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#### Abstract

It is proved that the Hörmander $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ and $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ spaces $\left(\Omega_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}\right.$ open sets, $1 \leqslant$ $p<\infty, k_{i}$ Beurling-Björck weights, $k=k_{1} \otimes k_{2}$ ) are isomorphic whereas the iterated spaces $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and $B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}, B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\right)$ are not if $1<p \neq q<\infty$. A similar result for weighted $L_{p}$-spaces of entire analytic functions is also obtained. Finally a result on iterated Besov spaces is given: $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$ are not isomorphic when $1<q \neq 2<\infty$. © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction and notation

Many iterated spaces of functions or distributions are isomorphic to scalar spaces of the same kind; e.g., $L_{p}\left(\mu, L_{p}(\nu)\right)$ and $L_{p}(\mu \otimes v)(1 \leqslant p<\infty, \mu, v \sigma$-finite measures $), H_{p}\left(\mathbb{D}, H_{p}(\mathbb{D})\right)$ and $H_{p}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)(1 \leqslant p<\infty, \mathbb{D}$ unit disc), $W_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, W_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $W_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)(1<p<\infty, s=0,1,2, \ldots)$ or $D^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1}, D^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and $D^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ $\left(\Omega_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}\right.$ open sets) are isomorphic. On the contrary, $L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right), \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{T}$, $\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ ) and $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ or $D\left(\Omega_{1}, D\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and $D\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ are never isomorphic (see, e.g., $[4,6]$ and $[7,12]$ and [5], respectively). In this paper we extend slightly the kernel theorem for Beurling ultradistributions (see [18, Theorem 2.3]) and as a consequence we obtain results of the former kind for Hörmander $B_{p, k}$ and $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}(\Omega)$ spaces in the sense of Beurling-Björck [3] (these spaces play a crucial role in the theory of linear partial differential operators, see, e.g., $[3,14]$ and [16]), for weighted $L_{p}$-spaces of entire analytic functions $L_{p, \rho}^{K}$ (these spaces are the building blocks of the corresponding Besov spaces, see [27,30,32] and [24]) and for Besov spaces $B_{p, q}^{s}$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains some basic facts about scalar and vector-valued Beurling ultradistributions and the definitions of the spaces which are considered in the paper. In Section 3 we show that $D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to $L_{b}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ for some weights $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ and $\omega$

[^0](see Theorem 3.2). In Section 4 we prove that the restriction of the previous canonical isomorphism to HörmanderBeurling local space $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism of this space onto the iterated space $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ (Theorem 4.5) and that the iterated spaces $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and $B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}, B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\right)$ are not isomorphic if $1<p \neq q<\infty$ (Theorem 4.9). We also propose the following question: For which weights $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $\left.\left.q \in\right] 1, \infty\right]$ the iterated spaces $B_{1, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, B_{1, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ are not isomorphic? Are the Banach spaces $l_{1}\left(l_{\infty}\right)$ and $l_{\infty}\left(l_{1}\right)$ not isomorphic? In the last section we present a similar result to Theorem 4.5 for weighted $L_{p}$-spaces of entire analytic functions. We also give a result on iterated Besov spaces: $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$ are not isomorphic when $-\infty<s<\infty$ and $1<q \neq 2<\infty$.

Notation. The linear spaces we use are defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $E$ and $F$ be locally convex spaces. Then $L_{b}(E, F)$ is the locally convex space of all continuous linear operators equipped with the bounded convergence topology. The dual of $E$ is denoted by $E^{\prime}$ and is given the strong topology so that $E^{\prime}=L_{b}(E, \mathbb{C}) . E^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the topological product of a countable number of copies of $E . \mathcal{B}_{b}(E, F)$ is the locally convex space of all continuous bilinear forms on $E \times F$ equipped with the bibounded topology. If $E$ or $F$ is sequentially complete, $\mathcal{B}_{b}^{s}(E, F)$ denotes the locally convex space of all separately continuous bilinear forms on $E \times F$ with the bibounded topology (see, e.g., [19, p. 167]). $E \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} F$ (respectively $E \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} F$ ) is the completion of the injective (respectively projective) tensor product of $E$ and $F$. If $E$ and $F$ are (topologically) isomorphic we put $E \simeq F$. If $E$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $F$ we write $E<F$. We put $E \hookrightarrow F$ if $E$ is a linear subspace of $F$ and the canonical injection is continuous (we replace $\hookrightarrow$ by $\stackrel{d}{\hookrightarrow}$ if $E$ is also dense in $F)$. If $\left(E_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of locally convex spaces, $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}\left(E^{(\mathbb{N})}\right.$ if $E_{n}=E$ for all $\left.n\right)$ is the locally convex direct sum of the spaces $E_{n}$. The Fréchet space defined by the projective sequence of Banach spaces $E_{n}$ and linking maps $A_{n}$

$$
\cdots \rightarrow E_{n+1} \xrightarrow{A_{n}} E_{n} \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{A_{2}} E_{2} \xrightarrow{A_{1}} E_{1}
$$

will be denoted by $\operatorname{proj}\left(E_{n}, A_{n}\right)$.
Let $0<p \leqslant \infty, k: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ a Lebesgue measurable function, and $E$ a Fréchet space. Then $L_{p}(E)$ is the set of all (equivalence classes of) Bochner measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow E$ for which $\|f\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\|f(x)\|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}$ is finite (with the usual modification when $p=\infty$ ) for all $\|\cdot\| \in \operatorname{cs}(E)$ (see, e.g., [11]). $L_{p, k}(E)$ denotes the set of all Bochner measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow E$ such that $k f \in L_{p}(E)$. Putting $\|f\|_{L_{p, k}(E)}=\|f\|_{p, k}=\|k f\|_{p}$ for all $f \in L_{p, k}(E)$ and for all $\|\cdot\| \in \operatorname{cs}(E), L_{p, k}(E)$ becomes a Fréchet space isomorphic to $L_{p}(E)$ if $p \geqslant 1$. If $E=\operatorname{proj}\left(E_{i}, A_{i}\right)$ and $p \geqslant 1$, then $L_{p, k}(E)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{proj}\left(L_{p, k}\left(E_{i}\right), \bar{A}_{i}\right)$ via the operator $f \rightarrow\left(P_{i} \circ f\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(P_{i}\right.$ is the $i$ th canonical projection from $E$ into $E_{i}$ and $\left.\bar{A}_{i}: L_{p, k}\left(E_{i+1}\right) \rightarrow L_{p, k}\left(E_{i}\right): g \rightarrow A_{i} \circ g\right)$. When $E$ is the field $\mathbb{C}$, we simply write $L_{p}$ and $L_{p, k}$. If $f \in L_{1}(E)$ the Fourier transform of $f, \hat{f}$ or $\mathcal{F} f$, is defined by $\hat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) e^{-i \xi x} d x$. If $f$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $\tilde{f}(x)=f(-x),\left(\tau_{h} f\right)(x)=f(x-h)$ for $x, h \in R^{n}$, and $B_{b}$ is the closed ball $\{x:|x| \leqslant b\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The letter $C$ will always denote a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

Finally we recall the definition of $A_{p}^{*}$ functions. A positive, locally integrable function $\omega$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is in $A_{p}^{*}$ provided, for $1<p<\infty$,

$$
\sup _{R}\left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} \omega d x\right)\left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} \omega^{-p^{\prime} / p} d x\right)^{p / p^{\prime}}<\infty
$$

where $R$ runs over all bounded $n$-dimensional intervals. The basic properties of these functions can be found in [10, Chapter IV].

## 2. Spaces of vector-valued (Beurling) ultradistributions

In this section we collect some basic facts about vector-valued (Beurling) ultradistributions and we recall the definitions of the vector-valued Hörmander-Beurling spaces and the weighted $L_{p}$-spaces of vector-valued entire analytic functions. Comprehensive treatments of the theory of (scalar or vector-valued) ultradistributions can be found in [3, $13,17,18]$ and [19]. Our notations are based on [3] and [27, pp. 14-19].

Let $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ be the set of all functions $\omega$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\omega(x)=\sigma(|x|)$ where $\sigma(t)$ is an increasing continuous concave function on $[0, \infty[$ with the following properties:
(i) $\sigma(0)=0$,
(ii) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(t)}{1+t^{2}} d t<\infty$ (Beurling's condition),
(iii) there exist a real number $a$ and a positive number $b$ such that

$$
\sigma(t) \geqslant a+b \log (1+t) \quad \text { for all } t \geqslant 0
$$

The assumption (ii) is essentially the Denjoy-Carleman non-quasianalyticity condition (see [3, Section 1.5]). The two most prominent examples of functions $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$ are given by $\omega(x)=\log (1+|x|)^{d}, d>0$, and $\omega(x)=|x|^{\beta}$, $0<\beta<1$.

