



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

## Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)Jordan higher all-derivable points in triangular algebras<sup>☆</sup>Jinping Zhao, Jun Zhu<sup>\*</sup>

Institute of Mathematics, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018, People's Republic of China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 16 July 2011

Accepted 19 September 2011

Available online 5 November 2011

Submitted by C.K. Li

## AMS classification:

16W25

47B47

## Keywords:

Jordan higher all-derivable point

Triangular algebra

Jordan higher derivable linear mapping at  $G$ 

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangular algebra. We say that  $D = \{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq L(\mathcal{T})$  is a Jordan higher derivable mapping at  $G$  if  $D_n(ST + TS) = \sum_{i+j=n} (D_i(S)D_j(T) + D_i(T)D_j(S))$  for any  $S, T \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $ST = G$ . An element  $G \in \mathcal{T}$  is called a Jordan higher all-derivable point of  $\mathcal{T}$  if every Jordan higher derivable linear mapping  $D = \{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  at  $G$  is a higher derivation. In this paper, under some mild conditions on  $\mathcal{T}$ , we prove that some elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  are Jordan higher all-derivable points. This extends some results in [6] to the case of Jordan higher derivations.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring (or algebra) with the unit  $I$ . An additive or linear mapping  $\delta$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into itself is called a derivation if  $\delta(ST) = \delta(S)T + S\delta(T)$  for any  $S, T \in \mathcal{A}$  and is said to be a Jordan derivation if  $\delta(ST + TS) = \delta(S)T + S\delta(T) + \delta(T)S + T\delta(S)$  for any  $S, T \in \mathcal{A}$ . We say that a mapping  $\delta$  is Jordan derivable at a given point  $G \in \mathcal{A}$  if  $\delta(ST + TS) = \delta(S)T + S\delta(T) + \delta(T)S + T\delta(S)$  for any  $S, T \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $ST = G$ , and  $G$  is called a Jordan all-derivable point of  $\mathcal{A}$  if every Jordan derivable mapping at  $G$  is a derivation. We say that  $D = \{D_n\} \subseteq L(\mathcal{A})$  is a Jordan higher derivable mapping at  $G$  if  $D_n(ST + TS) = \sum_{i+j=n} (D_i(S)D_j(T) + D_i(T)D_j(S))$  for any  $S, T \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $ST = G$ . An element  $G \in \mathcal{A}$  is called a Jordan higher all-derivable point of  $\mathcal{A}$  if every Jordan higher derivable linear mapping  $D = \{D_n\}$  at  $G$  is a higher derivation. There have been a number of papers on the study of conditions under which derivations of operator algebras can be completely determined by the action on some sets of operators. In [3], Jing showed that  $I$  is a Jordan all-derivable point of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space. In [7], Zhu proved that every invertible operator in nest algebra is an all-derivable point in the

<sup>☆</sup> This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10771191).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [zhu\\_gjun@yahoo.com.cn](mailto:zhu_gjun@yahoo.com.cn) (J. Zhu).

strong operator topology. Also it was showed that every element in the algebra of all upper triangular matrices is a Jordan all-derivable point by Sha and Zhu [6].

With the development of derivation, higher derivation has attracted much attention of mathematicians as an active subject of research in algebras. In [4] Xiao and Wei showed that any Jordan higher derivation on a triangular algebra is a higher derivation. In this paper we will extend the conclusion of [6] to the case of Jordan higher derivations. For other relative reference, see [1,2,5].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two unital rings (or algebras) with the unit  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a unital  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, which is faithful as a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and as a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module. The ring (or algebra)

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, b \in \mathcal{B} \right\},$$

under the usual matrix operations is said to be a triangular algebra. We mainly proved that 0 and

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1 & X_0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

are Jordan higher all-derivable points for any given point  $X_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ .

### 2. Jordan higher all-derivable points in ring algebras

In this section, we always assume that the characteristics of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are not 2 and 3, and for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}, Y \in \mathcal{B}$ , there are some integers  $n_1, n_2$  such that  $n_1 I_1 - X$  and  $n_2 I_2 - Y$  are invertible. The following two theorems are the main results in this paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $D = (D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of additive or linear mappings on  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $D_0 = id_{\mathcal{T}}$  (identical mapping on  $\mathcal{T}$ ). If  $D$  is Jordan higher derivable at 0, then  $D$  is a higher derivation.*

**Proof.** For any  $T = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$ , we can write

$$D_n \left( \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_n^{11}(X) + \varphi_n^{11}(Y) + \tau_n^{11}(Z) & \delta_n^{12}(X) + \varphi_n^{12}(Y) + \tau_n^{12}(Z) \\ 0 & \delta_n^{22}(X) + \varphi_n^{22}(Y) + \tau_n^{22}(Z) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\delta_n^{ij} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ij}, \varphi_n^{ij} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ij}, \tau_n^{ij} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2$  are additive maps with  $\mathcal{A}_{11} = \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{12} = \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}_{22} = \mathcal{B}$ . It follows from the fact  $D_0 = id_{\mathcal{T}}$  that when  $i = j = 1, \delta_0^{ij} = id_{\mathcal{A}},$  else  $\delta_0^{ij} = 0;$  when  $i = 1, j = 2, \varphi_0^{ij} = id_{\mathcal{M}},$  else  $\varphi_0^{ij} = 0;$  when  $i = j = 2, \tau_0^{ij} = id_{\mathcal{B}},$  else  $\tau_0^{ij} = 0.$

