

## Irreducibility of Associated Matrices

Miroslav Fiedler

*Matematický ústav ČSAV*

*Žitná 25*

*Praha 1, Czechoslovakia*

and

Russell Merris\*

*California State University*

*Hayward, California 94542*

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

---

### ABSTRACT

For an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix  $A$ , let  $K(A)$  be the associated matrix corresponding to a permutation group (of degree  $m$ ) and one of its characters. Let  $D_r(A)$  be the coefficient of  $x^{m-r}$  in  $K(A+xI)$ . If  $A$  is reducible, then  $D_r(A)$  is reducible. If  $A$  is irreducible and the character is identically one, then  $D_1(A)$  is irreducible. If  $A$  is row stochastic and the character is identically one, then  $D_r(A)$  is essentially row stochastic. Finally, the results motivate the definition of group induced digraphs.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The primary purpose of this note is to extend the results of [2] to associated matrices based on groups and characters. To define these associated matrices we first consider the set  $\Gamma_{m,n}$  of all functions from the first  $m$  positive integers to the first  $n$ . Let  $H$  be a permutation group of degree  $m$ , and let  $\chi$  be a character of  $H$  of degree 1. (We shall point out in the sequel which results continue to hold for characters of degree greater than one.) The group  $H$  induces an equivalence relation on  $\Gamma_{m,n}$  as follows:  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{H}$  if there is a  $\sigma \in H$  such that  $\alpha\sigma = \beta$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a system of distinct representatives for the equivalence classes mod  $H$  so chosen that  $\alpha \in \Delta$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is first, in lexicographic (dictionary) ordering, in its equivalence

---

\*The research of the second author was supported in part by NSF grant MCS 77-28437. The article was written while he was a NAS/CSAV Exchange Scientist in Prague.

class. For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{m,n}$  define  $H\gamma = \{\sigma \in H : \gamma\sigma = \gamma\}$ , i.e., the stabilizer subgroup of  $\gamma$ . Let

$$\bar{\Delta} = \left\{ \gamma \in \Delta : \sum_{\sigma \in H_\gamma} \chi(\sigma) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

If  $B = (b_{ij})$  is an  $m$  by  $m$  matrix, define

$$d(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \chi(\sigma) \prod_{t=1}^m b_{t\sigma(t)}.$$

For example, if  $H = S_m$ , the full symmetric group, and if  $\chi = \epsilon$ , the alternating character, then  $d$  is the determinant. If  $H = S_m$  and  $\chi \equiv 1$ ,  $d$  is the permanent.

Suppose, now, that  $A = (a_{ij})$  is an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix. For  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{m,n}$ , define  $A[\alpha|\beta]$  to be the  $m$  by  $m$  matrix, the  $(i, j)$  entry of which is the  $(\alpha(i), \beta(j))$  entry of  $A$ . Finally,  $K(A)$  is a matrix indexed by  $\Delta$ . The  $(\alpha, \beta)$  entry of this matrix is  $[\nu(\alpha)\nu(\beta)]^{-1/2} d(A^t[\beta|\alpha])$ , where  $\nu(\alpha)$  is the order of  $H_\alpha$ , and  $A^t$  is the transpose of  $A$  [4, p. 126]. For example, if  $H = S_m$  and  $\chi = \epsilon$ ,  $K(A)$  is the  $m$ th compound of  $A$ . If  $H = S_m$  and  $\chi \equiv 1$ ,  $K(A)$  is the  $m$ th induced power of  $A$ . If  $H = \{\text{id}\}$ ,  $K(A)$  is the  $m$ th Kronecker power of  $A$ . The most important feature of associated matrices is that they are multiplicative, i.e.,  $K(A_1 A_2) = K(A_1)K(A_2)$ . Of course  $K$  depends on the quadruple  $(m, n, H, \chi)$ , a fact which, for the sake of simplicity, we suppress in the notation.

For an indeterminate  $x$ , the associated matrix  $K(A + xI)$ ,  $I$  being the identity matrix, is a matrix polynomial in  $x$  of degree  $m$ :

$$K(A + xI) = \sum_{r=0}^m D_r(A) x^{m-r}.$$

The matrices  $D_r(A)$ ,  $1 \leq r < m$ , have variously been called *generalized associated matrices* [8] and *derivations* [5].

