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Abstract

Background. We intend to give an overview of our experiences with the implementation of a new dissection technique in open and laparoscopic surgery. Methods. Our database comprises a total of 950 patients who underwent liver resection. Three hundred and fifty of them were performed exceptionally with the water-jet dissector. Forty-one laparoscopic partial liver resections were accomplished. Results. Using the water-jet dissection technique it was possible to reduce the blood loss, the Pringle- and resection time in comparison to CUSA® and blunt dissection. In the last five years we could reduce the Pringle-rate from 48 to 6% and the last 110 liver resections were performed without any Pringle's manoeuvre. At the same time, the transfusion-rate decreased from 1.86 to 0.46 EC/patient. In oncological resections, the used dissection technique had no influence on long-time survival. Conclusions. The water-jet dissection technique is fast, feasible, oncologically safe and can be used in open and in laparoscopic liver surgery.
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Introduction

Principles of dissection

The liver consists of a three-dimensional network of afferent and efferent duct- and vessel systems. Because of the higher content of collagen and elastin, these duct- and vessel systems differ essentially in their structure and resistance from the liver parenchyma. The bile ducts are more resistant in their structure compared to arteries, portal vein branches and hepatic veins.

Because of their task to collect the blood from the liver lobules, the wall of the hepatic veins is especially thin and vulnerable. Due to these physical properties it is possible to release and dissect the duct- and vessel systems mechanically from the liver parenchyma. The bile ducts are more resistant in their structure compared to arteries, portal vein branches and hepatic veins.

The dissection modalities which take advantage of the anatomic conditions are called selective. The water-jet effects hereby like an intelligent knife and separates the more resistant duct- and vessel structures automatically from the parenchyma which thus become visible. When visible they can be closed easily under controlled conditions.

An indicator of the selectivity would be the quantity of the isolated duct structures per dissection plane which is a value difficult to specify however. The water-jet, the ultrasonic aspirator (CUSA®) and the blunt dissection can be categorised under selective dissection techniques. Non-selective techniques cannot discriminate between duct structures and parenchyma. To mention are finger fracture and mechanical instruments as the scalpel, the scissors and with reservation the linear stapler as well as thermal instruments as the high-frequency electrocoagulator, the laser, the bipolar forceps or the scissors of the UltraCision® [1–5].

Historical development of water-jet dissection technique

Cutting with a high pressure water-jet was a development which was primarily used in the industrial technique. At pressure levels about 20,000 bar the water beam reaches supersonic speed at the tip of the nozzle, which enables effortless cutting of wood- and even steel plates without development of heat.

For application in surgery, the nozzle tip- and pressure parameters had to be adjusted to the structural properties of parenchymal organs. The first developments were made by Papachristou and Bengmark [6,7], who implemented this technology in...
experimental and clinical investigations. Simulta-
neously our working group refined this technique by
means of the results of in vitro and in vivo trials and
introduced it after improvement 1990 into clinical
routine in liver surgery. In experimental examinations
we found out that a pressure of 30–40 bar and a nozzle
diameter of 0.1 mm is very effective to dissect normal
liver tissue. In case of cirrhotic liver parenchyma the
pressure needed for dissection is 10 bar higher. Above
these parameters the quality of dissection gets worse
and the rate of vessel injuries, especially of the hepatic
veins, increases, which corresponds to a higher blood
loss [8].

Despite the positive clinical experiences it took
another six years until the first commercial devices
were available. Meanwhile only in Europe more than
30 medical centres who deal with hepato-biliary
surgery use the water-jet.

Pulsed jet
In the first experimental researches, a water-jet
impulse was used which was generated by means of
a holmium laser with a wavelength of 2.1 μm. The
absorbed holmium laser energy in water causes a
water-jet impulse. The impulse rate of the holmium
laser and therefore also of the water-jet amounts to
8 Hz, the energy per impulse is 1 Joule. Experimental
investigations of in vivo showed a comparable par-
enchyma dissection to continuous water-jetting. The
jet impulse, however, swirled droplets of blood which
could not be removed adequately even by integrating
a suction system. Through this the visibility condi-
tions of the operating field deteriorated sharply [9].