We set  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & W \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}, W \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $ST = 0$  and  $TS = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & XW \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_n^{11}(XW) & \varphi_n^{12}(XW) \\ 0 & \varphi_n^{22}(XW) \end{bmatrix} &= D_n(ST + TS) = \sum_{i+j=n} (D_i(S)D_j(T) + D_i(T)D_j(S)) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_i^{11}(W) & \varphi_i^{12}(W) \\ 0 & \varphi_i^{22}(W) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_j^{11}(X) & \delta_j^{12}(X) \\ 0 & \delta_j^{22}(X) \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i^{11}(X) & \delta_i^{12}(X) \\ 0 & \delta_i^{22}(X) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_j^{11}(W) & \varphi_j^{12}(W) \\ 0 & \varphi_j^{22}(W) \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i+j=n} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_i^{11}(W)\delta_j^{11}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{11}(W) & \varphi_i^{11}(W)\delta_j^{12}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{12}(W) \\ & + \varphi_i^{12}(W)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{12}(X)\varphi_j^{22}(W) \\ 0 & \varphi_i^{22}(W)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{22}(X)\varphi_j^{22}(W) \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$\varphi_n^{11}(XW) = \sum_{i+j=n} (\varphi_i^{11}(W)\delta_j^{11}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{11}(W)), \tag{1}$$

$$\varphi_n^{12}(XW) = \sum_{i+j=n} (\varphi_i^{11}(W)\delta_j^{12}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{12}(W) + \varphi_i^{12}(W)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{12}(X)\varphi_j^{22}(W)), \tag{2}$$

and

$$\varphi_n^{22}(XW) = \sum_{i+j=n} (\varphi_i^{22}(W)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{22}(X)\varphi_j^{22}(W)) \tag{3}$$

for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}, W \in \mathcal{M}$ . One obtains that

$$\varphi_n^{11}(W) = \sum_{i+j=n} (\varphi_i^{11}(W)\delta_j^{11}(I_1) + \delta_i^{11}(I_1)\varphi_j^{11}(W)), \tag{4}$$

$$\varphi_n^{22}(W) = \sum_{i+j=n} (\varphi_i^{22}(W)\delta_j^{22}(I_1) + \delta_i^{22}(I_1)\varphi_j^{22}(W)) \tag{5}$$

by taking  $X = I_1$  in Eqs. (1) and (3). Now we prove the fact that  $\varphi_n^{11}(W) = 0$  and  $\varphi_n^{22}(W) = 0$  by induction on  $n$ . When  $n = 0$ , it is easily verified that  $\varphi_0^{11}(W) = 0$  and  $\varphi_0^{22}(W) = 0$  from the characterizations of  $\varphi_0^{11}$  and  $\varphi_0^{22}$ . When  $n = 1$ ,  $\varphi_1^{11}(W) = 0$  and  $\varphi_1^{22}(W) = 0$  can be obtained by the proof in [6, Theorem 2.1]. We assume that  $\varphi_m^{11}(W) = 0$  and  $\varphi_m^{22}(W) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq m < n$ . In fact, by the Eq. (4) and  $\delta_0^{11} = id_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we have  $\varphi_n^{11}(W) = \varphi_n^{11}(W) + \varphi_n^{11}(W) = 2\varphi_n^{11}(W)$ . Thus  $\varphi_n^{11}(W) = 0$ . Similarly combining Eq. (5) with the fact that  $\delta_0^{22}(I_1) = 0$ , we can get  $\varphi_n^{22}(W) = 0$  for any  $W \in M$

and  $n \in N$ . For any  $X \in \mathcal{A}, W \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ , setting  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & W \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $ST = 0$ ,

$$TS = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & XW \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ One gets}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varphi_n^{12}(XW) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= D_n(ST + TS) = \sum_{i+j=n} (D_i(S)D_j(T) + D_i(T)D_j(S)) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \tau_i^{11}(Y) & \varphi_i^{12}(W) + \tau_i^{12}(Y) \\ 0 & \tau_i^{22}(Y) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_j^{11}(X) & \delta_j^{12}(X) \\ 0 & \delta_j^{22}(X) \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i^{11}(X) & \delta_i^{12}(X) \\ 0 & \delta_i^{22}(X) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau_j^{11}(Y) & \varphi_j^{12}(W) + \tau_j^{12}(Y) \\ 0 & \tau_j^{22}(Y) \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the following three equations hold

$$\sum_{i+j=n} (\tau_i^{11}(Y)\delta_j^{11}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{11}(Y)) = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{i+j=n} (\tau_i^{22}(Y)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{22}(X)\tau_j^{22}(Y)) = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(XW) &= \sum_{i+j=n} (\tau_i^{11}(Y)\delta_j^{12}(X) + \varphi_i^{12}(W)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \tau_i^{12}(Y)\delta_j^{22}(X) \\ &\quad + \delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{12}(W) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(Y) + \delta_i^{12}(X)\tau_j^{22}(Y)) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}, W \in \mathcal{M}$ . One can see that

$$\sum_{i+j=n} (\tau_i^{11}(Y)\delta_j^{11}(I_1) + \delta_i^{11}(I_1)\tau_j^{11}(Y)) = 0 \tag{9}$$

by taking  $X = I_1$  in Eq. (6). Using Eq. (9) and induction, one has  $\tau_n^{11}(Y) = 0$  for every  $n \in N$ . Similarly taking  $Y = I_2$  in Eq. (7), by inducting and using the fact that  $\tau_0^{22}(Y) = Y$ , we get  $\delta_n^{22}(X) = 0$  for every  $n \in N$  and  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We can obtain that

$$\sum_{i+j=n} (\delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(Y) + \delta_i^{12}(X)\tau_j^{22}(Y)) = 0 \tag{10}$$

by  $\delta_i^{22}(X) = 0, \tau_i^{11}(Y) = 0$  and taking  $W = 0$  in Eq. (8).

By Eq. (2) and the fact that  $\delta_n^{22}(X) = 0, \varphi_n^{11}(W) = 0, \varphi_n^{22}(W) = 0$  and  $\varphi_0^{12} = id_{\mathcal{M}}$ , we have

$$\varphi_n^{12}(XW) = \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{12}(W). \tag{11}$$

We claim that  $\delta = \{\delta_n^{11} : n \in N\}$  is a higher derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ . In fact, we know that  $\delta_1^{11}$  is a derivation by [6, Theorem 2.1]. It follows that  $\delta_1^{11}(X_1X_2) = \delta_1^{11}(X_1)X_2 + X_1\delta_1^{11}(X_2)$  for any  $X_1, X_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Now we assume that  $\delta_m^{11}(X_1X_2) = \sum_{i+j=m} \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_j^{11}(X_2)$  for any  $1 \leq m < n$  with  $m \in N$ . Summing up Eq. (11) and  $\varphi_0^{12} = id_{\mathcal{M}}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(X_1(X_2W)) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\varphi_j^{12}(X_2W) \\ &= \sum_{i+e=n} \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_e^{11}(X_2)W + \sum_{i+e+k=n, k>0} \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_e^{11}(X_2)\varphi_k^{12}(W) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for any  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{M}$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}((X_1X_2)W) &= \sum_{i+j=n, j>0} \delta_i^{11}(X_1X_2)\varphi_j^{12}(W) + \delta_n^{11}(X_1X_2)W \\ &= \sum_{e+k+j=n, j>0} \delta_e^{11}(X_1)\delta_k^{11}(X_2)\varphi_j^{12}(W) + \delta_n^{11}(X_1X_2)W \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for any  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{M}$ . Combining Eq. (12) with Eq. (13), we get  $[\delta_n^{11}(X_1X_2) - \sum_{e+i=n} \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_e^{11}(X_2)]W = 0$ . Since  $M$  is faithful, we get  $\delta_n^{11}(X_1X_2) = \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_j^{11}(X_2)$ , i.e.  $\delta = \{\delta_n^{11} : n \in N\}$  is a higher derivation.

