

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 33, 77-81 (1971)

## A Generalization of a Lemma of Bihari and Applications to Pointwise Estimates for Integral Equations

GEOFFREY BUTLER\* AND THOMAS ROGERS†

*Department of Mathematics, The University of Alberta, Edmonton 7, Alberta, Canada*

*Submitted by R. Bellman*

1. The most commonly used generalization of the Bellman-Gronwall inequality is due to I. Bihari [1]. See also [2] where related integral inequalities are discussed and more references given. The integral equation associated with Bihari's inequality is nonlinear in the function of interest (see Section 2), but linear as a function of the integral involved. Recent special uses of integral inequalities in which the associated integral equation is nonlinear in the integral have been considered in papers by D. Willett [3] and H.E. Gollwitzer [4].

In Section 2 of this paper, Bihari's inequality is generalized. Some results of Muldowney and Wong in [5] are improved, while it is shown that in some situations results of Willett and Gollwitzer are considerable sharpened.

Results in terms of maximal solutions and uniqueness theorems are anticipated in a later paper. Fred Brauer considered such extensions of Bihari's inequality in [6]. S C. Chu and F. T. Metcalf in [7] produced an explicit maximal solution for an integral inequality involving a general Volterra integral.

2. In this section we generalize the following lemma of Bihari [1]:

LEMMA (Bihari). *Let  $x(t)$ ,  $k(t)$  be positive, continuous functions in  $c \leq t \leq d$ , and let  $a$ ,  $b$  be nonnegative constants; further let  $g(u)$  be a positive nondecreasing function for  $u \geq 0$ . Then the inequality*

$$x(t) \leq a + b \int_c^t k(s) g(x(s)) ds, \quad c \leq t \leq d \quad (1)$$

*implies the inequality*

$$x(t) \leq \Omega^{-1} \left\{ \Omega(a) + b \int_c^t k(s) ds \right\}, \quad c \leq t \leq d' \leq d \quad (2)$$

\* The work of the first author was supported by a University of Alberta Post-Doctoral Fellowship.

† The work of the second author was supported by an NRC Grant No. 5210.

where

$$\Omega(u) = \int_{\epsilon}^u \frac{ds}{g(s)} \quad (\epsilon > 0, u > 0) \quad (3)$$

and  $d'$  is defined so that  $\Omega(a) + b \int_c^t k(s) ds$  lies within the domain of definition of  $\Omega^{-1}(u)$ , for  $c \leq t \leq d'$ . We prove the following:

**THEOREM.** Let  $x(t)$ ,  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$  be positive functions of  $t$ , bounded in  $c \leq t \leq d$ , let  $k(t, s)$  be nonnegative, bounded on the triangular region  $s \leq t \leq d$ ,  $c \leq s \leq d$ ; assume further that  $x(t)$  is measurable and  $k(t, s)$  is a measurable function of  $s$  for each  $t$  with  $c \leq s \leq d$ . Let  $f(u)$ ,  $g(u)$  be positive functions for  $u \geq 0$ , with  $f$  strictly increasing and  $g$  nondecreasing. Then defining

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \sup_{c \leq s \leq t} a(s), & B(t) &= \sup_{c \leq s \leq t} b(s), \\ K(t, s) &= \sup_{s \leq \sigma \leq t} k(\sigma, s), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

the inequality

$$f(x(t)) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_c^t k(t, s) g(x(s)) ds, \quad c \leq t \leq d \quad (5)$$

implies the inequality

$$x(t) \leq f^{-1} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \left\{ \Omega(A(t)) + B(t) \int_c^t K(t, s) ds \right\} \right], \quad c \leq t \leq d' \leq d \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Omega(u) = \int_{\epsilon}^u \frac{dw}{g(f^{-1}(w))} \quad (\epsilon > 0, u > 0) \quad (7)$$

and

$$d' = \max \left[ c \leq \tau \leq d : \Omega(A(\tau)) + B(\tau) \int_c^{\tau} K(\tau, s) ds \leq \Omega(f(\infty)) \right]. \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* Let  $c \leq t \leq T \leq d'$ . It will be apparent from the proof that  $d'$  is defined in such a way that the right-hand side of (6) is meaningful. Then (5) implies that

$$f(x(t)) \leq A(T) + B(T) \int_c^t K(T, s) g(x(s)) ds. \quad (9)$$

Denoting the right-hand side of (9) by  $V(T, t)$ , this is equivalent to

$$f(x(t)) \leq V(T, t).$$

By the monotonicity of  $g, f$ , therefore,

$$g(x(t)) \leq g(f^{-1}(V(T, t))). \quad (10)$$

Differentiating with respect to  $t$  and using (10), yields

$$\frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(T, t)}{g(f^{-1}(V(T, t)))} \leq B(T) K(T, t), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Integrating from  $t = c$  to  $t = T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(V(T, T)) &\leq \Omega(V(T, c)) + B(T) \int_c^T K(T, s) ds, \\ V(T, T) &\leq \Omega^{-1} \left\{ \Omega(V(T, c)) + B(T) \int_c^T K(T, s) ds \right\}, \\ f(x(T)) &\leq V(T, T) \leq \Omega^{-1} \left\{ \Omega(A(T)) + B(T) \int_c^T K(T, s) ds \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (6) with a change of dummy variable.

Note if  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$  are nondecreasing functions of  $t$ , and  $k(t, s)$  is a non-decreasing function of  $t$  for each  $s$  with  $c \leq s \leq d$ , the conclusion (6) of the theorem can be replaced by

$$x(t) \leq f^{-1} \left[ \Omega^{-1} \left\{ \Omega(a(t)) + b(t) \int_c^t k(t, s) ds \right\} \right], \quad c \leq t \leq d' \leq d,$$

with appropriate modifications if only some of these functions are non-decreasing.

