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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present some existence results for a nonlinear $n$ th-order boundary value problem with nonlocal conditions. Various fixed point theorems are used in the proofs. Examples are included to illustrate the results.
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## 1. Introduction

In [1], Eloe and Ahmad studied the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{(n)}+f(t, u)=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(0)=0, \quad \alpha u(\eta)=u(1) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<\eta<1,0<\alpha \eta^{n-1}<1$, and $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and they proved the existence of solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) when $f$ is either sublinear or superlinear. In this paper, we are interested in the existence of both sign changing solutions and positive solutions for this problem under conditions different from those imposed in [1]. Our approach is based on the application of three different fixed point theorems. In the last section of the paper we extend the results obtained for this problem to the boundary value problem consisting of Eq. (1.1) and the multipoint boundary condition

$$
u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(0)=0, \quad u(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\eta_{i}\right)
$$

First, we introduce some notation and preliminary facts that are used throughout the paper. Let $E=C^{0}([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of all continuous functions from $[0,1]$ into $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\sup \{|u(t)|: 0 \leq t \leq 1\}
$$

and let $L^{1}([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of integrable functions on $[0,1]$ with the norm

$$
|u|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}|u(s)| \mathrm{d} s
$$

We need the following lemmas in the sequel. The first three of these are due to Eloe and Ahmad.

[^0]Lemma 1.1 ([1, Lemma 2.2]). Let $0<\alpha \eta^{n-1}<1$. If $u \in E$ satisfies the differential inequality $u^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for $0<t<1$ and the boundary conditions (1.2), then $u \geq 0$ on $[0,1]$.

Lemma 1.2 ([1, Lemma 2.3]). Let $0<\alpha \eta^{n-1}<1$. If $u$ satisfies $u^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for $0<t<1$ and the boundary conditions (1.2), then

$$
\inf _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u(t) \geq \gamma\|u\|,
$$

where $\gamma=\min \left\{\alpha \eta^{n-1}, \alpha(1-\eta)(1-\alpha \eta)^{-1}, \eta^{n-1}\right\}$.
Lemma 1.3 ([1]). The Green's function $G(t, s)$ associated with the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is defined by

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{a(s) t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1  \tag{1.3}\\ \frac{a(s) t^{n-1}+(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, & \text { if } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
a(s)= \begin{cases}-\frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{1-\alpha \eta^{n-1}}, & \eta \leq s \\ -\frac{(1-s)^{n-1}-\alpha(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{1-\alpha \eta^{n-1}}, & s \leq \eta\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to prove the following result.
Lemma 1.4. The Green's function $G(t, s)$ associated with the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is negative on $(0,1) \times(0,1)$ and satisfies

$$
|G(t, s)| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\left[1+\frac{1+\alpha \eta^{n-1}}{1-\alpha \eta^{n-1}}\right] \equiv \sigma_{n} \quad \text { for all } t, s \in[0,1]
$$

Remark 1.1. In [1], there were a couple of misprints that have led to some confusion. For example, the $\alpha$ in the second part of the expression above for $a(s)$ was missing. Also, although it was not stated explicitly, it is implied in Lemma 1.2 that $\alpha \eta<1$ in order to ensure that $\gamma$ is positive.

## 2. Existence of sign changing solutions

In this section, we are concerned with the existence of sign changing solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) under different kinds of growth conditions on the nonlinear function $f$. Such problems were considered by, for example, Infante [2] and Infante and Webb [3] for the case $n=2$. Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that one of the following hypotheses is satisfied.
(a) There exist $q \in L^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, a continuous function $F: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, and a constant $r_{0}>0$ such that

$$
|f(t, u)| \leq q(t) F(u) \quad \text { for all }(t, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|y| \leq r_{0}} F(y) \leq \frac{r_{0}}{\sigma_{n}|q|_{1}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) There exist $q \in L^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and a continuous function $F: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
|f(t, u)| \leq q(t) F(u) \quad \text { for all }(t, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{n} F_{0}|q|_{1}<1
$$

where

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(s)}{s}=F_{0}
$$

(c) There exists a continuous function $F:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$that is nondecreasing in its second argument and a constant $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
|f(t, u)| \leq F(t, u) \quad \text { for all }(t, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} F\left(s, r_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{r_{1}}{\sigma_{n}} .
$$