Letting  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -X^{-1}WY \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{bmatrix} X & W \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  for any  $Y \in \mathcal{B}, W \in \mathcal{M}$ , and invertible  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$ST = TS = 0$ . So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= D_n(ST + TS) = \sum_{i+j=n} (D_i(S)D_j(T) + D_i(T)D_j(S)) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varphi_i^{12}(X^{-1}WY) + \tau_i^{12}(Y) \\ 0 & \tau_i^{22}(Y) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_j^{11}(X) & \delta_j^{12}(X) + \varphi_j^{12}(W) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i^{11}(X) & \delta_i^{12}(X) + \varphi_i^{12}(W) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}WY) + \tau_j^{12}(Y) \\ 0 & \tau_j^{22}(Y) \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The above equation implies that

$$0 = \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{11}(X)(-\varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}WY) + \tau_j^{12}(Y)) + (\delta_i^{12}(X) + \varphi_i^{12}(W))\tau_j^{22}(Y)]. \tag{14}$$

By replacing  $W$  by  $\lambda W$  in the above equation, dividing the equation by  $\lambda$  and letting  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain that

$$0 = \sum_{i+j=n} [-\delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}WY) + \varphi_i^{12}(W)\tau_j^{22}(Y)]. \tag{15}$$

So we can get

$$0 = \sum_{i+j=n} [-\delta_i^{11}(I_1)\varphi_j^{12}(WY) + \varphi_i^{12}(W)\tau_j^{22}(Y)] \tag{16}$$

by setting  $X = I_1$  in the above equation. Since  $\delta = \{\delta_n^{11} : n \in N\}$  is a higher derivation,  $\delta_n^{11}(I_1) = 0$  when  $n \geq 1$ . It follows from Eq. (16) that

$$\varphi_n^{12}(WY) = \sum_{i+j=n} \varphi_i^{12}(W)\tau_j^{22}(Y). \tag{17}$$

We claim that  $\tau = \{\tau_n^{22} : n \in N\}$  is a higher derivation on  $\mathcal{B}$ . In fact, by the proof of [6, Theorem 2.1] we know that  $\tau_1^{22}$  is a derivation. This implies that  $\tau_1^{22}(Y_1Y_2) = \tau_1^{22}(Y_1)Y_2 + Y_1\tau_1^{22}(Y_2)$  for any  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ . We now assume that  $\tau_m^{22}(Y_1Y_2) = \sum_{i+j=m} \tau_i^{22}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2)$  for all  $1 \leq m < n$  with  $m \in N$ . It follows from Eq. (17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(WY_1Y_2) &= \varphi_n^{12}(W(Y_1Y_2)) \\ &= W\tau_n^{22}(Y_1Y_2) + \sum_{i+j=n, j < n} \varphi_i^{12}(W)\tau_j^{22}(Y_1Y_2) \\ &= W\tau_n^{22}(Y_1Y_2) + \sum_{i+e+k=n, i > 0} \varphi_i^{12}(W)\tau_e^{22}(Y_1)\tau_k^{22}(Y_2) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

for any  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{M}$ . On the other hand by Eq. (17) and the fact that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(WY_1Y_2) &= \varphi_n^{12}((WY_1)Y_2) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} \varphi_i^{12}(WY_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2) = \sum_{e+k+j=n} \varphi_e^{12}(W)\tau_k^{22}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2) \\ &= W \sum_{k+j=n} \tau_k^{22}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2) + \sum_{e+k+j=n, e > 0} \varphi_e^{12}(W)\tau_k^{22}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Combining Eq. (18) with Eq. (19), we get  $W[\tau_n^{22}(Y_1Y_2) - \sum_{k+j=n} \tau_k^{22}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2)]W = 0$ . Since  $M$  is faithful, we get  $\tau_n^{22}(Y_1Y_2) = \sum_{i+j=n} \tau_i^{22}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2)$ .

Now we prove that  $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a higher derivation. For any  $S = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & W_1 \\ 0 & Y_1 \end{bmatrix}, T = \begin{bmatrix} X_2 & W_2 \\ 0 & Y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$ , where  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{A}, W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ . Summing up the above results and using the definition of  $D_n$ , we obtain that

$$D_n(ST) = D_n \left( \begin{bmatrix} X_1 X_2 & X_1 W_2 + W_1 Y_2 \\ 0 & Y_1 Y_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_n^{11}(X_1 X_2) & \delta_n^{12}(X_1 X_2) + \varphi_n^{12}(X_1 W_2 + W_1 Y_2) + \tau_n^{12}(Y_1 Y_2) \\ 0 & \tau_n^{22}(Y_1 Y_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\sum_{i+j=n} D_i(S) D_j(T) \\ = \sum_{i+j=n} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i^{11}(X_1) & \delta_i^{12}(X_1) + \varphi_i^{12}(W_1) + \tau_i^{12}(Y_1) \\ 0 & \tau_i^{22}(Y_1) \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} \delta_j^{11}(X_2) & \delta_j^{12}(X_2) + \varphi_j^{12}(W_2) + \tau_j^{12}(Y_2) \\ 0 & \tau_j^{22}(Y_2) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_n^{11}(X_1 X_2) & \sum_{i+j=n} (\delta_i^{11}(X_1) \delta_j^{12}(X_2) + \delta_i^{11}(X_1) \tau_j^{12}(Y_2) + \delta_i^{12}(X_1) \tau_j^{22}(Y_2) \\ & + \tau_i^{12}(Y_1) \tau_j^{22}(Y_2)) + \varphi_n^{12}(X_1 W_2 + W_1 Y_2) \\ 0 & \tau_n^{22}(Y_1 Y_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

