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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ is called $C_{k}$-saturated if $G$ contains no cycles of length $k$ but does contain such a cycle after the addition of any new edge. Bounds are obtained for the minimum number of edges in $C_{k}$-saturated graphs for all $k \neq 8$ or 10 and $n$ sufficiently large. In general, it is shown that the minimum is between $n+c_{1} n / k$ and $n+c_{2} n / k$ for some positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Our results provide an asymptotic solution to a 15 -year-old problem of Bollobás.


## 1. Introduction

Given a graph $G$, we denote the vertex set, edge set and complement of $G$ by $V(G)$, $E(G)$ and $\bar{G}$, respectively; the order and size of $G$ are the cardinalities of $V(G)$ and $E(G)$. The path, cycle and complete graph of order $k$ will be denoted by $P_{k}, C_{k}$ and $K_{k}$, respectively. The distance between two vertices $u$ and $v$ of the graph $G$ is denoted by $d_{G}(u, v)$. By leaf we will mean a copy of $K_{2}$ and by triangle, a copy of $K_{3}$. (Our definition of leaf differs from the usual, attaching a leaf to the graph does produce an endvertex however.) If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$, we write $H \subseteq G$. A graph $G_{1}$ is said to be attached to the graph $G$ at the vertex 0 iff $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V(G)=\{0\}$. Of course, if $G_{1}$ is vertex-symmetric the vertex of attachment of $G_{1}$ need not be specified.

Given the graph $F$, the graph $G$ is said to be $F$-saturated if $F \nsubseteq G$ but $F \subseteq G+z$ for every $e \in E(\bar{G})$. We note that if $F$ has order greater than the order, $n$, of $G$ then $K_{n}$ is the only $F$-saturated graph (and vacuously so) of order $n$. Thus, we restrict our attention

[^0]to $n \geqslant|V(F)|$ and for such $n$ we define $\operatorname{sat}(n, F)$ to be the minimum size of an $F$-saturated graph of order $n$.

Apparently, this notion occurred first with Erdős et al. [9], who determined the value of $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, K_{k}\right)$ more than 25 years ago. These authors posed a related problem in which all the graphs involved are required to be bipartite. This problem was solved independently by Bollobás [1, 2] and Wessel [17, 18]. Since the paper of Erdös et al. several related results, both special and general, have been obtained. As special results, Kászonyi and Tuza [11] determined exact values of $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, K_{1, k}\right), \operatorname{sat}\left(n, k K_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, P_{k}\right)$. In general, they proved $\operatorname{sat}(n, F)=O(n)$ for fixed $F$; Tuza has conjectured, further, that for every graph $F$ the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(\operatorname{sat}(n, F)) / n$ exists (see [15]). Truszcynski and Tuza [14] characterized the graphs $F$ for which this limit exists and is less than 1 . For results on hypergraphs see $[8,15]$. Our point of departure is the class of problems with $F=C_{k}$. As pointed out by Bollobás [3, p. 103], these form a 'rather neglected set of unsolved problems'.

One of us (unpublished) had asked if $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)=3 n / 2+o(n)$ for every fixed $k>3$ and infinitely many $n$. Three exact values of $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)$ and bounds, which we will determine, are listed in Table 1 in summary form. These show that there can be at

Table 1
Bounds and exact values for sat $\left(n, C_{k}\right), n$ sufficiently large

| $k$ | $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)$ | $n \geqslant$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $=n-1$ | 3 |  |
| 4 | $=\left\lfloor\frac{3 n-5}{2}\right\rfloor$ | 5 | Theorem A [12, 16] |
| 5 | $\leqslant \frac{10 n-4}{7}$ | 8 | Proposition 1 |
| $\geqslant 5$ | $\left(1+\frac{1}{2 k+8}\right) n$ | $k$ | Theorem 1 |
| 6 | $\leqslant \frac{3 n}{2}$ | 11 | Proposition 2 |
| 7 | $\leqslant \frac{7 n+12}{5}$ | 10 | Proposition 3 |
| 9 | $\leqslant \frac{43 n}{22}+\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 9 | [11] |
| $\geqslant 9$ and $\equiv 1 \bmod 2$ | $\leqslant\left(1+\frac{6}{k-3}\right) n+\mathrm{O}\left(k^{2}\right)$ | 3k | Theorem 2 |
| 12 | $\leqslant \frac{29 n+99}{22}$ | 12 | Proposition 4 |
| $\geqslant 14$ and $\equiv 0 \bmod 2$ | $\leqslant\left(1+\frac{4}{k-2}\right) n+\mathrm{O}\left(k^{3}\right)$ | $\frac{3 k^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 k}{2}$ | Theorem 3 |
| $\geqslant 20$ and $\equiv 4 \bmod 8$ | $\leqslant\left(\frac{5}{4}+\frac{3}{4 k-4}\right) n+\frac{k}{2}$ | $k$ | Theorem 4 |
| $n$ | $=\left\lfloor\frac{3 n+1}{2}\right\rfloor$ | 53 | Theorem B [5-7] |

most eight values of $k$ for which $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)=3 n / 2+o(n)$, namely: $4,6,8,9,10,11,13$ and 15. On the other hand, $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)<3 n / 2+o(n)$ has not yet been proved for $k$ tending to infinity faster than $n^{1 / 2}, k<n$.

One may also ask for $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)$ when $n$ and $k$ both tend to $\infty$. Here different results may be expected over different regions. Our results give asymptotic formulas for sat ( $n, C_{k}$ ) up to the second term when $k$ is allowed to tend to infinity slowly.

