



Quartic functional equations

Sang Han Lee^{a,*}, Sung Mo Im^b, In Sung Hwang^b

^a Chungbuk Provincial University of Science & Technology, Okcheon 373-807, South Korea

^b Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 360-763, South Korea

Received 4 November 2002

Available online 17 March 2005

Submitted by William F. Ames

Abstract

In this paper, we solve a new functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y)$$

and prove the stability of this equation.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [11] gave the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms:

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: shlee@ctech.ac.kr (S.H. Lee).

D.H. Hyers [3] has excellently answered the question of Ulam for the case where G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. In 1978, Th.M. Rassias [9] proved a generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings. During the last decades, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors [4–8].

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (1)$$

clearly has $f(x) = x^2$ as a solution when f is a real valued function of a real variable. So, it is natural that Eq. (1) is called a quadratic functional equation, and every solution of the quadratic functional equation (1) is said to be a quadratic function.

A Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation (1) was proved by F. Skof [10] for function $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, where E_1 is a normed space and E_2 a Banach space. In [2], S. Czerwik proved the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equation (1).

Now, we consider the following new functional equation:

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y). \quad (2)$$

It is easy to see that the function $f(x) = x^4$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) satisfies above functional equation (2). Hence, it is natural that Eq. (2) is called a quartic functional equation and every solution of the quartic functional equation is said to be a quartic function.

In this paper, we solve above quartic functional equation and prove the stability of a quartic functional equation (2).

2. A solution of quartic functional equations

It is well known [1] that a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exists a unique symmetric biadditive function B such that $f(x) = B(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$. The biadditive function B is given by

$$B(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(f(x + y) - f(x - y)). \quad (3)$$

In this section, we prove that a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between real vector spaces satisfies the functional equation (2) if and only if there exists a symmetric biquadratic function F such that $f(x) = F(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$. Throughout this section X and Y will be real vector spaces.

Theorem 2.1. *A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (2) if and only if there exists a symmetric biquadratic function $F : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) = F(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Assume that f satisfies the functional equation (2). Putting $x = y = 0$ in (2), we have $f(0) = 0$. Putting $x = 0$ in (2), we have $f(y) = f(-y)$ for all $y \in X$. Putting $y = 0$

and $y = x$ in (2), we obtain that $f(2x) = 16f(x)$ and $f(3x) = 81f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, respectively. Actually, we can lead to $f(nx) = n^4f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Replacing x and y by $x + y$ and $x - y$ in (2), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(3x + y) + f(x + 3y) &= 4f(2x) + 4f(2y) + 24f(x + y) - 6f(x - y) \\ &= 64f(x) + 64f(y) + 24f(x + y) - 6f(x - y) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x and y by $x + y$ and $2y$ in (2), respectively, we get

$$4f(x + 2y) + 4f(x) = f(x + 3y) + f(x - y) + 6f(x + y) - 24f(y) \quad (5)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Interchange x and y in (5) to get the relation

$$4f(y + 2x) + 4f(y) = f(y + 3x) + f(y - x) + 6f(y + x) - 24f(x) \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Adding (5) to (6) and using (4), we lead to

$$f(x + 2y) + f(2x + y) = 9f(x) + 9f(y) + 9f(x + y) - f(x - y) \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 9f(2x + z) + 9f(2x - z) + 9f(2y + z) + 9f(2y - z) \\ = 36f(x + z) + 36f(x - z) + 216f(x) - 54f(z) \\ + 36f(y + z) + 36f(y - z) + 216f(y) - 54f(z) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