If $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$ and $E$ is a Fréchet space, we denote by $D_{\omega}(E)$ the set of all functions $f \in L_{1}(E)$ with compact support, such that $\|f\|_{\lambda}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\|\hat{f}(\xi)\| e^{\lambda \omega(\xi)} d \xi<\infty$ for all $\lambda>0$ and for all $\|\cdot\| \in \operatorname{cs}(E)$. For each compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, D_{\omega}(K, E)=\left\{f \in D_{\omega}(E)\right.$ : supp $\left.f \subset K\right\}$, equipped with the topology induced by the family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\| \lambda:\|\cdot\| \in \operatorname{cs}(E), \lambda>0\}$, is a Fréchet space and $D_{\omega}(E)=\operatorname{ind}_{\vec{K}} D_{\omega}(K, E)$ becomes a strict (LF)-space. If $\Omega$ is any open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, D_{\omega}(\Omega, E)$ is the subspace of $D_{\omega}(E)$ consisting of all functions $f$ with supp $f \subset \Omega$. $D_{\omega}(\Omega, E)$ is endowed with the corresponding inductive limit topology: $D_{\omega}(\Omega, E)=\operatorname{ind} \underset{K \subset \Omega}{ } D_{\omega}(K, E)$. Let $S_{\omega}(E)$ be the set of all functions $f \in L_{1}(E)$ such that both $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\lambda \omega(x)}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} f(x)\right\|<\infty$ and $\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\lambda \omega(x)}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} \hat{f}(x)\right\|<\infty$ for all multi-indices $\alpha$, all positive numbers $\lambda$ and all $\|\cdot\| \in \operatorname{cs}(E) . S_{\omega}(E)$ with the topology induced by the above family of seminorms is a Fréchet space and the Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F}$ is an automorphism of $S_{\omega}(E)$. If $E=\mathbb{C}$, then $D_{\omega}(E)$ and $S_{\omega}(E)$ coincide with the spaces $D_{\omega}$ and $S_{\omega}$ (see [3]). Let us recall that, by Beurling's condition, the space $D_{\omega}$ is non-trivial and the usual procedure of the resolution of unity can be established with $D_{\omega}$-functions (see [3, Theorem 1.3.7]). Furthermore, $D_{\omega} \stackrel{d}{\hookrightarrow} D$ (see [3, Theorem 1.3.18]) and $D_{\omega}$ is nuclear [34, Corollary 7.5]. On the other hand, $D_{\omega}=D \cap S_{\omega}, D_{\omega} \stackrel{d}{\hookrightarrow} S_{\omega} \stackrel{d}{\hookrightarrow} S$ (see [3, Proposition 1.8.6, Theorem 1.8.7]) and $S_{\omega}$ is nuclear (see [13, p. 320]). If $\mathcal{E}_{\omega}$ is the set of multipliers on $D_{\omega}$, i.e., the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi f \in D_{\omega}$ for all $\varphi \in D_{\omega}$, then $\mathcal{E}_{\omega}$ with the topology generated by the seminorms $\left\{f \rightarrow\|\varphi f\|_{\lambda}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\widehat{\varphi f}(\xi)| e^{\lambda \omega(\xi)} d \xi: \lambda>0, \varphi \in D_{\omega}\right\}$ becomes a nuclear Fréchet space (see [34, Corollary 7.5]) and $D_{\omega} \stackrel{d}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{E}_{\omega}$. Using the above results and [19, Theorem 1.12] we can identify $S_{\omega}(E)$ with $S_{\omega} \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} E$. However, though $D_{\omega} \otimes E$ is dense in $D_{\omega}(E)$, in general $D_{\omega}(E)$ is not isomorphic to $D_{\omega} \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} E$ (cf., e.g., [12, Chapter II, p. 83]). A continuous linear operator from $D_{\omega}$ into $E$ is said to be a (Beurling) ultradistribution with values in $E$. We write $D_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)$ for the space of all $E$-valued (Beurling) ultradistributions endowed with the bounded convergence topology, thus $D_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)=L_{b}\left(D_{\omega}, E\right) . D_{\omega}^{\prime}(\Omega, E)=L_{b}\left(D_{\omega}(\Omega), E\right)$ is the space of all (Beurling) ultradistributions on $\Omega$ with values in $E$. A continuous linear operator from $S_{\omega}$ into $E$ is said to be an $E$-valued tempered ultradistribution. $S_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)$ is the space of all $E$-valued tempered ultradistributions equipped with the bounded convergence topology, i.e., $S_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)=L_{b}\left(S_{\omega}, E\right)$. The Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F}$ is an automorphism of $S_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)$.

If $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$, then $\mathcal{K}_{\omega}$ is the set of all positive functions $k$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for which there exists a positive constant $N$ such that $k(x+y) \leqslant e^{N \omega(x)} k(y)$ for all $x$ and $y$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ [3, Definition 2.1.1] (when $\omega(x)=\log (1+|x|)$ the functions $k$ of the corresponding class $\mathcal{K}_{\omega}$ are called temperate weight functions, see [14, Definition 10.1.1]). If $k, k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}$ and $s$ is a real number, then $\log k$ is uniformly continuous, $k^{s} \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}, k_{1} k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}$ and $M_{k}(x)=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{k(x+y)}{k(y)} \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}$ (see [3, Theorem 2.1.3]). If $u \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) u(x) d x=0$ for all $\varphi \in D_{\omega}$, then $u=0$ a.e. (see [3]). This result, the Hahn-Banach theorem and [9, Chapter II, Corollary 7] prove that if $k \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}, p \in[1, \infty]$ and $E$ is a Fréchet space, we can identify $f \in L_{p, k}(E)$ with the $E$-valued tempered ultradistribution $\varphi \rightarrow\langle\varphi, f\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) f(x) d x, \varphi \in S_{\omega}$, and $L_{p, k}(E) \hookrightarrow$ $S_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, k \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}, p \in[1, \infty]$ and $E$ is a Fréchet space, we denote by $B_{p, k}(E)$ the set of all $E$-valued tempered ultradistributions $T$ for which there exists a function $f \in L_{p, k}(E)$ such that $\langle\varphi, \widehat{T}\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) f(x) d x$, $\varphi \in S_{\omega} . B_{p, k}(E)$ with the seminorms $\left\{\|T\|_{p, k}=\left((2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\|k(x) \widehat{T}(x)\|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}:\|\cdot\| \in \operatorname{cs}(E)\right\}$ (usual modification if $p=\infty$ ), becomes a Fréchet space isomorphic to $L_{p, k}(E)$. Spaces $B_{p, k}(E)$ are called Hörmander-Beurling spaces with values in $E$ (see [3,14,16] for the scalar case and $[24,25,33]$ for the vector-valued case). We denote by $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}(\Omega, E)$ (see $[3,14,34]$ and $\left.[23,25,33]\right)$ the space of all $E$-valued ultradistributions $T \in D_{\omega}^{\prime}(\Omega, E)$ such that, for every $\varphi \in D_{\omega}(\Omega)$, the map $\varphi T: S_{\omega} \rightarrow E$ defined by $\langle u, \varphi T\rangle=\langle u \varphi, T\rangle, u \in S_{\omega}$, belongs to $B_{p, k}(E)$. The space $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}(\Omega, E)$ is a Fréchet space with the topology generated by the seminorms $\left\{\|\cdot\|_{p, k, \varphi}: \varphi \in D_{\omega}(\Omega),\|\cdot\| \in \operatorname{cs}(E)\right\}$, where $\|T\|_{p, k, \varphi}=\|\varphi T\|_{p, k}$ for $T \in B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}(\Omega, E)$. We shall also use the spaces $B_{p, k}^{c}(\Omega, E)$ which generalize the scalar spaces $B_{p, k}^{c}(\Omega)$ considered by Hörmander in [14], by Vogt in [34] and by Björck in [3]. If $\omega, k, p, \Omega$ and $E$ are as
above, then $B_{p, k}^{c}(\Omega, E)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[B_{p, k}(E) \cap \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(K_{j}, E\right)\right]$ (here $\left(K_{j}\right)$ is any fundamental sequence of compact subsets of $\Omega$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(K_{j}, E\right)$ denotes the set of all $T \in D_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)$ such that $\left.\operatorname{supp} T \subset K_{j}\right)$. Since for every compact $K \subset \Omega$, $B_{p, k}(E) \cap \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\omega}^{\prime}(K, E)$ is a Fréchet space with the topology induced by $B_{p, k}(E)$, it follows that $B_{p, k}^{c}(\Omega, E)$ becomes a strict (LF)-space: $B_{p, k}^{c}(\Omega, E)=\operatorname{ind}_{\vec{j}}\left[B_{p, k}(E) \cap \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(K_{j}, E\right)\right]$. These spaces are studied in [23] and [25].