We shall investigate what influence the irreducibility or reducibility of  $A$  has on the irreducibility or reducibility of  $D_r(A)$ . Recall that the  $n$  by  $n$  matrix  $A$  is *weakly reducible* if there are  $n$  by  $n$  permutation matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  such that

$$PAQ = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{22}$  are square matrices of order at least one. The matrix  $A$  is *reducible* if it can be brought to the above form with  $Q = P^t$ . A matrix which

is not reducible is *irreducible*; one which is not weakly reducible is *fully irreducible*.

We shall also have occasion to discuss the directed graph  $G(A)$  of the  $n$  by  $n$  matrix  $A: G(A)=[N, E]$ , where  $N=\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is the set of vertices and  $(i, j) \in E$ , the set of edges, if and only if  $i \neq j$  (we ignore diagonal entries) and  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ . It is well known [3] that a square matrix of order  $n \geq 2$  is irreducible if and only if  $G(A)$  is strongly connected, i.e., if there is a path in  $G(A)$  from every vertex into any other vertex.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We may think of a  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{m,n}$  as a sequence of length  $m$  chosen from  $N=\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , i.e.,  $\gamma=(\gamma(1), \gamma(2), \dots, \gamma(m))$ . For each  $t \in N$ , let  $m_t(\gamma)$  be the multiplicity of  $t$  in the sequence  $\gamma$ . (The next definition and lemma are valid for characters of degree greater than one.)

**DEFINITION.** The quadruple  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is *regular* if there is a pair  $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\Delta}$  and an integer  $i \in N$  such that  $m_i(\alpha) = 0 \neq m_i(\beta)$ .

We will need the following technical observation about this definition.

**LEMMA.** If  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is *regular*, then for all  $j \in N$  there is a pair  $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\Delta}$  such that  $m_j(\alpha) = 0 \neq m_j(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma_{m,n}$  are equivalent (mod  $H$ ). Then there is a  $\sigma \in H$  for which  $\gamma\sigma = \delta$ . If  $\pi \in H_\gamma$ , then  $\delta\sigma^{-1}\pi\sigma = \gamma\pi\sigma = \gamma\sigma = \delta$ , i.e.,  $\sigma^{-1}\pi\sigma \in H_\delta$ . Similarly, if  $\tau \in H_\delta$ , then  $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} \in H_\gamma$ . In other words, if  $\gamma \equiv \delta \pmod{H}$ , then  $H_\gamma$  is conjugate to  $H_\delta$  in  $H$ . Since characters are conjugacy class functions, it follows that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in H_\gamma} \chi(\sigma) \neq 0 \iff \sum_{\sigma \in H_\delta} \chi(\sigma) \neq 0. \tag{1}$$

Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $N$ . Then  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\Gamma_{m,n}$  by means of the action  $\gamma \rightarrow \pi\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{m,n}$ . Suppose  $\gamma, \delta \in \bar{\Gamma}_{m,n}$ . Notice that  $\gamma \equiv \delta \pmod{H}$  if and only if  $\pi\gamma \equiv \pi\delta \pmod{H}$ . Now if  $\gamma \in \bar{\Delta}$ ,  $\pi\gamma$  need not be an element of  $\bar{\Delta}$ , but, since  $H_{\pi\gamma} = H_\gamma$ , it follows from (1) that there is a unique sequence  $r(\pi\gamma) \in \bar{\Delta}$  such that  $\pi\gamma \equiv r(\pi\gamma) \pmod{H}$ .

Since  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is regular, there is a pair  $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\Delta}$  and an integer  $i \in N$  such that  $m_i(\alpha) = 0 \neq m_i(\beta)$ . Suppose  $j \in N$ ,  $j \neq i$ . Let  $\pi$  be some permutation

of  $N$  such that  $\pi(i)=j$ . Then  $m_i(\pi\alpha)=0\neq m_j(\pi\beta)$ . Since  $r(\pi\alpha)$  [ $r(\pi\beta)$ ] contains the same integers as  $\pi\alpha$  [ $\pi\beta$ ], the result follows. ■

Further remarks about the definition: If  $n>m$ , then  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is always regular. This is because any increasing sequence of distinct integers belongs to  $\bar{\Delta}$ . Moreover, if  $n_1>n_2$  and if  $(m, n_2, H, \chi)$  is regular, then  $(m, n_1, H, \chi)$  is regular. Finally, if  $n>1$  and  $\chi\equiv 1$ , then  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is regular.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is regular, Let  $A$  be an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix. If  $A$  is reducible, then  $D_r(A)$  is reducible,  $1\leq r\leq m$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is reducible, there is a subset  $M\subset N$ ,  $\emptyset\neq M$ , such that  $a_{ij}=0$  whenever  $i\in M$  and  $j\in N\setminus M$ . Let the cardinality of  $M$  be  $k$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $M=\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Let  $s$  be the smallest number of distinct integers that occur in any sequence of  $\bar{\Delta}$ . There are two cases.