The principle of this pulsed technique was picked
up again with a higher pulse frequency of 15–20 Hz in
a mechanical pulse system (Saphir Medical Products
GmbH). This time, the suction system was adapted to
the impulse rate to avoid permanent suction. The
essential advantages of this proceeding lie in the
laparoscopy because the lenses stay clean and a
constant pneumoperitoneum can be maintained.
Both techniques could not achieve clinical relevance.

Materials and methods
Water-jet
In open surgery the water-jet was used with a nozzle
diameter of 0.1 mm and a pressure of 30–40 bar. Up
to now we used five different types of water-jet
dissector which were continuously improved regard-
ing handpieces and practicability. The latest model is
depicted in Figure 1. As jet liquid we used physiolo-
gical sodium solution. For a hemihepatectomy we
usually need about 400 mls jet-solution.

In laparoscopic surgery the water-jet was applied
through angularly adjustable handpieces and lately
the nozzle tip was connected to a high-frequency
device.

As standard procedure in open surgery we accom-
plish a meticulous preparation of the hilar vessels and
the hepatic veins prior to parenchyma dissection. The
right hemiliver is completely mobilised from the
diaphragma and then an intraoperative ultrasound is
performed to detect the localisation of the tumour and
its proximity to the vascular structures.

Intraoperative blood loss during the dissection
causes the need of the Pringle's manoeuvre. Our
intention was always to keep both to a minimum.
During dissection we practice a well organised work-
sharing between dissecting, clipping of the dissected
vessels and finally cutting them in between the clips.

Patients
Database of 950 patients with liver resections during
16 years in two centres¹:

¹ Data from F.W. Schildberg (1991–2001) from the Surgical Department,
University Hospital Großhadern, Munich, Head of the Department. Data
from H.G. Rau (2002–2007) Surgical Department of visceral-, thoracic-
and vascular surgery, Amper-Hospital, Dachau, Head of the Department.
From January 1991 to November 2007, a total of 950 partial liver resections were performed. In 350 of them the water-jet dissector was used.

Since 1996 laparoscopic partial liver resections were performed in 32 patients with benign tumours and in nine patients with liver metastases (overall 41).

In case of uncontrolled arterial or portal venous bleeding a Pringle’s manoeuvre can be performed even in laparoscopic surgery, but in our case it was never needed.

The results confirmed the data of our former matched pair analysis from 1998 that in comparison to open surgery the operation time of laparoscopic liver resection is still significantly longer and the hospital stay is shorter [10,11].

The application of dissection techniques has changed over the years. Blunt dissection, which is still performed particularly in smaller resections, was the standard method of the early nineties. Between 1993 and 1995 the CUSA® was applied most frequently.

Over the course of 16 years the need of blood transfusions decreased continuously with an increasing number of performed partial liver resections per year. The surgical technique is, however, not the only contributory factor. It must also be emphasised that since 1996 the Cell-Saver is employed routinely in all major liver resections. Even in malignant tumours the Cell-Saver can be applied, as the captured blood is irradiated intraoperatively before re-transfusion. Prior to liver resection the meticulous preparation of the vessels of the liver hilum and of the hepatic veins is of essential importance. These preparatory operational steps as well as the directed application of the Pringle’s manoeuvre contribute significantly to the reduction of the intraoperative blood loss during dissection. This must be taken into account ultimately while evaluating the different dissection techniques. Nowadays, in our hospital the Cell-Saver is only applied in benign liver lesions with a central localisation.

At the itemisation of the dissection techniques according to the operative procedure it becomes apparent that blunt dissection was performed predominantly in minor findings and the dissection techniques CUSA® and water-jet were applied predominantly in segmental resections and extended interventions.