We conclude this section with the definition of the weighted $L_{p}$-spaces of $E$-valued entire analytic functions $L_{p, \rho}^{K}(E)$. First we state the vector-valued version of the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem that we shall need (see [3, Theorem 1.8.14], [18, Theorem 1.1] and [27, pp. 18-19] for the scalar case): "Let $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$ and let $E$ be a Banach space. If $T \in S_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)$ and supp $\widehat{T} \subset B_{b}$, then there exist an $E$-valued entire analytic function $U(\zeta)$ and a real number $\lambda$ such that for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\|U(\xi+i \eta)\| \leqslant C_{\varepsilon} e^{(b+\varepsilon)|\eta|+\lambda \omega(\xi)}
$$

holds for all $\zeta=\xi+i \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ where $C_{\varepsilon}$ depends on $\varepsilon$ but not on $\zeta(U(\zeta)$ is called an $E$-valued entire function of exponential type) and such that $U$ represents to $T$, i.e., such that $\langle\varphi, T\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) U(x) d x$ for all $\varphi \in S_{\omega}$." Next we recall the definition of $R(\omega)$ given in [30, Definition 1.3.1]. If $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$, then $R(\omega)$ denotes the collection of all Borel-measurable real functions $\rho(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that there exists a positive constant $c$ with $0<\rho(x) \leqslant c e^{\omega(x-y)} \rho(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $\rho \in R(\omega), p \in[1, \infty]$ and $E$ is a Banach space, we have the canonical embeddings $S_{\omega}(E) \hookrightarrow$ $L_{p, \rho}(E) \hookrightarrow S_{\omega}^{\prime}(E)$. Finally, we give the definition of the spaces $L_{p, \rho}^{K}(E)$. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, \rho \in R(\omega), p \in[1, \infty]$, $K$ a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $E$ a Banach space, then

$$
L_{p, \rho}^{K}(E)=\left\{f \mid f \in S_{\omega}^{\prime}(E), \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset K,\|f\|_{L_{p, \rho}^{K}(E)}=\|f\|_{p, \rho}<\infty\right\}
$$

With the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, \rho}, L_{p, \rho}^{K}(E)$ becomes a Banach space. We shall write $L_{p, \rho}^{K}$ when $E=\mathbb{C}$. If $\rho(x)=1$, then we put $L_{p, 1}^{K}(E)=L_{p}^{K}(E)$. If there is a possibility of confusion, the notation $L_{p, \rho}^{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, E\right), L_{p, \rho}^{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), L_{p}^{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, E\right)$ will be used. We shall denote by $S_{\omega}^{K}$ the collection of all $\varphi \in S_{\omega}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \hat{\varphi} \subset K$. The spaces $L_{p, \rho}^{K}(E)$ are studied in [27,30,32] and [24].

## 3. On the kernel theorem for ultradistributions

In this section we shall show that if $\omega_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, \omega_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{m}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n+m}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c}\left[\omega_{1}(x)+\omega_{2}(y)\right] \leqslant \omega(x, y) \leqslant c\left[\omega_{1}(x)+\omega_{2}(y)\right], \quad(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $c$ is a constant $>0$ ) and $\Omega_{1}\left(\right.$ respectively $\Omega_{2}$ ) is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ), then

$$
L_{b}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right) \simeq D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)
$$

This result extends slightly the kernel theorem for ultradistributions (see, e.g., [18, Theorem 2.3]) and will be used in the next sections.

Let us now recall that a bounded open $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ has the segment property if there exist open balls $V_{j}$ and vectors $y^{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, j=1, \ldots, N$, such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} V_{j}$ and $\left(\bar{\Omega} \cap V_{j}\right)+t y^{j} \subset \Omega$ for $0<t<1$ and $j=1, \ldots, N$. For instance, if $\Omega$ is convex or if $\partial \Omega \in C^{0,1}$, then $\Omega$ has the segment property. We say that a compact set $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is regular if $K=\overline{\bar{K}}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{K}$ has the segment property (in [18, p. 614] compact regular is said compact with the cone property).

The following lemma is known (see, e.g., [17, pp. 73-75] and [3, Corollary 1.5.15, Theorem 1.5.16]).
Lemma 3.1. If $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$, the set $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ of all polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}_{\omega}$.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $\omega_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, \omega_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{m}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n+m}$ satisfy the condition (3.1), that $\Omega_{1}$ (respectively $\Omega_{2}$ ) is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ), and that $K_{1}$ (respectively $K_{2}$ ) is a regular compact in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ). Then
(1) $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ is sequentially dense in $D_{\omega}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$.
(2) $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$.
(3) $D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to $L_{b}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$.

Proof. We are going to adapt to our context the proof given by Komatsu in [18, pp. 614-619] of the kernel theorem for ultradistributions.
(1) From (3.1) it follows that $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ is a linear subspace of $D_{\omega}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$. Let then $\phi \in D_{\omega}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ and put $L=\operatorname{supp} \phi, L_{1}=\operatorname{proj}_{\Omega_{1}} L$ and $L_{2}=\operatorname{proj}_{\Omega_{2}} L$. By [3, Theorem 1.3.7] we can find functions $\varphi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$, $\psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $L_{1}$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $L_{2}$. Then $\varphi \otimes \psi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \otimes$ $D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ and $\varphi \otimes \psi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $L$. Now we choose using Lemma 3.1 a sequence $P_{k} \in \mathcal{P}_{n+m}$ with $P_{k} \rightarrow \phi$ in $\mathcal{E}_{\omega}$. Then the functions $(\varphi \otimes \psi) P_{k}$ are in $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ and $(\varphi \otimes \psi) P_{k} \rightarrow(\varphi \otimes \psi) \phi=\phi$ in $D_{\omega}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$. Thus (1) is proved.
(2) Let us denote by $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes_{\omega} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ the space $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ equipped with the topology induced by $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$. From (3.1) it follows that the identity $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes_{\pi} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right) \rightarrow D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes_{\omega} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ is continuous. Let us see that the identity of $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes_{\omega} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ into $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes_{\varepsilon} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ is also continuous: Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0$. Let $U$ (respectively $V$ ) be the unit ball in $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)$ (respectively $D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ ) corresponding to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{1}}^{\left(\omega_{1}\right)}$ (respectively $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{2}}^{\left(\omega_{2}\right)}$ ). Then, by using the theorem of bipolars (cf., e.g., [15, p. 149]), we have $\|\varphi\|_{\lambda_{1}}^{\left(\omega_{1}\right)}=\sup _{u \in U^{\circ}}|\langle\varphi, u\rangle|$ for all $\varphi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $\|\psi\|_{\lambda_{2}}^{\left(\omega_{2}\right)}=\sup _{v \in V^{\circ}}|\langle\psi, v\rangle|$ for all $\psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$. Therefore, if $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi_{j} \otimes \psi_{j} \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right), u \in U^{\circ}$ and $v \in V^{\circ}$, we get by using (3.1) and the Fubini's theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{j}, u\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{j}, v\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\left\langle\sum_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{j}, u\right\rangle \psi_{j}, v\right\rangle\right| \leqslant\left\|\sum_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{j}, u\right\rangle \psi_{j}\right\|_{\lambda_{2}}^{\left(\omega_{2}\right)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left|\sum_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{j}, u\right\rangle \hat{\psi}_{j}(y)\right| e^{\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}(y)} d y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left|\left\langle\sum_{j} \hat{\psi}_{j}(y) \varphi_{j}, u\right\rangle\right|^{\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}(y)} d y \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left\|\sum_{j} \hat{\psi}_{j}(y) \varphi_{j}\right\|_{\lambda_{1}}^{\left(\omega_{1}\right)} e^{\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}(y)} d y \\
& \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sum_{j} \hat{\varphi}_{j}(x) \hat{\psi}_{j}(y)\right| e^{\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}(x)} d x\right) e^{\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}(y)} d y \\
& \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}}\left|\left(\sum_{j} \varphi_{j} \otimes \psi_{j}\right)^{\wedge}(x, y)\right| e^{c \lambda_{3} \omega(x, y)} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is the constant of (3.1) and $\lambda_{3}=\max \left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. So

$$
\sup _{(u, v) \in U^{\circ} \times V^{\circ}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle\varphi_{j}, u\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{j}, v\right\rangle\right| \leqslant\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi_{j} \otimes \psi_{j}\right\|_{c \lambda_{3}}^{(\omega)}
$$

