

JOURNAL OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS 50, 215–228 (1983)

# Proof of the Conjecture of A. Grothendieck on the Fuglede–Kadison Determinant

THIERRY FACK

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques Fondamentales  
Aile 45–46, 3<sup>e</sup> étage,  
4, place Jussieu, 75230 Paris Cedex 05 France*

*Communicated by A. Connes*

Received July 1982

Soit  $M$  une algèbre de von Neumann munie d'une trace semi-finie fidèle  $\tau$ . Pour les éléments  $\tau$ -compacts  $x$  de  $M$ , A. Grothendieck introduit dans un "Séminaire Bourbaki," de 1955 une fonction  $\Delta_{1+x}$  généralisant le déterminant de Fuglede–Kadison, et conjecture l'inégalité

$$\Delta_{1+|x+y|} \leq \Delta_{1+|x|} \Delta_{1+|y|}.$$

Dans cet article, nous démontrons cette inégalité. En corollaire, nous obtenons une démonstration directe des inégalités de Clarkson.

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite trace  $\tau$ . For  $\tau$ -compact elements  $x \in M$ , Grothendieck introduced in "Séminaire Bourbaki," 1955 a function  $\Delta_{1+x}$  generalizing the Fuglede–Kadison determinant, and conjectured that

$$\Delta_{1+|x+y|} \leq \Delta_{1+|x|} \Delta_{1+|y|}.$$

In this paper, the inequality is proved. As a corollary, a direct proof of the Clarkson inequalities is obtained.

## INTRODUCTION

For any trace class operator  $x$  in a separable Hilbert space, the Fredholm determinant  $\det(1+x)$  makes sense, and we have

$$\det(1+x) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + \lambda_n(x))$$

where  $(\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x), \dots)$  is a listing of all nonzero eigenvalues of  $x$ , counted up to algebraic multiplicity (cf. [6]). The fundamental inequality

$$\det(1+|x+y|) \leq \det(1+|x|) \det(1+|y|) \tag{1}$$

was proven first by Grothendieck (unpublished; see [7]) by inspecting the terms of the classical expansion of the Fredholm determinant  $\det(1+h)$  for a trace class operator  $h$ . Twenty years after Grothendieck, this inequality was rediscovered by Seiler and Simon in their study of the Yukawa<sub>2</sub> quantum field theory (cf. [13, 14]). Several alternative proofs have been found by Rot'feld [12] and Lieb [8].

In [5] Fuglede and Kadison define a determinant function  $\Delta$  for every  $\Pi_1$ -factor  $M$  and prove that

$$\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)\Delta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in M.$$

It is natural to ask whether or not inequality (1) remains true in this setting. In fact, the situation is quite different from the classical one, because  $\Delta(x)$  ignores the phase of  $x \in M$  (i.e.,  $\Delta(x) = \Delta(|x|)$ ) and there is no continuous analog for the notion of  $n$ -exterior power ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of an operator. However, (1) remains true and not only for the Fuglede–Kadison determinant, but also for its natural generalization to the case of an arbitrary von Neumann algebra  $M$  with a faithful semifinite trace  $\tau$ .

To be more precise, let  $\mu_s(x)$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) be the  $s$ th singular value of  $x \in M$  (cf. [4, 10]) and define the continuous product of the “ $t$ -first singular values” of  $x$  by

$$\Delta_x(t) = \exp \int_0^t \log \mu_s(x) ds.$$

When  $\tau$  is finite,  $\Delta_x(\tau(1))$  is nothing but the Fuglede–Kadison determinant  $\Delta(x)$ . For  $\tau$ -compact elements  $x, y \in M$ , we prove that

$$\Delta_{1+|x+y|} \leq \Delta_{1+|x|} \Delta_{1+|y|}. \quad (2)$$

This inequality was conjectured by Grothendieck [7].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1, we make the necessary preliminary definitions, and prove the inequality

