Postoperative atrial fibrillation: An old problem crying for new solutions
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In this issue of the Journal, Mueller and colleagues report on their experience with postoperative atrial arrhythmias in a series of 183 patients who underwent isolated single coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) with the left internal thoracic artery placed to the left anterior descending coronary artery. The authors observed that the prevalence of postoperative atrial arrhythmias was similar, at approximately 18% to 22%, for patients having conventional CABG and for those having beating-heart operations. With the development and growing acceptance of technologies that facilitate less invasive approaches for CABG, several recent, but conflicting, reports have been published on the frequency of this complication. In light of an appreciable change in the current practice of CABG, and the conflicting information regarding postoperative atrial fibrillation, it would be useful to reflect on what is presently known about this complication and the most appropriate direction for our future efforts in this area.

Large-scale longitudinal studies have demonstrated that atrial fibrillation is the most common arrhythmia in the general population. The prevalence of this arrhythmia increases with age, with a prevalence of less than 1% at age 50 but more than 9% to 10% at age 80. Other risk factors in the general population include congestive heart failure, valvular heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, and a history of myocardial infarction. Given these predisposing factors, it is not surprising that this arrhythmia is a common complication in the adult population having cardiac surgery.

Atrial arrhythmias have been recognized as a common postoperative complication since the earliest days of CABG. These arrhythmias include atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, and paroxysmal atrial tachycardia. Clinical studies have reported a prevalence of 25% to 40% among patients undergoing CABG, with a peak incidence occurring between the second and fourth days after the operation. This rate is even higher for patients undergoing valve replacement, either alone or in combination with CABG. For most patients, postoperative atrial arrhythmias are a benign complication, without significant morbidity. Nonetheless, there is ample evidence that they are associated with adverse outcomes, including patient discomfort or anxiety, the need for extra medications or treatments, the possibility of thromboembolic complications, and both increased hospital length of stay and hospital costs. There are few data regarding the post-hospital discharge course of patients with this complication, but it is generally believed that the risk of this arrhythmia decreases substantially within the first month after surgery. It is important to remember that in an era of early hospital discharge, often on the third or fourth postoperative day, these arrhythmias may occur after discharge and patients should be counseled appropriately.

The treatment of patients with postoperative atrial arrhythmias has not been standardized despite many years of clinical experience and investigation. Direct-current cardioversion is highly effective for restoring sinus rhythm in most patients, but this procedure is typically reserved for patients with hemodynamic instability. In clinical practice, most patients are treated medically for their arrhythmias. There are two goals of pharmacologic therapy: (1) control of the heart rate and (2) conversion to a sinus rhythm. Medications such as diltiazem, β-blockers, or digoxin can be used effectively to control the heart rate, and drugs such as sotalol, amiodarone, ibutilide,
The most compelling evidence supports the use of β-blockers postoperatively to reduce the frequency of these arrhythmias. A nonuniform dispersion of refractoriness in the atrial tissue can set up local areas of functional block. These can be established simply by the process of aging (ie, progressive fibrosis of the atrial tissue) or long-standing volume overload, but may also be affected by events inherent in conventional cardiac surgical procedures (ie, ischemia during cardioplegic arrest, cannulation technique) or by changes that might occur after any type of surgical procedure (ie, alterations in autonomic tone). Regardless of how the substrate is established, an initiating premature atrial contraction is also required to begin the arrhythmia. From a theoretical standpoint, patients undergoing beating-heart CABG would not be subject to some of these precipitating factors (ie, atrial ischemia, cannulation techniques) but would still be subject to others (ie, alterations in autonomic tone). Unfortunately, our limited knowledge of the pathogenesis and underlying mechanisms of these arrhythmias has precluded the development of specific therapies to prevent their occurrence.

A variety of strategies have been proposed over the years to reduce the frequency of postoperative atrial arrhythmias. Although numerous studies of pharmacologic agents have been reported, the results have often been conflicting. The most compelling evidence supports the use of β-blockers postoperatively to reduce the frequency of these arrhythmias. Moreover, β-blocker withdrawal should be avoided because this practice is associated with an increase in the frequency of these arrhythmias. The use of other drugs is more controversial. Used prophylactically, the administration of digoxin or supplementation with magnesium does not appear to be effective. Calcium channel blockers, particularly verapamil, can be associated with adverse hemodynamic effects and are not effective for this purpose. The prophylactic use of type Ia agents (ie, procainamide, quinidine) in this setting has not been studied extensively, and they are rarely used by surgeons. The use of type If antiarrhythmic agents (ie, sotalol, amiodarone) has shown promise for at least some patient subgroups in several recent small studies. Amiodarone, administered for several days before the operation, or sotalol, used in low doses postoperatively, both appear to reduce the frequency of postoperative atrial arrhythmias. Further studies are clearly needed in this area.