For odd $k \geqslant 9$,

$$
\left(1+\frac{1}{2 k+8}\right) n \leqslant \operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(1+\frac{6}{k-3}\right) n+\mathrm{O}\left(k^{2}\right)
$$

so that, for $n$ and odd $k$ both tending to $\propto$ with $k=\mathrm{o}\left(n^{1 / 3}\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)=n+\Theta(n / k)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)=(1+o(1)) n
$$

for $k=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.
For even $k \geqslant 14$,

$$
\left(1+\frac{1}{2 k+8}\right) n \leqslant \operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(1+\frac{4}{k-2}\right) n+\mathrm{O}\left(k^{3}\right)
$$

so that for $n$ and even $k$ tending to $\propto$ with $k=o\left(n^{1 / 4}\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)=n+\Theta(n / k)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)=(1+o(1)) n
$$

for $k=\mathrm{o}\left(n^{1 / 3}\right)$.
For $k=4 m, m$ odd and at least 3 we have a reasonably good upper bound over a much wider region:

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(\frac{5}{4}+\frac{3}{4 k-4}\right) n+\frac{k}{2} .
$$

## 2. $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$-saturated graphs, small $\boldsymbol{k}$

As pointed out by Ollman, a few minutes reflection shows that the unique $C_{3}$-saturated graph of minimum size is the star $K_{1, n-1}$ so that sat $\left(n, C_{3}\right)=n-1$. Already for the next entry in the table, sat $\left(n, C_{4}\right)=\lfloor(3 n-5) / 2\rfloor$, the original proof [12] was 20 pages long; a later proof, [16], is still half that length. (It should be noted that the value of $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{4}\right)$ is misstated in [3, p. 167]).

Theorem A (Ollmann [12] and Tuza [16]). sat $\left(n, C_{4}\right)=\lfloor(3 n-5) / 2\rfloor, n \geqslant 5$. The only $C_{4}$-saturated graphs of order $n \geqslant 5$ and minimum size are pictured in Fig. 1.

In reading Fig. 1 it is to be understood that in (i) there is a total of $(n-6) / 2$ triangles attached to the base triangle at the bold vertices and in (ii) there is a total of $(n-5) / 2$ triangles attached to the base triangle or the pentagon at the bold vertices.

The graphs of Fig. 1 suggest a general construction that we will exploit on several occasions. Call a graph $G$ a $C_{k}$-builder iff $G$ is $C_{k}$-saturated and has a vertex, labelled $\mathbf{0}$, such that if the 0 vertices of two copies are identified then the resulting graph is $C_{k}$-saturated. Clearly, if $G$ is a $C_{k}$-builder and we identify the $\mathbf{0}$ vertices of $s \geqslant 1$ copies of $G$, then the resulting graph is $C_{k}$-saturated. We use this technique to obtain upper bonds for $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right), k=5,6,7$ and 12 .

Some obvious properties of $C_{k}$-saturated graphs will be used without further reference. Certainly, all blocks of such graphs must themselves be $C_{k}$-saturated graphs. Furthermore, if one of those blocks is a copy of $K_{r}$ for some $r, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant k-1$, then it may be replaced by $K_{s}$ for arbitrary $s, r \leqslant s \leqslant k-1$ and the resulting graph is still $C_{k}$-saturated.

Throughout this section we have attempted to strike a reasonable balance with respect to the inclusion of details. We have learned from experience that carelessness in verifying the existence of paths and nonexistence of cycles generally causes them to not exist, and exist, respectively.

Proposition 1. $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{5}\right) \leqslant(10 n-4) / 7, n \geqslant 8$.

Proof. It is easily verified that the graph $G$ pictured in Fig. 2 is $C_{5}$-saturated. Since there is a path of length 2 from vertex 0 to every vertex of $G$ different from $\mathbf{0}$, it immediately follows that $G$ is a $C_{5}$-builder. Let $G_{s}, s \geqslant 1$, be the graph obtained from


Fig. 1. The minimal $C_{4}$-saturated graphs; (i) $n$ even, (ii) $n$ odd.


Fig. 2. A $C_{5}$-builder.


Fig. 3. A $C_{6}$-builder.
$s$ copies of $G$ by identifying the 0 vertices. Then $G_{s}$ has order $n=7 s+1$ and size $10 s$. When $n=7 s+r, 2 \leqslant r \leqslant 7$, a $C_{5}$-saturated graph with order $n$ and size $10 s+\lfloor 3 r / 2\rfloor-1$ can be constructed from $G_{s}$ or $G_{s+1}$ as follows.

If $r=3$ or 5 , attach one or two triangles, respectively, at 0 in $G_{s}$.
If $r=2,4$ or 6 , delete one endvertex from $G_{s}$ and attach one, two or three, respectively, triangles at $\mathbf{0}$.
If $r=7$ (and alternatively, for $r=6$ ), delete one (two) endvertex (vertices) from $G_{s+1}$.

It is a simple matter to verify that all the constructions described above give $C_{5}$-saturated graphs and have the required numbers of edges. We leave it to the reader to do this.

Proposition 2. $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{6}\right) \leqslant 3 n / 2, n \geqslant 11$.

Proof. Any 6-cycle in the graph $G$ pictured in Fig. 3 must contain two of the paths 0123,3456 and 6780 and so cannot exist. In view of the symmetry of $G$, the existence of the paths $065432,087654,123654,108765$, and 123678 shows that $G$ is $C_{6}$-saturated. There are paths of length 3,1 and 4 from 0 to each of the vertices 1 and 8 . Furthermore, there are paths of length 2 and 3 from 0 to each of the vertices $2,3,4,5,6$ and 7 . Thus, for any two (not necessarily distinct) vertices of $G$ both different from 0 , there are paths from these vertices to 0 the sum of whose lengths is 5 . We conclude that $G$ is a $C_{6}$-builder.