$$\Delta_{(1+x)(1+y)} \leq \Delta_{1+x} \Delta_{1+y}$$

for  $\tau$ -compact elements  $x, y \in M$ . This inequality replaces the multiplicativity of the Fuglede–Kadison determinant. In Section 2, we prove the main inequality (2). In Section 3, we give a direct proof of Clarkson's inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|x+y\|_p^{p'} + \|x-y\|_p^{p'} &\leq 2(\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p)^{p'/p} \\ &\quad (1 < p \leq 2 \text{ and } p' = p/(p-1)), \\ \|x+y\|_p^p + \|x-y\|_p^p &\leq 2^{p-1}(\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p) \quad (2 \leq p < \infty) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y \in M$ , where  $\|x\|_p = \tau(|x|^p)^{1/p}$ . The first one has been recently obtained by Zsidó [16], using the more sophisticated interpolation techniques, and the second one goes back to Dixmier [2]. They imply uniform convexity for the  $L^p$ -spaces considered by Dixmier [2] and Segal [15].

In Section 4, we give some other applications of the fundamental inequality. We use the usual terminology of von Neumann algebras as in [3].

### 1. THE DETERMINANT FUNCTION

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite trace  $\tau$ . Call  $x \in M$  *finite rank* (relative to  $\tau$ ) if  $\tau(\text{supp}(x^*)) < \infty$ , and *compact* if it is a norm limit of finite rank elements. The compact elements are easily seen to be a (two-sided) ideal  $C_\infty = C_\infty(M, \tau)$  and the finite rank elements are the smallest ideal whose norm closure is  $C_\infty$  (cf. [3, p. 14, Ex. 2]). The *trace ideal*  $C_1 = C_1(M, \tau)$  is defined as the set of all  $x \in M$  such that

$$\|x\|_1 = \tau(|x|) < \infty.$$

A basic tool in the investigation of the analytical properties of completely continuous operators in a Hilbert space is the notion of “ $n$ th singular value.” Let us now recall the natural generalization of this notion to our framework.

**1.1. DEFINITION.** Let  $x \in M$  and  $t \geq 0$ . We call “ $t$ th singular value” of  $x$  the number

$$\mu_t(x) = \inf\{\|xe\| \mid e = \text{projection in } M \text{ with } \tau(1 - e) \leq t\}.$$

We have

$$\text{For } x \in M, t \mapsto \mu_t(x) \text{ is decreasing and } \mu_0(x) = \|x\|. \tag{1.1.1}$$

$$\text{For } t \geq 0, x \mapsto 0, x \mapsto \mu_t(x) \text{ is increasing on } M_+. \tag{1.1.2}$$

$$\mu_t(x) = \mu_t(x^*) = \mu_t(|x|) \quad (t \geq 0; x, y \in M). \tag{1.1.3}$$

Proofs may be found in [4]. Moreover, we have

$$\text{If } \tau(1) = \infty, \text{ then } \mu_s(1 + x) \geq 1 \text{ (} s \geq 0 \text{) for any } x \in C_\infty. \tag{1.1.4}$$

In fact, we have  $\|(1 + x)e\| \geq 1$  for every infinite projection  $e \in M$ , because if not  $exe$  would be invertible in  $M_e$  and hence  $C_\infty(M_e, \tau_e) = M_e$  for some infinite projection  $e \in M$ , a fact which is absurd.

1.2. DEFINITION. Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite trace  $\tau$ . Call *determinant function* associated with  $x \in M$  the function  $\Delta_x: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  given by

$$\Delta_x(t) = \exp \int_0^t \log \mu_s(x) ds \quad (t \geq 0).$$

As we have  $\log \mu_s(x) \leq \log \|x\|$  for  $s \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta_x(t)$  makes sense (of course,  $\int_0^t \log \mu_s(x) ds$  may be understood as a lower integral).

1.3. Remark. If  $\tau(1) < \infty$ ,  $\Delta_x(\tau(1)) = \Delta(x)$ , where  $\Delta$  is the analytical extensor (in the terminology of [5]) of the Fuglede–Kadison determinant (see, for example, [4, 2.2.2]).