From 1991 to 1999 a total of 591 patients with liver resections were included in a clinical study of which 279 were performed with blunt dissection, 175 with CUSA® and 137 with water-jet technique. Findings were analysed with regard to blood loss, resection time and Pringle-time as well as to the long-time prognosis [12,13].

Results

In order to take into account the extent of resection, blood loss, resection time and Pringle-time were referred to the intraoperatively measured resection plane. The blood loss per resection plane with the water-jet was with 10.57 ± 15.35 ml/cm² significantly lower compared to the CUSA® with 18.26 ± 30.13 ml/cm² and to blunt dissection, which came off worst with 72.72 ± 497.26 ml/cm². This is also validated by the need of blood transfusion, which was only half as high in the water-jet group with 0.012 ± 0.06 EC/cm² as in the CUSA® group with 0.24 ± 0.54 EC/cm² or in the blunt dissection group with 0.095 ± 0.806 EC/cm². These differences were statistically significant in the Kruskal–Wallis test (p = 0.002).

In clinical practice the water-jet technique proves to be considerably faster. This can also be verified by the calculation of the resection time in relation to the resection plane. With 0.29 ± 0.67 min/cm² this technique is significantly faster in comparison with the CUSA® with 0.48 ± 0.85 min/cm² and the blunt dissection with 0.77 ± 1.75 min/cm² (p = 0.043). This was also confirmed by the comparison of the Pringle-time per resection plane, which makes up less than half with 0.13 ± 0.18 min/cm² by using the water-jet. The corresponding values were 0.29 ± 0.47 min/cm² for the CUSA® and 0.23 ± 0.56 min/cm² for blunt dissection.

To control whether the dissection technique has influence on the prognosis, 340 patients with liver metastases were analysed according to the applied dissection technique. It is to mention, that with the CUSA® – and the water-jet technique mostly extended liver resections were performed. Nevertheless there were no differences in the Kaplan-Meier analysis (Figure 2). Another Kaplan-Meier analysis was carried out in 87 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma which did not reveal significant differences likewise.

The postoperative complications do not distinguish between the different groups regarding the number of postoperative bile fistulae and subhepatic abscesses (Table I). The postoperative liver dysfunction especially liver failure was not dependent on the performed dissection technique but rather the consequence of the extent of resection and the functional reserve of the remnant liver.

In the period from 1991 to 2007 we could reduce the rate of application of the Pringle’s manoeuvre from 46 to 6%. Additionally, the loss of blood and the amount of erythrocyte concentrates (EC) per patient could be decreased (Table II). This table also documents the effect of the learning curve of the surgical team.

Overall 350 partial liver resections were performed using the water-jet technique. Since 2002 we use this technique exceptionally. The last 110 liver resections have been performed without any Pringle’s manoeuvre.

In the group of laparoscopic liver resections were two conversions to open surgery. In a patient with a hydatid cyst, the arterial pCO₂ increased with
insufflation of CO₂ and intraabdominal gas pressure had to be reduced. Visualisation of minor venous bleeding was thereupon not satisfactory and conversion was necessary for safety reasons. This patient developed a bile fistula and pneumonia postoperatively and was hospitalised for a total of 39 days. Another conversion had to be done because of major portal venous bleeding.

Discussion

By reason of the positive results when using the water-jet in meanwhile 350 liver resections we implemented this technique as our standard dissection procedure.

The reduction of blood loss and application of the Pringle's manoeuvre is not only a question of the dissection technique but also a result of the surgical team’s learning curve.

Given that no blood is transferred in 80% of all liver resections, we also do not use a Cell-Saver anymore. Finally in our experience the water-jet is superior to blunt dissection and CUSA® regarding blood loss, dissection- and Pringle-time.