Let $G_{s}, s \geqslant 1$, be the graph obtained from $s$ copies of $G$ by identifying the $\mathbf{0}$ vertices. Then $G_{s}$ has order $n=8 s+1$ and size $12 s$. When $n=8 s+r, 2 \leqslant r \leqslant 8$, a $C_{6}$ saturated graph with order $n$ and size $12 s+3\lfloor r / 2\rfloor$ can be constructed from $G_{s}$ or $G_{s-1}$ as follows.

If $r=3,5$ or 7 , to $G_{s}$ attach one triangle at each of the vertices $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}$ and $3, \mathbf{0}, 3$ and 6, respectively, of one copy of $G$ in $G_{s}$.

If $r=4,6$ or 8 , attach a $K_{4}$ to $G_{s}$ at $\mathbf{0}$, also attach no triangles, one triangle at 3 and one triangle at 6 , respectively, of one copy of $G$ in $G_{s}$.

If $r=2$ and $s \geqslant 2$ attach one $K_{4}$ at 0 and attach one triangle at each of the vertices $\mathbf{0}, 3$ and 6 of one copy of $G$ in $G_{s-1}$.

In this case also, it is simple matter to verify that all the constructions described above give $C_{6}$-saturated graphs and have the required number of edges. We again leave this to the reader.

Proposition 3. $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{7}\right) \leqslant(7 n+12) / 5, n \geqslant 10$.

Proof. Let $G$ be the Petersen graph with seven leaves attached as indicated in Fig. 4. In proving that $G$ is a $C_{7}$-builder we make heavy use of the fact that the Petersen graph is vertex-transitive.
The existence of the path 0327891 implies the existence of paths of length 6 between any two distinct, nonadjacent vertices of the Petersen graph.
The existence of the paths 067891 and 067219 implies the existence of paths of length 5 between any two distinct vertices of the Petersen graph. Consequently, we have paths of length 6 between any two nonadjacent vertices in $G$, one in the Petersen graph, the other not.
The existence of the paths 06789 and 06721 implies the existence of paths of length 4 between any two distinct vertices of the Petersen graph. Consequently, we have paths of length 6 between any two distinct vertices in $G$, not in the Petersen graph.

Since it is easily verified that the Petersen graph has no 7-cycles (see [4], for example) we conclude that $G$ is $C_{7}$-saturated.

Now consider the graph $H$ formed by taking two copies of $G$, deleting the endvertex adjacent to 0 from one of the copies, and identifying the 0 -vertices. Suppose vertex $u$ is in one copy of $G$, vertex $v$ is in the other copy of $G$ and $u \neq \mathbf{0} \neq v$.

The existence of the path 0912 and 067219 implies the existence of paths of length 6 between $u$ and $v$ in the case $u$ and $v$ are in the Petersen graphs.

The existence of the paths 091,0321 and 06721 implies the existence of paths of length 6 between $u$ and $v$ in the case $u$ is in the Petersen graph and $v$ is not.

The existence of the path 091 implies the existence of paths of length 6 between $u$ and $v$ in the case neither $u$ nor $v$ is in the Petersen graph.


Fig. 4. A $C_{7}$-builder.

Since $H$ obviously has no 7 -cycles we conclude that $H$ is $C_{7}$-saturated.
Consequently, if we identify all of the $\mathbf{0}$ vertices of $s \geqslant 1$ copies of $G$ and delete all but one of the end vertices adjacent to 0 , the resulting graph, $G_{5}$, is $C_{7}$-saturated, has order $n=15 s+2$ and size $21 s+1$. For $1 \leqslant r \leqslant 7$ a $C_{7}$-saturated graph with order $n=15 s-r+2$ and size $21 s-r+1$ can be constructed from $G_{s}$ by deleting $r$ leaves. For $1 \leqslant r \leqslant 7$ a $C_{7}$-saturated graph with order $n=15 s+r+2$ and size $21 s+2 r+1$ can be constructed from $G_{s}$ by replacing each of $r$ leaves by a triangle.

Proposition 4. $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{12}\right) \leqslant(29 n+99) / 22, n \geqslant 12$.
Proof. We denote by $J_{3}$ the Tietze graph. If the triangle of this graph is contracted to a vertex the resulting graph is the Petersen graph. Since the Petersen graph is nonhamiltonian so is the graph $J_{3}$ of Fig. 5. We have verified, by computer, that every two nonadjacent vertices of the Tietze graph are joined by paths of lengths 9,10 and 11 and that every two nonadjacent vertices are joined by paths of lengths 9 and 10. Thus, the graph $G$ obtained from $J_{3}$ by attaching a leaf at every vertex is $C_{12}$-saturated since every two nonadjacent vertices are the end vertices of a path of length 11. It was also verified by computer that for every pair of vertices $u$ and $v$ of $J_{3}$, distinct from 0 but not necessarily from each other, there are paths from $u$ to 0 and from $v$ to 0 the sum of whose lengths is 9 and 10 and 11. We conclude that $G$ is a $C_{12}$-builder.

Consequently, if we identify all of the $\mathbf{0}$ vertices of $s \geqslant 1$ copies of $G$ and delete all but 1 of the end vertices attached at 0 , the resulting graph, $G_{s}$, is $C_{12}$-saturated, has order $n=22 s+2$ and size $29 s+1$. For $1 \leqslant r \leqslant 12$ a $C_{12}$-saturated graph with order $n=22 s-r+2$ and size $29 s-r+1$ can be constructed from $G_{s}$ by deleting $r$ leaves. For $0 \leqslant r \leqslant 9$ a $C_{12}$-saturated graph with order $n=22 s+r+2$ and size $29 s+2 r+1$ can be constructed from $G_{s}$ by replacing each of $r$ leaves by a triangle.