3. We use the theorem proved to obtain pointwise estimates in certain special cases.

COROLLARY 1. *If in the theorem,  $f(u) = u^p$ ,  $g(u) = u^q$ , where  $p, q > 0$ , then the inequality (5) implies the inequalities*

$$(i) \quad x(t) \leq \left[ (A(t))^{1-(q/p)} + \left(1 - \frac{q}{p}\right) B(t) \int_c^t K(t, s) ds \right]^{1/(p-q)},$$

for all  $t$  with  $c \leq t \leq d'$ , if  $p \neq q$  (in the case  $q < p$ ;  $p \geq 1$ ,  $d'$  is equal to  $d$ ).

$$(ii) \quad x(t) \leq \left[ A(t) \exp \left( B(t) \int_c^t K(t, s) ds \right) \right]^{1/p}$$

for all  $t$  with  $c \leq t \leq d$ , if  $p = q$ .

We omit the proof and remark that the inequalities in the corollary have been obtained in [5] for  $p = 1$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq 1$  and  $K(t, s) = t - s$ .

COROLLARY 2. Let  $x(t)$ ,  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$ ,  $k(t, s)$  satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. Let  $p \geq z$  be a positive integer. Then the inequality

$$x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \left( \int_c^t k(t, s) x^p(s) ds \right)^{1/p}, \quad c \leq t \leq d \quad (11)$$

implies the inequality

$$x(t) \leq A(t) e^{(p-1)} \exp \left( \frac{1}{p} B^p(t) \int_c^t K(t, s) ds \right), \quad c \leq t \leq d. \quad (12)$$

*Proof.* The inequality (11) implies

$$x(t) - A(T) \leq b(t) \left( \int_c^t k(t, s) x^p(s) ds \right)^{1/p}, \quad c \leq t \leq T \leq d.$$

Thus

$$y^p(t) \leq b^p(t) \int_c^t k(t, s) x^p(s) ds, \quad c \leq t \leq T \leq d, \quad (13)$$

where

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} x(t) - A(T), & \text{if } x(t) - A(T) \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying the Theorem with  $f(u) = u^p$ ,  $g(u) = (u + A(T))^p$ , and noting that  $d' = T$  in this case, we have that inequality (13) implies

$$\Omega(y^p(t)) \leq \Omega(0) + B^p(t) \int_c^t K(t, s) ds, \quad c \leq t \leq T \quad (14)$$

where

$$\Omega(u) = \int_0^u \frac{dw}{(w^{1/p} + A(T))^p}.$$

Thus

$$\Omega(y^p(t)) = \int_0^{y^p(t)} \frac{dw}{(w^{1/p} + A(T))^p} = p \int_0^y \frac{z^{p-1} dz}{(z + A(T))^p}.$$

Denoting

$$I_p = \int_0^y \frac{z^{p-1} dz}{(z + A(T))^p}$$

by  $I_p$ , it follows, on integrating by parts,

$$I_p = I_{p-1} - \frac{y^{p-1}}{(p-1)(y + A(T))^{p-1}}.$$

Inductively, therefore, we have

$$\Omega(y^p(t)) = p \left[ \log \left( \frac{y + A(T)}{A(T)} \right) - \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{y^m}{m(y + A(T))^m} \right]. \quad (15)$$

From (14) and (15), we have

$$\log \frac{y(t) + A(T)}{A(T)} - \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{1}{p} B^p(t) \int_c^t K(t, s) ds, \quad c \leq t \leq T.$$

Thus

$$y(t) + A(T) \leq A(T) e^{(p-1) \exp \left[ \frac{1}{p} B^p(t) \int_c^t K(t, s) ds \right]}, \quad c \leq t \leq T.$$

Hence, taking  $t = T$ , and using the fact that  $x(T) \leq y(T) + A(T)$ , we have the desired result.

It is of interest to compare our estimate with those of Willett [3] and Gollwitzer [4]. If we take  $a(t) \equiv \alpha$ ,  $b(t) \equiv 1$ ,  $k(t, s) \equiv 1$ ,  $c = 0$ , we obtain from Corollary 2 that inequality (12) reduces to

$$x(t) \leq \alpha e^{(p-1) \exp \left( \frac{t}{p} \right)}, \tag{16}$$

whereas the estimate of Willett yields

$$x(t) \leq \alpha \left[ 1 + (e^t - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (1 - e^{-t})^{k/p} \right], \tag{17}$$

and that of Gollwitzer yields

$$x(t) \leq \alpha [1 + \exp(2^{p-1}t - 1)^{1/p}]. \tag{18}$$

Although (17) and (18) are better estimates than (16) for small values of  $t$ , for large values of  $t$ , (16) is considerably better than either of (17) or (18).

REFERENCES

1. I. BIHARI, A generalization of a lemma of Bellman and its application to uniqueness problems of differential equations, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **7** (1956), 81-84.
2. EDWIN F. BECKENBACH AND RICHARD BELLMAN, "*Inequalities*," 2nd Revised Printing, Springer, New York, 1965.
3. D. WILLETT, Nonlinear vector integral equations as contraction mappings, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **14-15** (1963-64), 80-86.
4. H. E. GOLLWITZER, A note on a functional inequality, Summer ODE Colloquium, 1968, University of Alberta, Edmonton.
5. J. S. MULDOWNY AND J. S. W. WONG, Bounds for solutions of nonlinear integro-differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **23** (1968), 487-499.
6. FRED BRAUER, Bounds for solutions of ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (1963), 36-43.
7. S. CHU AND F. T. METCALF, On Gronwall's inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 439-440.