On the other hand, replacing x and y by $2x + z$ and $2y + z$ in (7), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 9f(2x + z) + 9f(2y + z) \\ = f(2x + 4y + 3z) + f(4x + 2y + 3z) - 9f(2x + 2y + 2z) + f(2x - 2y) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Replacing x and y by $2x - z$ and $2y - z$ in (7), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 9f(2x - z) + 9f(2y - z) \\ = f(2x + 4y - 3z) + f(4x + 2y - 3z) - 9f(2x + 2y - 2z) + f(2x - 2y) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Adding (9) to (10) and using (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 9f(2x + z) + 9f(2y + z) + 9f(2x - z) + 9f(2y - z) \\ = 4f(x + 2y + 3z) + 4f(x + 2y - 3z) + 24f(x + 2y) - 6f(3z) \\ + 4f(2x + y + 3z) + 4f(2x + y - 3z) + 24f(2x + y) - 6f(3z) \\ - 144f(x + y + z) - 144f(x + y - z) + 32f(x - y) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By (8) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 36f(x + z) + 36f(x - z) + 216f(x) - 54f(z) \\ + 36f(y + z) + 36f(y - z) + 216f(y) - 54f(z) \\ = 4f(x + 2y + 3z) + 4f(x + 2y - 3z) + 24f(x + 2y) - 6f(3z) \\ + 4f(2x + y + 3z) + 4f(2x + y - 3z) + 24f(2x + y) - 6f(3z) \\ - 144f(x + y + z) - 144f(x + y - z) + 32f(x - y) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Referring to the process of (9)–(11), when $x = 2x + z, y = 2y - z$ in (7) and $x = 2x - z, y = 2y + z$ in (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &9f(2x + z) + 9f(2y - z) + 9f(2x - z) + 9f(2y + z) \\
 &= f(2x + 4y - z) + f(4x + 2y + z) - 9f(2x + 2y) + f(2x - 2y + 2z) \\
 &\quad + f(2x + 4y + z) + f(4x + 2y - z) - 9f(2x + 2y) + f(2x - 2y - 2z) \\
 &= 4f(x + 2y + z) + 4f(x + 2y - z) + 24f(x + 2y) - 6f(z) \\
 &\quad + 4f(2x + y + z) + 4f(2x + y - z) + 24f(2x + y) - 6f(z) \\
 &\quad - 288f(x + y) + 16f(x - y + z) + 16f(x - y - z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Replacing z by $3z$ in (13) and then using (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &9f(2x + 3z) + 9f(2y - 3z) + 9f(2x - 3z) + 9f(2y + 3z) \\
 &= 4f(x + 2y + 3z) + 4f(x + 2y - 3z) + 24f(x + 2y) - 6f(3z) \\
 &\quad + 4f(2x + y + 3z) + 4f(2x + y - 3z) + 24f(2x + y) - 6f(3z) \\
 &\quad - 288f(x + y) + 16f(x - y + 3z) + 16f(x - y - 3z) \\
 &= 36f(x + z) + 36f(x - z) + 216f(x) - 54f(z) \\
 &\quad + 36f(y + z) + 36f(y - z) + 216f(y) - 54f(z) \\
 &\quad + 144f(x + y + z) + 144f(x + y - z) - 32f(x - y) \\
 &\quad - 288f(x + y) + 16f(x - y + 3z) + 16f(x - y - 3z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. On the other hand, putting $x = x - y + 3z$ and $y = x - y - 3z$ in (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &9f(x - y + 3z) + 9f(x - y - 3z) \\
 &= f(3x - 3y + 3z) + f(3x - 3y - 3z) - 9f(2x - 2y) + f(6z) \\
 &= 81f(x - y + z) + 81f(x - y - z) - 144f(x - y) + 1296f(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Multiplying both sides of (15) by $16/9$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &16f(x - y + 3z) + 16f(x - y - 3z) \\
 &= 144f(x - y + z) + 144f(x - y - z) - 256f(x - y) + 2304f(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Applying (16) to (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &9f(2x + 3z) + 9f(2y - 3z) + 9f(2x - 3z) + 9f(2y + 3z) \\
 &= 36f(x + z) + 36f(x - z) + 216f(x) - 54f(z) \\
 &\quad + 36f(y + z) + 36f(y - z) + 216f(y) - 54f(z) \\
 &\quad + 144f(x + y + z) + 144f(x + y - z) - 32f(x - y) - 288f(x + y) \\
 &\quad + 144f(x - y + z) + 144f(x - y - z) - 256f(x - y) + 2304f(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Referring to the process of (9)–(11), when $x = 2x + 3z$, $y = 2x - 3z$ in (7) and $x = 2y - 3z$, $y = 2y + 3z$ in (7), and using (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 9f(2x + 3z) + 9f(2x - 3z) + 9f(2y - 3z) + 9f(2y + 3z) \\
 &= f(6x + 3z) + f(6x - 3z) - 9f(4x) + f(6z) \\
 &\quad + f(6y + 3z) + f(6y - 3z) - 9f(4y) + f(6z) \\
 &= 81f(2x + z) + 81f(2x - z) - 2304f(x) + 1296f(z) \\
 &\quad + 81f(2y + z) + 81f(2y - z) - 2304f(y) + 1296f(z) \\
 &= 81(4f(x + z) + 4f(x - z) + 24f(x) - 6f(z)) \\
 &\quad + 81(4f(y + z) + 4f(y - z) + 24f(y) - 6f(z)) \\
 &\quad - 2304f(x) - 2304f(y) + 2592f(z) \\
 &= 324f(x + z) + 324f(x - z) + 1944f(x) - 486f(z) \\
 &\quad + 324f(y + z) + 324f(y - z) + 1944f(y) - 486f(z) \\
 &\quad - 2304f(x) - 2304f(y) + 2592f(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By (17) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(x + y + z) + f(x - y + z) + f(x + y - z) + f(-x + y + z) \\
 &= 2[f(x + y) + f(x - y) + f(y + z) + f(y - z) + f(z + x) + f(z - x)] \\
 &\quad - 4[f(x) + f(y) + f(z)]
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Define a function $F : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$F(x, y) = \frac{1}{12}(f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we have $F(x, x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and F is symmetric since f is even.