1.4. LEMMA. *We have*

- (i)  $\Delta_{1+x} = \Delta_{1+x^*} \leq \Delta_{1+|x|}$  ( $x \in M$ ).
- (ii)  $\Delta_{xy}(t) \leq \|x\|^t \Delta_y(t)$  ( $t \geq 0; x, y \in M$ ).
- (iii)  $x \mapsto \Delta_{1+x}(t)$  is increasing on  $M_+$  for each  $t \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x \in M$  and  $s \geq 0$ . For any projection  $e$  in  $M$ , we have

$$\|(1+x)e\| \leq 1 + \|xe\|$$

so that

$$\mu_s(1+x) \leq 1 + \mu_s(x) = 1 + \mu_s(|x|) = \mu_s(1+|x|).$$

The result follows immediately.

(ii) We have  $\mu_s(xy) \leq \|x\| \mu_s(y)$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) by [4, Proposition 1.6(iv)] and the result follows.

(iii) We have  $\mu_s(1+x) = 1 + \mu_s(x)$  and we get the result by (1.1.2). ■

Using the inequality

$$\log(1 + \mu_s(|x|)) \leq \mu_s(|x|),$$

we deduce from 1.4(i) and [4, Proposition 1.11] that

$$\Delta_{1+x} \leq \exp(\|x\|_1) \quad \text{for } x \in C_1.$$

1.5. Remark. If  $\tau(1) = \infty$ ,  $\Delta_{1+x}(t)$  has a limit ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) for any  $x \in C_\infty$  by virtue of (1.1.4). Put

$$\Delta(1+x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{1+x}(t).$$

Then  $\Delta$  is a finite positive function on  $1 + C_1$ , and it is easy to see that

$$\Delta(1 + |x|) = \exp \tau(\log(1 + |x|)) \quad \text{for } x \in C_1.$$

However,  $\Delta(1 + x)$  does not generally coincide with  $\exp \tau(\log |1 + x|)$ , so that it is not in any way a “generalized Fredholm determinant.”

The main result of this section is the following, which is a natural generalization of [4, Theorem 2.3].

**1.6. THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite trace, and  $x, y \in C_\infty$ . Then, we have*

$$\Delta_{(1+x)(1+y)}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+x}(t) \Delta_{1+y}(t) \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $M$  is finite, the result follows immediately from [4, Theorem 2.3]. Assume now that  $M$  is infinite and put  $|(1 + x)(1 + y)|^2 = 1 + h$ , where  $h \in C_\infty$ . Fix  $t > 0$ . Using (1.1.4) and (1.1.1), we may assume w.l.o.g. that  $\mu_s(1 + h) > 1$  for  $s < t$ . Then, it is almost clear (and we shall come back to this point) that there exists two finite projections  $p, q$  in  $M$  such that

$$\Delta_{(1+x)(1+y)}(t) = \Delta_{q(1+x)(1+y)p}(t).$$

As  $q(1 + x)$  and  $(1 + y)p$  are  $\tau$ -compact elements in  $M$ , we get

$$\Delta_{(1+x)(1+y)}(t) \leq \Delta_{q(1+x)}(t) \Delta_{(1+y)p}(t)$$

by [4, Theorem 2.3]. Using 1.4(ii), we get the result.

Let us now indicate how to find  $p$  and  $q$ . Let  $h = \int_{-1}^\infty \lambda \, de_\lambda$  be the spectral decomposition of  $h$ . Using [4, Proposition 1.3] and (1.1.4), we get

$$\mu_t(1 + h) = 1 + \mu_0,$$

where  $\mu_0 = \min\{\mu \geq 0 \mid \tau(1 - e_\mu) \leq t\}$ . Assume first that  $\mu_0 > 0$  and put  $p = 1 - e_{\mu_0^-}$ . Then

$$\tau(p) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \mu_0^-} \tau(1 - e_\mu) \geq t$$

and we get

$$\mu_s(1 + h) = \mu_s(p(1 + h)p) \quad \text{for } s < t$$

by [4, Proposition 1.5]. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_s((1 + x)(1 + y)) &= \mu_s(1 + h)^{1/2} \\ &= \mu_s(|(1 + x)(1 + y)p|^2)^{1/2} \\ &= \mu_s((1 + x)(1 + y)p) \quad (s < t). \end{aligned}$$