which proves the required continuity. Since the $\varepsilon$-topology coincides with the $\pi$-topology on $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ (by the nuclearity of the spaces $D_{\omega_{i}}\left(K_{i}\right)$, see Vogt [34, Corollary 7.5]), we conclude that $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ is a topological linear subspace of $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$. It remains to prove that this subspace coincides with $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$. In order to show this, since $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{1}\right) \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\grave{K}_{2}\right)$ is dense in $D_{\omega}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{1} \times \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{2}\right)$ (step (1)) and the canonical injection of $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times \circ_{2}\right)$ into $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ is continuous, it will be sufficient to prove that $D_{\omega}\left(\AA_{1} \times{ }^{\circ}{ }_{2}^{2}\right)$ is dense in $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$. Let then $\phi \in D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$. Since $K_{1} \times K_{2}$ is also a regular compact, there exist open balls $V_{j}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ and vectors $\left(x^{j}, y^{j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \backslash\{0\}, j=1, \ldots, N$, such that $K_{1} \times K_{2} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} V_{j}$ and $\left(K_{1} \times K_{2} \cap V_{j}\right)+$ $t\left(x^{j}, y^{j}\right) \subset \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{1} \times \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{2}$ for $0<t<1$ and $j=1, \ldots, N$. Therefore, if $\left(\phi_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ is a $D_{\omega}$-partition of unity at $K_{1} \times K_{2}$ subordinate to the covering $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{N}\right\}$ (see [3, Theorem 1.3.7]), the functions $\tau_{t\left(x^{j}, y^{j}\right)}\left(\phi \phi_{j}\right)$ are in $D_{\omega}\left(\AA^{\circ} \times \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{2}\right)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_{t\left(x^{j}, y^{j}\right)}\left(\phi \phi_{j}\right) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi \phi_{j}=\phi$ in $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ when $t \rightarrow 0+$. This completes the proof of (2).
(3) Let $\left(K_{j}^{1}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ (respectively $\left.\left(K_{j}^{2}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}\right)$ be a fundamental sequence of regular compacts in $\Omega_{1}$ (respectively $\Omega_{2}$ ). Then $\left(K_{j}^{1} \times K_{j}^{2}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a fundamental sequence of regular compacts in $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$ and, by (2) and [28, Proposition 50.7], we have the canonical isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{\omega}\left(K_{j}^{1} \times K_{j}^{2}\right)\right)^{\prime} \simeq\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{j}^{1}\right) \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{j}^{2}\right)\right)^{\prime} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{b}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{j}^{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{j}^{2}\right)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall prove that the linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota: \quad D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{b}^{s}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right) \\
& u \rightarrow \iota(u)(\varphi, \psi)=\langle\varphi \otimes \psi, u\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

( $\iota$ is well defined since the bilinear map $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \times D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \rightarrow D_{\omega}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right):(\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow \varphi \times \psi$ is separately continuous) is an isomorphism. That $\iota$ is one-to-one follows from (1). Now assume that $U \in \mathcal{B}^{s}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. Then $\left.U\right|_{D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{j}^{1}\right) \times D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{j}^{2}\right)} \in \mathcal{B}^{s}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{j}^{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{j}^{2}\right)\right)$ and, since every separately continuous bilinear form in a product of Fréchet spaces is continuous [28, Corollary, p. 354], we can find (see (3.2)) $u_{K_{j}^{1} \times K_{j}^{2}} \in\left(D_{\omega}\left(K_{j}^{1} \times K_{j}^{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}$ such that $U(\varphi, \psi)=\left\langle\varphi \otimes \psi, u_{K_{j}^{1} \times K_{j}^{2}}\right\rangle$ for all $\varphi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{j}^{1}\right)$ and for all $\psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{j}^{2}\right)$. So we construct $u \in D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ such that $\iota(u)=U$, and $\iota$ is onto. If $A$ (respectively $B$ ) is a bounded set in $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ (respectively $D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ ), then, by [28, Proposition 14.6], there is a sufficiently large $j$ such that $A$ (respectively $B$ ) is contained and is bounded in $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{j}^{1}\right)$ (respectively $D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{j}^{2}\right)$. Conversely, if $M$ is bounded in $D_{\omega}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ there exists $K_{j}^{1} \times K_{j}^{2}$ [28, Proposition 14.6] such that $M$ is contained and is bounded in $D_{\omega}\left(K_{j}^{1} \times K_{j}^{2}\right)$. Since the spaces $D_{\omega_{i}}\left(K_{j}^{i}\right), i=1,2$, are nuclear [34, Corollary 7.5], (2) and [12, Chapter II] prove that $M \subset \overline{\Gamma A \otimes B}$ being $A$ (respectively $B$ ) a bounded set in $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{j}^{1}\right)$ (respectively $D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{j}^{2}\right)$ ). It is an immediate consequence of these results that $\iota$ and $\iota^{-1}$ are continuous, that is, that $\iota$ is an isomorphism. Finally, we can argue exactly as in [18, p. 618] and obtain the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_{b}^{s}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right) \simeq L_{b}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$.

Corollary 3.3. If $\omega_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, \omega_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{m}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n+m}$ satisfy the condition (3.1), then $S_{\omega_{1}} \otimes S_{\omega_{2}}$ is dense in $S_{\omega}$.
Proof. Since the canonical injection of $D_{\omega}$ into $S_{\omega}$ is continuous, it is enough to take into account that $D_{\omega}$ is dense in $S_{\omega}$ (see [3, Theorem 1.8.7]) and that $D_{\omega_{1}} \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}$ is dense in $D_{\omega}$ (step (1) of Theorem 3.2).

## 4. Iterated Hörmander-Beurling local spaces

In this section we shall show that if $\Omega_{1}$ (respectively $\Omega_{2}$ ) is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ), $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ and $\omega$ are as in Section 3, $k_{1} \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega_{1}}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega_{2}} k=k_{1} \otimes k_{2}$ and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, then the restriction of the canonical isomorphism $D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right) \simeq L_{b}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ (see Theorem 3.2) to Hörmander-Beurling local space $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism of this space onto the iterated space $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and that the iterated spaces $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and $B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}, B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\right)$ are not isomorphic if $1<p \neq q<\infty$.

In what follows we shall denote by $R$ the canonical isomorphism $D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right) \rightarrow L_{b}\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right): u \rightarrow$ $R(u)(\varphi)(\psi)=u(\varphi \otimes \psi)$ (Theorem 3.2). If $\Omega_{1}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\Omega_{2}=\mathbb{R}^{m}$, then we put $R_{1}$ instead of $R$. It is easily seen that the restriction of $R_{1}$ to $S_{\omega}^{\prime}$ becomes a continuous operator from $S_{\omega}^{\prime}$ to $L_{b}\left(S_{\omega_{1}}, S_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\right)$. If we denote by $R_{2}$ this restriction, we have the commutative diagram

where the vertical arrows are the canonical injections.
Lemma 4.1. Let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega, k_{1}, k_{2}, k$ and $p$ as above. Then the Hörmander-Beurling space $B_{p, k}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the iterated space $B_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}\right)$ via the canonical isomorphism $R_{1}$.

Proof. By (3.1), $k \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}$. Now consider the diagram

where $D$ is $(2 \pi)^{-(n+m) / p} \mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{F}\right.$ is the Fourier transform in $\left.S_{\omega}^{\prime}\right), C$ is defined by $C f(x)(y)=f(x, y), B$ is $(2 \pi)^{n / p} \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ (here $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform in $S_{\omega_{1}}^{\prime}\left(L_{p, k_{2}}\right)$ ), and $A$ is defined by $A(T)=(2 \pi)^{m / p} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \circ T$ ( $\mathcal{F}$ being the Fourier transform in $S_{\omega_{0}}^{\prime}$ ). Since all these operators are isometrical isomorphisms, their composition $R_{3}$ is also an isometrical isomorphism. It remains to prove that the diagram

