

RESEARCH

Open Access



Shafer-type inequalities for inverse trigonometric functions and Gauss lemniscate functions

Jun-Ling Sun and Chao-Ping Chen*

*Correspondence:
chenchaoping@sohu.com
School of Mathematics and
Informatics, Henan Polytechnic
University, Jiaozuo City, Henan
Province 454000, China
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we present Shafer-type inequalities for inverse trigonometric functions and Gauss lemniscate functions.

MSC: 26D07

Keywords: inverse trigonometric functions; lemniscate function; inequalities

1 Introduction

Shafer [1] indicated several elementary quadratic approximations of selected functions without proof. Subsequently, Shafer [2] established these results as analytic inequalities. For example, Shafer [2] proved that for $x > 0$,

$$\frac{8x}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{80}{3}x^2}} < \arctan x. \quad (1.1)$$

The inequality (1.1) can also be found in [3]. Also in [2], Shafer proved that for $0 < x < 1$,

$$\frac{8x}{3\sqrt{1-x^2} + \sqrt{25 + \frac{5}{3}x^2}} < \arcsin x. \quad (1.2)$$

Zhu [4] proved that the function

$$F(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{8x}{\arctan x} - 3\right)^2 - 25}{x^2}$$

is strictly decreasing for $x > 0$, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} F(x) = \frac{80}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = \frac{256}{\pi^2}.$$

From this one derives the following double inequality:

$$\frac{8x}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{80}{3}x^2}} < \arctan x < \frac{8x}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{256}{\pi^2}x^2}}, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

The constants $80/3$ and $256/\pi^2$ are the best possible. In [4], (1.3) is called Shafer-type inequality.

Using the Maple software, we find that

$$\arctan x \left(3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{80}{3}x^2} \right) = 8x + \frac{32}{4,725}x^7 - \frac{64}{4,725}x^9 + \frac{25,376}{1,299,375}x^{11} - \dots$$

This fact motivated us to present a new upper bound for $\arctan x$, which is the first aim of the present paper.

Theorem 1.1 For $x > 0$,

$$\arctan x < \frac{8x + \frac{32}{4,725}x^7}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{80}{3}x^2}}. \tag{1.4}$$

The second aim of the present paper is to develop (1.2) to produce a symmetric double inequality.

Theorem 1.2 For $0 < x < 1$, we have

$$\frac{8x}{3\sqrt{1-x^2} + \sqrt{25+ax^2}} < \arcsin x < \frac{8x}{3\sqrt{1-x^2} + \sqrt{25+bx^2}} \tag{1.5}$$

with the best possible constants

$$a = \frac{5}{3} = 1.666666\dots \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{256 - 25\pi^2}{\pi^2} = 0.938223\dots \tag{1.6}$$

Recently, some famous inequalities for trigonometric and inverse trigonometric functions have been improved (see, for example, [5–8]).

The lemniscate, also called the lemniscate of Bernoulli, is the locus of points (x, y) in the plane satisfying the equation $(x^2 + y^2)^2 = x^2 + y^2$. In polar coordinates (r, θ) , the equation becomes $r^2 = \cos(2\theta)$ and its arc length is given by the function

$$\operatorname{arcsl} x = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^4}} dt, \quad |x| \leq 1, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\operatorname{arcsl} x$ is called the arc lemniscate sine function studied by Gauss in 1797-1798. Another lemniscate function investigated by Gauss is the hyperbolic arc lemniscate sine function, defined as

$$\operatorname{arcslh} x = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^4}} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.8}$$

Functions (1.7) and (1.8) can be found (see [9], Chapter 1, [10], p.259 and [11–19]).

Another pair of lemniscate functions, the arc lemniscate tangent arctl and the hyperbolic arc lemniscate tangent arctlh , have been introduced in [12], (3.1)-(3.2). Therein it has been proven that

$$\operatorname{arctl} x = \operatorname{arcsl} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[4]{1+x^4}} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\operatorname{arctlh} x = \operatorname{arcslh} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[4]{1-x^4}} \right), \quad |x| < 1 \tag{1.10}$$

(see [12], Proposition 3.1).

In analogy with (1.1), we here establish Shafer-type inequalities for the lemniscate functions, which is the last aim of the present paper.