But now  $k = |p(1+y)^*(1+x)^*|^2$  is compact and there exists as in [4, Lemma .13] a finite projection  $q$  in  $M$  such that  $\mu_s(k) = \mu_s(qkq)$  for  $s < t$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_s((1+x)(1+y)) &= \mu_s(k)^{1/2} = \mu_s(|p(1+y)^*(1+x)^*q|^2)^{1/2} \\ &= \mu_s(q(1+x)(1+y)p) \quad \text{for } s < t. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu_0 = \mathbb{C}$ , we put  $p = 1 - e_0$  and choose  $q$  as before. We have  $\tau(p) = t$  and  $\mu_s((1+x)(1+y)) = \mu_s(q(1+x)(1+y)p)$  for  $s < t$ . The proof of Theorem 1.6 is then complete. ■

**1.7 COROLLARY.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite trace, and  $x, y \in C_\infty$ . Let  $w \in 1 + C_\infty$  with  $\|w\| \leq 1$ . Then, we have*

$$\Delta_{(1+x)w(1+y)}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+x}(t) \Delta_{1+y}(t) \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

We are now in position to prove the main inequality.

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN INEQUALITY

**2.1. THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite trace. Let  $x, y \in C_\infty$ . Then, we have*

$$\Delta_{1+|x+y|} \leq \Delta_{1+|x|} \Delta_{1+|y|}.$$

The proof is based on Theorem 1.6, combined with the following technical lemma which replaces the wrong inequality  $1+x+y \leq 1+|x|+|y|$ .

**2.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $x, y \in M$ . Then, there exists an element  $w \in M$ ,  $\|w\| \leq 1$ , such that*

$$1+x+y = (1+|x^*|+|y^*|)^{1/2} w(1+|x|+|y|)^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(e_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$  be a system of matrix units for  $M_3(\mathbb{C})$  and put

$$a = 1 \otimes e_{11} + |x|^{1/2} u^* \otimes e_{21} + |y|^{1/2} v^* \otimes e_{31} \in M \otimes M_3(\mathbb{C})$$

$$b = 1 \otimes e_{11} + |x|^{1/2} \otimes e_{21} + |y|^{1/2} \otimes e_{31} \in M \otimes M_3(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are the phases of  $x$  and  $y$ . We get by direct calculation

$$|a| = (1+u|x|u^*+v|y|v^*)^{1/2} \otimes e_{11} = (1+|x^*|+|y^*|)^{1/2} \otimes e_{11}$$

and

$$|b| = (1+|x|+|y|)^{1/2} \otimes e_{11}.$$

Let  $U$  (resp.  $V$ ) be phase of  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ). We get

$$a^*b = |a| U^*V |b|$$

$$= [(1 + |x^*| + |y^*|)^{1/2} \otimes e_{11}][w \otimes e_{11}][(1 + |x| + |y|)^{1/2} \otimes e_{11}],$$

where  $w \in M$  and  $\|w\| \leq 1$ . But  $a^*b = (1 + x + y) \otimes e_{11}$ , so that the lemma is proved. ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $x, y \in C_\infty$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Step 1.* Let us first show that we have

$$\Delta_{1+x+y}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+x}(t) \Delta_{1+y}(t)$$

for positive  $x, y \in C_\infty$ . We have

$$1 + x + y = (1 + x)^{1/2} [1 + |y|^{1/2}(1 + x)^{-1/2}|^2](1 + x)^{1/2}$$

and Theorem 1.6 implies

$$\Delta_{1+x+y}(t) \leq \Delta_{(1+x)^{1/2}}(t) \Delta_{1+|y|^{1/2}(1+x)^{-1/2}|^2}(t) \Delta_{(1+x)^{1/2}}(t)$$

$$= \Delta_{1+x}(t) \Delta_{1+|y|^{1/2}(1+x)^{-1/2}|^2}(t).$$

But  $\Delta_{1+|z|^2}(t) = \Delta_{1+|z^*|^2}(t)$ , and hence

$$\Delta_{1+x+y}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+x}(t) \Delta_{1+|y|^{1/2}(1+x)^{-1/2}|^2}(t).$$

But  $(1 + x)^{-1} \leq 1$ , and we get the result by 1.4(iii).