Then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution.
The proof of this theorem makes use of the following result known as Schauder's fixed point theorem.
Theorem A ([4]). Let E be a Banach space and let $C \subset E$ be a bounded, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Let $\mathcal{A}: C \longrightarrow C$ be a completely continuous operator. Then $A$ has a fixed point in $C$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Set $C=\left\{u \in E:\|u\| \leq r_{0}\right\}$ and define the operator $\mathcal{A}: C \longrightarrow E$ by

$$
A u(t)=-\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s
$$

We can easily verify that fixed points of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ are solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2). A standard application of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem guarantees that $\mathcal{A}$ is completely continuous. All we need to show is that $\mathcal{A}(C) \subset C$.
(a) For $t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A} u(t)| & \leq \int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s)| q(s) F(u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n} \int_{0}^{1} q(s) F(u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n}|q|_{1} \max _{|y| \leq r_{0}} F(y) \\
& \leq r_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u \in C$. Thus, $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq r_{0}$, and so $\mathcal{A}(C) \subset C$.
(b) Choose $\epsilon>0$ so that $\sigma_{n}\left(F_{0}+\epsilon\right)|q|_{1} \leq 1$. There exists $r_{0}>0$ such that

$$
|v|<r_{0} \quad \text { implies }\left|\frac{F(v)}{v}-F_{0}\right|<\epsilon
$$

For $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A} u(t)| & \leq \int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s)| q(s) F(u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n}\left(F_{0}+\epsilon\right)|q|_{1}|u(s)| \\
& \leq \sigma_{n}\left(F_{0}+\epsilon\right)|q|_{1} r_{0} \\
& \leq r_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u \in C$. Hence, $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq r_{0}$ and so $\mathcal{A}(C) \subset C$.
(c) For $t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A} u(t)| & \leq \int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s)||f(s, u(s))| \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n} \int_{0}^{1} F(s,|u(s)|) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n} \int_{0}^{1} F(s,\|u\|) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n} \int_{0}^{1} F\left(s, r_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq r_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u \in C$. Therefore, $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq r_{1}$ and so $\mathcal{A}(C) \subset C$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
We will illustrate the above theorem with a couple of examples.

Example 2.1. Consider the nonlinear third-order boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime \prime}+t^{4}(u+1)^{5} / 10=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{2.2}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1 / 2)=u(1) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
|G(t, s)| \leq \sigma_{3}=4 / 3 \quad \text { for all } t, s \in[0,1]
$$

By taking $q(t)=t^{4} / 10$ and $F(u)=|u+1|^{5}$, we then have $|q|_{1}=1 / 50$, so condition (2.1) holds with $r_{0}=1$. From part (a) of Theorem 2.1, the problem (2.2)-(2.3) has at least one nontrivial solution.

Example 2.2. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime \prime}+t^{3} \sqrt{|u+1|}=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{2.4}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1 / 2)=u(1) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By taking $q(t)=t^{3}$ and $F(u)=\sqrt{|u+1|}$, then from part (c) of Theorem 2.1 with $r_{1}=1$, the problem (2.4)-(2.5) admits at least one nontrivial solution.

## 3. The sublinear case

In this section, we are concerned with the existence of solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) under a sublinear condition on the function $f$. Our main result of this type is the following.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that there are functions $q_{1}, q_{2} \in L^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
|f(t, u)| \leq q_{1}(t)|u|+q_{2}(t) \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1] \quad \text { and } \quad u \in \mathbb{R}
$$