Fig. 5. The graph $J_{3}$; a $C_{12}$-builder.

## 3. A lower bound

We will need some additional terminology and notation for this section. If $A$ and $B$ are two disjoint subsets of vertices of a graph $G$ we will denote by $e(A, B)$ the number of edges of $G$ with one endvertex in $A$ and the other in $B$ and by $G[A]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $A$. Given the vertex $v$ of $G$ we denote by $N_{G}(v)$ the set of vertices of $G$ that are adjacent to $v$. We will not require all the subsets of a partition to be nonempty. We assume the implicit duplication of vertices when we write the path $a b c P d e f$ where $P$ is the path cxyzd' will cause no confusion.

Theorem 1. For $n \geqslant k \geqslant 5$, $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right) \geqslant n(1+1 /(2 k+8))$.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that there are counterexamples and let $G$ be one of smallest order $n$. Necessarily, $G$ is connected. Let

$$
L=\left\{v \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(v)=1\right\} .
$$

(i) If $v_{1}, v_{2} \in L$ then $d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \geqslant 3$. Since $G$ is connected and $n \geqslant 3, v_{1} v_{2} \notin E(G)$. Suppose $v_{1} w v_{2}$ is a path in $G$. Then $G+v_{1} v_{2}$ contains a $k$-cycle which must be $v_{1} w v_{2} v_{1}$ and $k=3$.
(ii) If $v \in L$ and $v w \in E(G)$ then $d_{G}(w) \geqslant 3$. From (i) we have $d_{G}(w) \geqslant 2$. Suppose $d_{G}(w)=2$ and let $v w x$ be a path in $G$. Then $G+v x$ contains a $k$-cycle which must be $v x w v$ and $k=3$.
(iii) If $v \in L$ and $v w x y$ is a path in $G$ then $d_{G}(w)=3$ implies $d_{G}(x) \geqslant 3$. Suppose $d_{G}(x)=2$. Since $k \geqslant 5, G+v y$ contains a $k$-cycle which must be $v w P y v$ where $P$ is a $w-y$ path in $G-v-x$ of length $k-2$. But then $x w P y x$ is a $k$-cycle in $G$.
(iv) If $v_{1}, v_{2} \in L$ and $v_{1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{2}$ is a path in $G$ then $d_{G}\left(w_{1}\right), d_{G}\left(w_{2}\right) \geqslant 4$. From (ii) we have $d_{G}\left(w_{1}\right), d_{G}\left(w_{2}\right) \geqslant 3$. Suppose $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, w_{2}, x_{1}\right\}$. Since $v_{2} \neq x_{1}$ and $k \geqslant 5$, $G+v_{2} x_{1}$ contains a $k$-cycle which must be $v_{2} x_{1} P w_{2} v_{2}$ where $P$ is a $x_{1}-w_{2}$ path in $G-v_{2}-w_{1}$ of length $k-2$, so that $w_{1} x_{1} P w_{2} w_{1}$ is a $k$-cycle in $G$.
(v) No endblock of $G$ is a cycle. Let $C_{l}$ be an $l$-cycle containing the cutvertex $v$ of $G$. For $l=3, H=G-\left(V\left(C_{l}\right)-\{v\}\right)$ is $C_{k}$-saturated with

$$
e(G)=e(H)+3 \geqslant(n-2)\left(1+\frac{1}{2 k+8}\right)+3 \geqslant n\left(1+\frac{1}{2 k+8}\right)
$$

while for $l \geqslant 4$, some chord may be added to $C_{l}$ without forming a $k$-cycle.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{v \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(v)=2\right\}, \\
& B=\left\{v \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(v)=3, N_{G}(v) \cap L \neq \emptyset\right\}, \\
& C=\left\{v \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(v) \geqslant 3, N_{G}(v) \cap L=\emptyset\right\}, \\
& D=\left\{v \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(v) \geqslant 4, N_{G}(v) \cap L \neq \emptyset\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\{L, A, B, C, D\}$ partitions $V(G)$ so that, by (i), each vertex of $B \cup D$ is adjacent to precisely one vertex of $L$, and, by (ii), each vertex of $L$ is adjacent to a vertex of $B \cup D$ (see Fig. 6). Thus, $|B|+|D|=|L|$. From (iii) and (iv) we have

$$
e(A, B)=e(A, D)=e(B, B)=e(B, D)=0 .
$$

Since $G$ is connected, $G[A]$ is the union of disjoint paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}$.
(vi) For all $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, we have $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \leqslant k-1$. Let $P=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{l}$ be a path in $G[A]$ with $l \geqslant k$ and $v_{1} x, v_{l} y \in E(G)$ where $x, y \in C$. By (v), $x \neq y$. Then $G+v_{1} v_{3}$ contains a $k$-cycle $C_{k}$ which must be $v_{1} v_{3} \ldots v_{l} y Q x v_{1}$ where $Q$ is a $y-x$ path of length at least 1 . But then $C_{k}$ must have length at least $l+1 \geqslant k+1$ which is impossible.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left\{c \in C \mid d_{G}(c)=e(A \cup B,\{c\})\right\}, \\
& S_{1}=\{c \in S \mid e(B,\{c\})=0\}, \\
& S_{2}=\{c \in S \mid e(B,\{c\}) \neq 0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}$ is a partition of the independent set $S$ and $S_{1}$ is the set of vertices with degree at least 3 that are adjacent only to vertices with degree 2 .