Now, we claim that $Q = F(\cdot, y) : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $Q(x) = F(x, y)$ is quadratic for each fixed $y \in X$. Using (19) and evenness of f , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 12[F(x + z, y) + F(x - z, y) - 2F(x, y) - 2F(z, y)] \\
 &= f(x + z + y) + f(x + z - y) - 2f(x + z) - 2f(y) \\
 &\quad + f(x - z + y) + f(x - z - y) - 2f(x - z) - 2f(y) \\
 &\quad - 2f(x + y) - 2f(x - y) + 4f(x) + 4f(y) \\
 &\quad - 2f(z + y) - 2f(z - y) + 4f(z) + 4f(y) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. This shows that $Q = F(\cdot, y)$ is quadratic. Since F is symmetric, $Q' = F(x, \cdot) : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $Q'(y) = F(x, y)$ is quadratic for fixed $x \in X$.

Conversely, assume that a function $F : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ is symmetric biquadratic such that $f(x) = F(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) - 4f(x + y) - 4f(x - y) - 24f(x) + 6f(y) \\
 &= F(2x + y, 2x + y) + F(2x - y, 2x - y) \\
 &\quad - 4F(x + y, x + y) - 4F(x - y, x - y) - 24F(x, x) + 6F(y, y) \\
 &= 2F(x, 2x + y) + 2F(x + y, 2x + y) - F(y, 2x + y) \\
 &\quad + 2F(x, 2x - y) + 2F(x - y, 2x - y) - F(y, 2x - y) \\
 &\quad - 4F(x + y, x + y) - 4F(x - y, x - y) - 24F(x, x) + 6F(y, y) \\
 &= 2(2F(x, x) + 2F(x, x + y) - F(x, y)) \\
 &\quad + 2(2F(x + y, x) + 2F(x + y, x + y) - F(x + y, y)) \\
 &\quad - (2F(y, x) + 2F(y, x + y) - F(y, y)) \\
 &\quad + 2(2F(x, x) + 2F(x, x - y) - F(x, y)) \\
 &\quad + 2(2F(x - y, x) + 2F(x - y, x - y) - F(x - y, y)) \\
 &\quad - (2F(y, x) + 2F(y, x - y) - F(y, y)) \\
 &\quad - 4F(x + y, x + y) - 4F(x - y, x - y) - 24F(x, x) + 6F(y, y) \\
 &= 8(F(x, x + y) + F(x, x - y)) - 4(F(y, x + y) + F(y, x - y)) \\
 &\quad - 16F(x, x) - 8F(x, y) + 8F(y, y) \\
 &= 8(2F(x, x) + 2F(x, y)) - 4(2F(y, x) + 2F(y, y)) \\
 &\quad - 16F(x, x) - 8F(x, y) + 8F(y, y) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence, f satisfies the functional equation (2). \square