is commutative (here the vertical arrows are the canonical injections). For this, since the canonical injections and $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are continuous operators and $S_{\omega_{1}} \otimes S_{\omega_{2}}$ is dense in $B_{p, k}$ (in view of Corollary 3.3 and [3, Theorem 2.2.3]), it will be sufficient to show that $R_{3}\left(\varphi_{0} \otimes \psi_{0}\right)(\varphi)(\psi)=R_{2}\left(\varphi_{0} \otimes \psi_{0}\right)(\varphi)(\psi)$ for all $\varphi_{0}, \varphi \in S_{\omega_{1}}$ and for all $\psi_{0}, \psi \in S_{\omega_{2}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{3}\left(\varphi_{0} \otimes \psi_{0}\right)(\varphi)(\psi) & =\left[\left(A B C D\left(\varphi_{0} \otimes \psi_{0}\right)\right)(\psi)\right](\psi) \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-(n+m) / p}\left[\left(A B C\left(\hat{\varphi}_{0} \otimes \hat{\psi}_{0}\right)\right)(\varphi)\right](\psi) \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-(n+m) / p}\left[\left(A B\left(\hat{\varphi}_{0}(\cdot) \hat{\psi}_{0}\right)\right)(\varphi)\right](\psi) \\
& =\left[\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \circ\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\hat{\varphi}_{0}(\cdot) \hat{\psi}_{0}\right)\right)\right)(\varphi)\right](\psi) \\
& =\left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi(x) \hat{\varphi}_{0}(x) \hat{\psi}_{0} d x\right)\right](\psi) \\
& =\left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left\langle\varphi, \varphi_{0}\right\rangle \hat{\psi}_{0}\right)\right](\psi) \\
& =\left[\left\langle\varphi, \varphi_{0}\right\rangle \psi_{0}\right](\psi) \\
& =\left\langle\varphi, \varphi_{0}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi, \psi_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi \otimes \psi, \varphi_{0} \otimes \psi_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =R_{2}\left(\varphi_{0} \otimes \psi_{0}\right)(\varphi)(\psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the lemma is proved.
Remark 4.2. In the case $p=\infty$, Lemma 4.1 is false. In fact, the spaces $B_{\infty, k}$ and $B_{\infty, k_{1}}\left(B_{\infty, k_{2}}\right)$ not even are isomorphic: By virtue of [6, Theorem 5.1.5], the space $B_{\infty, k_{1}}\left(B_{\infty, k_{2}}\right) \simeq L_{\infty}\left(R^{n}, L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ contains a complemented copy of $c_{0}$, however the space $B_{\infty, k} \simeq L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right) \simeq l_{\infty}$ has no complemented copies of $c_{0}$ by a classical result of Phillips (see, e.g., [6, Corollary 1.3.2]).

Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, k \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}$ and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Let $\left(K_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a fundamental sequence of compacts in $\Omega$ and, for each $j$, let $\varphi_{j} \in D_{\omega}\left(\dot{K}_{j+1}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{j}=1$ on $K_{j}$. Let $Y_{j}$ be the closure of $\left\{\varphi_{j} u: u \in B_{p, k}\right\}$ in $B_{p, k}$ and let $B_{j}$ be the continuous extension to $Y_{j+1}$ of the operator $\varphi_{j+1} u \rightarrow \varphi_{j} u$ (this operator is continuous since, by [3, Theorem 2.2.7], $\left\|\varphi_{j} u\right\|_{p, k}=\left\|\varphi_{j}\left(\varphi_{j+1} u\right)\right\|_{p, k} \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{1, M_{k}}\left\|\varphi_{j+1} u\right\|_{p, k}$ for all $\left.u \in B_{p, k}\right)$. Then the following lemma holds:

Lemma 4.3. The map $T: B_{p, k}^{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$ defined by $T(u)=\left(\varphi_{j} u\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. If $u \in B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}(\Omega)$, then $\varphi_{j+1} u \in B_{p, k}$ and $\varphi_{j} u=\varphi_{j}\left(\varphi_{j+1} u\right) \in Y_{j}$. Furthermore, $B_{j}\left(\varphi_{j+1} u\right)=B_{j}\left[\varphi_{j+1}\left(\varphi_{j+2} u\right)\right]=$ $\varphi_{j}\left(\varphi_{j+2} u\right)=\varphi_{j} u$ and so $T$ is a well-defined operator. Moreover, since the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{p, k, \varphi_{j}}$ generate the topology of $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}(\Omega), T$ becomes an isomorphism from $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}(\Omega)$ onto $\operatorname{Im} T$. In consequence, $\operatorname{Im} T$ is a closed subspace of $\operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$. Let us see that $\operatorname{Im} T$ coincides with $\operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$. First recall that the seminorms $\left\|\left(y_{j}\right)_{1}^{\infty}\right\|_{N}^{*}=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|y_{j}\right\|_{p, k}, N=1,2, \ldots$, generate the topology of $\operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$ (see [20, p. 230]). Then fix $\left(y_{j}\right) \in \operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$ and take $\varepsilon>0$ and $N \geqslant 1$. Put $C=1+\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \prod_{l=j}^{N-1}\left\|\varphi_{l}\right\|_{1, M_{k}}$ and choose $v \in B_{p, k}$ such that $\left\|y_{N}-\varphi_{N} v\right\|_{p, k}<\frac{\varepsilon}{C}$. Then $u=\left.v\right|_{D_{\omega}(\Omega)} \in B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_{j} u=\varphi_{j} v$ for all $j$. Thus, using Theorem 2.2.7 of [3], we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{j}-\varphi_{j} u\right\|_{p, k} & =\left\|B_{j}\left(y_{j+1}\right)-B_{j}\left(\varphi_{j+1} u\right)\right\|_{p, k} \leqslant\left\|B_{j}\right\|\left\|y_{j+1}-\varphi_{j+1} u\right\|_{p, k} \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{1, M_{k}}\left\|y_{j+1}-\varphi_{j+1} u\right\|_{p, k} \\
& \leqslant \cdots \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{1, M_{k}} \cdots\left\|\varphi_{N-1}\right\|_{1, M_{k}}\left\|y_{N}-\varphi_{N} u\right\|_{p, k}, \quad j=1, \ldots, N-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left\|\left(y_{j}\right)-T(u)\right\|_{N}^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|y_{j}-\varphi_{j} u\right\|_{p, k}<\varepsilon
$$

This proves that $\operatorname{Im} T$ is dense in $\operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$. Thus $\operatorname{Im} T=\operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$ as we required.
Lemma 4.4. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $Y$ be a closed linear subspace of $X$ and $f \in L_{1}^{\mathrm{loc}}(X)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) f(x) d x \in Y$ for every $\varphi \in D_{\omega}\left(\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}\right)$. Then, $f(x) \in Y$ for a.e. $x$.

Proof. If $\pi: X \rightarrow X / Y$ is the quotient map, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) \pi(f(x)) d x=\pi\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) f(x) d x\right)=0$ for every $\varphi \in D_{\omega}$ and so $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x)\langle\pi(f(x)), u\rangle d x=0$ for all $u \in(X / Y)^{\prime}$ and for all $\varphi \in D_{\omega}$. This implies, by [3, Theorem 1.3.18], that $u \circ(\pi \circ f)=0$ a.e. for all $u \in(X / Y)^{\prime}$. Then, applying [9, Corollary 7, p. 48], we conclude that $\pi(f(x))=0$ for a.e. $x$, i.e., that $f(x) \in Y$ for a.e. $x$.

Theorem 4.5. If $\Omega_{1}$ (respectively $\Omega_{2}$ ) is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ), $\omega_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, \omega_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{m}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n+m}$ satisfy (3.1), $k_{1} \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega_{1}}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega_{2}}, k=k_{1} \otimes k_{2}$ and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, then the restriction of the canonical isomorphism $R$ to $B_{p, k}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism of this space onto the iterated space $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$.