*Step 2.* Let us now show that we have

$$\Delta_{1+x+y}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+|x|}(t) \Delta_{1+|y|}(t) \quad \text{for } x, y \in C_\infty.$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exists a contraction  $w \in M$  such that

$$1 + x + y = (1 + |x^*| + |y^*|)^{1/2} w(1 + |x| + |y|)^{1/2}.$$

By Corollary 1.7, we get

$$\Delta_{1+x+y}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+|x^*|+|y^*|}(t)^{1/2} \Delta_{1+|x|+|y|}(t)^{1/2}.$$

By step 1, we get

$$\Delta_{1+|x^*|+|y^*|}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+|x^*|}(t) \Delta_{1+|y^*|}(t)$$

$$= \Delta_{1+|x|}(t) \Delta_{1+|y|}(t)$$

and

$$\Delta_{1+|x|+|y|}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+|x|}(t) \Delta_{1+|y|}(t),$$

so that finally

$$\Delta_{1+x+y}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+|x|}(t) \Delta_{1+|y|}(t).$$

*End of the Proof.* Let  $x + y = U|x + y|$  be the polar decomposition of  $x + y$ . By step 2, we have

$$\Delta_{1+|x+y|}(t) = \Delta_{1+U^*x+U^*y}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+|U^*x|}(t) \Delta_{1+|U^*y|}(t).$$

But  $\Delta_{1+|U^*x|}(t) = \Delta_{1+(x^*UU^*x)^{1/2}}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+|x|}(t)$  by [11, Proposition 1.3.8] and 1.4(iii), so that finally

$$\Delta_{1+|x+y|}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+|x|}(t) \Delta_{1+|y|}(t). \quad \blacksquare$$

From Theorem 2.1, we shall now deduce many inequalities.

### 3. MINKOWSKI AND CLARKSON'S NONCOMMUTATIVE INEQUALITIES

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite trace  $\tau$ . For  $x \in M$  and  $p > 0$ , set

$$\|x\|_p = \tau(|x|^p)^{1/p}.$$

If  $p \geq 1$ , we have the well-known inequality of Minkowski

$$\|x + y\|_p \leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p.$$

Let us first prove an inequality which replaces Minkowski's when  $0 < p < 1$ .

**3.1. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $x, y \in M$ . Then, we have*

$$\tau(|x + y|^p) \leq \tau(|x|^p) + \tau(|y|^p) \quad \text{for } 0 < p \leq 1.$$

Note that 3.1 implies that  $\delta(x, y) = \|x - y\|_p^p$  is a metric on  $C_p = \{x \in M \mid \|x\|_p < \infty\}$  for  $p \leq 1$ .

The proof of 3.1 is based on the following technical lemma:

**3.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a positive function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Assume that  $\varphi$  is bounded and set*

$$\pi_t(r) = \exp \int_0^t \log(1 + r\varphi(s)) \, ds \quad (t, r > 0).$$

Then, we have for each  $p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ )

$$\int_0^t \varphi^p(s) \, ds = \frac{p \sin(\pi p)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\log \pi_t(r)}{r^{p+1}} \, dr.$$



*Proof.* We have, for a fixed  $t > 0$

$$\frac{d}{dr} \pi_t(r) = \pi_t(r) \int_0^t \frac{\varphi(s)}{1+r\varphi(s)} ds,$$

and hence

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d(\log \pi_t(r))}{r^p} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^p} \left( \int_0^t \frac{\varphi(s)}{1+r\varphi(s)} ds \right) dr.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(s)}{r^p(1+r\varphi(s))} dr \right] ds &= \int_0^t \varphi(s)^p \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u^p(1+u)} \right] ds \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\sin p\pi} \int_0^t \varphi(s)^p ds < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so that we get by the Lebesgue–Fubini theorem

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d(\log \pi_t(r))}{r^p} = \frac{\pi}{\sin p\pi} \int_0^t \varphi(s)^p ds.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_0^R \frac{d(\log \pi_t(r))}{r^p} = \frac{\log \pi_t(R)}{R^p} + p \int_0^R \frac{\log \pi_t(r)}{r^{p+1}} dr.$$