*Case 1:  $k\geq s$ .* Let  $\Omega=\Gamma_{m,k}\cap\bar{\Delta}$ . Since  $k<n$ ,  $\bar{\Delta}\setminus\Omega\neq\emptyset$ . Let  $\alpha\in\Omega$  and  $\beta\in\bar{\Delta}\setminus\Omega$ . Since  $\beta\notin\Omega$ , there is an  $r\in\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\beta(r)\in N\setminus M$ . Therefore, the  $r$ th column of  $A[\alpha|\beta]$  is zero. It follows from the definition that the  $\alpha, \beta$  entry of  $K(A)$  is zero ( $A^t[\beta|\alpha]=A[\alpha|\beta]^t$ ).

*Case 2:  $k<s$ .* Let  $\mu_k(\gamma)=m_1(\gamma)+\dots+m_k(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma\in\Gamma_{m,n}$ . Let

$$t=\max_{\gamma\in\bar{\Delta}}\mu_k(\gamma).$$

Let  $\Omega=\{\gamma\in\bar{\Delta}:\mu_k(\gamma)=t\}$ . Then  $\Omega\neq\emptyset$ . Suppose  $\bar{\Delta}=\Omega$ . Since  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is regular, there exist  $\omega, \nu\in\bar{\Delta}$  such that  $m_k(\omega)=0\neq m_k(\nu)$ . Since  $s>k$ , there is an integer  $j>k$  such that  $m_j(\omega)\neq 0$ . Consider the sequence  $\gamma\in\Gamma_{m,n}$  defined as follows:  $\gamma(i)=\omega(i)$  if  $\omega(i)\neq j$  and  $\gamma(i)=k$  if  $\omega(i)=j$ . Let  $\delta$  be that sequence of  $\Delta$  which is equivalent (mod  $H$ ) to  $\gamma$ . Since  $H_\gamma=H_\omega$ , it follows from (1) that  $\delta\in\bar{\Delta}$ . But this contradicts the definition of  $t$ , since  $\mu_k(\delta)=\mu_k(\gamma)>\mu_k(\omega)$ . Thus  $\bar{\Delta}\setminus\Omega\neq\emptyset$ .

Now, let  $\alpha\in\Omega$  and  $\beta\in\bar{\Delta}\setminus\Omega$ . Consider  $A[\alpha|\beta]$ . Since  $\mu_k(\beta)<t$ , at least  $m-t+1$  of the entries of  $\beta$  (multiplicities included) come from  $N\setminus M$ . Therefore,  $A[\alpha|\beta]$  contains a  $t$  by  $m-t+1$  submatrix consisting of zeros. By König's theorem, every diagonal product from  $A[\alpha|\beta]$  is zero. It follows again that the  $\alpha, \beta$  entry of  $K(A)$  is zero.

Since  $A+xI$  is reducible in the same manner as  $A$ ,  $K(A+xI)$  is reducible. It follows that  $D_r(A)$  is reducible,  $1\leq r\leq m$ . The proof is complete. ■

REMARK. This theorem remains valid for higher degree characters. (For a definition of  $K(A)$  in this case, see [9, Theorem 3].)

COROLLARY 1. Suppose  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is regular. Let  $A$  be an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix. If  $A$  is weakly reducible, then  $K(A)$  is weakly reducible.

