb of DNA, representing ~5% of all known human duplicons (IHGSC 2004), it is tempting to speculate that the results presented here are typical of all human duplicons. However, all duplicon families in our sample contain a minimum of four copies, and, although there is extensive empirical evidence that reticulate processes occur in duplicon families with two and three copies (Hurles 2001; Hurles et al. 2004; Bagnall et al. 2005), there may be some correlation between reticulation frequency and duplicon copy number. In addition, our sample is heavily biased toward duplicons with >99% identity, which also reflects the constraints of the inclusion criteria (see the “Material and Methods” section). Approximately one-third are from the single largest region of duplicons within the human genome (9p12-q12 [Humphray et al. 2004]), whereas others are from duplications already known to be associated with deletion/duplication syndromes (SMA in 5q13.2 and DiGeorge/VCFS in 22q11). It is interesting that these duplicons are largely chromosome specific and have relatively localized distributions. In contrast, the subtelomeric and chAB4 repeats that map to multiple chromosomes show a smaller excess of reticulation quartets in our analyses and share lower sequence identities. This may be related to the fact that rates of NAHR and gene conversion are influenced by both sequence identity and the spacing of interacting molecules (Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002; Schildkraut et al. 2005), with dependence on sequence identity supported by the significant correlation we observe between the levels of reticulation and the mean sequence identity of each alignment (fig. 8). The higher sequence identities shared between human intrachromosomal duplications, compared with interchromosomal duplications (Bailey et al. 2002), may therefore be partly the result of different rates of reticulation events between these two classes of duplicon.

It is noteworthy that our primate control alignments also contain an excess of reticulate quartets relative to the expectation. However, the excess observed in the controls is modest in the CpG-positive data and is significantly reduced in magnitude by exclusion of CpG dinucleotides. It is possible that violations of the model of molecular evolution used to generate the simulated data, such as rate heterogeneity or variation in specific substitution rates, may be responsible. These would have the greatest impact on the primate controls, because the control trees are much larger than those generated from the test data, and such violations could influence the frequency of both reticulate and bimutational quartets. The excess could be due to biased gene conversion within single-copy human genomic DNA,
Table 3
Tracts of Perfect Identity Created by Reticulation Events

| The table is available in its entirety in the online edition of The American Journal of Human Genetics. |

which has been described recently by Webster et al. (2005). Although a convenient explanation, this mechanism is unlikely to be entirely responsible, because the reticulate quartets are not clustered in the control alignments (fig. 9). Another possible explanation may be the speciation events within the primate controls. The stochastic fixation of intraspecific sequence variation that crosses species barriers can, in a proportion of polymorphic sites, give rise to apparent homoplasy. With limited sequence data, this has led to the recovery of gene trees in which the topology is distinct from the primate species tree (e.g., Chen and Li 2001). Among closely related species, such stochastic assortment of polymorphic variation would generate reticulate quartets more frequently than bimutational quartets, and these would be randomly distributed in terms of physical position. Thus, the assortment of intraspecific sequence variation during one or more primate speciation events could produce a specific excess of reticulate quartets without any clustering of the affected sites.

Irrespective of the source of these minor inconsistencies within the primate controls, they do not affect the conclusion, based on our analyses, that some of the human duplicons described here are the most reticulate euchromatic sequences described to date in any species, with only the most recombinant strains of HIV virus showing remotely comparable mosaic histories (Vidal et al. 2000).

The Effect of Reticulation on Map Closure and Comparative Analyses

The extreme levels of reticulation described here have important implications for efforts to close remaining gaps in duplicon-rich regions of the human genome, and for gene-family evolution. All genome-sequencing methodologies use the assumption that sequence identity is indicative of physical overlap to facilitate contig construction. The limitation of applying whole shotgun sequencing methods to complex genomes is clear (She et al. 2004b), and the advantage of using haploid libraries to avoid allelic variation during contig construction has been demonstrated (e.g., Skaltsky et al. 2003). Despite this, most of the current human draft sequence has been constructed using more than one diploid library and represents a combination of two or more alleles (IHGSC 2004). This has prevented assembly of contiguous reference sequence in highly duplicated polymorphic regions (e.g., Taudien et al. 2004). In some duplication-rich regions, a “deep coverage” sequencing strategy has been used to sequence both alleles from a single library, with very high levels of sequence redundancy (Martin et al. 2004; Schmutz et al. 2004). Despite these efforts, gaps still remain in these regions, highlighting the difficulty of obtaining sequence closure within such duplications.