Nonpharmacologic strategies may also be useful for preventing this complication. A variety of pacing strategies have been proposed in the early postoperative period to reduce the number of premature atrial contractions, but these approaches have produced only limited success in reducing the prevalence of postoperative atrial arrhythmias. The maze procedure is very effective for preventing these arrhythmias, but the addition of this procedure carries a finite risk of additional morbidity and mortality and has been reserved for highly selected patients with preoperative chronic atrial fibrillation. Newer approaches involving a more limited “maze-like” procedure, including targeted isolation of anatomic substrates, such as the pulmonary veins, may have some applicability in the future if they can be made less invasive and easy to perform. However, their development awaits a clearer mechanistic understanding of the necessary substrate required for the initiation of these arrhythmias.

In the year 2000, up to 20% of all CABG operations were performed with a “less invasive” approach. Several approaches that avoid the use of cardiopulmonary bypass are currently available, including minimally invasive direct coronary artery bypass (MIDCAB) using a mini-thoracotomy incision, off-pump coronary artery bypass (OPCAB) using a conventional sternotomy incision, and endoscopic approaches. Each of these operations can be accomplished with the aid of a variety of stabilization devices, bypass conduits, and anesthetic techniques. On the basis of the technical details of these operations, this is obviously a heterogeneous group of patients. Not surprisingly, the literature is replete with conflicting information regarding the incidence of postoperative atrial arrhythmias after minimally invasive CABG. In a retrospective, nonrandomized survey, Buffolo and colleagues reported significantly fewer arrhythmias (atrial and ventricular) among patients who underwent OPCAB. Chauhan and colleagues reported a lower prevalence of postoperative atrial arrhythmias in a small number of patients who underwent MIDCAB procedures than among those who underwent conventional CABG procedures. Allen and colleagues reported no postoperative atrial arrhythmias in a small group of patients who underwent single-vessel redo CABG with the left internal thoracic artery to the left anterior descending coronary artery using a MIDCAB approach. Subramanian, McCabe, and Geller reported that postoperative atrial fibrillation developed in 8% of patients (14/185) undergoing a MIDCAB operation.

There are an equal number of negative studies showing no difference in the incidence of postoperative atrial arrhythmias. Cohn, Sirois, and Johnson reported a small case-control study in which there was no difference in the
frequency of postoperative atrial arrhythmias for patients who underwent MIDCAB and those who underwent conventional CABG. Other “negative” studies were reported by Abreu,7 Saatvedt,9 Siebert,10 and their colleagues. Each of these studies involved small numbers of patients in the groups not having cardiopulmonary bypass. The small, retrospective, nonrandomized study by Mueller and colleagues in this issue of the Journal reports similar findings. In another retrospective review, Tamis-Holland and colleagues reported a lower frequency of postoperative atrial arrhythmias among patients undergoing MIDCAB procedures compared with those undergoing conventional CABG, but they attributed this finding to underlying differences in the two patient groups. Unfortunately, most of these studies were nonrandomized, retrospective case reports. All of these studies can be criticized for not comparing identical patient groups undergoing conventional versus less invasive CABG.

The most compelling evidence for a lower frequency of postoperative atrial arrhythmias comes from a recent prospective, randomized trial of 200 patients reported by Ascione and colleagues. In this study, the prevalence of postoperative atrial arrhythmias was 49% in the conventional CABG group but only 14% in the beating-heart group. However, a criticism of this study was the high incidence of arrhythmias in the conventional group and a nonuniform use of β-blockers.

Although the study by Mueller and colleagues in this issue of the Journal reports on a very small number of patients and lacks the statistical power to detect a meaningful difference in the rates of postoperative atrial arrhythmias between the patient groups, the authors’ observations are important to help set the stage for further investigation. It is a common progression in our field that anecdotal accounts are often followed by small and then larger retrospective studies. It is obvious that the ideal study to settle the issue would be a prospective trial of conventional CABG versus less invasive CABG, in which the development of postoperative atrial arrhythmias was an end point. Unfortunately, as many as 1000 patients per group would be needed to have sufficient statistical power to identify a 25% difference in the rates of postoperative atrial arrhythmias. This would be a monumental undertaking, but only rigorous prospective clinical investigation will resolve many of the outstanding issues related to the occurrence of postoperative atrial arrhythmias.

Real progress in this area will not occur until targeted laboratory investigation better elucidates the underlying mechanisms of postoperative atrial arrhythmias. After almost 50 years of open cardiac surgery, the prevention of one of the most common postoperative complications remains elusive. Postoperative atrial arrhythmias remain a vexing clinical problem crying for meticulous, carefully controlled laboratory and clinical research. Ideally, cardiac surgeons will rise to this challenge in the coming decade and finally develop an effective therapy based on a firm mechanistic foundation to prevent this common cause of patient morbidity after cardiac surgery.

References