Theorem 1.3 For $0 < x < 1$,

$$\frac{10x}{5 + \sqrt{25 - 10x^4}} < \operatorname{arcsl} x \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$\frac{10x}{5 + \sqrt{25 - 15x^4}} < \operatorname{arctlh} x. \tag{1.12}$$

Theorem 1.4 For $x > 0$,

$$\frac{95x}{80 + \sqrt{225 + 285x^4}} < \operatorname{arcslh} x. \tag{1.13}$$

We present the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 For $x > 0$,

$$\operatorname{arcslh} x < \frac{95x + \frac{931}{2,925}x^{13}}{80 + \sqrt{225 + 285x^4}} \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\frac{1,210x}{940 + 9\sqrt{900 + 1,210x^4}} < \operatorname{arctl} x < \frac{1,210x + \frac{2,078,417}{280,800}x^{13}}{940 + 9\sqrt{900 + 1,210x^4}}. \tag{1.15}$$

2 Lemmas

The following lemmas have been proved in [17].

Lemma 2.1 For $|x| < 1$,

$$\operatorname{arcsl} x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}(4n + 1) \cdot n!} x^{4n+1} = x + \frac{1}{10}x^5 + \frac{1}{24}x^9 + \dots \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2 For $0 < x < 1$,

$$\operatorname{arctlh} x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4}) \cdot (4n + 1) \cdot n!} x^{4n+1} = x + \frac{3}{20}x^5 + \frac{7}{96}x^9 + \dots \tag{2.2}$$

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 to 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The inequality (1.11) is obtained by considering the function $f(x)$ defined by

$$f(x) = \arctan x - \frac{8x + \frac{32}{4,725}x^7}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{80}{3}x^2}}, \quad x > 0.$$

Differentiation yields

$$f'(x) = -\frac{2g(x)}{(1+x^2)(9 + \sqrt{225 + 240x^2})^2 \sqrt{225 + 240x^2}},$$

where

$$g(x) = (-7,875 - 2,100x^2 + 112x^6 + 112x^8)\sqrt{225 + 240x^2} + 118,125 + 94,500x^2 + 2,800x^6 + 5,360x^8 + 2,560x^{10}.$$

We now show that

$$g(x) > 0, \quad x > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By an elementary change of variable

$$t = \sqrt{225 + 240x^2}, \quad t > 15,$$

the inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[-7,875 - 2,100\left(\frac{t^2 - 225}{240}\right) + 112\left(\frac{t^2 - 225}{240}\right)^3 + 112\left(\frac{t^2 - 225}{240}\right)^4 \right] t \\ & + 118,125 + 94,500\left(\frac{t^2 - 225}{240}\right) + 2,800\left(\frac{t^2 - 225}{240}\right)^3 + 5,360\left(\frac{t^2 - 225}{240}\right)^4 \\ & + 2,560\left(\frac{t^2 - 225}{240}\right)^5 \\ & = \frac{(2t^6 + 141t^5 + 4,515t^4 + 93,690t^3 + 1,562,400t^2 + 24,053,625t + 362,626,875)(t - 15)^4}{622,080,000} \\ & > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 15, \end{aligned}$$

which is true. Hence, we have

$$g(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(x) < 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

So, $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $x > 0$, and we have

$$f(x) < f(0) = 0, \quad x > 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.1 Let $x_0 = 1.4243\dots$. Then we have

$$\frac{8x + \frac{32}{4,725}x^7}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{80}{3}x^2}} < \frac{8x}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{256}{\pi^2}x^2}}, \quad 0 < x < x_0.$$

This shows that for $0 < x < x_0$, the upper bound in (1.11) is better than the upper bound in (1.3). In fact, for $x \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\arctan x - \frac{8x}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{256}{\pi^2}x^2}} = O(x^3),$$

$$\arctan x - \frac{8x}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{80}{3}x^2}} = O(x^7),$$

and

$$\arctan x - \frac{8x + \frac{32}{4,725}x^7}{3 + \sqrt{25 + \frac{80}{3}x^2}} = O(x^9).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 The double inequality (1.11) can be written for $0 < x < 1$ as

$$b < F(x) < a,$$

where

$$F(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{8x}{\arcsin x} - 3\sqrt{1-x^2}\right)^2 - 25}{x^2}, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

By an elementary change of variable,

$$x = \sin t, \quad 0 < t < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

we have

$$G(t) = F(\sin t) = \frac{\left(\frac{8 \sin t}{t} - 3 \cos t\right)^2 - 25}{\sin^2 t}, \quad 0 < t < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

We now prove that $F(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 < x < 1$. It suffices to show that $G(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 < t < \pi/2$. Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{t^3 \sin^3 t}{16} G'(t) &= 8 \sin^3 t - 3t^2 \sin t - (2t^3 + 3t) \cos t + 3t \cos^3 t \\ &= 8 \left(\frac{3 \sin t - \sin(3t)}{4} \right) - 3t^2 \sin t - (2t^3 + 3t) \cos t \\ &\quad + 3t \left(\frac{\cos(3t) + 3 \cos t}{4} \right) \\ &= (6 - 3t^2) \sin t - 2 \sin(3t) + \frac{3}{4}t \cos(3t) - \left(\frac{3}{4}t + 2t^3 \right) \cos t \\ &= \frac{16}{945}t^9 - \frac{16}{4,725}t^{11} + \sum_{n=6}^{\infty} (-1)^n u_n(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$u_n(t) = \frac{21 + 2n + 48n^2 + 64n^3 + (6n - 21) \cdot 9^n}{4 \cdot (2n + 1)!} t^{2n+1}.$$