Proof. Step 1. We denote the restriction of $R$ to $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ by $R^{\text {loc }}$. Let $u \in B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ and put $U=R^{\text {loc }}(u)$. Let us see that $U \in B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. Fix $\varphi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and choose $\varphi_{0} \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ so that $\varphi_{0}=1$ on supp $\varphi$. By Theorem 3.2, $U(\varphi) \in D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. Moreover, for every $\psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ we have (see the proof of Lemma 4.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\psi U(\varphi)]^{\wedge}(\theta) } & =[\psi U(\varphi)](\hat{\theta})=U(\varphi)(\psi \hat{\theta})=u(\varphi \otimes \psi \hat{\theta})=u\left(\varphi \varphi_{0} \otimes \psi \hat{\theta}\right)=u\left[(\varphi \otimes \psi)\left(\varphi_{0} \otimes \hat{\theta}\right)\right] \\
& =[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u]\left(\varphi_{0} \otimes \hat{\theta}\right)=R_{2}[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u]\left(\varphi_{0}\right)(\hat{\theta})=\left[R_{2}[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u]\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right]^{\wedge}(\theta) \\
& =\left[R_{3}[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u]\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right]^{\wedge}(\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\theta \in S_{\omega_{2}}$. Hence it follows that the ultradistributions $\psi U(\varphi)$ and $R_{3}[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u]\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$ coincide, and so $\psi U(\varphi) \in B_{p, k_{2}}$. Consequently, $U(\varphi) \in B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ and $U$ is an operator from $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ into $B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. Let us see that it is continuous. Let $\phi_{j} \rightarrow \phi$ in $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and let $U\left(\phi_{j}\right) \rightarrow v$ in $B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. Then $U\left(\phi_{j}\right) \rightarrow U(\phi)$ in $D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$, since $U \in L\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. On the other hand, $U\left(\phi_{j}\right) \rightarrow v$ in $D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ since $B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \hookrightarrow D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ [3, Theorem 2.3.5]. Therefore, $U(\phi)=v$. This proves that $U$ is sequentially closed, and the Grothendieck's closedgraph theorem [12, Chapter I, p. 17] gives the desired continuity. Whence it follows that $\varphi U$ and $\widehat{\varphi U}$ are continuous operators from $S_{\omega_{1}}$ into $B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. Next it will be shown that $\widehat{\varphi U} \in L_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. To do this, we first identify $B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ with the projective limit $\operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$ (see Lemma 4.3: if $\left(K_{2}^{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a fundamental sequence of compacts in $\Omega_{2}$ and, for each $j, \psi_{j} \in D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}^{j+1}\right)$ and $\psi_{j}=1$ on $K_{2}^{j}$, then $Y_{j}$ is the closure of $\left\{\psi_{j} v: v \in B_{p, k_{2}}\right\}$ in $B_{p, k_{2}}, B_{j}$ is the continuous extension to $Y_{j+1}$ of the operator $\psi_{j+1} v \rightarrow \psi_{j} v$ and $P_{j}$ is the $j$ th canonical projection from $\operatorname{proj}\left(Y_{j}, B_{j}\right)$ into $Y_{j}$ ). Then the operator $f \rightarrow\left(P_{j} \circ f\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an isomorphism from $L_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ onto $\operatorname{proj}\left(L_{p, k_{1}}\left(Y_{j}\right), \bar{B}_{j}\right)$ (see Section 1). Let us see that the operators $P_{j} \circ \widehat{\varphi U}$ and $\left[R_{3}\left[\left(\varphi \otimes \psi_{j}\right) u\right]\right]^{\wedge}$ (see Lemma 4.1)

coincide. In fact, for each $\theta \in S_{\omega_{1}}$, we have $\left(P_{j} \circ \widehat{\varphi U}\right)(\theta)=\psi_{j} \widehat{\varphi U}(\theta)=\psi_{j} U(\hat{\theta} \varphi)$ and $\left[R_{3}\left[\left(\varphi \otimes \psi_{j}\right) u\right]\right]^{\wedge}(\theta)=$ $R_{3}\left[\left(\varphi \otimes \psi_{j}\right) u\right](\hat{\theta})$ and then, for each $\zeta \in S_{\omega_{2}}$, we get $\left(P_{j} \circ \widehat{\varphi U}\right)(\theta)(\zeta)=\left[R_{3}\left[\left(\varphi \otimes \psi_{j}\right) u\right]\right]^{\wedge}(\theta)(\zeta)=u\left(\varphi \hat{\theta} \otimes \psi_{j} \zeta\right)$ as we required. Now let $f_{j}$ be the function in $L_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}\right)$ which represents to $\left[R_{3}\left[\left(\varphi \otimes \psi_{j}\right) u\right]\right]^{\wedge}$, that is, such that

$$
\left(P_{j} \circ \widehat{\varphi U}\right)(\theta)=\left[R_{3}\left[\left(\varphi \otimes \psi_{j}\right) u\right]\right]^{\wedge}(\theta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \theta(x) f_{j}(x) d x, \quad \theta \in S_{\omega_{1}} .
$$

Then this integral lies in the subspace $Y_{j}$ of $B_{p, k_{2}}$ and so, by Lemma 4.4, $f_{j} \in L_{p, k_{1}}\left(Y_{j}\right)$. Let us check that $\left(f_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in$ $\operatorname{proj}\left(L_{p, k_{1}}\left(Y_{j}\right), \bar{B}_{j}\right)$. For each $j$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \theta(x) B_{j}\left(f_{j+1}(x)\right) d x & =B_{j}\left[\left(P_{j+1} \circ \widehat{\varphi U}\right)(\theta)\right]=B_{j}\left[\psi_{j+1} U(\hat{\theta} \varphi)\right]=\psi_{j} U(\hat{\theta} \varphi)=\left(P_{j} \circ \widehat{\varphi U}\right)(\theta) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \theta(x) f_{j}(x) d x, \quad \theta \in S_{\omega_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $B_{j}\left(f_{j+1}(x)\right)=f_{j}(x)$ for a.e. $x$, that is, $\bar{B}_{j}\left(f_{j+1}\right)=f_{j}$ by Lemma 4.4. In consequence, the function $f(x)=$ $\left(f_{j}(x)\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is in $L_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$, that is, $\widehat{\varphi U} \in L_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. Definitionnitively, $U \in B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and $R^{\text {loc }}$ is an operator from $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ into $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$.
Step 2. Naturally $R^{\text {loc }}$ is one-to-one, let us see that it is onto. Let $U \in B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. Since $B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right), U \in L\left(D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and so, by Theorem 3.2, we can find $u \in D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ such that $U(\varphi)(\psi)=$ $u(\varphi \otimes \psi)$ for all $\varphi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and all $\psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. We next prove that $(\varphi \otimes \psi) u \in B_{p, k}$ for each $\varphi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and each $\psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$, and then, that $\phi u \in B_{p, k}$ for each $\phi \in D_{\omega}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$. Fix $\varphi$ and $\psi$. Then $\varphi U \in B_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$, that is, $\widehat{\varphi U} \in L_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$, and the function $F=M_{\psi} \circ \widehat{\varphi U}\left(M_{\psi}\right.$ is the operator $v \rightarrow \psi v$ from $B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ into $\left.B_{p, k_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ is in $L_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}\right)$ since it is Bochner measurable $\left(\widehat{\varphi U}\right.$ is Bochner measurable and $M_{\psi}$ is linear and continuous) and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\|F(x)\|_{p, k_{2}}^{p} k_{1}^{p}(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\|\psi \widehat{\varphi U}(x)\|_{p, k_{2}}^{p} k_{1}^{p}(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\|\widehat{\varphi U}(x)\|_{p, k_{2}, \psi}^{p} k_{1}^{p}(x) d x<\infty$. If we prove that $\left[R_{2}[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u]\right]^{\wedge}=F$ (as elements of $\left.L\left(S_{\omega_{1}}, S_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ then $R_{2}[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u] \in B_{p, k_{1}}\left(B_{p, k_{2}}\right)$ and so, by Lemma 4.1, $(\varphi \otimes \psi) u \in B_{p, k}$. For all $f \in S_{\omega_{1}}$ and all $g \in S_{\omega_{2}}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[R_{2}[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u]\right]^{\wedge}(f)(g) } & =\left[R_{2}[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u]\right](\hat{f})(g)=[(\varphi \otimes \psi) u](\hat{f} \otimes g)=u(\varphi \hat{f} \otimes \psi g) \\
& =U(\varphi \hat{f})(\psi g)=[\psi U(\varphi \hat{f})](g)=[\psi(\varphi U)(\hat{f})](g)=[\psi \widehat{\psi U}(f)](g) \\
& =\left[\psi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widehat{\varphi U}(x) f(x) d x\right](g)=\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi \widehat{\psi U}(x) f(x) d x\right](g) \\
& =\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F(x) f(x) d x\right](g)=F(f)(g),
\end{aligned}
$$

and this establishes the required equality. To prove that $\phi u \in B_{p, k}$ for all $\phi \in D_{\omega}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$, we reason as follows. Given such a $\phi$, let $K_{1}, K_{2}$ be regular compacts such that $\phi \in D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ and let us see that the bilinear map $J_{u}: D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \times D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right) \rightarrow B_{p, k}$ defined by $J_{u}(\varphi, \psi)=(\varphi \otimes \psi) u$ is continuous. Since the $D_{\omega_{i}}\left(K_{i}\right)$ are Fréchet spaces, it will be sufficient to prove that $J_{u}$ is separately continuous [28, Corollary, p. 354]. Supose that $\varphi_{j} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\varphi_{j} \otimes \psi\right) u \rightarrow v$ in $B_{p, k}$. Then $\varphi_{j} \otimes \psi \rightarrow \varphi \otimes \psi$ in $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\varphi_{j} \otimes \psi\right) u \rightarrow(\varphi \otimes \psi) u$ in $S_{\omega}^{\prime}$. Since $B_{p, k} \hookrightarrow S_{\omega}^{\prime}$, it results that $v=(\varphi \otimes \psi) u$. In consequence, the map $\varphi \rightarrow(\varphi \otimes \psi) u$ is closed and therefore continuous by the closed-graph theorem [28, Corollary 4, p. 173]. The argument for the map $\psi \rightarrow(\varphi \otimes \psi) u$ is just the same. Then the linearization of $J_{u}$ extends to a continuous operator $\bar{J}_{u}$ from $D_{\omega_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} D_{\omega_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ into $B_{p, k}$, that is, to a continuous operator $\bar{J}_{u}$ from $D_{\omega}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ into $B_{p, k}$ (see Theorem 3.2). Now it is immediate to verify that $\bar{J}_{u}(\phi)=\phi u$. Consequently, $\phi u \in B_{p, k}$ and $u \in B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$. Since obviously $R^{\text {loc }}(u)=U$, the map $R^{\text {loc }}$ is onto.