The ability to eliminate the confounding influence of diploidy (by analyzing hydatiform moles, for example) is only one aspect of the problem. Even if a single haploid resource were exclusively used, the fact that a minimum of 5% of the sequence in our alignments share perfect identity because of reticulation indicates that perfect overlap between clones is not a totally secure criterion for map construction in duplicated DNA. Our results, therefore, strongly suggest that the human sequence map may never be truly finished and that, even if all remaining sequence gaps are closed, we will only be able to state that the resulting sequence build represents the most parsimonious map we can create given the limitations of the cloning resources used and the complexity of human duplications. These results also illustrate the importance of creating immortalized cell lines from material used to generate large-insert genomic libraries for reference, to allow discrepancies within sequence maps to be unambiguously resolved using methods such as PFGE and Fiber-FISH, should the need arise.

The high levels of reticulation observed here will also significantly affect the conclusions of comparative analyses of duplicated sequences. High interspecific sequence

Figure 10 Reticulation-event density in duplicons. Analysis of 11 alignments for which the expected frequency of reticulate quartets is negligible. All show a >20-fold excess of reticulate quartets relative to the expectation, with expected frequencies in 100 control alignments <0.5% of the observed value at the 50th percentile and <2.0% of the observed value at the 95th percentile. Analyses were performed on CpG-negative data, and the minimum number of events was estimated as shown in figure 2.
identities between duplicon copies, despite a relatively ancient evolutionary origin, has been noted by several authors and has been interpreted as indirect evidence of gene conversion (e.g., Orti et al. 1998; DeSilva et al. 1999; Shaikh et al. 2001; Crosier et al. 2002). Our data unequivocally confirm this inference and indicate that divergence times based solely on sequence identity between human duplicons could be extremely misleading. It follows that the recent evolutionary origins for many human duplicon families, inferred from human sequence data, must be reexamined using more-comprehensive comparative approaches (e.g., Stankiewicz et al. 2004).

Reticulation and the Identification of Recombination Hotspots

Duplicons are known to promote rearrangement, and several genomewide analyses have explored the physical association between duplicons and clinically important deletions and have developed archived resources to visualize duplicons within the working draft (Bailey et al. 2002; Cheung et al. 2003). More recently, empirical analyses of large-scale copy-number changes within the human genome (Iafrate et al. 2004; Sebat et al. 2004) have begun to refine our understanding of this relationship. Our phylogenetic profiling identifies the alignments from the SMA and 22q11 microdeletion regions as those with the most complex phylogenetic histories. This is clear both from the phylogenetic profiles (figs. 3C and 5C) and from the high density of inferred reticulation events (fig. 10). Several alignments within the highly polymorphic 9p12-q12 region are also noteworthy because of the presence of a single unstable duplicon within each sequence family (M.S.J., unpublished data). This establishes that regions of known instability have marked disruption of the phylogenetic signal and that signatures of past recombination/conversion events can be readily detected using existing methods. It follows that systematic analysis of this signal may help to distinguish duplicons that are physically unstable from those whose sequence identity is due purely to descent, as suggested by Hurles et al. [2004], and it may pinpoint hotspots of activity such as the SMA-1 hotspot identified here (fig. 6). Thus, although we have demonstrated unprecedented levels of reticulation within the duplicons we have analyzed, a more comprehensive genomewide scan is desirable, both to fully characterize the levels and distribution of reticulation and to correlate this information with genomic instability. Although straightforward in principle, this will be complex in practice. Many methods, including our quartet method, require a multiple alignment containing a minimum of four sequences. This reduces their utility, because they cannot be applied to duplicons with a low copy number (2–3) without generation of comparative data from other species and because accurate multiple alignment still requires extensive user interaction. In contrast, methods that analyze pairwise alignments, such as permutation tests (Sawyer 1989; Hurles 2001), are simpler to apply but are less informative.

Finally, the results obtained here also have important implications for gene-family evolution. Several of the alignments used here contain active genes or ORFs related to known genes (e.g., ANKRD20A, CT1, COB-W, Freaks, and Morpheus genes). Since reticulation events have the potential to rapidly transfer advantageous sequence variants between the members of a multigene family (Dover 1982), it is clear that the impact of these events on the coding potential within the duplicated portion of the human genome is an important area for future research.
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