Proof. If  $A$  is weakly reducible, there is a permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $AP$  is reducible. Therefore  $K(AP) = K(A)K(P)$  is reducible. It is proved in [6] that  $K(P)$  is a generalized permutation, i.e., a diagonal matrix times a permutation matrix. It follows that  $K(A)$  is weakly reducible. ■

### 3. THE FIRST (ADDITIVE) DERIVATION

We begin with an explicit description of the matrix  $D_1(A)$  valid when  $\chi(\text{id}) = 1$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\Delta}$ . Then, according to [8, Eq. (2.7)], the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  entry of  $D_1(A)$  is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu(\alpha)\nu(\beta)}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in H} \chi(\sigma) a_{\alpha\sigma(i)\beta(i)} \prod_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \neq i}}^m \delta_{\alpha\sigma(t)\beta(t)}.$$

We identify three cases:

Case 1:  $\alpha = \beta$ . For each  $\sigma \in H$ , either  $\alpha\sigma = \alpha$  or  $\alpha\sigma$  differs from  $\alpha$  in at least two places. Therefore, only those  $\sigma \in H_\alpha$  contribute nonzero terms to the summation. Since the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $H_\alpha$  is identically 1, we obtain in this case

$$[D_1(A)]_{\alpha\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{\alpha(i)\alpha(i)}. \tag{2a}$$

Case 2: There is a  $\tau \in H$  such that  $\alpha\tau$  and  $\beta$  differ in exactly one place, say  $m_p(\alpha) = m_p(\beta) + 1$  and  $m_q(\alpha) = m_q(\beta) - 1$ . Let  $H = H_\alpha\pi_1 \cup H_\alpha\pi_2 \cup \dots \cup H_\alpha\pi_r$ ,  $r = [H : H_\alpha] = o(H)/\nu(\alpha)$ , be the decomposition of  $H$  into right cosets afforded by  $H_\alpha$ . Assume that  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_r$  are ordered so that  $\alpha\pi_1, \alpha\pi_2, \dots, \alpha\pi_s$  each differ from  $\beta$  in exactly one place, and  $\alpha\pi_{s+1}, \dots, \alpha\pi_r$  each differ from  $\beta$  in at least two places. (It may happen that  $s=r$ .) A

moment of reflection will establish that for  $1 < i \leq s$ , the entry which differs will always be a  $p$  in  $\alpha\pi_i$  and a  $q$  in  $\beta$ . So, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} [D_1(A)]_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu(\alpha)\nu(\beta)}} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{\sigma \in H_\alpha} \chi(\sigma\pi_i) a_{pq} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\nu(\alpha)}{\nu(\beta)}} a_{pq} \sum_{i=1}^s \chi(\pi_i), \end{aligned} \quad (2b)$$

since  $\chi(\sigma\pi_i) = \chi(\sigma)\chi(\pi_i)$ , and  $\chi(\sigma) = 1$ ,  $\sigma \in H_\alpha$ .

*Case 3:* For all  $\tau \in H$ ,  $\alpha\tau$  differs from  $\beta$  in at least two places. In this case,

$$[D_1(A)]_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (2c)$$

**EXAMPLE.** Take  $m=4$ ,  $H = \langle (1234) \rangle$ ,  $\chi(1234) = -1$ ,  $n=2$ . Then  $\bar{\Delta} = \{(1, 1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2, 2)\}$ . [In particular,  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  is not regular.] If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a 2 by 2 matrix, then

$$D_1(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 3a_{11} + a_{22} & 0 & \sqrt{2} a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 2(a_{11} + a_{22}) & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} a_{21} & 0 & 2(a_{11} + a_{22}) & \sqrt{2} a_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} a_{21} & a_{11} + 3a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that in this example  $D_1(A)$  is reducible for every 2 by 2 matrix  $A$ . This cannot happen if  $\chi$  is identically 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $S_m$ . Let  $\chi$  be the principal (identically 1) character of  $H$ . Let  $A$  be an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix. Then  $A$  is reducible if and only if  $D_1(A)$  is reducible.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is irreducible. Then  $G(A)$  is strongly connected. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta (= \bar{\Delta})$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Of all the  $\tau \in H$ , there will be (at least) one for which  $\alpha\tau$  and  $\beta$  differ in the fewest places. The proof is by induction on this number. So, suppose  $\tau$  is chosen so that  $\alpha\sigma$  differs from  $\beta$  in at least as many places as  $\alpha\tau$ ,  $\sigma \in H$ . Let  $\gamma = \alpha\tau$  and assume  $\gamma(r) \neq \beta(r)$ . There is a path in