Step 3. We show that $R^{\text {loc }}$ is an isomorphism. To do this, we use the graph-closed theorem [28, Corollary 4, p. 173] again. Assume that $u_{j} \rightarrow u$ in $B_{p, k}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ and $R^{\text {loc }}\left(u_{j}\right) \rightarrow v$ in $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. By virtue of the embeddings $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow D_{\omega_{1}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right), B_{p, k_{2}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \hookrightarrow D_{\omega_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ and $B_{p, k}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right) \hookrightarrow D_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$
we get for all $\varphi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and all $\psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(u_{j}\right)(\varphi) \rightarrow v(\varphi) \quad \text { in } B_{p, k_{2}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right), \\
& R^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(u_{j}\right)(\varphi)(\psi) \rightarrow v(\varphi)(\psi), \\
& R^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(u_{j}\right)(\varphi)(\psi)=u_{j}(\varphi \otimes \psi) \rightarrow u(\varphi \otimes \psi),
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $R^{\text {loc }}(u)=v$. Hence it follows, since our local spaces are Fréchet spaces, that $R^{\text {loc }}$ is continuous. Finally, we apply the open mapping theorem [28, Theorem 17.1].

Using Theorem 4.5 and the natural isomorphism $B_{p, k_{1} \otimes k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right) \simeq B_{p, k_{2} \otimes k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2} \times \Omega_{1}\right)$, one may immediately obtain the isomorphism $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right) \simeq B_{p, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}, B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\right)$. Next we shall prove that if $p \neq q$, then, in general, the spaces $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and $B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{2}, B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\right)$ are not isomorphic.

We shall require the following simple lemma whose proof we omit.
Lemma 4.6. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, k \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and let $\left(E_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of Banach spaces. Then the space $B_{p, k}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega, \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{p, k}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega, E_{j}\right)$.

We shall also need the following lemmata.
Lemma 4.7. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n}, k \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$ and let $E$ be a Banach space whose dual $E^{\prime}$ possesses the Radon-Nykodým property. Then $B_{p^{\prime}, 1 / \tilde{k}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega, E^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(B_{p, k}^{c}(\Omega, E)\right)_{b}^{\prime}$.

Proof. See Theorem 3.1 of [23].
In [24] we have shown that the spaces $B_{p, k}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are isomorphic to $l_{p}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ (see [34] for $p=1$ ) and the spaces $B_{p, k}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, l_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic to $\left(l_{p}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ if $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $k$ is a temperate weight function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $k^{p} \in A_{p}^{*}$. By using the methods of the proof of Corollary 5.6 of [24] we have obtained in [23, Theorem 4.1] the following result.

Lemma 4.8. Assume $1<p, q<\infty$ and let $k$ be a temperate weight function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $k^{p} \in A_{p}^{*}$. Then the space $B_{p, k}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, l_{q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} G_{j}$ where $G_{0}$ is isomorphic to $l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)$ and $G_{j}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$.

Theorem 4.9. If $k_{1}$ (respectively $k_{2}$ ) is a temperate weight function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ) such that $k_{1}^{p} \in A_{p}^{*}$ (respectively $\left.k_{2}^{q} \in A_{q}^{*}\right)$ and $1<p, q<\infty$ with $p \neq q$, then the spaces $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ are not isomorphic.

Proof. Since $1 / \tilde{k}_{1}$ (respectively $1 / \tilde{k}_{2}$ ) is a temperate weight function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ) such that $1 / \tilde{k}_{1}^{p^{\prime}} \in A_{p^{\prime}}^{*}$ (respectively $1 / \tilde{k}_{2}^{q^{\prime}} \in A_{q^{\prime}}^{*}$ ), it follows by Lemma 4.8 that $B_{p^{\prime}, 1 / \tilde{k}_{1}}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, l_{q^{\prime}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} G_{j}$ where $G_{0} \simeq$ $l_{p^{\prime}}\left(l_{q^{\prime}}\right)$ and $G_{j}<l_{p^{\prime}}\left(l_{q^{\prime}}\right)$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$, and that $B_{q^{\prime}, 1 / k_{2}}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, l_{p^{\prime}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{j}$ where $H_{0} \simeq l_{q^{\prime}}\left(l_{p^{\prime}}\right)$ and $H_{j}<l_{q^{\prime}}\left(l_{p^{\prime}}\right)$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$ On the other hand, recall that if $\left(E_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of Banach spaces, then the space $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j}\right)_{b}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j}^{\prime}$ (see [15, p. 168]). On the basis of these results and the previous lemmata, one may derive immediately the isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{p, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right) & \simeq B_{p, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\left(B_{q^{\prime}, 1 / \tilde{k}_{2}}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)_{b}^{\prime}\right) \simeq B_{p, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\left(l_{q^{\prime}}^{\left(\mathbb{N}^{N}\right)}\right)_{b}^{\prime}\right) \simeq B_{p, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, l_{q}^{\mathbb{N}}\right) \\
& \simeq\left(B_{p, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, l_{q}\right)\right)^{\mathbb{N}} \simeq\left(\left(B_{p^{\prime}, 1 / \tilde{k}_{1}}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, l_{q^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathbb{N}} \simeq\left(\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} G_{j}\right)_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathbb{N}} \simeq\left(\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} G_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathbb{N}} \\
& <\left(l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{\mathbb{N}} \simeq\left(l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)\right)^{\mathbb{N}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
B_{q, k_{2}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, B_{p, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \simeq\left(\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}<\left(l_{q}\left(l_{p}\right)\right)^{\mathbb{N}}
$$

Suppose now that our iterated spaces are isomorphic. Then the previous isomorphisms yield that the space $l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)$ (respectively $l_{q}\left(l_{p}\right)$ ) becomes isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $\left(l_{q}\left(l_{p}\right)\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ (respectively $\left.\left(l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)\right)^{\mathbb{N}}\right)$. Hence it follows, by [8], that there exist positive integers $\alpha, \beta$ such that $l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)<\left(l_{q}\left(l_{p}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\left(\simeq l_{q}\left(l_{p}\right)\right)$ and $l_{q}\left(l_{p}\right)<\left(l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)\right)^{\beta}$ $\left(\simeq l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right)\right)$. We are now in a position to apply Pelczynski's decomposition method to conclude that $l_{p}\left(l_{q}\right) \simeq l_{q}\left(l_{p}\right)$. This however contradicts the assumption that $p \neq q$ (see, e.g., $[31, \mathrm{p} .242]$ ). In consequence, $B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right.$ ) and $B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, B_{p, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ are not isomorphic and the proof is complete.

We do not know if the above theorem is valid for other values of $p$ and $q$. We thus propose the following question.
Problem 4.10. For which weights $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $\left.\left.q \in\right] 1, \infty\right]$ the iterated spaces $B_{1, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $B_{q, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right.$, $\left.B_{1, k_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ are not isomorphic?

By using results of Vogt [34] and [23, Theorem 3.1] we have shown (the proof will appear elsewhere) the isomorphisms $B_{1, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{\infty, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right) \simeq\left(l_{1}\left(l_{\infty}\right)\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $B_{\infty, k_{2}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, B_{1, k_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \simeq\left(l_{\infty}\left(l_{1}\right)\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ for some Hörmander weights $k_{j}$, $j=1,2$. Hence, these iterated spaces are not isomorphic if and only if $l_{1}\left(l_{\infty}\right)$ and $l_{\infty}\left(l_{1}\right)$ are not isomorphic either. Thus we are also interested in the following question of Banach space theory.

Problem 4.11. Are the Banach spaces $l_{1}\left(l_{\infty}\right)$ and $l_{\infty}\left(l_{1}\right)$ not isomorphic?

## 5. Weighted $L_{p}$-spaces of entire analytic functions

In this last section we present a similar result to Theorem 4.5 for weighted $L_{p}$-spaces of entire analytic functions. We also give a result on iterated Besov spaces: $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$ are not isomorphic when $-\infty<$ $s<\infty$ and $1<q \neq 2<\infty$.