by Eq. (17) and the fact that both  $\delta$  and  $\tau$  are higher derivations. So  $D$  is a higher derivations if and only if the equation

$$\delta_n^{12}(X_1 X_2) + \varphi_n^{12}(X_1 W_2 + W_1 Y_2) + \tau_n^{12}(Y_1 Y_2) \\ = \sum_{i+j=n} (\delta_i^{11}(X_1) \delta_j^{12}(X_2) + \delta_i^{11}(X_1) \tau_j^{12}(Y_2) + \delta_i^{12}(X_1) \tau_j^{22}(Y_2) + \tau_i^{12}(Y_1) \tau_j^{22}(Y_2)) \\ + \varphi_n^{12}(X_1 W_2 + W_1 Y_2)$$

holds.

We get that  $\tau_n^{22}(I_2) = 0(n \geq 1)$  from [4, Lemma 2.2]. So we can write

$$\delta_n^{12}(X) = - \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X) \tau_j^{12}(I_2)$$

by setting  $Y = I_2$  in Eq. (10). Letting  $X = I_1$  in the above equation, one gets  $\delta_n^{12}(I_1) = -\tau_n^{12}(I_2)$ . So

$$\delta_n^{12}(X) = \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X) \delta_j^{12}(I_1). \tag{20}$$

Similarly by taking  $X = I_1$  in Eq. (10) and noting the fact  $\delta_n^{11}(I_1) = 0(n \geq 1)$ , we have

$$\tau_n^{12}(Y) = - \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1) \tau_j^{22}(Y). \tag{21}$$

Thus it follows from Eq. (20) and Eq. (21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n^{12}(X_1X_2) + \tau_n^{12}(Y_1Y_2) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X_1X_2)\delta_j^{12}(I_1) - \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_1Y_2) \\
 &= \sum_{k+l+j=n} \delta_k^{11}(X_1)\delta_l^{11}(X_2)\delta_j^{12}(I_1) - \sum_{i+k+l=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_k^{22}(Y_1)\tau_l^{22}(Y_2).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{22}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i+j=n} (\delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_j^{12}(X_2) + \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\tau_j^{12}(Y_2) + \delta_i^{12}(X_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2) + \tau_i^{12}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2)) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{k+l=j} \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_k^{11}(X_2)\delta_l^{12}(I_1) - \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{k+l=j} \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_k^{12}(I_1)\tau_l^{22}(Y_2) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{k+l=i} \delta_k^{11}(X_1)\delta_l^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2) - \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{k+l=i} \delta_k^{11}(I_1)\tau_l^{22}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2) \\
 &= \sum_{i+k+l=n} \delta_i^{11}(X_k)\delta_k^{11}(X_2)\delta_l^{12}(I_1) - \sum_{j+k+l=n} \delta_k^{12}(I_1)\tau_l^{22}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{23}$$

Thus combining Eq. (22) with Eq. (23), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\delta_n^{12}(X_1X_2) + \varphi_n^{12}(X_1W_2 + W_1Y_2) + \tau_n^{12}(Y_1Y_2) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j=n} (\delta_i^{11}(X_1)\delta_j^{12}(X_2) + \delta_i^{11}(X_1)\tau_j^{12}(Y_2) + \delta_i^{12}(X_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2) \\
 &\quad + \tau_i^{12}(Y_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y_2)) + \varphi_n^{12}(X_1W_2 + W_1Y_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $D = \{D_n\}$  be a family of additive or linear mappings on  $T$  with  $D_0 = id_T$ . If  $D$  is Jordan higher derivable at  $G = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & X_0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $D$  is a higher derivation.

**Proof.** We set  $S = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{bmatrix} X^{-1} & X^{-1}X_0 \\ 0 & Y^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$  for every invertible element  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Then  $ST = G$  and  $TS = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & X^{-1}X_0Y \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\begin{bmatrix} 2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + 2\tau_n^{11}(I_2) & 2\delta_n^{12}(I_1) + 2\tau_n^{12}(I_2) \\ +\varphi_n^{11}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0Y) & +\varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0Y) \\ 0 & 2\delta_n^{22}(I_1) + \varphi_n^{22}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0Y) + 2\tau_n^{22}(I_2) \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= D_n(ST + TS) = \sum_{i+j=n} (D_i(S)D_j(T) + D_i(T)D_j(S)) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i^{11}(X) + \tau_i^{11}(Y) & \delta_i^{12}(X) + \tau_i^{12}(Y) \\ 0 & \delta_i^{22}(X) + \tau_i^{22}(Y) \end{bmatrix} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \begin{bmatrix} \delta_j^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{11}(X^{-1}X_0) & \delta_j^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) \\ +\tau_j^{11}(Y^{-1}) & +\tau_j^{12}(Y^{-1}) \\ 0 & \delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} \\ & + \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0) & \delta_i^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) \\ +\tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1}) & +\tau_i^{12}(Y^{-1}) \\ 0 & \delta_i^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_i^{22}(Y^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} \\ & \times \begin{bmatrix} \delta_j^{11}(X) + \tau_j^{11}(Y) & \delta_j^{12}(X) + \tau_j^{12}(Y) \\ 0 & \delta_j^{22}(X) + \tau_j^{22}(Y) \end{bmatrix} \Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