If $\sigma_{n}\left|q_{1}\right|_{1}<1$, then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution.
The proof of this theorem will make use of the following Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative.
Theorem B $([5,4])$. Let $E$ be a Banach space and let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $E$ with $0 \in \Omega$, and let $\mathcal{A}: \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow E$ be a completely continuous operator. Then either there exist $u \in \partial \Omega$ and $\lambda>1$ such that $\mathcal{A} u=\lambda u$, or there exists a fixed point $u^{*} \in \bar{\Omega}$ of the mapping $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega$ is a bounded open set to be chosen later, let $u \in \partial \Omega$, and let $\lambda>1$ be such that $\mathcal{A} u=\lambda u$. For $t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(t)| & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s)||f(s, u(s))| \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n} \int_{0}^{1}\left(q_{1}(s)|u(s)|+q_{2}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n}\left(\left|q_{1}\right|_{1}\|u\|+\left|q_{2}\right|_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\|u\| \leq \sigma_{n}\left(\left|q_{1}\right|_{1}\|u\|+\left|q_{2}\right|_{1}\right)$, or $\|u\| \leq\left(\frac{\left.\sigma_{n}| |_{2}\right|_{1}}{1-\sigma_{n}\left|q_{1}\right|_{1}}\right) \equiv M$. With $\Omega=\{u \in E:\|u\|<M+1\}$, the second alternative in Theorem B is not satisfied, so the existence of a solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) follows.

Example 3.1. Consider the nonlinear third-order problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime \prime}+t^{3} \sqrt{|u|}+t+1=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{3.1}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1 / 2)=u(1) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $f(t, u)=t^{3} \sqrt{|u|}+t+1, q_{1}(t)=t^{3}$, and $q_{2}(t)=t+1$, Theorem 3.1 implies that the problem (3.1)-(3.2) has at least one nontrivial solution.

As a final remark in this section, we should point out that since the trivial solution satisfies the boundary conditions, it is possible that the solution whose existence is guaranteed in Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 may in fact be the zero solution. The added condition that $f(t, 0) \not \equiv 0$ ensures that this is not the case (see Examples 2.1, 2.2 and 3.1).

## 4. Existence of positive solutions

Under suitable assumptions on the nonlinear function $f$, we shall prove the existence of a positive solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.2). The proofs rely on the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem in cones given in Theorem C. This approach has been used by many authors in the last ten years. In a very nice paper that recently appeared, Webb [6] used the fixed point index to prove the existence of positive solutions. He made use of a careful analysis of the Green's function.

Theorem C $([7,8])$. Let $E$ be a Banach space and $K \subset E$ be a cone in $E$. Assume that $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are two bounded open sets in $E$

(i) $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq\|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \geq\|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, or
(ii) $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \geq\|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq\|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.

Then $\mathcal{A}$ has at least one fixed point in $K \cap\left(\overline{\Omega_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.
We will prove three existence theorems; the first one is the following.
Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is continuous and there exist positive constants $M_{1}, M_{2}, C_{1}$, and $C_{2}$ such that
(i) $f(t, u) \leq M_{1}$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and $0 \leq u \leq C_{1}$, and
(ii) $f(t, u) \geq M_{2}$ for $t \in[\eta, 1]$ and $\gamma C_{2} \leq u \leq C_{2}$,
where $C_{1}=\sigma_{n} M_{1}$ and $C_{2}=M_{2} \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| \mathrm{d}$. Then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $C_{1}<C_{2}$ and set

$$
\Omega_{1}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\|<C_{1}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{2}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\|<C_{2}\right\}
$$

We take as our cone the set

$$
K=\left\{u \in E: u \geq 0 \text { and } \min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u(t) \geq \gamma\|u\|\right\} .
$$

A standard application of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem shows that the operator $\mathscr{A}$ is completely continuous. It also follows by Lemma 1.2 that $\mathcal{A}$ maps $K$ into $K$.

Let $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, i.e., $u \in K$ and $\|u\|=C_{1}$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \mathcal{A} u(t) & \leq \int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s)| f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n} M_{1} \\
& =C_{1} \\
& =\|u\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the supremum, we obtain

$$
\|\mathscr{A} u\| \leq\|u\| \quad \text { on } K \cap \partial \Omega_{1} .
$$

Let $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, i.e., $u \in K$ and $\|u\|=C_{2}$. Using the fact that $\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u(t) \geq \gamma\|u\|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} u(\eta) & =\int_{0}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq M_{2} \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| \mathrm{d} s \\
& =C_{2} \\
& =\|u\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\|\mathcal{A} u\| \geq\|u\| \quad \text { on } K \cap \partial \Omega_{2} .
$$

By Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, Theorem C, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a positive solution $u(t)$ such that $C_{1} \leq\|u\|$ $\leq C_{2}$.