Theorem 5.1. If $K_{1}$ (respectively $K_{2}$ ) is a regular compact in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ), $K=K_{1} \times K_{2}, \omega_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$, $\omega_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{m}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n+m}$ satisfy (3.1), $\rho_{1} \in R\left(\omega_{1}\right), \rho_{2} \in R\left(\omega_{2}\right), \rho=\rho_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}$ and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, then $L_{p, \rho}^{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the iterated space $L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$.

We shall write $L_{p, \rho}^{K}\left(\right.$ respectively $\left.L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}, L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}, L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)\right)$ instead of $L_{p, \rho}^{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$ (respectively $L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, $L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right), L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ ), and we shall denote by $S_{\omega}^{K}\left[L_{p, \rho}^{K}\right]$ the space $S_{\omega}^{K}$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, \rho}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. First we show that the natural map $N: S_{\omega}^{K}\left[L_{p, \rho}^{K}\right] \rightarrow L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)$ defined by $N f(x)=$ $f(x, \cdot)$ is well defined and is linear and norm-preserving. Let $f \in S_{\omega}^{K}$. It is easily verified that $f(x, \cdot) \in L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}$ and $N f \in L_{p, \rho_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)$. Let us see that supp $\widehat{N f} \subset K_{1}$ : For every $\varphi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(C K_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\langle\varphi, \widehat{N f}\rangle=\langle\hat{\varphi}, N f\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{\varphi}(x) N f(x) d x \quad\left(\in L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)
$$

and so, since the Dirac deltas $\delta_{y} \in\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)^{\prime}$ (see [30, p. 36]), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\psi,\langle\varphi, \widehat{N f}\rangle\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \psi(y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{\varphi}(x) N f(x) d x\right)(y) d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \psi(y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{\varphi}(x) N f(x) d x, \delta_{y}\right\rangle d y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \psi(y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{\varphi}(x) f(x, y) d x\right) d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \hat{\varphi}(x) \psi(y) f(x, y) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\psi \in S_{\omega_{2}}$. Thus, for $\psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}$ we have that

$$
\langle\hat{\psi},\langle\varphi, \widehat{N f}\rangle\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \varphi(x) \hat{\psi}(x) f(x, y) d x d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \varphi \otimes \psi(x, y) \hat{f}(x, y) d x d y=0
$$

since $\varphi \otimes \psi \in D_{\omega}\left(\right.$ (CK) in virtue of (3.1), and hence, by the denseness of $\left\{\hat{\psi}: \psi \in D_{\omega_{2}}\right\}$ in $S_{\omega_{2}}$ [3, Theorem 1.8.7], it follows that $\langle\varphi, \widehat{N f}\rangle=0$. Consequently supp $\widehat{N f} \subset K_{1}$ and $N f \in L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)$. Then $N$ is linear and preserves the norm and, since $S_{\omega}^{K}$ is dense in $L_{p, \rho}^{K}$ [30, p. 40], it can be extended to a norm preserving linear operator from $L_{p, \rho}^{K}$ into $L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)$ which will also be denoted by $N$. It remains to prove that $N$ is surjective. Given $G \in L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)$, we define $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}:(x, y) \rightarrow G(x)(y)$ (we may suppose, see Section 2 , that $G$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of an $L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}-$ valued entire function of exponential type and that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, G(x)$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ of an entire function of exponential type). Let us see that $f \in L_{p, \rho}$. By virtue of the estimate $1 / \rho_{2}(y) \leqslant C e^{\omega_{2}(y)}$ and the embedding $L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}} \hookrightarrow L_{\infty, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}$ (see [30, p. 36]), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x, y)-f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right| & =\left|G(x)(y)-G\left(x_{0}\right)\left(y_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|G(x)(y)-G\left(x_{0}\right)(y)\right|+\left|G\left(x_{0}\right)(y)-G\left(x_{0}\right)\left(y_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant C e^{\omega_{2}(y)}\left\|G(x)-G\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|_{p, \rho_{2}}+\left|G\left(x_{0}\right)(y)-G\left(x_{0}\right)\left(y_{0}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

when $(x, y) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. Thus $f$ is continuous, $\|f\|_{p, \rho}=\|G\|_{L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)}$ and $f \in L_{p, \rho}$. Actually, $f \in L_{p, \rho}^{K}$. In fact, if we proceed as above, then

$$
\langle\Phi, \hat{f}\rangle=\langle\Psi, \hat{f}\rangle=0, \quad \Phi \in D_{\omega_{1}}\left(\complement K_{1}\right) \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}, \quad \Psi \in D_{\omega_{1}} \otimes D_{\omega_{2}}\left(\complement K_{2}\right),
$$

and so, by Theorem 3.2(1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\Phi, \hat{f}\rangle=\langle\Psi, \hat{f}\rangle=0, \quad \Phi \in D_{\omega}\left(\complement K_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \quad \Psi \in D_{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \complement K_{2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it follows that $\langle\Phi, \hat{f}\rangle=0$ holds for all $\Phi \in D_{\omega}(\complement K)$ (since given such a $\Phi$, we have $\operatorname{supp} \Phi \subset \complement K=$ $\left(C K_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \complement K_{2}\right)$ and then it suffices to take a $D_{\omega}$-partition of unity at $\operatorname{supp} \Phi$ subordinate to this covering and use (5.1)). Therefore, $f \in L_{p, \rho}^{K}$. Finally, from the embeddings $L_{p, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow L_{\infty, \rho_{1}}^{K_{1}}\left(L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}\right)$ (see [24, Theorem 3.3]), $L_{p, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}} \hookrightarrow L_{\infty, \rho_{2}}^{K_{2}}$ and $L_{p, \rho}^{K} \hookrightarrow L_{\infty, \rho}^{K}$, it follows that $N f=G$. The proof is complete.

The spaces $L_{p}^{Q}$ ( $Q$ cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) are the building blocks of the Besov spaces (see [27,30] and [31]). By using the isomorphism $L_{p}^{Q} \simeq l_{p}$, Triebel proves in [29] (see also [31]) that the Besov spaces $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are isomorphic to $l_{q}\left(l_{p}\right)$. Following Triebel's approach [31] it is shown in [24] the vector-valued counterpart of this result: (a) Let $1<p<\infty$, $1 \leqslant q \leqslant \infty,-\infty<s<\infty$, let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a cube and let $E$ be a Banach space with the UMD-property. Then $L_{p}^{Q}(E)$ is isomorphic to $l_{p}(E)$ and $B_{p, q}^{s}(E)$ is isomorphic to $l_{q}\left(l_{p}(E)\right.$ ). (For definitions, notation and basic results about vector-valued Besov spaces see [2] and [26].)

Since the spaces $l_{q_{0}}\left(l_{p_{0}}\right)$ and $l_{q_{1}}\left(l_{p_{1}}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $q_{0}=q_{1}$ and $p_{0}=p_{1}\left(1 \leqslant q_{0}, q_{1} \leqslant \infty\right.$ and $1<$ $p_{0}, p_{1}<\infty$ ) (see, e.g., [31, p. 242]), it follows from (a) that the spaces $L_{p}^{Q_{1}}\left(L_{q}^{Q_{2}}\right)$ and $L_{q}^{Q_{2}}\left(L_{p}^{Q_{1}}\right)$ are not isomorphic if $1<p \neq q<\infty$ (here $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are cubes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ). Another application of result (a) is the following.

Theorem 5.2. Let $1<q \neq 2<\infty$ and $-\infty<s<\infty$. Then the spaces $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ and $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$ are not isomorphic.

Proof. The Besov space $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$ is an $\mathscr{L}_{q}$-space since $l_{q}\left(l_{2}\right)$ is an $\mathscr{L}_{q}$-space (see [21, Example 8.2]) and $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$ is isomorphic to $l_{q}\left(l_{2}\right)$. On the other hand, since $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ is a UMD space $\left(l_{q}\left(l_{2}\right)\right.$ is a UMD space, see, e.g., [1, Theorem 4.5.2]), we can apply (a) and obtain

$$
B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right) \simeq l_{q}\left(l_{2}\left(B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)\right) \simeq l_{q}\left(l_{2}\left(l_{q}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)\right)>l_{2}\left(l_{q}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)>l_{2}\left(l_{q}\right) .
$$

Whence it follows that $B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{2, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$ is not an $\mathscr{L}_{q}$-space, since $l_{2}\left(l_{q}\right)$ is not an $\mathscr{L}_{q}$-space [21, p. 316] and a complemented subspace of an $\mathscr{L}_{q}$-space which is not isomorphic to a Hilbert space is an $\mathscr{L}_{q}$-space [22].
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