As the left-hand side has a limit for  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , the two terms of the right-hand side must also have a limit, and the first one goes to zero. Henceforth, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\log \pi_t(r)}{r^{p+1}} dr = \frac{\pi}{p \sin(p\pi)} \int_0^t \varphi(s)^p ds. \quad \blacksquare$$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* This proposition has nontrivial content only if  $x$  and  $y$  are compact. The case  $p = 1$  is well known (see, for example, [4]), so that we shall assume that  $0 < p < 1$ . By Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\log \Delta_{1+r|x+y|}(t) \leq \log \Delta_{1+r|x|}(t) + \log \Delta_{1+r|y|}(t) \quad (t, r > 0)$$

and hence

$$\int_0^t \mu_s(|x+y|)^p ds \leq \int_0^t \mu_s(|x|)^p ds + \int_0^t \mu_s(|y|)^p ds$$

by Lemma 3.2. By letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and using [4, Proposition 1.6(ii)], we get the result.  $\blacksquare$

The following lemma, that we shall need to prove Clarkson's inequalities in full generality, contains the analog for  $p \geq 1$  (and  $x, y$  nonnegative) of Proposition 3.1.

3.3. LEMMA. *Let  $x, y$  be positive elements in  $M$ . Then, we have*

$$2^{1-p} \|x + y\|_p^p \leq \|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p \leq \|x + y\|_p^p \quad \text{for } p \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* We may assume w.l.o.g. that  $M$  acts on a Hilbert space  $H$  and that  $\|x\|_1, \|y\|_p$  and  $\|x + y\|_p < \infty$ . Let us first check the inequality on the left. Recall first the well-known inequality for positive numbers  $\lambda, \rho$

$$2^{1-p}(\lambda + \rho)^p \leq \lambda^p + \rho^p \quad (p \geq 1).$$

Using [4 Proposition 4.3], we get

$$\int_0^t \mu_s(x + y)^p ds \leq \int_0^t (\mu_s(x) + \mu_s(y))^p ds,$$

and the previous inequality implies

$$2^{1-p} \int_0^t \mu_s(x + y)^p ds \leq \int_0^t \mu_s(x)^p ds + \int_0^t \mu_s(y)^p ds.$$

The result follows by letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

To prove the inequality on the right, we proceed essentially as in [9, Lemma 2.6]. We have  $x, y \leq x + y$ , so that there exists elements  $u, v \in M$ ,  $\|u\|, \|v\| \leq 1$ , such that

$$x^{1/2} = u(x + y)^{1/2}, \quad y^{1/2} = v(x + y)^{1/2}$$

(cf. [3, Proposition 10, p. 11]).

Hence, we have

$$x = u(x + y)u^*, \quad y = v(x + y)v^*.$$

We claim that  $\tau(x^p) \leq \tau(u(x + y)^p u^*)$ . In fact, let  $t$  be a positive number and let  $e$  be a projection in  $M$  with  $\tau(1 - e) \leq t$ . For  $\xi \in e(H)$ ,  $\|\xi\| = 1$ , put  $\eta = u^* \xi$ . We have

$$(x\xi|\xi)^p = ((x + y)\eta|\eta)^p = \left( \int_0^\infty \lambda d(e_\lambda(\eta)|\eta) \right)^p,$$

where  $x + y = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$  is the spectral decomposition of  $x + y$ . But

$$\left( \int_0^\infty \lambda d(e_\lambda(\eta)|\eta) \right)^p \leq \|\eta\|^{p-1} \int_0^\infty \lambda^p d(e_\lambda(\eta)|\eta)$$

by Jensen's inequality (note that  $t \mapsto t^p$  is convex for  $p \geq 1$ ). As  $\|\eta\| \leq 1$ , we get

$$(x\xi|\xi)^p \leq (u(x+y)^p u^*\xi|\xi) \quad \text{for any } \xi \in e(H) \text{ with } \|\xi\| = 1.$$