Fig. 6. The partition of $V(G)$.

For $s \in S$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(s)=\{P \mid \text { is a (component) path in } G[A], e(P,\{s\}) \neq 0\}, \\
& O(s)=\{P \in P(s) \mid P \notin P(r), \text { for all } r \in S-\{s\}\}, \\
& T(s)=\{P \in P(s) \mid P \in P(r), \text { for some } r \in S-\{s\}\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\{O(s), T(s)\}$ is a partition of $P(s)$, a path $P$ in $P[A]$ is in $P(s)$ for at most two $s$ in $S$ and $O(s) \cap O(r)=\emptyset$ for distinct $s, r$ in $S$.
(vii) For all $s \in S_{1}$ we have

$$
\sum_{P \in P(s)}|V(P)| \leqslant(k-1)\left(d_{G}(s)-1\right) .
$$

If $|V(P)| \leqslant 2$ for all $P \in P(s)$ then

$$
\sum_{P \in P(s)}|V(P)| \leqslant 2 d_{G}(s) \leqslant(k-1)\left(d_{G}(s)-1\right)
$$

since $k \geqslant 5$ and $d_{G}(s) \geqslant 3$. Thus, we may suppose $P=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} \ldots v_{l} \in P(s)$ with $l \geqslant 3$ and $v_{1} s, v_{1} s^{\prime} \in E(G)$. $\mathrm{By}(\mathrm{v}), s \neq s^{\prime}$. Consequently, $G+v_{1} v_{3}$ contains a $k$-cycle which must be $v_{1} v_{3} \cdots v_{l} s^{\prime} Q s v_{1}$, where $Q$ is an $s^{\prime}-s$ path in $G-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{l}\right\}$ and $Q$ must contain some $P^{\prime} \in P(s)$ as a subpath since $s \in S_{1}$. Then

$$
|V(P)|+\left|V\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant k-1
$$

and, by (vi),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{P \in P(s)}|V(R)| & =|V(P)|+\left|V(P)^{\prime}\right|+\sum_{R \in P(s)-\left\{P, P^{\prime}\right\}}|V(R)| \\
& \leqslant k-1+(k-1)\left(d_{G}(s)-2\right) \\
& \leqslant(k-1)\left(d_{G}(s)-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{P} & =\bigcup_{s \in S_{1}} P(s), \\
\mathcal{O} & =\bigcup_{s \in S_{1}} O(s), \\
\mathscr{T} & =\bigcup_{s \in S_{1}} T(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left\{O(s) \mid s \in S_{1}\right\}$ partitions $\mathcal{O}$.
(viii) We have $\left|S_{1}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{3}|\mathscr{P}| \leqslant \frac{2}{3}$. Here

$$
3\left|S_{1}\right| \leqslant \sum_{s \in S_{1}} d_{G}(s)=e\left(\bigcup_{P \in \mathscr{O}} V(P), S_{1}\right)=2|\mathscr{P}|-|\mathcal{O}| \leqslant 2|\mathscr{P}| .
$$

(ix) We have $t \geqslant|A| /(k-1)+\left|S_{1}\right| / 2$.

By (vii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{P \in \mathscr{P}}|V(P)| & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S_{1}} \sum_{P \in P(s)}|V(P)|+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S_{1}} \sum_{P \in O(s)}|V(P)| \\
& \left.\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S_{1}}(k-1)\left(d_{G}(s)-1\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S_{1}}(k-1) \right\rvert\, O(s) \\
& =\frac{k-1}{2}\left(2|\mathscr{P}|-|\mathcal{O}|-\left|S_{1}\right|\right)+\frac{k-1}{2}|\mathcal{O}| \\
& =(k-1)\left(|\mathscr{P}|-\left|S_{1}\right| / 2\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

while, by (vi),

$$
\sum_{P \in\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s \rightarrow} \mid\right.}|V(P)| \leqslant(k-1)(t-|\mathscr{P}|)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A| & =\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right| \leqslant(k-1)\left(|\mathscr{P}|-\left|S_{1}\right| / 2\right)+(k-1)(t-|\mathscr{P}|) \\
& \leqslant(k-1)\left(t-\left|S_{1}\right| / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Partition $S_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=\left\{s \in S_{2} \mid e(B,\{s\})=1\right\}, \\
& T_{2}=\left\{s \in S_{2} \mid e(B,\{s\}) \geqslant 2\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(x) We have $2\left|S_{2}\right| \leqslant 2|B|+\left|T_{1}\right|$. Here

$$
2\left|S_{2}\right|-\left|T_{1}\right|=\left|T_{1}\right|+2\left|T_{2}\right| \leqslant e\left(B, s_{2}\right) \leqslant 2|B| .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{P}^{*}=\bigcup_{s \in T_{1}} P(s), \\
& \mathcal{O}^{*}=\bigcup_{s \in T_{1}} O(s), \\
& \mathscr{T}^{*}=\bigcup_{s \in T_{1}} T(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(xi) We have $\left|T_{1}\right| \leqslant\left|\mathscr{P}^{*}\right| \leqslant t$. Here

$$
2\left|T_{1}\right| \leqslant \sum_{s \in T_{1}}\left(d_{G}(s)-1\right)=e\left(A, T_{1}\right)=2\left|\mathscr{P}^{*}\right|-\left|\mathcal{O}^{*}\right| \leqslant 2\left|\mathscr{P}^{*}\right| .
$$