3. Stability of a quartic functional equation

Throughout this section X and Y will be a real normed linear space and a real Banach space, respectively. Given a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we set

$$Df(x, y) := f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) - 4f(x + y) - 4f(x - y) - 24f(x) + 6f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \delta \tag{20}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\delta \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{5} \|f(0)\| + \frac{\delta}{30}. \tag{21}$$

Proof. Putting $y = 0$ in (20) and dividing both sides of its result by 32, we have

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{16} f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{3}{16} \|f(0)\| + \frac{\delta}{32} \tag{22}$$

for all $x \in X$. We claim that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{16^n} f(2^n x) \right\| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{16^n}\right) \frac{1}{5} \|f(0)\| + \left(1 - \frac{1}{16^n}\right) \frac{\delta}{30} \tag{23}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove the assertion by induction on n . Inequality (22) yields the validity of (23) for $n = 1$. Assume now that (23) holds for some n . Replacing x by $2x$ in (23) and then dividing both sides of its result by 16 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{16} f(2x) - \frac{1}{16^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x) \right\| \\ & \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{16^n}\right) \frac{1}{16 \cdot 5} \|f(0)\| + \frac{1}{16} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16^n}\right) \frac{\delta}{30} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{16^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{16} f(2x) \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{16} f(2x) - \frac{1}{16^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x) \right\| \\ & \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{16^{n+1}}\right) \frac{1}{5} \|f(0)\| + \left(1 + \frac{1}{16^{n+1}}\right) \frac{\delta}{30} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore, the inequality (23) is true for all $x \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{16^n} f(2^n x) \tag{24}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in X$. For $m > n > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_m(x) - F_n(x)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{16^m} f(2^m x) - \frac{1}{16^n} f(2^n x) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{16^n} \left\| \frac{1}{16^{m-n}} f(2^{m-n} 2^n x) - f(2^n x) \right\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{16^n} - \frac{1}{16^m} \right) \frac{1}{5} \|f(0)\| + \left(\frac{1}{16^n} - \frac{1}{16^m} \right) \frac{\delta}{30} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\{F_n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for each $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, there exists a limit function $F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x)$. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (23), we get the formula (21).

To show that F satisfies Eq. (2), replace x and y by $2^n x$ and $2^n y$ in (20), respectively, and divide by 16^n , then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{16^n} \|f(2^n(2x + y)) + f(2^n(2x - y)) - 4f(2^n(x + y)) \\ & \quad - 4f(2^n(x - y)) - 24f(2^n x) + 6f(2^n y)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{16^n} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we see that F satisfies (2) for all $x, y \in X$.

To prove the uniqueness of the function F , assume that there exists a function $F' : X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies (2) and (21). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x) - F'(x)\| &= \frac{1}{16^n} \|F(2^n x) - F'(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16^n} (\|F(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\| + \|f(2^n x) - F'(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16^n} \left(\frac{2}{5} \|f(0)\| + \frac{\delta}{15} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $F(x) = F'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

- [1] J. Aczél, J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [2] S. Czerwik, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 62 (1992) 59–64.
- [3] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 27 (1941) 222–224.
- [4] D.H. Hyers, Th.M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.* 44 (1992) 125–153.
- [5] K.W. Jun, Y.H. Lee, On the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a pexiderized quadratic inequality, *Math. Inequalities Appl.* 4 (2001) 93–118.
- [6] S.M. Jung, On the Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 222 (1998) 126–137.
- [7] S.M. Jung, On the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 232 (1999) 384–393.
- [8] P.I. Kannappan, Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces, *Results Math.* 27 (1995) 368–372.
- [9] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1978) 297–300.
- [10] F. Skof, Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* 53 (1983) 113–129.
- [11] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1964.