To illustrate this result, we have the following example.
Example 4.1. Consider the nonlinear third-order problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime \prime}+f(t, u)=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{4.1}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1 / 2)=u(1) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f(t, u)= \begin{cases}\frac{3}{4} \sqrt{u} t^{3}, & \text { if } t \in[0,1], u \in\left[0, \frac{4}{3}\right] \\ t^{3}\left[\left(27,648-\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right) u-36,864+\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{2}\right], & \text { if } t \in[0,1], u \in\left[\frac{4}{3}, 2\right] \\ 1152 t^{3} u^{4}, & \text { if } t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], u \in[2,+\infty)\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that $\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left|G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\frac{1}{144}$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{4}$. Theorem 4.1 is satisfied with $M_{1}=1, C_{1}=4 / 3, M_{2}=1152$, and $C_{2}=8$, so the problem (4.1)-(4.2) has at least one positive solution $u(t)$ with $4 / 3 \leq\|u\| \leq 8$.

Our second existence theorem in this section employs a type of growth condition on the nonlinear function $f$ very different to those used in our previous theorems.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a continuous function and there are continuous functions $F_{1}, F_{2}, G_{1}$, $G_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, where $F_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ are nonincreasing and $\frac{F_{2}}{F_{1}}$ and $\frac{G_{2}}{G_{1}}$ are nondecreasing, such that

$$
G_{1}(u)+G_{2}(u) \leq f(t, u) \leq F_{1}(u)+F_{2}(u) \text { for all }(t, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

If there exist constants $r_{0}, R_{0}>0, r_{0} \neq R_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n} F_{1}(0)\left(1+\frac{F_{2}\left(r_{0}\right)}{F_{1}\left(r_{0}\right)}\right) \leq r_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad G_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{G_{2}\left(\gamma R_{0}\right)}{G_{1}\left(\gamma R_{0}\right)}\right) \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| \mathrm{d} s \geq R_{0}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.
Proof. With no loss in generality, we may assume that $r_{0}<R_{0}$ and set

$$
\Omega_{1}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\|<r_{0}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{2}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\|<R_{0}\right\} .
$$

If $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \mathcal{A} u(t) & \leq \sigma_{n} \int_{0}^{1}\left(F_{1}(u(s))+F_{2}(u(s))\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n} F_{1}(0)\left(1+\frac{F_{2}\left(r_{0}\right)}{F_{1}\left(r_{0}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq r_{0} \\
& =\|u\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then have
$\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq\|u\| \quad$ on $K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$.
If $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{A} u(\eta) & =\int_{0}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)|\left(G_{1}(u(s))+G_{2}(u(s))\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| G_{1}(u(s))\left(1+\frac{G_{2}(u(s))}{G_{1}(u(s))}\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| G_{1}(\|u\|)\left(1+\frac{G_{2}(\gamma\|u\|)}{G_{1}(\gamma\|u\|)}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =G_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{G_{2}\left(\gamma R_{0}\right)}{G_{1}\left(\gamma R_{0}\right)}\right) \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq R_{0} \\
& =\|u\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\|\mathcal{A} u\| \geq\|u\| \quad \text { on } K \cap \partial \Omega_{2} .
$$

By Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution $u(t)$ with $r_{0} \leq\|u\|$ $\leq R_{0}$.

As an example of Theorem 4.2 we have the following.
Example 4.2. Consider the third-order problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{t^{2}+1}{t^{2}+2}\left[e^{-u / 40}+e^{u / 10}\right], \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{4.4}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1 / 2)=u(1) . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The first inequality in (4.3) is satisfied with $r_{0}=4$. The second inequality in (4.3) reduces to $1+e^{R_{0} / 32} \geq 288 R_{0} e^{R_{0} / 40}$, which is clearly satisfied for sufficiently large $R_{0}$. Theorem 3.1 then shows that the problem (4.4)-(4.5) has at least one nontrivial solution $u(t)$ with $4 \leq\|u\| \leq R_{0}$.