$G(A)$  from  $\gamma(r)$  to  $\beta(r)$ , say  $\gamma(r) = i_1 \rightarrow i_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_k = \beta(r)$ , i.e.,  $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_k$ , and the  $(i_1, i_2)$ , the  $(i_2, i_3), \dots$ , and the  $(i_{k-1}, i_k)$  entries of  $A$  are all different from zero. For each  $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , there is a unique sequence  $\gamma_t \in \Delta$  such that  $\gamma_t \equiv (\gamma(1), \gamma(2), \dots, \gamma(r-1), i_t, \gamma(r+1), \dots, \gamma(m)) \pmod{H}$ . By (2b), the  $(\gamma_t, \gamma_{t+1})$  entry of  $D_1(A)$  is a nonzero multiple of the  $(i_t, i_{t+1})$  entry of  $A$ ,  $1 \leq t < k$ . Therefore, in the directed graph  $C(D_1(A))$ , there is a path from  $\alpha = \gamma_1$  to  $\gamma_k$ . Now,  $\gamma_k$  is equivalent to a sequence which differs from  $\beta$  in one fewer place than  $\gamma$ . By the induction assumption, there is a directed path from  $\gamma_k$  to  $\beta$  and hence one from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ . It follows that  $G(D_1(A))$  is strongly connected.

The converse is a special case of Theorem 1. ■

REMARK. One of us (Fiedler [2]) has shown for  $H = S_m$ ,  $\chi = \epsilon$ ,  $A$  irreducible  $n$  by  $n$  with  $n \geq m$ , that  $D_1(A)$  is irreducible. It would be interesting to know if the assumption  $n \geq m$  [or perhaps  $(m, n, H, \chi)$  regular] eliminates examples of the type given above, i.e., if  $m \leq n$ , is  $D_1(A)$  irreducible for every irreducible  $n$  by  $n$  matrix  $A$ , and every subgroup  $H$  of  $S_m$  and character  $\chi$  of degree one?

#### 4. STOCHASTIC MATRICES

In [7], M. Marcus and M. Newman investigated conditions on  $A$  which made  $K(A)$  doubly stochastic for the case  $\chi \equiv 1$ . In general, they found that  $A$  must be an  $r$ th root of unity times a permutation.

THEOREM 3. *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $S_m$ . Let  $\chi$  be the principal character of  $H$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Let  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma_{m,n}$  be the corresponding system of distinct representatives for the equivalence classes  $(\text{mod } H)$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Delta$ , let  $d_\gamma = [H : H_\gamma]^{1/2}$ . Let  $Y$  be the diagonal matrix indexed by  $\Delta$  and with  $d_\gamma$  in the  $(\gamma, \gamma)$  position. If  $A$  is an  $n$  by  $n$  row stochastic matrix, then*

$$\binom{m}{r}^{-1} Y^{-1} D_r(A) Y$$

*is row stochastic.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a real (or complex) inner product space of dimension  $n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be an ordered, orthonormal basis of  $V$ . Let  $T$  be the linear operator on  $V$  whose matrix representation, with respect to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , is  $A$ . Then  $Tu = u$ , where  $u = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n$ .

Let  $\otimes^m V$  be the  $m$ th tensor power of  $V$ , and write  $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m$  for the decomposable tensor product of the indicated vectors. To each  $\sigma \in S_m$ , there corresponds a linear operator  $P(\sigma)$  on  $\otimes^m V$  such that

$$P(\sigma^{-1})v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m = v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(m)}.$$

Define

$$\Theta_H = \frac{1}{o(H)} \sum_{\sigma \in H} P(\sigma).$$

Then  $\Theta_H$  is an orthogonal projection (with respect to the induced inner product of  $\otimes^m V$ ) onto its range  $V_1(G)$ . Define  $v_1 * v_2 * \cdots * v_m = \Theta_H(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m)$ , and write  $e_\gamma^* = e_{\gamma(1)} * \cdots * e_{\gamma(m)}$ . Then it is well known [4] that  $\mathcal{F} = \{d_\gamma e_\gamma^* : \gamma \in \Delta\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_1(G)$ . Define  $D_r(T)$  on  $V_1(G)$  by

$$D_r(T)e_\gamma^* = \sum_{\omega \in Q_{r,m}} e_{\gamma(1)} * \cdots * T e_{\gamma\omega(1)} * \cdots * T e_{\gamma\omega(r)} * \cdots * e_{\gamma(m)},$$

$\gamma \in \Delta$ , and linear extension, where  $Q_{r,m}$  is the set of *strictly increasing* functions from the first  $r$  to the first  $m$  positive integers. Then it turns out that

$$D_r(T)v_1 * \cdots * v_m = \sum_{\omega \in Q_{r,m}} v_1 * \cdots * T v_{\omega(1)} * \cdots * T v_{\omega(r)} * \cdots * v_m$$

for all  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m \in V$ . Finally, the matrix representation of  $D_r(T)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $D_r(A)$  [8].