(xii) Finally, we have $\left|S_{2}\right| \leqslant 2|B|$. Here

$$
\left|S_{2}\right| \leqslant e\left(B, S_{2}\right) \leqslant 2|B|
$$

Now, by counting degrees,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \geqslant|A|+2|B|+3|C| / 2+5|D| / 2=n+|D| / 2+|C| / 2, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while, by counting edges,

$$
\begin{align*}
e & \geqslant \sum_{1}^{t}\left(\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+1\right)+3|B|+(|C|-|S|) / 2+3|D| / 2+|D| \\
& =|A|+t+3|B|+|C| / 2+5|D| / 2-|S| / 2 \\
& =n+|D| / 2-|C| / 2+t+|B|-|S| / 2, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

since $e(C \cup D,\{v\}) \geqslant 1$ for all $v \in C-S$, so that

$$
e(G[C \cup D]) \geqslant(|C|-|S|) / 2+3 \mid D / 2 .
$$

First, by (1) and (2),

$$
e \geqslant \max \{n+|D| / 2+|C| / 2, n+|D| / 2-|C| / 2+t+|B|-|S| / 2\} .
$$

Now fix $n,|B|,|D|,|S|$, and $t$ and define

$$
f(|A|,|C|)=\max \{n+|D| / 2+|C| / 2, n+|D| / 2-|C| / 2+t+|B|-|S| / 2\} .
$$

Then $f$ minimizes at $|C|=|B|+t-|S| / 2$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \geqslant \min _{|A| \cdot|C|} f(|A|,|C|)=n+|D| / 2+t / 2+|B| / 2-|S| / 4 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, using (ix), (xii), (1) and (2) we argue in a similar manner and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
e & \geqslant \min \max \{n+|D| / 2+|C| / 2, n+|D| / 2-|C| / 2+|A| /(k-1)\} \\
& =n+|D| / 2+|A| / 2(k-1) \\
& =n\left(1+\frac{1}{2 k}\right)+\frac{k-2}{2 k}|D|-\frac{1}{k}|B| . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

For $|B| \leqslant(n / 2)(1-\bar{c}),(\bar{c}$ to be determined later $)$,

$$
e \geqslant n\left(1+\frac{1}{2 k}\right)-\frac{|B|}{k} \geqslant n\left(1+\frac{\bar{c}}{2 k}\right),
$$

by (4), while

$$
|S|=\left|S_{1}\right|+\left|S_{2}\right| \leqslant 7 t / 6+|B|,
$$

by (viii), (x), and (xi) so that, for $|B| \geqslant(n / 2)(1-\bar{c})$,

$$
e \geqslant n+t / 2+|B| / 2-|S| / 4 \geqslant n+5 t / 24+|B| / 4 \geqslant n(9 / 8-\bar{c} / 8) \geqslant n\left(1+\frac{\bar{c}}{2 k}\right),
$$

by (3) provided

$$
\bar{c} \leqslant \frac{k}{k+4}
$$

Consequently,

$$
e \geqslant n\left(1+\frac{1}{2 k+8}\right)
$$

## 4. Upper bounds

A special case of a construction in [11] implies that

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{sat}\left(n, P_{k-1}\right)+n-1
$$

for all $k$ and $n, 3 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Combining this inequality with the value of $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, P_{k-1}\right)$ obtained in [11] gives the upper bound, for $k \geqslant 6$,

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right) \leqslant c_{k} n+O(1)
$$

where

$$
c_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
2-\frac{1}{2^{k / 2}-2}, & k \text { even } \\
2-\frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{(k-3 / / 2}-2}, & \text { odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Until now this has been the only general upper bound for $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)$.
We present four constructions in this section all of which give upper bounds for $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)$. Each of the first three contains a complete or nearly complete subgraph of order at least $k$ and, consequently, these bounds are bad as long as $n$ is small. The fourth construction works for small $n / k$ ratios as well.

## Theorem 2.

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{2 s+1}\right) \leqslant \frac{s+2}{s-1}(n-r)+\binom{2 s-3}{2}+\binom{r-1}{2}-10
$$

where $n=(m+2)(s-1)+r, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant s-1, s \geqslant 4$ and $m \geqslant 3$.
Consider the graph $G_{s, m}$ pictured in Fig. 7. It consists of a subgraph $H$, a complete subgraph $K_{2 s-3}$ with the edge $O O^{\prime}$ deleted and a complete subgraph $K_{r}$ attached to an arbitrary vertex $O^{\prime \prime} \notin\left\{O, O^{\prime}\right\}$ of the subgraph $K_{2 s-3}-O O^{\prime}$. The subgraph $H$ consists of two vertices $A$ and $B$ joined by $m$ internally disjoint paths $P_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, each of length $s$. Vertex $B$ is adjacent to all vertices of the subgraph $K_{2 s-3}-O O^{\prime}$. Both $O$ and $O^{\prime}$ are adjacent to all vertices of $H$ that are adjacent to $B$.


Fig. 7. The graph $G_{s, m}$; a $C_{k}$-saturated graph, $k=2 s+1 \geqslant 9$.

It is easily seen that $G_{s, m}$ has order $n=2+m(s-1)+2 s-3+r-1=$ $(m+2)(s-1)+r$ and size

$$
\begin{aligned}
m s & +\binom{2 s-3}{2}-1+2 m+2 s-5+\binom{r}{2} \\
& =(m+2)(s+2)+\binom{2 s-3}{2}+\binom{r}{2}-10 \\
& =\frac{s+2}{s-1}(n-r)+\binom{2 s-3}{2}+\binom{r}{2}-10 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show the existence of paths of length $2 s$ between all pairs of nonadjacent vertices of $G_{s, m}$. This is done in Part I. In Part II we show that $G_{s, m}$ contains no cycles of length $(2 s+1)$. The details of Part I have not been included since they consist of the analysis of 16 cases. A copy of this analysis is available from the third author.