Our final existence theorem is the following.
Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is continuous and there are $q_{1}, q_{2} \in L^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and a continuous function $F: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}(t) F(u) \leq f(t, u) \leq q_{2}(t) F(u) \quad \text { for all }(t, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \\
& \sigma_{n} F_{0}\left|q_{2}\right|_{1}<1, \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma F_{\infty} q_{1}^{*}>1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
F_{0}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{F(s)}{s} \in(0,+\infty), \quad F_{\infty}=\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(s)}{s} \in(0,+\infty),
$$

and

$$
q_{1}^{*}=\int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| q_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s>0 .
$$

Then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.
Proof. Choose $\epsilon>0$ such that $\sigma_{n}\left(F_{0}+\epsilon\right)\left|q_{2}\right|_{1} \leq 1$. There exists $H_{1}>0$ such that

$$
F(x) \leq\left(F_{0}+\epsilon\right) x \text { for } 0<x<H_{1} .
$$

Let

$$
\Omega_{1}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\|<H_{1}\right\}
$$

and take $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \mathcal{A} u(t) & \leq \sigma_{n} \int_{0}^{1} q_{2}(s) F(u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n} \int_{0}^{1} q_{2}(s) u(s)\left(F_{0}+\epsilon\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \sigma_{n}\left(F_{0}+\epsilon\right)\|u\|\left|q_{2}\right|_{1} \\
& \leq\|u\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq\|u\| \quad \text { on } K \cap \partial \Omega_{1} .
$$

Now choose $\epsilon>0$ so that $\left(F_{\infty}-\epsilon\right) q_{1}^{*} \geq 1$. There exists $\overline{H_{2}}>0$ such that

$$
F(x) \geq\left(F_{\infty}-\epsilon\right) x \quad \text { for } x \geq \overline{H_{2}}
$$

Let $H_{2}=\max \left\{2 H_{1}, \overline{H_{2}} / \gamma\right\}$ and set

$$
\Omega_{2}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\|<H_{2}\right\} .
$$

For $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{A} u(\eta) & =\int_{0}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| q_{1}(s) F(u(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)|\left(F_{\infty}-\epsilon\right)|u(s)| q_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)|\left(F_{\infty}-\epsilon\right) \gamma\|u\| q_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq\|u\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,
$\|\mathcal{A} u\| \geq\|u\| \quad$ on $K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.
Krasnosel'skii's theorem again implies that the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution $u(t)$ with $H_{1} \leq\|u\|$ $\leq H_{2}$.

As an example of this theorem, we give the following.
Example 4.3. Consider the nonlinear third-order problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1}{8}\left(t^{3}+1\right)(u+\sin u+2940 u \arctan u)=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{4.6}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1 / 2)=u(1) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $q_{1} \equiv 1, q_{2}(t)=t^{3}+1$, and $F(u)=\frac{1}{8}(u+\sin u+2940 u \arctan u)$, the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied, so the problem (4.6)-(4.7) has at least one positive solution.

We can also prove the following corollary.
Corollary 4.4. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is continuous and satisfies

$$
f_{0}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(s)}{s} \in(0,+\infty) \quad \text { and } \quad f_{\infty}=\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} \in(0,+\infty)
$$

with

$$
\sigma_{n} f_{0}|q|_{1}<1 \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma f_{\infty} q_{*}>1
$$

where

$$
q_{*}=\int_{\eta}^{1}|G(\eta, s)| q(s) \mathrm{d} s>0
$$

Then the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{(n)}+q(t) f(u)=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{4.8}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \ldots, u^{(n-2)}=0, \quad \alpha u(\eta)=u(1) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

has at least one positive solution.
Remark 4.1. In the same way as is done in [1], we can prove the following:

If either
(a) $f_{0}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(s)}{s}=0$ and $f_{\infty}=\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s}=+\infty$, or
(b) $f_{0}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(s)}{s}=+\infty$ and $f_{\infty}=\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s}=0$,
then the problem (4.8)-(4.9) has at least one positive solution.