So according to the above matrix equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + 2\tau_n^{11}(I_2) + \varphi_n^{11}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0Y) \\ & = \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{11}(X) + \tau_i^{11}(Y))(\delta_j^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{11}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_j^{11}(Y^{-1})) \\ & \quad + (\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1}))(\delta_j^{11}(X) + \tau_j^{11}(Y))], \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\delta_n^{12}(I_1) + 2\tau_n^{12}(I_2) + \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0Y) \\ & = \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{11}(X) + \tau_i^{11}(Y))(\delta_j^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_j^{12}(Y^{-1})) \\ & \quad + (\delta_i^{12}(X) + \tau_i^{12}(Y))(\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1})) \\ & \quad + (\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1}))(\delta_j^{12}(X) + \tau_j^{12}(Y)) \\ & \quad + (\delta_i^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_i^{12}(Y^{-1}))(\delta_j^{22}(X) + \tau_j^{22}(Y))], \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\delta_n^{22}(I_1) + 2\tau_n^{22}(I_2) + \varphi_n^{22}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0Y) \\ & = \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{22}(X) + \tau_i^{22}(Y))(\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1})) \\ & \quad + (\delta_i^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_i^{22}(Y^{-1}))(\delta_j^{22}(X) + \tau_j^{22}(Y))]. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We claim that  $\delta_n^{11}(I_1) = \tau_n^{11}(I_2) = \varphi_n^{11}(X_0) = 0$  when  $n \geq 1$ . In fact, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + 2\tau_n^{11}(I_2) + \varphi_n^{11}(X_0 + X_0) \\ & = \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{11}(I_1) + \tau_i^{11}(I_2))(\delta_j^{11}(I_1) + \varphi_j^{11}(X_0) + \tau_j^{11}(I_2)) \\ & \quad + (\delta_i^{11}(I_1) + \varphi_i^{11}(X_0) + \tau_i^{11}(I_2))(\delta_j^{11}(I_1) + \tau_j^{11}(I_2))] \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

by setting  $X = I_1$  and  $Y = I_2$  in Eq. (24). When  $n = 1$ , the result that  $\delta_1^{11}(I_1) = \tau_1^{11}(I_2) = \varphi_1^{11}(X_0) = 0$  holds according to the [6, Theorem 2.2]. So we assume that  $\delta_m^{11}(I_1) = \tau_m^{11}(I_2) = \varphi_m^{11}(X_0) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq m < n, m \in N$ . Combining Eq. (27) with the fact  $\delta_0^{11}(I_1) = I_1, \tau_0^{11}(I_2) = 0$  and using the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + 2\tau_n^{11}(I_2) + 2\varphi_n^{11}(X_0) & = \delta_n^{11}(I_1) + \tau_n^{11}(I_2) + \delta_n^{11}(I_1) + \tau_n^{11}(I_2) \\ & \quad + 2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + 2\tau_n^{11}(I_2) + 2\varphi_n^{11}(X_0). \end{aligned}$$



Hence  $\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + \tau_n^{11}(I_2) = 0 (n \geq 1)$ . Similarly we also can set that  $X = I_1$  and  $Y = -I_2$  in Eq. (24). Using the induction hypothesis, we get  $\delta_n^{11}(I_1) - \tau_n^{11}(I_2) = -\varphi_n^{11}(X_0)$ . Summing up the above equations we get  $2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) = -2\tau_n^{11}(I_2) = \varphi_n^{11}(X_0)$ .  $\square$

Setting  $X = \frac{1}{2}I_1$  and  $Y = I_2$  in Eq. (24) and using  $\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + \tau_n^{11}(I_2) = 0$ , we have

$$3\varphi_n^{11}(X_0) = \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2}\delta_i^{11}(I_1) + \tau_i^{11}(I_2) \right) (2\delta_j^{11}(I_1) + \tau_j^{11}(I_2) + 2\varphi_j^{11}(X_0)) + (2\delta_i^{11}(I_1) + \tau_i^{11}(I_2) + 2\varphi_i^{11}(X_0)) \left( \frac{1}{2}\delta_j^{11}(I_1) + \tau_j^{11}(I_2) \right) \right].$$

Thus combining  $2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) = -2\tau_n^{11}(I_2) = \varphi_n^{11}(X_0)$  with the assumption and using  $\delta_0^{11}(I_1) = I_1$ , one obtains

$$3\varphi_n^{11}(X_0) = \frac{1}{2}(2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + \tau_n^{11}(I_2) + 2\varphi_n^{11}(X_0)) + 2(\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + \tau_n^{11}(I_2)) + 2(\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + \tau_n^{11}(I_2)) + \frac{1}{2}(2\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + \tau_n^{11}(I_2) + 2\varphi_n^{11}(X_0)).$$

So  $\varphi_n^{11}(X_0) = 4\delta_n^{11}(I_1) + 5\tau_n^{11}(I_2)$ . We can claim that  $\delta_n^{11}(I_1) = \tau_n^{11}(I_2) = \varphi_n^{11}(X_0) = 0$ . Hence the Eq. (24) can be rewritten into

$$\varphi_n^{11}(X^{-1}X_0Y) = \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{11}(X) + \tau_i^{11}(Y))(\varphi_j^{11}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_j^{11}(Y^{-1}) + \delta_j^{11}(X^{-1})) + (\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1}))(\delta_j^{11}(X) + \tau_j^{11}(Y))]. \tag{28}$$

Similarly by setting  $X = I_1$  and  $Y = I_2$  in Eq. (26) and using the induction, we can get  $\delta_n^{22}(I_1) + \tau_n^{22}(I_2) = 0$ . We also can obtain  $\delta_n^{22}(I_1) = \tau_n^{22}(I_2) = \varphi_n^{22}(X_0) = 0$  if we take  $X = I_1$  and  $Y = \frac{1}{2}I_2$  in Eq. (26). Thus

$$\varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0Y) = \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{22}(X) + \tau_i^{22}(Y))(\varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \delta_j^{22}(X^{-1})) + (\delta_i^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_i^{22}(Y^{-1}))(\delta_j^{22}(X) + \tau_j^{22}(Y))]. \tag{29}$$