New and promising methods such as the Ultra-Cision® technology or the LigaSure™ as well as the bipolar scissors can be effectively used in the surface area of the liver. Here, a good hemostasis can be achieved. However, they are less efficient in the depth of the parenchyma because especially the thin-walled liver veins cannot be closed and therefore difficult bleedings arise. As these bleedings are of venous origin, unfortunately, the Pringle’s manoeuvre is less effective. In order to minimise venous bleedings, the central venous pressure has to be low [14,15]. A good coordination with the anesthesiologists is therefore required.

The presented data comprise a period of 16 years of our experience which revealed three essential complexes contributing to the improvement of the results of partial liver resections:

1. The improvement of three-dimensional imaging and the possibility of virtual operation planning.
2. The recent findings about hemostasis and intraoperative volume management as well as the principles of ischemia/reperfusion damages and their influence on surgical proceedings in liver surgery.
3. The development of modern selective dissection techniques.

Blood loss and the ischemia-reperfusion syndrome represent important risk-factors for postoperative liver failure which is the main cause of postoperative lethality.

The major anatomical partial liver resection today represents a widely standardised intervention with a lethality rate of less than 3%.

Table I. Postoperative complications after liver resection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Number of operations</th>
<th>Biliary complications</th>
<th>Abscess</th>
<th>Liver dysfunction</th>
<th>Lethality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-jet</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUSA®</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blunt dissection</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves after resection of liver metastases (n = 340).
Table II. The development of operation parameters in liver resec-
tion using the water-jet over the course of 16 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pringle-rate (%)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating time (min)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>1442.6</td>
<td>1337.9</td>
<td>523.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC per patient</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Especially, the introduction of new dissection techniques such as the water-jet or the CUSA™ led to a reduction of blood loss and resection time. By this the necessity of the Pringle’s manoeuvre and the hereby caused disadvantages of ischemia and reperfusion can be reduced.

Oncological aspects

In the beginning the water-jet dissection technique was assessed very critically, especially in oncological resections. It was feared that the water beam might penetrate the liver tissue without control so that it would contact the tumour and tumour cells could be spread into the operating field. By this the local recurrence rate might increase and the over – all survival might decrease.

The analysis of the over-all survival following resection of liver metastases and hepatocellular carcinoma however showed that the dissection technique has no influence on the long-term survival. In a comparative clinical study between the different dissection techniques water-jet, CUSA™ and blunt dissection of 591 patients who underwent liver resection, no significant differences were found between the Kaplan-Meier survival curves, even in cases of extended liver resections [12,13].

Laparoscopic liver resection

In case of a favourable localisation of the tumour the above-mentioned techniques even allow a laparoscopic approach. Innovative dissection techniques are currently tested for their applicability in liver resection. Subject to the localisation of the liver area which has to be removed and to the consistence of the liver tissue one of the different dissection techniques might prove to be more convenient versus the competing methods.

Laparoscopic handpieces are available which are employed in laparoscopically performed liver resections [16,10] and in other laparoscopic surgical fields [17]. In the meantime, angularly adjustable handpieces are obtainable which enhance the action scope and the range of application of the water-jet in laparoscopic surgery.

Beyond doubt, every instrument is only as good as the hand that uses it. Besides the objective character-

istics of a dissection technique, the individual experience of a certain technique is crucial.

Outlook

In the experimental studies additional application of high-frequency current or laser energy were able to essentially raise the dissection speed with retained selectivity. Smaller vessels with a diameter up to 1 mm are thereby coagulated, larger vessels remain unharmed [18]. Up to now these techniques have not achieved clinical practice yet.

Conclusion

The water-jet dissection technique is safe and feasible in hepatobiliary surgery. In our experience this technique is considerably faster than CUSA™ or blunt dissection. Pringle-time and blood loss can be reduced by using the water-jet. Finally, the full potential of this technique has not been tapped yet. Especially, the combination of water-jetting with high-frequency current or laser energy might be very interesting for future investigations. However, innovative and auspicious methods as the UltraCision® – technology or the LigaSure™, but also further developments of the ultrasound dissection in combination with high-frequency current should be considered.
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