Hence, we have by [4, Remark 1.4.1]

$$\mu_t(x)^p \leq \mu_t(u(x+y)^p u^*)$$

and hence

$$\tau(x^p) \leq \tau(u(x+y)^p u^*).$$

Similarly, we get

$$\tau(y^p) \leq \tau(v(x+y)^p v^*),$$

and hence

$$\tau(x^p) + \tau(y^p) \leq \tau((x+y)^p (u^*u + v^*v)).$$

Now, using cyclicity of the trace together with the obvious equality

$$x + y = (x + y)^{1/2} (u^*u + v^*v)(x + y)^{1/2},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x^p) + \tau(y^p) &\leq \tau((x+y)^{p-1} (x+y)^{1/2} (u^*u + v^*v)(x+y)^{1/2}) \\ &= \tau((x+y)^p). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality on the right is then proved. ■

We are now in position to prove the noncommutative analogs of the Clarkson inequalities [1].

**3.4. THEOREM.** *Let  $x, y \in M$ . We have*

- (i)  $\|x + y\|_p^{p'} + \|x - y\|_p^{p'} \leq 2(\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p)^{p'/p}$  for  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $p' = p/p - 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\|x + y\|_p^p + \|x - y\|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1}(\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p)$  for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ .

These inequalities are due to McCarthy [9] when  $M$  is the algebra of all bounded operators in a Hilbert space. The proof of (ii) for general semifinite von Neumann algebras goes back to Dixmier [2], and (i) has been recently obtained by Zsido [16]. Our proof, based on Proposition 3.1, is new and doesn't use the more sophisticated interpolation techniques.

*Proof of (i).* We may assume that  $M$  acts in a Hilbert space  $H$  and then choose elements  $\xi_\alpha \in H$ ,  $\|\xi_\alpha\| = 1$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ) such that

$$\tau(u) = \sum_{\alpha} (u\xi_{\alpha} | \xi_{\alpha})$$

for each positive element  $u$  in  $M$ . Set

$$a = x^*x + y^*y, \quad b = x^*y + y^*x$$

The same computation as in [9, Theorem 2.7(ii), p. 261–262] gives us  $\|x+y\|_p^{p'} + \|x-y\|_p^{p'} \leq 2\{\sum_{\alpha} 2^{-1}[(a+b)^{p/2} \xi_{\alpha} | \xi_{\alpha}] + ((a-b)^{p/2} \xi_{\alpha} | \xi_{\alpha})\}^{p'/p}$ . But the function  $t \mapsto t^{p/2}$  is operator concave on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  (cf. [11]), so that we get

$$\|x+y\|_p^{p'} + \|x-y\|_p^{p'} \leq 2 \left[ \sum_{\alpha} (a^{p/2} \xi_{\alpha} | \xi_{\alpha}) \right]^{p'/p}$$

and hence

$$\|x+y\|_p^{p'} + \|x-y\|_p^{p'} \leq 2\{\tau[(x^*x + y^*y)^{p/2}]\}^{p'/p}.$$

Using Proposition 3.1, we get then

$$\|x+y\|_p^{p'} + \|x-y\|_p^{p'} \leq 2(\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p)^{p'/p}.$$

*Proof of (ii).* Set  $q = p/2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x+y\|_p^p + \|x-y\|_p^p &= \| |x+y|^2 \|_q^q + \| |x-y|^2 \|_q^q \\ &\leq \| |x+y|^2 + |x-y|^2 \|_q^q \quad (\text{use 3.3 with } q = p/2 \geq 1) \\ &= 2^q \| |x|^2 + |y|^2 \|_q^q \\ &\leq 2^q 2^{q-1} (\| |x|^2 \|_q^q + \| |y|^2 \|_q^q) \\ &= 2^{p-1} (\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. OTHER APPLICATIONS

##### 4.1.

From the fundamental inequality 2.1, we can derive many other inequalities by using [4, Corollary 4.2]. More precisely, we get

$$\int_0^a g[1 + \mu_s(x+y)] ds \leq \int_0^a g[(1 + \mu_s(x))(1 + \mu_s(y))] ds$$