Part II. To show that $G_{s, m}$ contains no $(2 s+1)$-cycles we assume, to the contrary, that $C$ is such a cycle and note that it cannot have the form $A B A\left(=A P_{i} B P_{j} A\right)$. Thus, $C$ contains a vertex of $K_{2 s-3}-O O^{\prime}$. Furthermore, $C$ must contain at least one of the vertices $A, B$ for otherwise it could have length $2 s-2$ at most.

If $A$ and $B$ are both in $C$, then necessarily one of the paths $B A O$ or $B A O^{\prime}$ would be a subgraph of $C$. Now each of these paths is of length 2 s . But then, since $(O, B)$ and $\left(O^{\prime}, B\right)$ are not edges of $G_{s, m}$, we would have $|E(C)|>2 s+1$, a contradiction.

Suppose, then, that $A$ is a vertex of $C$ but $B$ is not. Now the path $O A O^{\prime}$, which has length $2 s$, is a subgraph of $C$. But then, since $\left(O, O^{\prime}\right)$ is not an edge of $G_{s, m}$, we would again have $|E(C)|>2 s+1$. We conclude that $A$ is not in $C$. But any cycle of length greater than 6 in $G_{s, m}-A$ contains at most two vertices of the type $(0, q)$, none of the type $(p, q)$ with $p \geqslant 1$ and none from $K_{r}-O^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, $C$ has order at most $1+2 s-3+2=2 s$.

Theorem 3. For $k \geqslant 14$ and even,

$$
\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(1+\frac{4}{k-2}\right) n+\mathrm{O}\left(k^{3}\right)
$$

Proof. Throughout the proof, $s$ will be even and at least 6 and $n \geqslant 103$.
Define $m \geqslant 2$ and $r$ by $n=m s^{2}+s+r+1$ and $2 s \leqslant r<s^{2}+2 s$. The graph $G_{s, m}$, pictured in Fig. 8 with $m=2$, is constructed as follows. Let $H=\bar{C}_{s}$ (which is connected since $s \geqslant 6$ ), with vertices labeled $(s, 1),(s, 2), \ldots,(s, s)$ so that $(s, i)$ is not adjacent to $(s, i+1), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ (indices are read modulo $s$ ). To each vertex ( $s, i$ ) of $H$ attach a complete graph $K_{r_{i}}$ where the $r_{i}$ 's are as nearly equal as possible subject to $3 \leqslant r_{i} \leqslant s+3$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(r_{i}-1\right)=r$ (the $K_{r_{i}}$ are not shown in Fig. 8). For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$, let $C_{s, j}$ be a cycle of length $s$ with vertices labeled $(0, i)_{j}$ so that $(0, i)_{j}$ is adjacent to $(0, i+1)_{j}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Now construct disjoint $(0, i)_{j}-(s, i)$ paths of length $s$. Finally, append a vertex $O$ and all edges $O(s / 2, i)_{j}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$. It is easy to see that this graph has order $n=m s^{2}+s+r+1$ and size

$$
m\left(s^{2}+2 s\right)+\binom{s}{2}-s+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\binom{r_{i}-1}{2}=\left(1+\frac{2}{s}\right) n+\mathrm{O}\left(s^{3}\right) .
$$

Now define $m \geqslant 2$ and $r$ by $n=m s^{2}+(m+1) s+r+1$ and $2 s \leqslant r<s^{2}+2 s$. The graph $G_{s, m}^{\prime}$ is the same as $G_{s, m}$ except that the vertices of $H$ are labeled $(s+1,1),(s+1,2), \ldots,(s+1, s)$ and the $(0, i)_{j}-(s, i)$ paths of length $s$ are replaced by $(0, i)_{j}-(s+1, i)$ paths of length $s+1$. Thus, $G_{s, m}^{\prime}$ has order


Fig. 8. The graph $G_{s . m}$ : a $C_{k}$-saturated graph, $k=2 s+2 \geqslant 14$.
$n=m s^{2}+(m+1) s+r+1$ and size

$$
m\left(s^{2}+2 s\right)+\binom{s}{2}-s+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\binom{r_{i}-1}{2}=\left(1+\frac{2}{s+1}\right) n+\mathrm{O}\left(s^{3}\right) .
$$

In Part I we construct paths of length $2 s+1,(2 s+3)$ between all pairs of nonadjacent vertices in $G_{s, m},\left(G_{s, m}^{\prime}\right.$, respectively). In Part II we will show that $G_{s, m}$, ( $G_{s, m}^{\prime}$ ) contains no $2 s+2$, ( $2 s+4$, respectively)-cycles. As with Theorem 2, the details of Part I are not included but are available from the third author.

Part II. To show that there are no $2 s+2,(2 s+4)$-cycles in $G_{s, m},\left(G_{s, m}^{\prime}\right.$, respectively $)$, we note that any such cycle in either graph would necessarily contain vertices $u, v$ where $u \in C_{s, a}$ for some $a$ and $v \in \bar{C}_{s}$. But any cycle containing a vertex $u \in C_{s, a}$ necessarily contains an edge $e$ in $C_{s, a}$ and, since $d_{G_{s, a}}(u, v) \geqslant s$ and $d_{G_{s, s}^{\prime}}(u, v) \geqslant s+1$ there are at least $2 s+1,(2 s+3)$ edges of $E\left(G_{s, m}\right)-E\left(\bar{C}_{s}\right)$, $\left(E\left(G_{s, m}^{\prime}\right)-E\left(\bar{C}_{s}\right)\right.$ respectively) that are also in the cycle. Hence, every cycle of length $2 s+2,(2 s+4)$ in $G_{s, m}$, $\left(G_{s, m}^{\prime}\right.$, respectively) that contains vertices $u$ and $v$ must contain exactly one edge from each of $G_{s . a}$ and $\bar{C}_{s}$. But clearly this is impossible. Thus, any cycle containing $u$ and $v$ has length strictly greater than $2 s+2,(2 s+4)$ in $G_{s, m}\left(G_{s, m}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively).