We take  $X = I_1$  and  $Y = I_2$  in Eq. (25), then we can get  $\delta_n^{12}(I_1) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2) = 0$ . Letting respectively  $Y = I_2$  and  $Y = \frac{1}{2}I_2$  in Eq. (25) and using the above equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{11}(X)(\delta_j^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_j^{12}(I_2)) \\ &\quad + (\delta_i^{12}(X) + \tau_i^{12}(I_2))(\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0)) \\ &\quad + (\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0))(\delta_j^{12}(X) + \tau_j^{12}(I_2)) \\ &\quad + (\delta_i^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_i^{12}(I_2))\delta_j^{22}(X)] \\ &\quad + \delta_n^{12}(X) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2) + \delta_n^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_n^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2), \tag{30} \\ \varphi_n^{12}\left(X_0 + \frac{1}{2}X^{-1}X_0\right) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{11}(X)(\delta_j^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) + 2\tau_j^{12}(I_2)) \\ &\quad + (\delta_i^{12}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_i^{12}(I_2))(\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0)) \\ &\quad + (\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0))(\delta_j^{12}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_j^{12}(I_2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ (\delta_i^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) + 2\tau_i^{12}(I_2))\delta_j^{22}(X) \\
 &+ 2\delta_n^{12}(X) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n^{12}(X^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2), \quad (31)
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [-\delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_i^{12}(I_2)(\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0)) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2}(\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0))\tau_j^{12}(I_2) - \tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X)] - \delta_n^{12}(X) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2}\delta_n^{12}(X^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{12}(X^{-1}X_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \delta_i^{11}(X^{-1})\tau_j^{12}(I_2) \\
 &+ \tau_i^{12}(I_2)\varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0)\tau_j^{12}(I_2)] + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n^{12}(X^{-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2) + \tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X)] + \delta_n^{12}(X). \quad (32)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2) + \tau_i^{12}(I_2)\varphi_j^{22}(XX_0) + \varphi_i^{11}(XX_0)\tau_j^{12}(I_2)] + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n^{12}(X) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1})\tau_j^{12}(I_2) + \tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1})] + \delta_n^{12}(X^{-1}) \quad (33)
 \end{aligned}$$

for any invertible  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  by replacing  $X^{-1}$  by  $X$  in Eq. (32). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2) + \tau_i^{12}(I_2)\varphi_j^{22}(XX_0) + \varphi_i^{11}(XX_0)\tau_j^{12}(I_2)] + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n^{12}(X) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{12}(I_2)\varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0)\tau_j^{12}(I_2)] \\
 &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2) + \tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X)] + \delta_n^{12}(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{4} \left[ \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2)] + \delta_n^{12}(X) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{12}(I_2)\varphi_j^{22}(XX_0) + \varphi_i^{11}(XX_0)\tau_j^{12}(I_2)] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{12}(I_2)\varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0)\tau_j^{12}(I_2)] \\
 &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{12}(I_2)\delta_j^{22}(X) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2)] + \delta_n^{12}(X) \quad (34)
 \end{aligned}$$

for any invertible  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Similarly by letting  $X = I_1$  and  $X = 2I_1$  in Eq. (25), it is easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + X_0Y) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{11}(Y)(\varphi_j^{12}(X_0) + \tau_j^{12}(Y^{-1}) + \delta_j^{12}(I_1)) \\ &\quad + (\delta_i^{12}(I_1) + \tau_i^{12}(Y))\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1})(\delta_j^{12}(I_1) + \tau_j^{12}(Y)) \\ &\quad + (\varphi_i^{12}(X_0) + \tau_i^{12}(Y^{-1}) + \delta_i^{12}(I_1))\tau_j^{22}(Y)] \\ &\quad + \varphi_n^{12}(X_0) + \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) + 2\delta_n^{12}(I_1) + \tau_n^{12}(Y), \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}\left(X_0 + \frac{1}{2}X_0Y\right) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ \tau_i^{11}(Y) \left( \frac{1}{2}\varphi_j^{12}(X_0) + \tau_j^{12}(Y^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_j^{12}(I_1) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + (2\delta_i^{12}(I_1) + \tau_i^{12}(Y))\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1})(2\delta_j^{12}(I_1) + \tau_j^{12}(Y)) \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{2}\varphi_i^{12}(X_0) + \tau_i^{12}(Y^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_i^{12}(I_1) \right) \tau_j^{22}(Y) \right] \\ &\quad + \varphi_n^{12}(X_0) + 2\tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) + 2\delta_n^{12}(I_1) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_n^{12}(Y), \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{12}(X_0Y) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ \frac{1}{2}\tau_i^{11}(Y)(\varphi_j^{12}(X_0) + \delta_j^{12}(I_1)) - \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1})\delta_j^{12}(I_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_i^{12}(X_0) + \delta_i^{12}(I_1))\tau_j^{22}(Y) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\tau_n^{12}(Y) - \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

By considering Eq. (28) and  $\varphi_n^{11}(X_0) = 0$  and letting  $X = I_1$  and  $X = 2I_1$  respectively, it is easily verified that

$$\varphi_n^{11}(X_0Y) = \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{11}(Y)\tau_j^{11}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1})\tau_j^{11}(Y)] + 2\tau_n^{11}(Y^{-1}) + 2\tau_n^{11}(Y), \tag{38}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{11}(X_0Y) = \sum_{i+j=n} [\tau_i^{11}(Y)\tau_j^{11}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_i^{11}(Y^{-1})\tau_j^{11}(Y)] + 4\tau_n^{11}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_n^{11}(Y). \tag{39}$$

When  $n = 0$ ,  $\tau_0^{11}(Y) = 0$ . When  $n = 1$ ,  $\tau_1^{11}(Y) = 0$  according to [6, Theorem 2.2]. We assume that  $\tau_m^{11}(Y) = 0$  for any  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $1 \leq m < n$ . So combining Eq. (38) with Eq. (39) and using the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\varphi_n^{11}(X_0Y) = 2\tau_n^{11}(Y^{-1}) + 2\tau_n^{11}(Y), \tag{40}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{11}(X_0Y) = 4\tau_n^{11}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_n^{11}(Y). \tag{41}$$

By direct computation, one can verify that  $\tau_n^{11}(Y^{-1}) = 0$ . There exists  $n \in N$  such that  $nI_2 - Y$  is invertible for any  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\tau_n^{11}(I_2) = 0$ , so  $\tau_n^{11}(Y) = 0$  for any  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ .

When  $n = 0$ ,  $\delta_0^{22}(X) = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . we have  $\delta_1^{22}(X) = 0$  by [6, Theorem 2.2]. So now we assume that  $\delta_m^{22}(X) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq m < n$  and  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . Taking respectively  $Y = I_2$  and  $Y = 2I_2$  in Eq. (29) and using  $\tau_n^{22}(I_2) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\tau_0^{22} = id_{\mathcal{B}}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{22}(X)(\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0)) + (\varphi_i^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \delta_i^{22}(X^{-1}))\delta_j^{22}(X)] \\ &\quad + 2\delta_n^{22}(X) + 2\varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + 2\delta_n^{22}(X^{-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{22}(X)(\delta_j^{22}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0)) + (\varphi_i^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + \delta_i^{22}(X^{-1}))\delta_j^{22}(X)] \\ &\quad + \delta_n^{22}(X) + 4\varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) + 4\delta_n^{22}(X^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Combining the assumption and the above equations, we have the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) &= 2\delta_n^{22}(X) + 2\delta_n^{22}(X^{-1}), \\ -2\varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0) &= \delta_n^{22}(X) + 4\delta_n^{22}(X^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, one can verify that  $\delta_n^{22}(X) = 0$  for any invertible  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $n \in N$ . Because there is some integer  $n$  such that  $nI_1 - X$  is invertible for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , the conclusion of  $\delta_n^{22}(X) = 0$  holds for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We set  $S = \begin{bmatrix} X & XW \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{bmatrix} X^{-1} & X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1} \\ 0 & Y^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$  for any  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{M}$ , and for any

invertible  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $ST = G$  and  $TS = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & X^{-1}X_0Y \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . So combining  $\delta_n^{12}(I_1) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2) = 0$  with the characterization of  $D$ , we obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} \varphi_n^{11}(X^{-1}X_0Y) & \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0Y) \\ 0 & \varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0Y) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= D_n(ST + TS) = \sum_{i+j=n} (D_i(S)D_j(T) + D_i(T)D_j(S)) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i^{11}(X) + \varphi_i^{11}(XW) & \delta_i^{12}(X) + \varphi_i^{12}(XW) + \tau_i^{12}(Y) \\ 0 & \tau_i^{22}(Y) + \varphi_i^{22}(XW) \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{bmatrix} \delta_j^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{11}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}) & \delta_j^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}) + \tau_j^{12}(Y^{-1}) \\ 0 & \tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}) & \delta_i^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{12}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}) + \tau_i^{12}(Y^{-1}) \\ 0 & \tau_i^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{22}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{bmatrix} \delta_j^{11}(X) + \varphi_j^{11}(XW) & \delta_j^{12}(X) + \varphi_j^{12}(XW) + \tau_j^{12}(Y) \\ 0 & \tau_j^{22}(Y) + \varphi_j^{22}(XW) \end{bmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the following three equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_n^{11}(X^{-1}X_0Y) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{11}(X) + \varphi_i^{11}(XW))(\delta_j^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{11}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1})) \\ &\quad + (\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}))(\delta_j^{11}(X) + \varphi_j^{11}(XW))], \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0Y) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{11}(X) + \varphi_i^{11}(XW))(\delta_j^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}) + \tau_j^{12}(Y^{-1})) \\
 &\quad + (\delta_i^{12}(X) + \varphi_i^{12}(XW) + \tau_i^{12}(Y))(\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1})) \\
 &\quad + (\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{11}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}))(\delta_j^{12}(X) + \varphi_j^{12}(XW) + \tau_j^{12}(Y)) \\
 &\quad + (\delta_i^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{12}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}) + \tau_i^{12}(Y^{-1}))(\tau_j^{22}(Y) + \varphi_j^{22}(XW))], \tag{45}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_n^{22}(X^{-1}X_0Y) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [(\tau_i^{22}(Y) + \varphi_i^{22}(XW))(\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{22}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1})) \\
 &\quad + (\tau_i^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \varphi_i^{22}(X^{-1}X_0 - WY^{-1}))(\tau_j^{22}(Y) + \varphi_j^{22}(XW))]. \tag{46}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we take  $X = 2I_1$  and  $Y = I_2$  in Eq. (44) and Eq. (46), it is checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{11}(X_0) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ (2\delta_i^{11}(I_1) + 2\varphi_i^{11}(W)) \left( \frac{1}{2}\delta_j^{11}(I_1) + \varphi_j^{11} \left( \frac{1}{2}X_0 - W \right) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left( \frac{1}{2}\delta_i^{11}(I_1) + \varphi_i^{11} \left( \frac{1}{2}X_0 - W \right) \right) (2\delta_j^{11}(I_1) + 2\varphi_j^{11}(W)) \right], \\
 \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{22}(X_0) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ (\tau_i^{22}(I_2) + 2\varphi_i^{22}(W))(\tau_j^{22}(I_2) + \varphi_j^{22} \left( \frac{1}{2}X_0 - W \right)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (\tau_i^{22}(I_2) + \varphi_i^{22} \left( \frac{1}{2}X_0 - W \right)) (\tau_j^{22}(I_2) + 2\varphi_j^{22}(W)) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that  $\delta_n^{11}(I_1) = 0(n \geq 1)$ ,  $\tau_n^{22}(I_2) = 0(n \geq 1)$  and  $\varphi_n^{11}(X_0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_n^{22}(X_0) = 0$  for any  $n \geq 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= 2\varphi_n^{11}(W) + 4 \sum_{i+j=n} \varphi_i^{11}(W)\varphi_j^{11}(W), \\
 0 &= 2\varphi_n^{22}(W) + 4 \sum_{i+j=n} \varphi_i^{22}(W)\varphi_j^{22}(W).
 \end{aligned}$$

When  $n = 0$ ,  $\varphi_0^{11}(W) = \varphi_0^{22}(W) = 0$ , When  $n = 1$ ,  $\varphi_1^{11}(W) = \varphi_1^{22}(W) = 0$ , So we assume that  $\varphi_m^{11}(W) = \varphi_m^{22}(W) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq m < n$  and  $W \in \mathcal{M}$ . Combining the above equation with the assumption, we get that  $\varphi_n^{11}(W) = \varphi_n^{22}(W) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq m < n$ .

