Cooperative face-to-face and edge-to-face aromatic interactions of tryptophan indole ring with N7-quarternized guanine and neutral cytosine bases
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In order to investigate the effect of cytosine base upon the stacking interaction of N7-quarternized guanine base with tryptophan indole ring, the X-ray crystal structure of a 1:1 complex of model compounds 1 and 2 was carried out. Contrary to the expectation of the interaction of both molecules in aqueous solution, the crystal structure showed the first example of the simultaneous recognition of the Trp indole ring by guanine and cytosine bases by the coupling of the face-to-face and edge-to-face aromatic interactions, respectively.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Strict molecular recognition is the first essential step for such biological processes as interactions of substrate/enzyme and nuclease/DNA base sequence. The precise recognition could be generally accomplished by multiple non-covalent interactions (such as hydrogen bonding, stacking, electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions). For better understanding of the strict recognition mechanism, it is of special importance to know various coupling modes of non-covalent interactions which serve to select the most suitable partner among many candidates. However, such information is very limited at present, although recent X-ray structural studies [1-3] showed that the specific recognition of guanine base by protein is accomplished by the coupling of multiple hydrogen bonding to the periphery of the base with aromatic/hydrophobic interaction perpendicular to the base.

Aromatic amino acids such as tryptophan (Trp) participate mainly in aromatic interactions with biomolecules [4]. Two kinds of interactions have been identified [5,6], i.e. the parallel face-to-face and perpendicular edge-to-face interactions. This paper describes the first example of the coupling of these two interactions for the recognition of the Trp indole ring by the nucleic acid bases of the N7-quarternized guanine and neutral cytosine, which was observed in the crystal structure of 1 and 2 (1:1) complex (Scheme 1).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

Model compounds 1 to 4 in Scheme 1 were synthesized from their component molecules according to the literature [7-10]. Here, 2 instead of the neutral guanine base, was used to increase the face-to-face stacking interaction with the indole ring, because the N7-quarternization of guanine base significantly strengthens the π-π stacking interaction with aromatic amino acids [11].

2.2. X-ray crystal analysis of 1 and 2 (1:1) complex

The complex crystals were obtained from aqueous methanol containing equimolar 1 and 2 by slow evaporation at room temperature. The content of water molecules of crystallization per asymmetric unit was estimated by the differential thermal analysis. Because of the very fragile crystal nature, they were sealed in glass capillaries with some mother liquid. A single crystal with dimensions 0.2 x 0.2 x 0.4 mm
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was used for the intensity data collection. Crystal data: C₁₈
H₁₆N₄O₄ • C₅H₅N₅O • 5H₂O, Mᵣ = 652.66, orthorhombic, space
group P₂₁,2₁,2₁, a = 18.894(3), b = 23.286(5), c = 7.335(2) Å, V = 
3227.1(11) Å³, Z = 4, ρcalc = 1.343 g • cm⁻³, F(000) = 1384, 
λ = 1.5418 Å, T = 298 K, μ(Cu Kα) = 7.56 cm⁻¹. X-ray diffraction 
data were collected using a Rigaku AFC5 diffractometer. An ω/2θ 
scan mode was used in the range 2θ = 5° to 2θ = 130°. The intensity data 
were corrected for the Lorentz and polarization effects, but not for the 
absorption. Of the 2,920 collected reflections, 2,402 with Fo > Zu(Fo) 
were used in the structure solution and refinement. Structure was 
solved by direct methods (program SHELXS-86 [12], which located 
all nonhydrogen atoms of 1 and 2. Five water molecules of crystalliza-
tion were found on the successive Fourier syntheses. Hydrogen atom 
positions were calculated and were included for the calculations of 
structure factors, but not for the structure refinement. The structure 
was finally refined to R = 0.070 (Rw = 0.063) by the SHELX76 pro-
gram [13]; this relatively high value is primarily due to the small crystal 
size and its poor crystallinity. The fractional atomic coordinates and 
other structural parameters have been deposited in Cambridge Data 
Center.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Previously, we proposed the importance of coopera-
tive coupling of hydrogen bond pairing and parallel 
face-to-face stacking interactions for the molecular rec-
ognition between 1 and 2, based on comparative fluo-
rescence and NMR measurements on the interactions 
of 1 with 2, 3 and 4 [14]. In contrast with the interaction 
mode proposed in the solution state, the X-ray crystal 
structure of the 1:1 complex and 1 and 2 showed an 
alternative interaction mode (Fig. 1), which also ex-
plains well the spectral behaviors of aromatic rings 
in the solution, except for those of N2-amino protons 
of 2.

Both molecules are linked with hydrogen bond pair-
ing between backbone amide NH and carboxyl O of 1 
and guanine O(6) and N(1)H of 2: 3.017(7) and 2.779(8) Å, respectively. As expected, the indole ring is sand-
wiched between neighboring guanine bases with face-to-
face stacking interactions, in which both aromatic rings 
are nearly parallel with a dihedral angle of 1.4(2)° and 
mean separations of 3.428 Å (upper pair) and 3.442 Å 
CC(lower pair); the crystal structure is mainly stabilized 
by this continuous ···indole-guanine-indole-guanine··· 
stacking, rather than 1:1 interaction of molecules 1 and 2, leaving little possibility for the less energetically 
favorable face-to-face indole–cytosine interaction. As 
observed in the m7GMP–Trp [15] and m7GMP–Trp-
Glu complexes [16], the present extensive stacking with 
an interplanar spacing near to the minimal van der Waals separation of 3.4 Å is typical for the partial π-
electron interaction between the HOMO of the indole 
ing and the LUMO of the guanine base. In addition, 
this stacking interaction/orientation is stabilized by (a) 
electrostatic interactions and (b) dipole–dipole coupling 
(the angle between the calculated dipole moments is 
153°).

Simultaneously, the Trp indole ring is fixed by the 
cytosine base with an edge-to-face interaction, although 
its molecular orientation in 1 may be resulting from the 
covalent linkage of both aromatic rings via an amide 
bond. The aromatic rings are in close proximity to each 
other with a distance of 4.75 Å (the vertical distance 
from the center of the benzene moiety of the indole ring 
to the cytosine plane), and in a nearly orthogonal orien-
tation, with an angle of 94.5(2)° between their average 
planes. This results in short contacts between the indole 
CH groups and the cytosine base, indicating electro-
static C–H···π interactions. Similar T-shaped intra-
intermolecular aromatic–aromatic interactions have 
frequently been observed in protein crystal structures 
and shown to be enthalpically favorable [5,6,17,18].

The complex crystals contain five water molecules of 
crystallization per asymmetric unit. They are located at 
the cavity formed by the crystal packing of molecules 
1 and 2 and stabilize the simultaneous formation of the 
face-to-face and edge-to-face aromatic–aromatic inter-
actions by two to four hydrogen bond and/or short 
contact formations with neighboring 1 and 2 polar 
atoms.

To the best of our knowledge, this result provides the
first example in the recognition of Trp indole ring by
N-quaternized guanine and a neutral cytosine base, in
which the hydrogen bonding, aromatic face-to-face and
edge-to-face interactions are cooperating, although this
interaction is of course stabilized by hydrogen bondings
with the surrounding water molecules. Such an intimate
coupling of non-covalent interactions may be important
for the selective recognition of aromatic amino acids by
nucleic acid bases in protein–DNA interactions.
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