## 5. Extensions

The results above can be extended to the $m$-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{(n)}+f(t, u)=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{5.1}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(0)=0, \quad u(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\eta_{i}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where now $0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots<\eta_{m-2}<1, \alpha_{i}>0$, and $D=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}^{n-1}<1$. A direct calculation (or see, for example, Pang et al. [9]) shows that the Green's function associated with this problem is

$$
H(t, s)= \begin{cases}-\frac{t^{n-1}(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!(1-D)}+\sum_{s \leq \eta_{i}} \alpha_{i} \frac{\left(\eta_{i}-s\right)^{n-1} t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!(1-D)}, & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1  \tag{5.3}\\ -\frac{t^{n-1}(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!(1-D)}+\frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{s \leq \eta_{i}} \alpha_{i} \frac{\left(\eta_{i}-s\right)^{n-1} t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!(1-D)}, & \text { if } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

We then have that $u$ is a solution of the problem (5.1)-(5.2) if and only if

$$
u(t)=-\int_{0}^{1} H(t, s) f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s
$$

and moreover the following estimate is satisfied:

$$
|H(t, s)| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\left[1+\frac{1+D}{1-D}\right] \equiv \rho_{n}
$$

Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 hold with $\sigma_{n}$ replaced by $\rho_{n}$; this is also the only change that needs to be made in the proofs. In order to extend Theorems 4.1-4.3 to the problem (5.1)-(5.2), we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let $0<\alpha_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}<1$. If $u$ satisfies $u^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for $0<t<1$ and the boundary conditions (5.2), then

$$
\inf _{t \in\left[\eta_{m-2}, 1\right]} u(t) \geq \Gamma\|u\|,
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha_{m-2}\left(1-\eta_{m-2}\right)}{\left(1-\alpha_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}\right)}, \alpha_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}^{n-1}, \eta_{m-2}^{n-1}\right\}
$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar in some respects to the proof of Lemma 1.2 above, i.e., Lemma 2.3 in [1], so we only sketch the details. Let $\hat{t}$ denote the zero of $u^{\prime}(t)$ in $(0,1)$. Then $u^{\prime}(\hat{t})=0$ and $\|u\|=u(\hat{t})$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. Assume $\hat{t} \leq \eta_{m-2}$. The line joining the points (1,u(1)) and $\left(\eta_{m-2}, u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right)\right)$ is given by

$$
v(t)=u(1)+\frac{u(1)-u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right)}{1-\eta_{m-2}}(t-1)
$$

Observe that

$$
u(\hat{t}) \leq v(\hat{t})=u(1)+\frac{u(1)-u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right)}{1-\eta_{m-2}}(\hat{t}-1)
$$

Now $u(1)=\min _{t \in\left[\eta_{m-2}, 1\right]} u(t)$ and $\alpha_{m-2} u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right)<u(1)$, so

$$
u(\hat{t}) \leq u(1)-\frac{u(1)-u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right)}{1-\eta_{m-2}} \leq u(1)\left[\frac{1-\alpha_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}}{\alpha_{m-2}\left(1-\eta_{m-2}\right)}\right]
$$

Thus,

$$
u(1) \geq\left[\frac{\alpha_{m-2}\left(1-\eta_{m-2}\right)}{1-\alpha_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}}\right] u(\hat{t})
$$

## so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{t \in\left[\eta_{m-2}, 1\right]} u(t) \geq\left[\frac{\alpha_{m-2}\left(1-\eta_{m-2}\right)}{1-\alpha_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}}\right]\|u\| \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. Assume that $\eta_{m-2}<\hat{t}$. There are two possible subcases.
Case $2(a): u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right) \geq u(1)$. In this case $\min _{t \in\left[\eta_{m-2}, 1\right]} u(t)=u(1)$. An argument like the one in [1] yields

$$
u(t)>u(\hat{t}) t^{n-1} \quad \text { for } 0<t<\hat{t}
$$

so

$$
u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right)>\eta_{m-2}^{n-1}\|u\|
$$

Thus,

$$
u(t) \geq u(1) \geq \alpha_{m-2} u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right) \geq \alpha_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}^{n-1}\|u\|,
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \geq \alpha_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}^{n-1}\|u\| \quad \text { for } \eta_{m-2} \leq t \leq 1 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case $2(b): u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right)<u(1)$. Now we have $\min _{t \in\left[\eta_{m-2}, 1\right]} u(t)=u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right)$. Like in Case 2(a), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \geq u\left(\eta_{m-2}\right) \geq \eta_{m-2}^{n-1}\|u\| . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion of the lemma then follows from (5.4)-(5.6).
The results in Section 4 now hold for the problem (5.1)-(5.2) with $\gamma, \eta$, and $\sigma_{n}$ replaced by $\Gamma, \eta_{m-2}$, and $\rho_{n}$, respectively.
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