( $a > 0$ ;  $x, y \in C_{\infty}$ )

for any nondecreasing continuous function  $g: [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $t \mapsto g(\exp(t))$  is convex on  $[-\infty, +\infty[$ . Taking  $g(t) = t^p (p > 0)$  and using Hölder's inequality for functions, we get for a von Neumann algebra  $M$  with finite trace  $\tau$  and  $x, y \in M$

$$\|1 + |x + y|\|_p \leq \|1 + |x|\|_q \|1 + |y|\|_r \quad \text{if } 1/p = 1/q + 1/r.$$

4.2

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite trace  $\tau$ . From Theorem 2.1, we may deduce the continuity of the function  $x \mapsto \Delta(1 + x) = \exp \tau(\log(1 + x))$  on the positive part of  $C_1$ . More precisely, let  $x, y$  be positive elements in  $C_1$  and  $t > 0$ . We have

$$\Delta_{1+x}(t) = \Delta_{1+|x-y+y|}(t) \leq \Delta_{1+y}(t) \Delta_{1+|x-y|}(t) \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.1})$$

and hence

$$\Delta(1 + x) \leq \Delta(1 + y) \Delta(1 + |x - y|)$$

by letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\Delta(1 + x) - \Delta(1 + y) \leq \Delta(1 + y) [\Delta(1 + |x - y|) - 1].$$

Using the inequality

$$e^u - 1 \leq ue^u \quad \text{for } u \geq 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1 + x) - \Delta(1 + y) &\leq \Delta(1 + y) \Delta(1 + |x - y|) \int_0^\infty \log(1 + \mu_s(|x - y|)) ds \\ &\leq \exp(\|y\|_1) \exp(\|x - y\|_1) \|x - y\|_1 \\ &\leq \exp(\|x\|_1) \|x - y\|_1 \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3}) \\ &\leq \exp(\|x\|_1 + \|y\|_1) \|x - y\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry

$$|\Delta(1 + x) - \Delta(1 + y)| \leq \exp(\|x\|_1 + \|y\|_1) \|x - y\|_1$$

so that  $x \mapsto \Delta(1 + x)$  is locally Lipschitz on the positive part of  $C_1$ .

## REFERENCES

1. J. A. CLARKSON, Uniformly convex spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40** (1936), 396–414.
2. J. DIXMIER, Formes linéaires sur un anneau d'opérateurs, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **81** (1953), 9–39.
3. J. DIXMIER, “Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien (algèbres de von Neumann),” Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
4. T. FACK, Sur la notion de valeur caractéristique, *J. Operator Theory* **7** (1982), 307–333.
5. B. FUGLEDE AND R. KADISON, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math.* **55** (1952), 520–530.
6. I. C. GOHBERG AND M. G. KREJN, “Opérateurs linéaires non autoadjoints dans un espace Hilbertien,” (Dunod, Paris, 1971).
7. A. GRTHENDIECK, Réarrangements de fonctions et inégalités de convexité dans les algèbres de von Neumann munies d'une trace (mimeographed notes), in “Séminaire Bourbaki,” pp. 113–01–113–13, 1955.
8. E. H. LEB, Inequalities for some operator matrix functions, *Advan. in Math.* **20** (1976), 174–176.
9. C. A. MCCARTHY,  $c_p$ , *Israel J. Math.* **5** (1967), 249–271.
10. F. J. MURRAY AND J. VON NEUMANN, On rings of operators, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1936), 116–229.
11. G. K. PEDERSEN, “C\*-Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups,” Academic Press, New York, 1979.
12. S. YU. ROT'FELD, The singular numbers of the sum of completely continuous operators, *Top. Meth. Phys.* **3** (1969), 73–8.
13. E. SEILER AND B. SIMON, An inequality for determinants, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **72** (1975), 3277–3278.
14. E. SEILER AND B. SIMON, Bounds in the Yukawa, quantum field theory: Upper bound of the pressure, Hamiltonian bound and linear lower bound, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **45** (1975), 99–114.
15. I. SEGAL, A non commutative extension of abstract integration, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1953), 401–457.
16. L. ZSIDÓ, On the spectral subspaces of locally compact groups of operators, *Advan. in Math.* **35** (1980), 213–276.