Theorem 4. For $n \geqslant 4 m \geqslant 12$ and $m$ odd $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{4 m}\right) \leqslant((10 m-1) /(8 m-2)) n+2 m$.
Proof. Recall the graph $J_{3}$ pictured in Fig. 5. In proving Proposition 4 it was shown that the graph $G_{s}$ obtained by identifying the 0 vertices of $s \geqslant 1$ copies of $J_{3}$ and attaching one leaf to each vertex was $C_{12}$-saturated, had order $22 s+1$ and size 29 s . There is a natural generalization, $J_{m}, m$ odd, of $J_{3}$ where the 'inner triangle' now is a cycle $C$ of length $m$ each of whose vertices lies in one of the $m$ copies of the $K_{1,3}$ subgraphs of $J_{m}\left(J_{5}\right.$ is pictured in [6]). The structure of the cycle on the 'outer' two vertices of each of the $J_{3}$ 's is the same as in $J_{3}$ : two consecutive, skip two, two consecutive, skip two, etc. These are the 'snarks' of Isaacs [10] who had shown them to be nonhamiltonian, i.e., they contain no $4 m$-cycles.

Let $G_{s, m}, s \geqslant 1, m \geqslant 5$ and odd, be the graph obtained by identifying the 0 vertices of $s \geqslant 1$ copies of $J_{m}$ and attaching one leaf to each vertex of $G_{s, m}$ different from $\mathbf{0}$. Then $G_{s, m}$ has order $n=2(4 m-1) s+1$ and size $(6 m+(4 m-1)) s=((10 m-1) /$ $(8 m-2))(n-1)$. By deleting $r$ leaves, $0 \leqslant r \leqslant 8 m-3$, we obtain a graph of order $n=2(4 m-1) s+1-r$ and size

$$
(10 m-1) s-r=\frac{10 m-1}{8 m-2}(n-1)+\frac{2 m+1}{8 m-2} r<\frac{10 m-1}{8 m-2} n+2 m .
$$

It remains to show that each two nonadjacent vertices of $G_{s . m}$ are joined by a path of length $4 m-1$. Our proof of this fact is long ( 12 pages) and so will not be included here; full details can be found in [13]. We will, however, outline the proof.

The proof is inductive on $m$ beginning at $m=5$. In [6] it was shown that every two nonadjacent vertices of $J_{m}$ are joined by a path of length $4 m-1$; in [13] it is shown, through consideration of seven cases, that this is true also for paths of lengths $4 m-2$ and $4 m-3$. To complete the proof that each two nonadjacent vertices of $G_{s, m}$ are joined by a path of length $4 m-1$ it now suffices to show that if the $\mathbf{0}$ vertices of two copies of $J_{m}$ are identified then each of two nonadjacent vertices of the resulting graph are joined by paths of lengths $2 m, 2 m-1$ and $2 m-2$. This was accomplished by analysis of 24 cases.

Finally, we consider the situation in which $k=n$. Then we are considering graphs that are not hamiltonian but become so upon the addition of any new edge. Minimum graphs of order $n$ with this property were shown, [6], to have size $3 n / 2$ for all even $n \geqslant 36$ and, $[5,7]$, to have size $(3 n+1) / 2$ for all odd $n \geqslant 53$. Combining these results we obtain

Theorem B. Clarke et al. [5-7]. sat $\left(n, C_{n}\right)=\lfloor(3 n+1) / 2\rfloor, n \geqslant 53$.

## 5. Concluding remarks

Although it may not be evident from the results, we made extensive use of computers, mainly to check our constructions. The computer also assisted in the proof of Theorem 1: linear programming showed that using the inequalities developed in the proof, the lower bound $n(1+1 /(2 k+8))$ is close to the optimal value for various values of $n$ and $k$. Actually, the experimental optimum seems to be $n(1+1 /(2 k+3))$ from our computational evidence.

The computer also helped to discard some of our constructions. It is interesting to note that the computer check of our constructions for 14 - and 16 -cycle saturated graphs took hours. To find these graphs -- the smallest members in their infinite families - with a computer search is impossible with any computer.

We were not satisfied with our construction for 6 -cycle saturated graphs with $3\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ edges. Therefore, we conducted a computer search for $C_{6}$-builders with up to 8 vertices but did not find anything better.

We think that some of our graphs are the extremal graphs (for $k=5,6,7$ ) and that our general constructions, if not optimal, still are very close to being optimal and show some structural features of the extremal graphs.

The values of $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{4}\right)=\lfloor(3 n-5) / 2\rfloor$ and $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{n}\right)=\lfloor(3 n+1) / 2\rfloor$ together with the constructions showing $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)<3 n / 2$ for other values of $k$ suggest the following problems.

Problem 1. Determine if $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)$ is a convex function of $k, k>3$, for fixed $n$ or is convex at least when the parity of $k$ is fixed.

If this is the case then
Problem 2. Determine the value of $k$ which minimizes $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)$ for fixed $n$.
On the other hand, if the answer to Problem 1 is in the negative, then the dual question arises: which $k$ maximizes $\operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right)$ ? Finally,

Problem 3. Is lim $\sup _{n} \operatorname{sat}\left(n, C_{k}\right) / n$ a decreasing function of $k$, at least for odd $k$ and even $k$, respectively?
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