Elementary calculations reveal that for $0 < t < \pi/2$ and $n \geq 6$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_{n+1}(t)}{u_n(t)} &= \frac{t^2(135 + 290n + 240n^2 + 64n^3 + (54n - 135) \cdot 9^n)}{2(n + 1)(2n + 3)(21 + 2n + 48n^2 + 64n^3 + (6n - 21) \cdot 9^n)} \\ &< \frac{(\pi/2)^2}{n + 1} \frac{135 + 290n + 240n^2 + 64n^3 + (54n - 135) \cdot 9^n}{2(2n + 3)(21 + 2n + 48n^2 + 64n^3 + (6n - 21) \cdot 9^n)} \\ &< \frac{135 + 290n + 240n^2 + 64n^3 + (54n - 135) \cdot 9^n}{2(2n + 3)(21 + 2n + 48n^2 + 64n^3 + (6n - 21) \cdot 9^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &2(2n + 3)(21 + 2n + 48n^2 + 64n^3 + (6n - 21) \cdot 9^n) \\ &\quad - (135 + 290n + 240n^2 + 64n^3 + (54n - 135) \cdot 9^n) \\ &= (24n^2 - 102n + 9)9^n + 256n^4 + 512n^3 + 56n^2 - 194n - 9 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain, for $0 < t < \pi/2$ and $n \geq 6$,

$$\frac{u_{n+1}(t)}{u_n(t)} < 1.$$

Hence, for every $t \in (0, \pi/2)$, the sequence $n \mapsto u_n(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $n \geq 6$. We then obtain from (3.2)

$$-\frac{t^3 \sin^3 t}{16} G'(t) > t^9 \left(\frac{16}{945} - \frac{16}{4,725} t^2 \right) > 0, \quad 0 < t < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

which implies $G'(t) < 0$ for $0 < t < \pi/2$. Hence, $G(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 < t < \pi/2$, and $F(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 < x < 1$. So, we have

$$\frac{256 - 25\pi^2}{\pi^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} F(t) < F(x) = \frac{(\frac{8x}{\arcsin x} - 3\sqrt{1 - x^2})^2 - 25}{x^2} < \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F(t) = \frac{5}{3}$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$, with the constants $5/3$ and $(256 - 25\pi^2)/\pi^2$ being best possible. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3 By (2.1), we find that for $0 < x < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (25 - 10x^4) - \left(\frac{10x}{\operatorname{arcsl} x} - 5 \right)^2 &> (25 - 10x^4) - \left(\frac{10x}{x + \frac{1}{10}x^5 + \frac{1}{24}x^9} - 5 \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{10x^8(3,120 - 1,344x^4 - 120x^8 - 25x^{12})}{(120 + 12x^4 + 5x^8)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$3,120 - 1,344t - 120t^2 - 25t^3 > 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < t < 1,$$

we obtain, for $0 < x < 1$,

$$(25 - 10x^4) - \left(\frac{10x}{\operatorname{arcsl} x} - 5 \right)^2 > 0,$$

which implies (1.11).

By (2.2), we find that for $0 < x < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (25 - 15x^4) - \left(\frac{10x}{\operatorname{arct} h x} - 5 \right)^2 &> (25 - 15x^4) - \left(\frac{10x}{x + \frac{1}{10}x^5 + \frac{1}{24}x^9} - 5 \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{15x^8 A(x)}{(24,960 + 3,744x^4 + 1,820x^8 + 1,155x^{12})^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= (115,947,520 - 71,285,760x^8 - 4,204,200x^{16}) \\ &\quad + x^4(87,320,064 - 11,961,040x^8 - 1,334,025x^{16}). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that for $0 < t < 1$,

$$115,947,520 - 71,285,760t - 4,204,200t^2 > 0$$

and

$$87,320,064 - 11,961,040t - 1,334,025t^2 > 0,$$

we obtain $A(x) > 0$ for $0 < x < 1$. From (3.3), we obtain (1.12). The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4 The inequality (1.13) is obtained by considering the function $h(x)$ defined by

$$h(x) = \operatorname{arcsl} h x - \frac{95x}{80 + \sqrt{225 + 285x^4}}, \quad x > 0.$$