We next observe that

$$\begin{aligned} u * u * \cdots * u &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \right) * \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \right) * \cdots * \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{m,n}} e_\gamma^* \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \frac{1}{\nu(\gamma)} \sum_{\sigma \in H} e_{\gamma\sigma}^* \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} [H : H_\gamma] e_\gamma^*, \end{aligned}$$



since  $e_{\gamma\sigma}^* = e_\gamma^*$  for all  $\sigma \in H$ . If  $S$  is the linear operator on  $V_1(G)$  defined by  $Se_\gamma^* = d_\gamma e_\gamma^*$ , then the matrix representation of  $S$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $Y$ . Finally, observe

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-1}D_r(T)S\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} d_\gamma e_\gamma^*\right) &= S^{-1}D_r(T)\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} [H: H_\gamma] e_\gamma^*\right) \\ &= S^{-1}D_r(T)(u * u * \cdots * u) \\ &= S^{-1}\left(\sum_{\omega \in Q_{r,m}} u * \cdots * Tu * \cdots * Tu * \cdots * u\right) \\ &= S^{-1}\left[\binom{m}{r}(u * u * \cdots * u)\right] \\ &= \binom{m}{r}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} d_\gamma e_\gamma^*\right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $S^{-1}D_r(T)S$  applied to the sum of the basis elements is  $\binom{m}{r}$  times the sum of the basis elements. In other words, the row sums of  $Y^{-1}D_r(A)Y$  are all equal to  $\binom{m}{r}$ . The result now follows from the definitions. ■

We remark that

$$\binom{m}{r}^{-1}YD_r(A)Y^{-1}$$

is column stochastic for all column stochastic  $A$ .

### 5. A CLASS OF INDUCED DIGRAPHS

Let  $G = [V, E]$  be a finite directed graph without multiple edges, with vertex set  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and with edge set  $E$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $S_m$ . Let  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma_{m,n}$  be the corresponding system of distinct representations for the equivalence classes (mod  $H$ ). The  $H$ -induced graph  $G_H$  of  $G$  is the graph  $[\Delta, E_H]$ , where  $(\alpha, \beta) \in E_H$  if and only if there is a  $\tau \in H$  such that  $\alpha\tau$  and  $\beta$  differ in exactly one place, say with a  $p$  in  $\alpha\tau$  and a  $q$  in  $\beta$ , and  $(p, q) \in E$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $S_m$ . Let  $\chi$  be the principal character of  $H$ . If  $A$  is an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix, then  $G(D_1(A)) = (G(A))_H$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from (2b), (2c), and the definitions. ■

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $G$  be a finite directed graph without multiple edges. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $S_m$ . Then  $G$  is strongly connected if and only if  $G_H$  is strongly connected.*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 4, this is but a restatement of Theorem 2. ■

## REFERENCES

- 1 M. Fiedler, Additive compound matrices and an inequality for eigenvalues of symmetric stochastic matrices, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 24 (99):392–402 (1974).
- 2 M. Fiedler, Irreducibility of compound matrices, *CMUC* 20:737–743 (1979).
- 3 F. Harary, R. Z. Norman, and D. Cartwright, *Structural Models*, Wiley, New York, 1965.
- 4 M. Marcus, *Finite Dimensional Multilinear Algebra, Part I*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- 5 M. Marcus, *Finite Dimensional Multilinear Algebra, Part II*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975.
- 6 M. Marcus and H. Minc, Permutations on symmetry classes, *J. Algebra* 5:59–71 (1967).
- 7 M. Marcus and M. Newman, Doubly stochastic associated matrices, *Duke Math. J.* 34:591–597 (1967).
- 8 R. Merris, A generalization of the associated transformation, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* 4:393–406 (1971).
- 9 R. Merris, The structure of higher degree symmetry classes of tensors II, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 6:171–178 (1978).

*Received 16 August 1979; revised 7 March 1980*