By setting respectively  $Y = \frac{1}{2}I_2$  and  $Y = I_2$  in Eq. (45), the following two equations hold

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_n^{12} \left( X_0 + \frac{1}{2}X^{-1}X_0 \right) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ \delta_i^{11}(X)(\delta_j^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}X_0 - 2W) + 2\tau_j^{12}(I_2)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \delta_i^{11}(X^{-1}) \left( \delta_j^{12}(X) + \varphi_j^{12}(XW) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_j^{12}(I_2) \right) \right] + 2\delta_n^{12}(X) \\
 &\quad + 2\varphi_n^{12}(XW) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n^{11}(X^{-1}) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{12}(X^{-1}X_0 - 2W) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2), \tag{47}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + X^{-1}X_0) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [\delta_i^{11}(X)(\delta_j^{12}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_j^{12}(X^{-1}X_0 - W) + \tau_j^{12}(I_2)) \\ &\quad + \delta_i^{11}(X^{-1})(\delta_j^{12}(X) + \varphi_j^{12}(XW) + \tau_j^{12}(I_2))] + \delta_n^{12}(X) \\ &\quad + \varphi_n^{12}(XW) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2) + \delta_n^{11}(X^{-1}) + \varphi_n^{12}(X^{-1}X_0 - W) + \tau_n^{12}(I_2). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{12}(X^{-1}X_0) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ -\delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{12}(W) + \delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_i^{11}(X^{-1})\tau_j^{12}(I_2) \right] \\ &\quad + \delta_n^{12}(X) + \varphi_n^{12}(XW) - \frac{1}{2}\delta_n^{11}(X^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{12}(X^{-1}X_0). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

It follows from Eq. (34) and the fact  $\delta_n^{22}(X) = \varphi_n^{11}(W) = \varphi_n^{22}(W) = 0$ , we have

$$\delta_n^{12}(X) = - \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X)\tau_j^{12}(I_2). \tag{50}$$

Hence combining Eq. (49) with Eq. (50), we can see that

$$\varphi_n^{12}(XW) = \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{12}(W)$$

for any invertible  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . There exists some  $n \in N$  such that  $nl_1 - X$  is invertible for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , one can check that

$$\varphi_n^{12}(XW) = \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{11}(X)\varphi_j^{12}(W) \tag{51}$$

for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Now we take respectively  $X = I_1$  and  $X = 2I_1$  in Eq. (45), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + X_0Y) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [(\delta_i^{12}(I_1) + \varphi_i^{12}(W) + \tau_i^{12}(Y))\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) \\ &\quad + (\delta_i^{12}(I_1) + \varphi_i^{12}(X_0 - WY^{-1}) + \tau_i^{12}(Y^{-1}))\tau_j^{22}(Y)] + \delta_n^{12}(I_1) \\ &\quad + \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 - WY^{-1}) + \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) + \delta_n^{12}(I_1) + \tau_n^{12}(Y) + \varphi_n^{12}(W), \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{12}(X_0 + \frac{1}{2}X_0Y) &= \sum_{i+j=n} [(2\delta_i^{12}(I_1) + 2\varphi_i^{12}(W) + \tau_i^{12}(Y))\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_i^{12}(I_1) + \varphi_i^{12}\left(\frac{1}{2}X_0 - WY^{-1}\right) + \tau_i^{12}(Y^{-1})\right)\tau_j^{22}(Y)] + \delta_n^{12}(I_1) \\ &\quad + 2\varphi_n^{12}\left(\frac{1}{2}X_0 - WY^{-1}\right) + 2\tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) + \delta_n^{12}(I_1) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_n^{12}(Y) + \varphi_n^{12}(W), \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n^{12}(X_0Y) &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ -(\delta_i^{12}(I_1) + \varphi_i^{12}(W))\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}(\delta_i^{12}(I_1) + \varphi_i^{12}(X_0))\tau_j^{22}(Y) \right] \\ &\quad + \varphi_n^{12}(WY^{-1}) - \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_n^{12}(Y). \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Combining the above equation with Eq. (37) and the fact  $\tau_n^{11}(Y) = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ -\delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_i^{12}(X_0)\tau_j^{22}(Y) \right] + \frac{1}{2}\tau_n^{12}(Y) - \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} \left[ -\delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_i^{12}(X_0)\tau_j^{22}(Y) \right] \\ & - \sum_{i+j=n} \varphi_i^{12}(W)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_n^{12}(Y) - \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) + \varphi_n^{12}(WY^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

So

$$\varphi_n^{12}(WY^{-1}) = \sum_{i+j=n} \varphi_i^{12}(W)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}). \tag{56}$$

Replacing  $Y$  by  $Y^{-1}$  in the above equation, we obtain for any invertible  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\varphi_n^{12}(WY) = \sum_{i+j=n} \varphi_i^{12}(W)\tau_j^{22}(Y). \tag{57}$$

Since there is some integer  $n$  such that  $nI_2 - Y$  is invertible for every  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ , it is easy to see that Eq. (57) is true for every  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{M}$ , Summing up Eq. (54) and Eq. (56), Eq. (57), we obtain that

$$\sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y) + \tau_n^{12}(Y) \right]. \tag{58}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y) + \tau_n^{12}(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) \right] \tag{59}$$

by replacing  $Y^{-1}$  by  $Y$  in the Eq. (58). Combining Eq. (58) with Eq. (59), we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) \right] = 2 \left[ \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y^{-1}) + \tau_n^{12}(Y^{-1}) \right].$$

So using the direct computation, we can claim that

$$\tau_n^{12}(Y) = - \sum_{i+j=n} \delta_i^{12}(I_1)\tau_j^{22}(Y). \tag{60}$$

Now summing up all the above equations and using similar arguments as that in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is easily checked that both  $\{\delta_n^{11}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\tau_n^{22}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are higher derivations. Therefore it is also an easy computation to see that  $\{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a higher derivation.  $\square$

**References**

[1] R.L. An, J.C. Hou, Characterization of derivations on triangular rings: additive maps derivable at idempotents, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (2009) 1070–1080.  
 [2] R.L. An, J.C. Hou, Additivity of Jordan multiplicative maps on Jordan operator algebras, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 10 (2006) 45–64.  
 [3] W. Jing, On Jordan all-derivable points of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009) 941–946.  
 [4] Z.K. Xiao, F. Wei, Higher derivations of triangular algebras and its generations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432 (2010) 2615–2622.  
 [5] J. Zhang, W. Yu, Jordan derivations of triangular algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 419 (2006) 251–255.  
 [6] S. Zhao, J. Zhu, Jordan all-derivable points in the algebra of all upper triangular matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 433 (2010) 1922–1938.  
 [7] J. Zhu, All-derivable points of operator algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 427 (2007) 1–5.