Differentiation yields

$$h'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^4}} - \frac{475(16\sqrt{225+285x^4} + 45 - 57x^4)}{(80 + \sqrt{225+285x^4})^2 \sqrt{225+285x^4}}.$$

By an elementary change of variable

$$t = \sqrt{225 + 285x^4}, \quad x > 0 \quad \left(\text{or } x = \sqrt[4]{\frac{t^2 - 225}{285}}, t > 15 \right), \tag{3.4}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^4}} - \frac{475(16\sqrt{225+285x^4} + 45 - 57x^4)}{(80 + \sqrt{225+285x^4})^2 \sqrt{225+285x^4}} \\ &= \frac{285}{\sqrt{17,100+285t^2}} + \frac{95(t^2 - 80t - 450)}{t(80+t)^2} = \frac{95I(t)}{t(80+t)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I(t) = \frac{19,200t + 480t^2 + 3t^3}{\sqrt{17,100+285t^2}} + t^2 - 80t - 450, \quad t > 15.$$

We now prove that

$$h'(x) > 0, \quad x > 0.$$

It suffices to show that

$$I(t) > 0, \quad t > 15.$$

Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} I'(t) &= \frac{6(192,000 + 9,600t + 90t^2 + 80t^3 + t^4)}{(60+t^2)\sqrt{17,100+285t^2}} + 2t - 80, \\ I''(t) &= \frac{6(576,000 - 565,200t - 4,800t^2 + 150t^3 + t^5)}{(60+t^2)^2\sqrt{17,100+285t^2}} + 2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I'''(t) = \frac{10,800(-18,840 - 1,920t + 1,271t^2 + 8t^3)}{(60+t^2)^3\sqrt{17,100+285t^2}} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 15.$$

Thus, we have, for $t > 15$,

$$I''(t) > I''(15) = 0 \implies I'(t) > I'(15) = 0 \implies I(t) > I(15) = 0.$$

Hence, $h'(x) > 0$ holds for $x > 0$, and we have

$$h(x) > h(0) = 0, \quad x > 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for helpful comments.

Received: 1 July 2016 Accepted: 31 August 2016 Published online: 07 September 2016

References

1. Shafer, RE: On quadratic approximation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **11**, 447-460 (1974)
2. Shafer, RE: Analytic inequalities obtained by quadratic approximation. *Publ. Elektroteh. Fak. Univ. Beogr., Ser. Mat. Fiz.* **577-598**, 96-97 (1977)
3. Shafer, RE: On quadratic approximation, II. *Publ. Elektroteh. Fak. Univ. Beogr., Ser. Mat. Fiz.* **602-633**, 163-170 (1978)
4. Zhu, L: On a quadratic estimate of Shafer. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2**, 571-574 (2008)
5. Mortici, C: A subtle analysis of Wilker inequality. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **231**, 516-520 (2014)
6. Mortici, C, Debnath, L, Zhu, L: Refinements of Jordan-Steckin and Becker-Stark inequalities. *Results Math.* **67**, 207-215 (2015)
7. Mortici, C, Srivastava, HM: Estimates for the arctangent function related to Shafer's inequality. *Colloq. Math.* **136**, 263-270 (2014)
8. Nenezić, M, Malešević, B, Mortici, C: New approximations of some expressions involving trigonometric functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **283**, 299-315 (2016)
9. Siegel, CL: *Topics in Complex Function Theory*, vol. 1. Wiley, New York (1969)
10. Borwein, JM, Borwein, PB: *Pi and the AGM: A Study in the Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity*. Wiley, New York (1987)
11. Carlson, BC: Algorithms involving arithmetic and geometric means. *Am. Math. Mon.* **78**, 496-505 (1971)
12. Neuman, E: On Gauss lemniscate functions and lemniscatic mean. *Math. Pannon.* **18**, 77-94 (2007)
13. Neuman, E: Two-sided inequalities for the lemniscate functions. *J. Inequal. Spec. Funct.* **1**, 1-7 (2010)
14. Neuman, E: On Gauss lemniscate functions and lemniscatic mean II. *Math. Pannon.* **23**, 65-73 (2012)
15. Neuman, E: Inequalities for Jacobian elliptic functions and Gauss lemniscate functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 7774-7782 (2012)
16. Neuman, E: On lemniscate functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **24**, 164-171 (2013)
17. Chen, CP: Wilker and Huygens type inequalities for the lemniscate functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **6**, 673-684 (2012)
18. Chen, CP: Wilker and Huygens type inequalities for the lemniscate functions, II. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **16**, 577-586 (2013)
19. Deng, JE, Chen, CP: Sharp Shafer-Fink type inequalities for Gauss lemniscate functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 35 (2014)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
